

LOCAL COMPACTNESS AND HEWITT REALCOMPACTIFICATIONS OF PRODUCTS

HARUTO OHTA

ABSTRACT. In this note we prove McArthur's conjecture [6]: If card X is nonmeasurable and if $\nu(X \times Y) = \nu X \times \nu Y$ holds for each space Y , then X is locally compact. Consequently, we can completely characterize the class of all spaces X such that for each space Y , $\nu(X \times Y) = \nu X \times \nu Y$ holds.

1. Introduction. All spaces considered in this note will be completely regular Hausdorff. For a space X , νX denotes the Hewitt realcompactification of X , and the symbolism $\nu(X \times Y) = \nu X \times \nu Y$ means that $X \times Y$ is C -embedded in $\nu X \times \nu Y$. Following [6], let \mathcal{R} denote the class of all spaces X such that for each space Y , $\nu(X \times Y) = \nu X \times \nu Y$ holds. It is known that a locally compact realcompact space of nonmeasurable cardinal is a member of \mathcal{R} and that every member of \mathcal{R} is realcompact (Comfort [1, Corollary 2.2], McArthur [6, Theorem 5.2]). In [6], McArthur conjectured that if card X is nonmeasurable and X is a member of \mathcal{R} , then X is locally compact. The main purpose of this note is to establish his conjecture positively. More precisely, we can prove the following theorems. The implication (a) \rightarrow (b) of Theorem 1 was proved by Comfort [1].

THEOREM 1. *For a space X of nonmeasurable cardinal the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) X is locally compact.
- (b) $X \times Y$ is C -embedded in $X \times \nu Y$ for each space Y .

THEOREM 2. *For a space X of nonmeasurable cardinal the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) X is locally pseudocompact.
- (b) $X \times Y$ is C -embedded in $X \times \nu Y$ for each k -space Y .

We remark that, in Theorems 1 and 2, the assumption "card X is nonmeasurable" is useful only for the implication (a) \rightarrow (b). Combining these theorems with the results of Comfort and McArthur, quoted above, and Hušek [4, Theorem 3], we have the following theorems.

THEOREM 3. *For a space X the following conditions are equivalent:*

Received by the editors April 26, 1977 and, in revised form, September 29, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 54D60; Secondary 54D45, 54B10.

Key words and phrases. Hewitt realcompactification, realcompact space, locally compact space, locally pseudocompact space, k -space, product space, topological completion.

© American Mathematical Society 1978

- (a) X is locally compact, realcompact and $\text{card } X$ is nonmeasurable.
 (b) $v(X \times Y) = vX \times vY$ holds for each space Y .

THEOREM 4. For a space X the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) vX is locally compact and $\text{card } X$ is nonmeasurable.
 (b) $v(X \times Y) = vX \times vY$ holds for each k -space Y .

For the notions of locally pseudocompact spaces and k -spaces see [1]. For an ordinal α , we denote by $W(\alpha)$ the set of all ordinals less than α topologized with order topology, and by ω_0 the first infinite ordinal. Other terms can be found in [3].

2. Proofs of theorems.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. (a) \rightarrow (b). This is the result of Comfort [1, Theorem 2.1]. (b) \rightarrow (a). Suppose, on the contrary, that X is not locally compact at $x_0 \in X$. Let $\{G_\lambda | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a neighborhood base at x_0 in X . Then, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\text{cl}_X G_\lambda$ is not compact, and thus there exists a point $x_\lambda \in \text{cl}_{\beta X} G_\lambda \cap (\beta X - X)$, where βX is the Stone-Ćech compactification of X . For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let $\{G(\lambda, \sigma) | \sigma \in \Sigma_\lambda\}$ be a neighborhood base at x_λ in βX . For each $\sigma \in \Sigma_\lambda$, we can choose a point $x(\lambda, \sigma) \in X$ and an open set $H(\lambda, \sigma)$ in X such that $x(\lambda, \sigma) \in H(\lambda, \sigma) \subset G(\lambda, \sigma) \cap G_\lambda$. Let s_λ be an ideal point, and set $S_\lambda = \Sigma_\lambda \cup \{s_\lambda\}$, topologized as follows: Each point of Σ_λ is isolated and $\{J(\lambda, \sigma) | \sigma \in \Sigma_\lambda\}$ is a neighborhood base at s_λ , where $J(\lambda, \sigma) = \{s_\lambda\} \cup \{\tau \in \Sigma_\lambda | G(\lambda, \sigma) \supset G(\lambda, \tau)\}$. Let n be a regular cardinal greater than $\sup\{\text{card } \Sigma_\lambda | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$, and let ω_α be the initial ordinal of n . For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let

$$T_{\lambda(1)} = \{(\lambda(1), \gamma, \beta) | \gamma \leq \omega_\alpha, \beta \leq \omega_0\}$$

be the copy of $W(\omega_\alpha + 1) \times W(\omega_0 + 1)$, and let

$$T_{\lambda(2)} = \{(\lambda(2), \gamma, s) | \gamma \leq \omega_\alpha, s \in S_\lambda\}$$

be the copy of $W(\omega_\alpha + 1) \times S_\lambda$. By identifying a point $(\lambda(1), \gamma, \omega_0)$ with $(\lambda(2), \gamma, s_\lambda)$ for $\gamma \leq \omega_\alpha$, we have a quotient space T_λ and a quotient map $f_\lambda: T_{\lambda(1)} \oplus T_{\lambda(2)} \rightarrow T_\lambda$, where $A \oplus B$ denotes the topological sum of A and B . Let us set $Z = \bigoplus \{T_\lambda | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$, and let Y_0 be the quotient space obtained from Z by collapsing a set $\{f_\lambda((\lambda(1), \omega_\alpha, \beta)) | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ to a single point $y(\beta) \in Y_0$ for $\beta \leq \omega_0$. Let $g: Z \rightarrow Y_0$ be the quotient map, and set $h_\lambda = g \circ f_\lambda$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Then $y(\omega_0) = h_\lambda((\lambda(2), \omega_\alpha, s_\lambda))$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Let us set $Y = Y_0 - \{y_0\}$, where $y_0 = y(\omega_0)$. We shall now prove that $Y_0 \subset vY$ by showing that Y is C -embedded in Y_0 . Let ϕ be a real-valued continuous function on Y . For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, by the same argument as in [3, 8.20], there is $\gamma_\lambda \in W(\omega_\alpha)$ such that $\theta_\lambda = \phi \circ (h_\lambda | h_\lambda^{-1}(Y))$ takes on the constant value t_λ on $\{(\lambda(1), \gamma, \omega_0) | \gamma_\lambda \leq \gamma < \omega_\alpha\} \cup \{(\lambda(2), \gamma, s_\lambda) | \gamma_\lambda \leq \gamma < \omega_\alpha\}$. Since

$$\theta_\lambda((\lambda(1), \omega_\alpha, \beta)) = \theta_\mu((\mu(1), \omega_\alpha, \beta))$$

for $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ and for each $\beta < \omega_0$, we have $t_\lambda = t_\mu$ for $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$. Extend ϕ over Y_0 by setting $\phi(y_0) = t_\lambda$. Then it is easy to see that the extension ϕ is

continuous. Thus Y is C -embedded in Y_0 , and hence $Y_0 \subset vY$. It remains to show that $X \times Y$ is not C -embedded in $X \times vY$. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and each $\sigma \in \Sigma_\lambda$, let us set

$$y(\lambda, \sigma) = h_\lambda((\lambda(2), \omega_\alpha, \sigma)),$$

$$K(\lambda, \sigma) = h_\lambda(\{(\lambda(2), \gamma, \sigma) \mid \gamma \leq \omega_\alpha\}).$$

And let us set

$$p(\lambda, \sigma) = (x(\lambda, \sigma), y(\lambda, \sigma)) \in X \times Y,$$

$$L(\lambda, \sigma) = H(\lambda, \sigma) \times K(\lambda, \sigma) \subset X \times Y,$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \{L(\lambda, \sigma) \mid \lambda \in \Lambda, \sigma \in \Sigma_\lambda\}.$$

Then $L(\lambda, \sigma)$ is a neighborhood at $p(\lambda, \sigma)$ in $X \times Y$. Now we show that \mathcal{L} is discrete in $X \times Y$. To do this, let $p = (x, y) \in X \times Y$; then $y = h_\mu((\mu(i), \delta, t))$ for some $\mu \in \Lambda, i \in \{1, 2\}, \delta \leq \omega_\alpha$ and $t \in W(\omega_0 + 1) \oplus S_\mu$. If $t \in W(\omega_0 + 1)$ and $t < \omega_0$, then

$$V(y) = \cup \{h_\lambda(T_{\lambda(1)}) \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\} \cap Y$$

is a neighborhood at y in Y such that $V(y) \cap K(\lambda, \sigma) = \emptyset$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and each $\sigma \in \Sigma_\lambda$, and hence $X \times V(y)$ is a neighborhood at p which meets no member of \mathcal{L} . If $t = \omega_0$ or s_μ , then there exist $\tau \in \Sigma_\mu$ and a neighborhood $V(x)$ at x such that $V(x) \cap G(\mu, \tau) = \emptyset$. If we set

$$V(y) = h_\mu(\{(\mu(1), \gamma, \beta) \mid \gamma \leq \delta, \beta \leq \omega_0\}$$

$$\cup \{(\mu(2), \gamma, s) \mid \gamma \leq \delta, s \in J(\mu, \tau)\}),$$

then $V(y)$ is a neighborhood at y in Y such that $V(x) \times V(y)$ meets no member of \mathcal{L} . If $t \in \Sigma_\mu$, then $X \times K(\mu, t)$ is a neighborhood at p which meets only $L(\mu, t)$. Hence \mathcal{L} is discrete in $X \times Y$. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and each $\sigma \in \Sigma_\lambda$, there is a real-valued continuous function $\psi_{(\lambda, \sigma)}$ on $X \times Y$ such that $\psi_{(\lambda, \sigma)}(p(\lambda, \sigma)) = 0$ and $\psi_{(\lambda, \sigma)}(q) = 1$ for each $q \in (X \times Y) - L(\lambda, \sigma)$. If we define a function ψ by

$$\psi(q) = \inf \{\psi_{(\lambda, \sigma)}(q) \mid \lambda \in \Lambda, \sigma \in \Sigma_\lambda\}, \quad q \in X \times Y,$$

then ψ is continuous, since \mathcal{L} is discrete. For our purpose, it suffices to show that ψ admits no continuous extension to the point $p_0 = (x_0, y_0) \in X \times vY$. Let U be a given neighborhood at p_0 . There exist $\mu \in \Lambda$ and a neighborhood $V(y_0)$ at y_0 in Y_0 such that $p_0 \in G_\mu \times V(y_0) \subset U$. Then $y(\mu, \tau) \in V(y_0)$ for some $\tau \in \Sigma_\mu$, and hence $p(\mu, \tau) \in U$ and $\psi(p(\mu, \tau)) = 0$. On the other hand, $y(\beta)$ is in $V(y_0)$ for some $\beta < \omega_0$, and then $q = (x_0, y(\beta)) \in U$ and $\psi(q) = 1$. This shows that ψ does not extend continuously to p_0 . Hence the proof is completed.

Before proving Theorem 2, we prove the implication (a) \rightarrow (b) of Theorem 4, which slightly improves a theorem of Comfort [1, Theorem 2.4]. We denote

by μX the topological completion of X (i.e., the completion of X with respect to its finest uniformity).

PROOF OF THEOREM 4. (a) \rightarrow (b). Assume that νX is locally compact and $\text{card } X$ is nonmeasurable. Let Y be a k -space. Then, by [1, Theorem 2.1], $\nu X \times Y$ is C -embedded in $\nu X \times \nu Y$. Since νX is locally compact, by [5, Theorem 1.5], we have $\nu X = \mu X$. Hence $\mu(X \times Y) = \mu X \times \mu Y$ holds by [5, Theorem 2.3], and so $X \times Y$ is C -embedded in $\mu X \times Y (= \nu X \times Y)$. Thus we have $\nu(X \times Y) = \nu X \times \nu Y$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. (a) \rightarrow (b). Let X be a locally pseudocompact space of nonmeasurable cardinal and let Y be a k -space. Now it suffices to show that for each pseudocompact subset S of X , $S \times Y$ is C -embedded in $S \times \nu Y$. To see this, let S be a given pseudocompact subset of X , then we have $\nu S = \beta S$ by [3, 8A4]. Thus $\nu(S \times Y) = \nu S \times \nu Y$ holds by Theorem 4, (a) \rightarrow (b) proved above, and hence $S \times Y$ is C -embedded in $S \times \nu Y$. (b) \rightarrow (a). Suppose on the contrary that X is not locally pseudocompact at $x_0 \in X$. Let $\{G_\lambda | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a neighborhood base at x_0 . Then, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\text{cl}_X G_\lambda$ is not pseudocompact, and thus we can find a countable decreasing family $\{G(\lambda, \sigma) | \sigma \in \Sigma_\lambda\}$ of open sets in X such that $\bigcap \{\text{cl}_X G(\lambda, \sigma) | \sigma \in \Sigma_\lambda\} = \emptyset$ and $G(\lambda, \sigma) \subset G_\lambda$ for each $\sigma \in \Sigma_\lambda$. Let us set $H(\lambda, \sigma) = G(\lambda, \sigma)$, and choose a point $x(\lambda, \sigma) \in H(\lambda, \sigma)$. We construct Y_0 and Y quite similarly to the proof of Theorem 1. Examining the process, one sees that then each S_λ is compact, and hence Z is locally compact. Since every quotient space and open subspace of a k -space is a k -space, Y is a k -space. Therefore, by pursuing the proof of Theorem 1, we have Theorem 2.

To prove the implication (b) \rightarrow (a) of Theorems 3 and 4, we need a theorem of Hušek [4, Theorem 3]. His theorem can be restated as follows:

HUŠEK'S THEOREM. *For a space X the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $\text{card } X$ is nonmeasurable.
- (b) $\nu(X \times Y) = \nu X \times \nu Y$ holds for each discrete space Y .

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. (a) \rightarrow (b) is the result of Comfort quoted in the introduction. (b) \rightarrow (a). By Hušek's theorem, $\text{card } X$ is nonmeasurable. It follows from Theorem 1 and [6, Theorem 5.2] that X is locally compact and realcompact.

PROOF OF THEOREM 4. (b) \rightarrow (a). Since a discrete space is a k -space, by Hušek's Theorem, $\text{card } X$ is nonmeasurable. By Theorem 2, νX is locally pseudocompact, and hence is locally compact, because every pseudocompact realcompact space is compact (cf. [3, 8E1]).

3. Remarks. (1) If νX is locally compact, then X is locally pseudocompact, but the converse is false (see [1]).

(2) The space Y constructed in the proof of Theorems 1 and 2 and [6, Theorem 5.2] is 0-dimensional (i.e., $\text{ind } Y = 0$). Hence all theorems in this note remain true if "for each (k -) space Y " is replaced by "for each 0-dimensional (k -) space Y ".

(3) A space similar to the space S_λ in the proof of Theorem 1 was used in [6] to show that every member of \mathcal{R} is realcompact.

(4) A space X is said to be *topologically complete* if it is complete with respect to its finest uniformity (i.e., $X = \mu X$). In [7], Morita proved that if X is locally compact topologically complete, then $\mu(X \times Y) = \mu X \times \mu Y$ holds for each space Y , and Isiwata [5] proved that if $\mu(X \times Y) = \mu X \times \mu Y$ holds for each space Y , then X is topologically complete (cf. also [8]). Hence the analogous results of Theorems 1~4 remain true, with no cardinality conditions, for topological completions (in this case, we need to use [5, Theorem 2.3], [7, Theorem 3.1] and [2, Lemma 3.1] instead of Theorem 4, (a) \rightarrow (b), [3, 8A4] and [3, 8E1], respectively).

ADDED IN PROOF. Recently, Blair and Hager (*z-embedding in $\beta X \times \beta Y$, Set theoretic topology*, Academic Press, New York, 1977) asked whether the following condition (d') implies that $X \times Y$ is *z-embedded* in $\beta X \times \beta Y$ (i.e., each zero-set of $X \times Y$ is the trace on $X \times Y$ of a zero-set of $\beta X \times \beta Y$):

(d') For every real-valued continuous function f on $X \times Y$ and every $\epsilon > 0$, there is a countable open rectangular cover $\{G_n\}$ of $X \times Y$ such that $\sup\{|f(p) - f(q)| \mid p, q \in G_n\} < \epsilon$ for each n .

In the same paper, they proved that if X has a countable base, then $X \times Y$ satisfies (d') for each space Y , and that if $X \times Y$ is *z-embedded* in $\beta X \times \beta Y$, then $\nu(X \times Y) = \nu X \times \nu Y$ holds. From these facts, since there exists a nonlocally compact space with a countable base, Theorem 3 answers this question negatively. Furthermore, combining Theorem 3 with their results (3.2, 3.3), we obtain: X is a locally compact space with a countable base if and only if $X \times Y$ is *z-embedded* in $\beta X \times \beta Y$ for each space Y .

REFERENCES

1. W. W. Comfort, *On the Hewitt realcompactification of a product space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (1968), 107-118.
2. N. Dykes, *Mappings and realcompact spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **31** (1969), 347-358.
3. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1960.
4. M. Hušek, *Pseudo- m -compactness and $\nu(P \times Q)$* , Indag. Math. **33** (1971), 320-326.
5. T. Isiwata, *Topological completions and realcompactifications*, Proc. Japan Acad. **47** (1971), 941-946.
6. W. G. McArthur, *Hewitt realcompactifications of products*, Canad. J. Math. **22** (1970), 645-656.
7. K. Morita, *Topological completions and M -spaces*, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sect. A **10** (1970), 271-288.
8. R. Pupier, *Topological completion of a product*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **19** (1974), 925-933.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA, SAKURAMURA IBARAKI, 300-31, JAPAN