VALUES TAKEN MANY TIMES BY EULER'S PHI-FUNCTION

KENT WOOLDRIDGE

ABSTRACT. Let b_m denote the number of integers n such that $\phi(n) = m$, where ϕ is Euler's function. Erdős has proved that there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $b_m > m^{\delta}$ for infinitely many m. In this paper we show that we may take δ to be any number less than $3 - 2\sqrt{2}$.

We begin with a lemma that is a simple case of Theorem 3.12 in [2].

LEMMA 1. Let a and k be relatively prime positive integers of opposite parity. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\sum_{\substack{p < N \\ ap + k \text{ prime}}} 1 < (8 + \varepsilon)H(a, k)N(\log N)^{-2}$$

for $N > N_0$, where

$$H(a, k) = \prod_{p>2} (1 - (p-1)^{-2}) \prod_{\substack{p \mid ak \\ p>2}} (p-1)(p-2)^{-1}$$

and where N_0 depends only on ε .

Next we need a well-known lemma, whose proof may be found in [3].

LEMMA 2. Let d_1, d_2, \ldots be a sequence of complex numbers such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n n^{-1}$ is absolutely convergent. Then if

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m m^{-s} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} d_n n^{-s} \qquad (\text{Re } s > 1),$$

we have

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} x^{-1} \sum_{m \le x} c_m = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n n^{-1}.$$

Let k be a fixed positive integer. Let t be a positive number and let r = 1/(1 + t). Let G(N, k, t) denote the number of primes p greater than k and not exceeding N for which p - k has a prime divisor q such that q > N'.

LEMMA 3. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any positive $t < (\sqrt{2} - 1)/2$ we have

$$G(N, k, t) \le 4(1+\varepsilon)t(1+t)N(\log N)^{-1} \tag{1}$$

for sufficiently large N.

Received by the editors August 11, 1978.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 10A20.

PROOF. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Let p be a prime such that k . Suppose <math>q > N' is a prime dividing p - k. Then p - k = aq with (a, k) = 1 and $a \le N^{1-r}$. Clearly a and k are of opposite parity. Thus

$$G(N, k, t) \le \sum_{\substack{k (2)$$

where the prime indicates that the sum is over integers a such that a and k are of opposite parity and (a, k) = 1.

We shall show that, for $a \le N^{1-r}$, we have

$$(N-k)\left(\log\frac{N-k}{a}\right)^{-2} \le N(r\log N)^{-2} \tag{3}$$

for N > M, where M is independent of a. Since the left-hand side of (3) increases with a, the assertion (3) is true if it holds with a replaced by N^{1-r} . The resulting inequality is easily shown to be equivalent to

$$-r^{2}k(\log N)^{2} \le 2rN\log N\log(1-kN^{-1}) + N(\log(1-kN^{-1}))^{2}.$$
 (4)

Note that $x \log(1 - kx^{-1}) \to -k$ as $x \to \infty$. This implies that the right-hand side of (4) is $O(\log N)$. The assertion (3) follows.

If we use Lemma 1 together with (2) and (3) we have

$$G(N, k, t) \le 8(1 + \varepsilon)N(r \log N)^{-2} \sum_{a \le N^{1-r}} H(a, k)a^{-1}.$$
 (5)

Define the multiplicative function f by f(2) = 1, $f(p) = 1 + (p-2)^{-1}$ for p > 2, and

$$f(n) = \prod_{p|n} f(p) = \prod_{\substack{p|n \ p>2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-2}\right).$$

Then we have

$$H(a, k) = Df(k)f(a),$$

where

$$D = \prod_{p>2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p(p-2)}\right)^{-1}.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{a \le x}' H(a, k) a^{-1} = Df(k) \sum_{a \le x}' f(a) a^{-1}.$$
 (6)

We will use Lemma 2 and a partial summation to estimate the last sum.

First assume that k is even. Then, for Re $s \ge 1$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n) \frac{1}{ns} = \prod_{p \mid k} \left\{ 1 + f(p) \left(\frac{1}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}} + \cdots \right) \right\} = \zeta(s) g(s),$$

where

$$g(s) = \prod_{p|k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right) \prod_{p|k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^s(p-2)} \right).$$

The product converges absolutely for Re s > 0.

Now assume that k is odd. Then, for Re s > 1,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n) \frac{1}{n^s} = \left(\frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{2^{2s}} + \cdots \right) \prod_{\substack{p \mid k \\ p > 2}} \left\{ 1 + f(p) \left(\frac{1}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}} + \cdots \right) \right\}$$
$$= \zeta(s)g(s),$$

where

$$g(s) = \frac{1}{2^s} \prod_{p|k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right) \prod_{\substack{p|k \ n > 2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^s(p-2)}\right).$$

In either case, we can conclude from Lemma 2 that

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} x^{-1} \sum_{n \le x} f(n) = g(1) = B(k) \frac{\phi(k)}{k} \prod_{\substack{p \nmid k \\ p > 2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p(p-2)} \right),$$

where B(k) equals 1 or $\frac{1}{2}$ according as k is even or odd.

Let

$$C(x) = \sum_{n \le x}' f(n) = g(1)x + o(x).$$

We have

$$\sum_{n \le x} \frac{f(n)}{n} = \frac{C(x)}{x} + \int_{1}^{x} \frac{C(u)}{u^{2}} du$$

$$= O(1) + g(1)\log x + o(\log x). \tag{7}$$

Combining (6) and (7) we see that

$$\sum_{a \le x} H(a, k) a^{-1} \sim Df(k) B(k) \frac{\phi(k)}{k} \prod_{\substack{p \mid k \\ p > 2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p(p-2)} \right) \log x$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \log x. \tag{8}$$

Combining (5) and (8) we see that, for large N,

$$G(N, k, t) \leq 4(1 + \varepsilon)t(1 + t)N(\log N)^{-1},$$

since $(1 - r)/r^2 = t(1 + t)$.

Note that the Prime-Number Theorem implies that Lemma 3 is trivial if $t > (\sqrt{2} - 1)/2$.

Let P(N, k, t) denote the number of primes in the interval (k, N] such that p - k is composed of primes less than N', where $r = (1 + t)^{-1}$. Then

$$\pi(N) = \pi(k) + G(N, k, t) + P(N, k, t). \tag{9}$$

LEMMA 4. For any $t < (\sqrt{2} - 1)/2$ and any

$$\varepsilon < \varepsilon(t) = (1 - 4t(1+t))/(2 + 5t + 4t^2)$$

we have

$$P((\log N)^{t+1}, k, t) > \varepsilon(\log N)^{t+1}(\log \log N)^{-1},$$

provided N is sufficiently large.

PROOF. Choose $t < (\sqrt{2} - 1)/2$ and $\varepsilon < \varepsilon(t)$. By the Prime-Number Theorem we have

$$\pi((\log N)^{t+1}) - \pi(k) > \frac{1-\varepsilon}{t+1}(\log N)^{t+1}(\log\log N)^{-1}$$
 (10)

for large N. By Lemma 3 we have

$$G((\log N)^{t+1}, k, t) \le 4(1 + \varepsilon)t(\log N)^{t+1}(\log \log N)^{-1}$$
 (11)

for large N.

Combining (9), (10), and (11) we see that

$$P((\log N)^{t+1}, k, t) > \{(1 - \varepsilon)(t+1)^{-1} - 4(1 + \varepsilon)t\}(\log N)^{t+1}(\log \log N)^{-1}$$
 (12)

for large N. Since $t < (\sqrt{2} - 1)/2$, we have $(t + 1)^{-1} - 4t > 0$. It is easy to check that if $\varepsilon < \varepsilon(t)$, then

$$(1-\varepsilon)(t+1)^{-1}-4(1+\varepsilon)t>\varepsilon.$$
 (13)

If we combine (12) and (13) we have the result. \Box

Let Q(N, k, t) denote the number of square-free integers not exceeding N that are composed of the primes counted by $P((\log N)^{t+1}, k, t)$.

LEMMA 5. For any $t < (\sqrt{2} - 1)/2$ and any ε we have $Q(N, k, t) > N^{(1-\varepsilon)(1-r)}$ for large N, where $r = (t+1)^{-1}$.

PROOF. Let $t < (\sqrt{2} - 1)/2$ and assume without loss of generality that $\varepsilon < \varepsilon(t) < 1$. Let $u = \varepsilon/2$, and let

$$c = c(t, N) = \log N((t + 1)\log \log N)^{-1}$$
.

Let d = [c]. Suppose q is square-free and has d prime factors that are counted by $P((\log N)^{t+1}, k, t)$. Then $q < (\log N)^{c(t+1)} = N$. The number of such q is the binomial coefficient $B = \binom{p}{d}$, where $P = P((\log N)^{t+1}, k, t)$. By Lemma 4 we have

$$P > \varepsilon(\log N)^{t+1}(\log \log N)^{-1}$$
.

Since

$$\binom{m}{n} > \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^n$$
 for $m > n > 1$,

we have

$$B \ge \left(\frac{P}{d}\right)^d \ge \left(\varepsilon(t+1)(\log N)^t\right)^d. \tag{14}$$

For large N we have

$$\frac{(1-u)\log N}{(t+1)\log\log N} \le d \le \frac{(1+u)\log N}{(t+1)\log\log N} \tag{15}$$

and

$$\log \log N > \frac{(1+u)(-\log \varepsilon)}{tu}. \tag{16}$$

Now, using (15) and (16), we have

$$\varepsilon^{d} > \exp((1+u)\log \varepsilon \log N((t+1)\log \log N)^{-1})$$

$$> \exp(-tu(1+t)^{-1}\log N) = N^{-u(1-r)}.$$
(17)

Also,

$$(\log N)^{td} > \exp(t(1-u)\log N((t+1)\log\log N)^{-1}\log\log N)$$

$$= \exp(t(t+1)^{-1}(1-u)\log N) = N^{(1-u)(1-r)}.$$
 (18)

Using (14), (17), and (18), we see that

$$Q(N, k, t) > B > N^{(1-u)(1-r)-u(1-r)} = N^{(1-\varepsilon)(1-r)}$$
.

LEMMA 6. Let M(N) denote the number of integers not exceeding N that are composed of primes less than $\log N$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $M(N) \leq N^{\varepsilon}$ for sufficiently large N.

PROOF. This is easily proved. The proof may be found in Erdős [1].

Let f be a multiplicative arithmetic function with f(p) = p - k for prime p greater than k. We need not consider the values of f at higher prime powers or at primes not exceeding k.

THEOREM. Let f be as above. If $\delta < 3 - 2\sqrt{2}$, then there are infinitely many m such that, for more than m^{δ} square-free integers q, we have m = f(q).

PROOF. If $t < (\sqrt{2} - 1)/2$ and $\varepsilon < \varepsilon(t)$ there are, by Lemma 10, at least $\varepsilon(\log N)^{t+1}(\log N)^{-1}$ primes in the interval $(k, (\log N)^{t+1}]$ such that p - k is composed of primes less than $\log N$. Let $u = \varepsilon/2$ and let $r = (t+1)^{-1}$. By Lemma 5 the are at least $N^{(1-u)(1-r)}$ square-free integers q < N that are composed of these primes. Let W be the number of values of f(q) for these square-free integers. Since

$$f(q) = \prod_{p|q} (p-k)$$

we see that f(q) is divisible only by primes less than $\log N$ for each of these q. By Lemma 6 we have $W \leq M(N) \leq N^u$ for large N. By the pigeon-hole principle there is an $m \leq N$ such that, for at least

$$N^{(1-u)(1-r)-u} \ge N^{(1-r)-\varepsilon} \ge m^{(1-r)-\varepsilon}$$

of these q, we have m = f(q). If $\delta < 3 - 2\sqrt{2}$ we can choose $t < (\sqrt{2} - 1)/2$ and $\epsilon < \epsilon(t)$ so that $(1 - r) - \epsilon = t(1 + t)^{-1} - \epsilon > \delta$, since

$$\frac{(\sqrt{2}-1)/2}{1+(\sqrt{2}-1)/2}=3-2\sqrt{2}.$$

Thus for $\delta < 3 - 2\sqrt{2}$ and N sufficiently large, we have, for some $m \le N$, more than m^{δ} square-free integers q such that m = f(q). The theorem follows.

REFERENCES

- 1. P. Erdős, On the normal number of prime factors of p-1 and some related problems concerning Euler's ϕ -function, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 6 (1935), 205-213.
 - 2. H. Halberstam and H. E. Richert, Sieve methods, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- 3. D. G. Kendall and R. A. Rankin, On the number of Abelian groups of a given order, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 18 (1947), 197-208.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CALIFORNIA STATE COLLEGE, STANISLAUS, TURLOCK, CALIFORNIA 95380