

EQUIVALENCES GENERATED BY FAMILIES OF BOREL SETS

JOHN P. BURGESS¹

ABSTRACT. The equivalence relation on the reals generated by a family of \aleph_α Borel sets has either $< \aleph_\alpha$ or else exactly 2^{\aleph_0} equivalence classes.

As is usual in modern set theory, we identify an ordinal with the set of its predecessors, and a cardinal with the first ordinal of that cardinality. Thus $2 = \{0, 1\}$, $\omega = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$; while $\aleph_0 = \omega$, \aleph_1 = the first uncountable ordinal ω_1 , etc. If α, β are ordinals,

$${}^\beta\alpha = \{f: f \text{ is a function \& dom } f = \beta \text{ \& range } f \subseteq \alpha\};$$

while

$${}^\beta\alpha = \bigcup_{\gamma < \beta} {}^\gamma\alpha.$$

If $f \in {}^\beta\alpha$ and $\gamma < \beta$, then $f|_\gamma$ is the restriction of f to γ ; while if $\delta < \alpha$, $f * \delta$ is the element g of ${}^{(\beta+1)}\alpha$ with $g|_\beta = f$ and $g(\beta) = \delta$.

Let X be an uncountable Polish space (separable topological space admitting a complete metric), e.g. the reals. A family \mathfrak{S} of subsets of X generates an equivalence relation $E(\mathfrak{S})$ on X defined by

$$xE(\mathfrak{S})y \leftrightarrow \forall S \in \mathfrak{S} (x \in S \leftrightarrow y \in S).$$

Let κ be an infinite cardinal. A subset $S \subseteq X$ is called κ -Souslin if S can be represented in the form

$$S = \bigcup_{f \in {}^\omega\kappa} \bigcap_{n \in \omega} C_{f|_n},$$

where for each $s \in {}^\omega\kappa$, $C_s \subseteq X$ is closed. S is *co- κ -Souslin* if $X - S$ is κ -Souslin, and *bi- κ -Souslin* if both κ -Souslin and co- κ -Souslin. Thus the ω -Souslin sets are just the analytic (Σ^1_1) sets; the co- ω -Souslin sets are the $CA(\Pi^1_1)$ sets; and by a classical theorem of Souslin (see [3]) the bi- ω -Souslin sets are the Borel sets.

An equivalence relation E on X is said to have *perfectly many classes* if there is a perfect (closed, dense-in-itself) $P \subseteq X$ such that no two (distinct) elements of P are E -equivalent. Since any perfect subset of X has cardinality 2^{\aleph_0} , this implies E has 2^{\aleph_0} classes. Note that if $\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{T}$ are families of subsets of

Received by the editors March 25, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 04A15.

¹Research supported in part by the National Science Foundation MCS76-10224.

X with $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, then $E(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq E(\mathcal{T})$ (as subsets of X^2), and, hence, the number of $E(\mathcal{S})$ classes can be no less than the number of $E(\mathcal{T})$ classes, and the former has perfectly many classes if the latter does.

THEOREM. *Let X be an uncountable Polish space, κ an infinite cardinal, \mathcal{S} a family of κ many bi- κ -Souslin subsets of X . Then if the equivalence relation $E(\mathcal{S})$ generated by \mathcal{S} has more than κ equivalence classes, there exists a countable $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ such that $E(\mathcal{T})$ has perfectly many classes.*

PROOF. Fix a complete metric ρ on X compatible with its topology. Enumerate $\mathcal{S} = \{S^\alpha: \alpha < \kappa\}$. For each $\alpha < \kappa$ fix families $\{C_s^\alpha\}$ of closed subsets of X for $i = 0, 1$ and $s \in {}^\omega\kappa$, such that:

$$S^\alpha = \bigcup_{f \in {}^\omega\kappa} \bigcap_{n \in \omega} {}^0C_{f|n}^\alpha, \quad X - S^\alpha = \bigcup_{f \in {}^\omega\kappa} \bigcap_{n \in \omega} {}^1C_{f|n}^\alpha.$$

We may choose these families to be nested, so $s \subseteq t$ implies ${}^iC_s^\alpha \subseteq {}^iC_t^\alpha$; and we may choose them so that for $n \in \omega$ and $s \in {}^\omega\kappa$, the ρ -diameter of ${}^iC_s^\alpha$ is less than 2^{-n} . For $\alpha < \kappa$, $i = 0, 1$, and $s \in {}^\omega\kappa$, set

$${}^iS_s^\alpha = \bigcup_{\substack{f \in {}^\omega\kappa \\ s \subseteq f}} \bigcap_{n \in \omega} {}^iC_{f|n}^\alpha \subseteq {}^iC_s^\alpha.$$

Assume $E(\mathcal{S})$ has $> \kappa$ classes, and let $Z \subseteq X$ be a set of κ^+ pairwise $E(\mathcal{S})$ -inequivalent elements.

We will define for every $l \in \omega$, $\sigma \in {}^l 2$, an ordinal $\alpha(\sigma) < \kappa$ and elements $s(\sigma, k)$ of ${}^l\kappa$ for $k \leq l$, so that setting

$$(1) \quad T_\sigma = \bigcap_{k < l} {}^{\sigma(k)}S_{s(\sigma, k)}^{\alpha(\sigma|k)} \subseteq \bigcap_{k < l} {}^{\sigma(k)}C_{s(\sigma, k)}^{\alpha(\sigma|k)},$$

we have $\text{card}(Z \cap T_\sigma) = \kappa^+$. We will also arrange matters so that $\sigma \subseteq \tau$ implies $s(\sigma, k) \subseteq s(\tau, k)$ for all relevant k . We proceed by induction. Suppose then that $l \in \omega$, $\sigma \in {}^l 2$, and suppose that for all $k < l$, $\alpha(\sigma|k)$ and $s(\sigma, k)$ have been defined and satisfy the conditions above.

In particular, $\text{card}(Z \cap T_\sigma) = \kappa^+$. We claim this assumption implies that there exists an $\alpha < \kappa$ such that both $Z \cap T_\sigma \cap S^\alpha$ and $(Z \cap T_\sigma) - S^\alpha$ have cardinality κ^+ . For suppose the opposite, and setting, for each $\alpha < \kappa$, $M^\alpha =$ whichever of $Z \cap T_\sigma \cap S^\alpha$ or $(Z \cap T_\sigma) - S^\alpha$ has cardinality $\leq \kappa$, we would find that all elements of $Z - \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} M^\alpha$ would be $E(\mathcal{S})$ -equivalent, hence that there could be only one such element, hence that $\text{card } Z = \kappa$, a contradiction! Let $\alpha(\sigma)$ be the least α with $\text{card}(Z \cap T_\sigma \cap S^\alpha) = \text{card}((Z \cap T_\sigma) - S^\alpha) = \kappa^+$. Now $Z \cap T_\sigma \cap S^{\alpha(\sigma)}$ is contained in

$$\bigcap_{k < l} {}^{\sigma(k)}S_{s(\sigma, k)}^{\alpha(\sigma|k)} \cap S^{\alpha(\sigma)} = \bigcap_{k < l} \bigcup_{\nu < \kappa} {}^{\sigma(k)}S_{s(\sigma, k) * \nu}^{\alpha(\sigma|k)} \cap \bigcup_{s \in (l+1)_\kappa} {}^0S_s^{\alpha(\sigma)}.$$

So there exist $\nu_0, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_{(l-1)}$ and s such that setting $s(\sigma * 0, k) = s(\sigma, k) * \nu_k$ for $k < l$, and $s(\sigma * 0, l) = s$, and defining $T_{\sigma * 0}$ as per (1) above,

we still have $\text{card}(Z \cap T_{\sigma \cdot 0}) = \kappa^+$. The $s(\sigma * 1, k)$ for $k \leq l$ are similarly defined.

For $g \in {}^\omega\omega$, $\{\bar{T}_{g|n} : n \in \omega\}$ forms a nested sequence of nonempty closed sets with ρ -diameters converging to 0. Hence this family intersects in a point $x_g \in X$. If $g(m) = 0$, then x_g belongs to

$$\bigcap_{n > m} {}^0C_{s(g|n, m)}^{\alpha(g|m)} \subseteq S^{\alpha(g|m)}.$$

Similarly, if $g(m) = 1$, then $x_g \notin S^{\alpha(g|m)}$. Thus if g, h are two (distinct) elements of ${}^\omega\omega$, x_g, x_h are $E(\mathfrak{S})$ -inequivalent, and incidentally $x_g \neq x_h$. Thus $A = \bigcup_{g \in {}^\omega\omega} \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \bar{T}_{g|n} = \{x_g : g \in {}^\omega\omega\}$ is an uncountable analytic set, and hence contains a perfect subset P . Moreover, setting $\mathfrak{T} = \{S^{\alpha(o)} : \sigma \in {}^\omega 2\}$, any two elements of P are $E(\mathfrak{T})$ -inequivalent, proving the theorem. \square

COROLLARY 1. *Let X be a Polish space, κ an infinite cardinal. Then any equivalence relation on X which is an intersection of κ CA equivalences has either $\leq \kappa$ or else perfectly many equivalence classes.*

PROOF. We use a deep theorem of Silver [4]: Any $CA(\Pi_1^1)$ equivalence relation on a Polish space X has either countably many or else perfectly many equivalence classes. Now let E be an equivalence on a Polish space X of form $\bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} E_\alpha$ where the E_α are CA equivalences. If any E_α has perfectly many classes, so does E . If each E_α has only countably many classes $\{S_{\alpha, n} : n < N_\alpha\}$, $N_\alpha \leq \omega$, then each of these $S_{\alpha, n}$ is both CA (since E_α is CA) and analytic (being the complement of $\bigcup_{m \neq n} S_{\alpha, m}$) and hence is Borel. Thus in this case $E = E(\mathfrak{S})$ where $\mathfrak{S} = \{S_{\alpha, n} : \alpha < \kappa, n < N_\alpha\}$ is a family of κ Borel sets. Thus any intersection of κ CA equivalences either has perfectly many classes or else is generated by a family of κ Borel sets. Corollary 1 is immediate. This corollary answers a question of J. Steel. \square

The referee has informed us that V. Harnik and M. Makkai [5] have obtained Corollary 1 (for $X = \text{Baire space}$) by a model-theoretic argument. The Theorem has somewhat more scope than this corollary, implying e.g. that if \mathfrak{S} is a family of \aleph_1 analytic sets, $E(\mathfrak{S})$ has $\leq \aleph_1$ or perfectly many classes.

COROLLARY 2. *Any analytic equivalence relation on a Polish space X has either $\leq \omega_1$ or else perfectly many classes.*

PROOF. Elsewhere [2] we have shown: Any analytic equivalence relation on a Polish space X is an intersection of ω_1 Borel equivalences. Corollary 2 is then immediate. Actually in [2] we establish more: A $CPCA(\Pi_2^1)$ equivalence of the special form $xEy \leftrightarrow \forall z \in X (x, y, z) \in D$, where $D \subseteq X^3$ is analytic, and for each fixed z , $\{(x, y) : (x, y, z) \in D\}$ is an equivalence relation, is an intersection of ω_1 CA equivalences. So the cardinal estimates on the number of classes in Corollary 2 apply to such special $CPCA$ equivalences, too. Corollary 2 was the main result of our thesis [1]. It answers a question of H. Friedman. \square

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. P. Burgess, *Infinitary languages and descriptive set theory*, Doctoral Dissertation, Univ. of California, Berkeley, Calif., 1974.
2. ———, *A reflection phenomenon in descriptive set theory*, Fund. Math. (to appear).
3. K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. 1, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
4. J. H. Silver, Π_1^1 *equivalence relations* (to appear).
5. V. Harnik and M. Makkai, *A tree argument in infinitary model theory*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **67** (1977), 309–314.

DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY 08540