

THE LEBESGUE DECOMPOSITION THEOREM FOR PARTIALLY ORDERED SEMIGROUP-VALUED MEASURES

PANAIOTIS K. PAVLAKOS

ABSTRACT. The present paper is concerned with partially ordered semigroup-valued measures. Below are given generalizations of the classical Lebesgue Decomposition Theorem.

These results can be applied to Stone or W^* algebra-valued positive measures (cf. [3], [12], [13], [14]).

1. Preliminaries. By a partially ordered semigroup X we mean a commutative semigroup with identity 0, equipped by a partial ordering \leq , compatible with the structure of X under the conditions:

(i) If x, y, z are elements of X with $x < y$ ($x \leq y$ and $x \neq y$) then $x + z < y + z$.

(ii) $x + \sup E = \sup(x + E)$, whenever there exist $\sup E$ (the supremum of E in X) and $\sup(x + E)$, $E \subseteq X$, $x \in X$.

Now X is monotone complete if every majorised increasing directed family in X has a supremum in X . Moreover, X is of the countable type if every subset E of X that has a supremum in X , contains a countable subset $E^* \subseteq E$ so that: $\sup E = \sup E^*$.

Let X be a partially ordered semigroup and H a ring of subsets of T . The function $m: H \rightarrow X$ is an o -measure (order measure) on H , if m is positive on H ($m(A) \geq 0$, for every A in H) and $m(\cup_{n \in N} A_n) = \sup\{\sum_{i=1}^n m(A_i): n \in N\}$ whenever $(A_n)_{n \in N}$ is a disjoint sequence of elements of H with $(\cup_{n \in N} A_n) \in H$.

The following propositions can be easily proved.

PROPOSITION 1.1. Let $m: H \rightarrow X$ be an o -measure on H .

(1) $m(\emptyset) = 0$.

(2) m is finitely additive on H and $m(A) \leq m(B)$, whenever $A, B \in H$ with $A \subseteq B$.

(3) For every sequence $(A_n)_{n \in N}$ in H with $(\cup_{n \in N} A_n) \in H$ and $\sup\{\sum_{i=1}^n m(A_i): n \in N\} \in X$, implies: $m(\cup_{n \in N} A_n) \leq \sup\{\sum_{i=1}^n m(A_i): n \in N\}$.

Received by the editors August 22, 1977 and, in revised form, November 30, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 46G99; Secondary 28A55.

Key words and phrases. Partially ordered semigroup, monotone complete partially ordered semigroup, partially ordered semigroup of the countable type, o -measure, absolutely continuous and singular o -measure, partially ordered topological semigroup, σ -compatible topology with the partial ordering, τ_X -measure.

© American Mathematical Society 1978

(4) If X is monotone complete then for every disjoint family $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ in H with $(\cup_{i \in I} A_i) \in H$ implies: $m(\cup_{i \in I} A_i) \geq \sum_{i \in I} m(A_i) := \sup\{\sum_{i \in J} m(A_i): J \subseteq I, J \text{ finite}\}$.

PROPOSITION 1.2. *The function $m: H \rightarrow X$ is an o -measure on H if and only if m is positive, finitely additive on H and $m(A_n) \uparrow m(A)$ ($m(A_n) \leq m(A_{n+1})$, $n \in N$ and $m(A) = \sup\{m(A_n): n \in N\}$), for every increasing sequence $(A_n)_{n \in N}$ in H with $A_n \uparrow A \in H$.*

2. Absolutely continuous and singular o -measures. Let X, Y be partially ordered semigroups and let $m: H \rightarrow X, l: H \rightarrow Y$ be o -measures on H . l is m -absolutely continuous on H ($l \ll m$) if $l(A) = 0$ whenever $A \in H$ with $m(A) = 0$. On the other hand l is m -singular on H , ($l \perp m$) if for every A in H there is B in H : $B \subseteq A$, $m(B) = 0$ and $l(A - B) = 0$. So $m \perp l$ if and only if $l \perp m$.

The following proposition can be easily verified.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let $m: H \rightarrow X, l: H \rightarrow Y$ and $k: H \rightarrow Y$ be o -measures on H .*

- (1) *If $l \perp m$ and $l \ll m$ then $l = 0$.*
- (2) *If $l \perp m$ and $k \ll m$ then $l \perp k$.*
- (3) *$l \perp l$ if and only if $l = 0$.*
- (4) *If $m \perp l$ and $m \perp k$ then $m \perp (l + k)$.*
- (5) *If $l \perp m$ and $k \perp m$ then $(l + k) \perp m$.*
- (6) *If $X = Y$, and $l \leq m + k$, $l \perp m$ then $l \leq k$.*

On the other hand the following lemma will be useful in the sequence.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $m_i: H \rightarrow X, i \in I$, be an increasing directed family of o -measures on H . Suppose, that X is a monotone complete partially ordered semigroup and for every $A \in H$ there is x in X such that: $m_i(A) \leq x$, whenever $i \in I$. Then the function $m: H \rightarrow X, m(A) = \sup\{m_i(A): i \in I\}$ is an o -measure on H .*

PROOF. Let $A, B \in H$ with $A \cap B = \emptyset$, so $m(A \cup B) = \sup\{m_i(A \cup B): i \in I\} = \sup\{m_i(A) + m_i(B): i \in I\} \leq \sup\{m_i(A): i \in I\} + \sup\{m_i(B): i \in I\} = m(A) + m(B)$. Furthermore let i, j be any pair of indices. Then there exist $h \in I$ such that, $h > i$ and $h > j$, hence $m_i(A) + m_j(B) \leq m_h(A) + m_h(B) = m_h(A \cup B) \leq m(A \cup B)$, which implies $m(A) + m(B) = m(A \cup B)$, namely m is finitely additive on H . Evidently $m(A) \leq m(B)$ whenever $A, B \in H$ with $A \subseteq B$.

Finally let $(A_n)_{n \in N}$ be a sequence in H with $A_n \uparrow A \in H$. Then $m_i(A_n) \uparrow m_i(A)$, for every i in I . Thus:

$$\sup\{m(A_n): n \in N\} = \sup\{\sup\{m_i(A_n): i \in I\}: n \in N\}, \quad (1)$$

$$m(A) = \sup\{\sup\{m_i(A_n): n \in N\}: i \in I\}. \quad (2)$$

But $\{m_i(A_n): i \in I, n \in N\} = \cup_{i \in I} \{m_i(A_n): n \in N\} = \cup_{n \in N} \{m_i(A_n): i \in I\}$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sup\{\sup\{m_i(A_n): i \in I\}: n \in N\} &= \sup\{\sup\{m_i(A_n): n \in N\}: i \in I\} \\ &= \sup\{m_i(A_n): i \in I, n \in N\} \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

(cf. [11, p. 12, Theorem I.6.1]). Therefore by (1), (2) and (3) it follows that $m(A_n) \uparrow m(A)$ and the assertion follows from Proposition 1.2.

Hereafter by S it is denoted a σ -ring of subsets of T .

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let $m_i: S \rightarrow X, i \in I$ be an increasing directed family of o -measures on S and $l: S \rightarrow Y$ be another o -measure on S . Suppose that X is of the countable type partially ordered semigroup, $\sup\{m_i(A): i \in I\} = m(A) \in X$, whenever $A \in S$ and $m_i \perp l$ for every $i \in I$. Then $m: S \rightarrow X$ is an o -measure on S with $m \perp l$.*

PROOF. By Lemma 2.2 it follows that m is an o -measure on S . Now let $A \in S$. Then there is a countable subset $\{i(n): n \in N\}$ of I , such that: $m(A) = \sup\{m_{i(n)}(A): n \in N\}$. On the other hand, there is a sequence $(B_n)_{n \in N}$ in S with $B_n \subseteq A, m_{i(n)}(A) = m_{i(n)}(B_n)$ and $l(B_n) = 0$, for every $n \in N$. We put $B = \bigcup_{n \in N} B_n$ hence $B \subseteq A, m_{i(n)}(A) = m_{i(n)}(B)$ and $l(B) = 0, n \in N$. Consequently

$$m(A) = \sup\{m_{i(n)}(A): n \in N\} = \sup\{m_{i(n)}(B): n \in N\} \leq m(B) \leq m(A),$$

so $m(A - B) = 0$ and $l(B) = 0$.

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let $m_n: S \rightarrow X, n \in N$, be an increasing sequence of o -measures on S and let $l: S \rightarrow Y$ be another o -measure on S . Suppose that $\sup\{m_n(A): n \in N\} = m(A) \in X$, whenever $A \in S$ and $m_n \perp l$, for every $n \in N$. Then $m: S \rightarrow X$ is an o -measure on S and $m \perp l$.*

3. The Lebesgue Decomposition Theorem. First we give the following:

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $m: S \rightarrow X$ be an o -measure on the σ -ring S and let Λ be a nonempty subfamily of S closed to countable unions. Suppose that X is a monotone complete of the countable type partially ordered semigroup. Then the function $m_1: S \rightarrow X, m_1(A) = \sup\{m(A \cap M): M \in \Lambda\}$, is an o -measure on S and for every A in S , there exists $M \in \Lambda$ such that $m_1(A) = m(A \cap M)$.*

PROOF. Let $A \in S$. From the hypothesis it is easily verified that there exists an increasing sequence $(M_n)_{n \in N}$ in Λ with $M_n \uparrow M \in \Lambda$ and $m(A \cap M) = \sup\{m(A \cap M_n): n \in N\} = m_1(A)$.

Next let $(m_M)_{M \in \Lambda}$ be the increasing directed family of o -measures on S , such that $m_M(A) = m(A \cap M)$ whenever $M \in \Lambda$ and $A \in S$. By Lemma 2.2 and from $m_1(A) = \sup\{m_M(A): M \in \Lambda\}, A \in S$ it follows that m_1 is an o -measure on S .

THEOREM 3.2 (LEBESGUE DECOMPOSITION). *Let the o -measures be $m: S \rightarrow X, l: S \rightarrow Y$ on the σ -ring S . Suppose, Y is a monotone complete of the countable type partially ordered semigroup. Then there exist unique o -measures $l_i: S \rightarrow Y, i = 1, 2$, such that:*

$$l = l_1 + l_2, \quad l_1 \ll m, \quad l_2 \perp m, \quad l_1 \perp l_2.$$

PROOF. We consider the functions: $l_1: S \rightarrow Y$, $l_2: S \rightarrow Y$, $l_1(A) = \sup\{l(A \cap M): M \in \Lambda\}$, $l_2(A) = \sup\{l(A \cap Q): Q \in \Theta\}$ where $\Theta = \{Q \in S: m(Q) = 0\}$ and $\Lambda = \{M \in S: l_2(M) = 0\}$. Clearly from Lemma 3.1 the functions $l_i: S \rightarrow Y$, $i = 1, 2$, are o -measures on S and there exist $M \in \Lambda$, $Q \in \Theta$ such that:

$$l_1(A) = l(A \cap M) = l_1(A \cap M), \quad (4)$$

$$l_2(A) = l(A \cap Q) = l_2(A \cap Q). \quad (5)$$

If $m(A) = 0$ then $(A \cap M) \in \Theta$, hence $l_1(A) = l(A \cap M) = l_2(A \cap M) = 0$, namely $l_1 \ll m$.

On the other hand $(A - Q) \in \Lambda$ (because $(A - Q) \notin \Lambda$ implies $l_2(A - Q) > 0$, so by (5) $l_2(A) > l_2(A)$, that is a contradiction), therefore $l(A - Q) = l_1(A - Q) = l_1(A)$. Thus $l(A) = l(A - Q) + l(A \cap Q) = l_1(A) + l_2(A)$. Now from (4) and (5) one obviously has $l_1 \perp l_2$ and $l_2 \perp m$. To show uniqueness let $l = l_1 + l_2 = l_3 + l_4$ be two such decompositions. Evidently $l_4 \perp l_1$ and $l_2 \perp l_3$. So from $l_2 \leq l_3 + l_4$ and $l_4 \leq l_1 + l_2$ imply $l_2 \leq l_4$ and $l_4 \leq l_2$, hence $l_2 = l_4$. Furthermore from $l_1 \perp l_2$, $l_3 \perp l_2$, $l_1 \leq l_2 + l_3$ and $l_3 \leq l_1 + l_2$ we also have $l_1 = l_3$.

4. Partially ordered topological semigroup-valued measures. Throughout this paragraph we suppose that X is a partially ordered topological semigroup, that is a partially ordered semigroup, equipped with a Hausdorff topology τ_X such that the sets: $E_x := \{y \in X: y \geq x\}$, $F_x := \{y \in X: y \leq x\}$ are τ_X -closed, whenever $x \in X$. In this place we give the well-known lemma.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ be an increasing directed family in the partially ordered topological semigroup X with τ_X -lim $x_i = x$ (convergence in the topology τ_X of X). Then $x = \sup\{x_i: i \in I\}$.*

PROOF. We set $E_i = \{y \in X: y \geq x_i\}$ for every $i \in I$, hence $x \in \bar{E}_i = E_i$ (by \bar{E}_i we denote the closure of E_i in X), namely $x \geq x_i$ for every $i \in I$. Moreover let z be an element of X so that:

$$x_i \leq z, \quad \text{for any } i \in I.$$

Thus by the fact that the set $F = \{y \in X: y \leq z\}$ is τ_X -closed and hypothesis, one similarly has, $x \in \bar{F} = F$, which proves the assertion. Next the topology τ_X is called σ -compatible with the partial ordering if every majorised increasing sequence in X converges relative to the topology τ_X .

Now the function $m: H \rightarrow X$ is a τ_X -measure on the ring H , if m is positive on H and m is σ -additive on H with respect to topological convergence in X . The definitions and results of absolute continuity and singularity are similar as above.

In particular we obtain.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let the τ_X -measure $m: S \rightarrow X$ and the τ_Y -measure $l: S \rightarrow Y$ on the σ -ring S . Suppose that Y is a monotone complete of the countable type*

partially ordered topological semigroup and the topology τ_Y is σ -compatible with the partial ordering. Then there exist unique τ_Y -measures $l_i: S \rightarrow Y$, $i = 1, 2$, such that:

$$l = l_1 + l_2, \quad l_1 \ll m, \quad l_2 \perp m, \quad l_1 \perp l_2.$$

The proof of the Theorem 4.2 follows from Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 3.2.

REFERENCES

1. S. K. Berberian, *Measure and integration*, Macmillan, New York, 1965.
2. R. Cristescu, *Integrarea în spații semiordonate*, Acad. Repub. Pop. Romîne. Bul. Şti. Sect. Şti. Mat. Fiz. **4** (1952), 291–310.
3. W. Hackenbroch, *Zum Radon-Nikodÿmschen Satz für positive Vectormasse*, Math. Ann. **206** (1973), 63–65.
4. P. R. Halmos, *Measure theory*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1950.
5. R. A. Johnson, *On the Lebesgue decomposition theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 628–632.
6. P. K. Pavlakos, *Measures and integrals in ordered topological groups*, Thesis, University of Athens, 1972.
7. A. Peressini, *Ordered topological vector spaces*, Harper and Row, New York, 1967.
8. M. Sion, *A theory of semigroup-valued measures*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 355, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1973.
9. T. Traynor, *Differentiation of group-valued outer measures*, Thesis, University of British Columbia, 1969.
10. ———, *Decomposition of group-valued additive set functions*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **22** (1972), 131–140.
11. B. Z. Vulikh, *Introduction to the theory of partially ordered spaces*, Wolters-Noordhoff, Groningen, 1967.
12. M. J. D. Wright, *Stone-algebra-valued measures and integrals*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **19** (1969), 107–122.
13. ———, *Vector lattice measures on locally compact spaces*, Math. Z. **120** (1971), 193–203.
14. ———, *Measures with values in a partially ordered vector space*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **25** (1972), 675–688.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, ATHENS UNIVERSITY, PANEPISTEMIOPOLIS, ATHENS 621, GREECE