## QUOTIENTS OF $c_0$ ARE ALMOST ISOMETRIC TO SUBSPACES OF $c_0$

## DALE E. ALSPACH<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. It is shown that for every e > 0 and quotient space X of  $c_0$  there is a subspace Y of  $c_0$  such that the Banach-Mazur distance d(X, Y) is less than 1 + e. This improves a result of Johnson and Zippin.

**0.** Introduction. Johnson and Zippin [2] have shown that a quotient space of  $c_0$  is isomorphic to a subspace of  $c_0$ . Here we strengthen this result by showing that a quotient of  $c_0$  is almost isometric to a subspace of  $c_0$ , i.e., if X is a quotient of  $c_0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then there is an isomorphism T from X into  $c_0$  such that  $||T|| ||T^{-1}|| \le 1 + \varepsilon$ .

We will use standard Banach space notation as may be found in the book of Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [3].

We wish to thank Y. Benyamini for suggesting several simplifications of our arguments.

## 1. Proof of the result.

THEOREM. Let X be a quotient of  $c_0$ ; then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a subspace Y of  $c_0$  such that

$$d(X, Y) = \inf\{\|T\| \|T^{-1}\| : T: X \to Y \text{ is an isomorphism}\} \le 1 + \varepsilon.$$

**PROOF.** We will construct a sequence  $\{x_i': i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  in  $B_{X^*}$  such that  $w^* \lim x_i' = 0$  and

$$\sup\{|x_i'(z)| \colon i \in \mathbb{N}\} > (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} ||z||$$

for all  $z \in X$ . Once this is accomplished, the evaluation map  $E: X \to c_0$ , defined by  $(Ez)(i) = x_i'(z)$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , gives the required isomorphism.

First we will choose a sequence  $\{x_i: i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that

$$\sup\{|x_i(z)| : i \in \mathbb{N}\} > (1 - \varepsilon/24) ||z||,$$

for all  $z \in X$ , and then show that a slight modification of this sequence converges  $w^*$  to zero. Let  $P_j$  be the natural projection onto the span of the first j unit vectors of the usual basis of  $l_1$  and let  $\tau = \varepsilon/16$  and  $\delta = 2\tau^2/3$ . Suppose we have chosen integers  $n(1), n(2), \ldots, n(i-1)$  and unit vectors  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n(i-1)}$  in  $X^*$  such that

Received by the editors September 12, 1978.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 46A45; Secondary 46E15.

Key words and phrases. Quotient space, almost isometric.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Supported in part by NSF-MCS 7610613.

$$||P_i x_i|| > 1 - \delta/4$$
 if  $l < n(j)$ 

and such that if  $x \in B_{X^*}$  and  $||P_j x|| > 1 - \delta/4$  either

(1) there is an element  $z = \sum_{i=1}^{n(j-1)} \lambda_i x_i, \lambda_i > 0$ , such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n(j-1)} \lambda_i > \tau$  and

$$||x-z|| + \sum_{i=1}^{n(j-1)} \lambda_i < (1+\delta)||x||$$

or

(2) there is an element  $x_l$ ,  $n(j-1) < l \le n(j)$ , such that for some  $\lambda$ ,  $1 > \lambda > 1 - \delta/4,$ 

$$||x - \lambda x_i|| + \lambda \le (1 + \delta)||x||.$$

We choose n(i) and unit vectors  $x_{n(i-1)+1}, \ldots, x_{n(i)}$  so that  $\{\lambda_l x_l : n(i-1)\}$  $\langle l \leq n(i) \rangle$  is a finite  $5\delta/8$  net in

$$A_i = \{x : x \in B_{X^*}, ||P_i x|| > 1 - \delta/4, \text{ and } (1) \text{ is not satisfied} \},$$

for some sequence of nonnegative real numbers  $\{\lambda_i : n(i-1) < l \le n(i)\}$ .

Now if  $x \in B_{X^*}$ ,  $||P_i x|| > 1 - \delta/4$ , and (1) is not satisfied then there is an index l,  $n(i-1) < l \le n(i)$ , such that  $||x - \lambda_l x_l|| < 5\delta/8$ . Thus

$$||x - \lambda_l x_l|| + \lambda_l < 5\delta/8 + 1 \le (1 + \delta)||x|| \quad \text{if } \delta \le \frac{1}{2}.$$

The above procedure inductively defines our sequence  $\{x_i: i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Our next task is to verify that

$$\sup\{|x_i(z)| : i \in \mathbb{N}\} > (1 - \varepsilon/24) ||z||$$

for all  $z \in X$ . This is equivalent to showing that

$$\overline{\operatorname{co}}\left(\left\{\pm x_i: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \cup \left\{0\right\}\right) \supset \left(1 - \varepsilon/24\right) B_{X^{\bullet}}.$$

It follows from (1) and (2) that if  $x \in B_{X^*}$  and  $||P_i x|| > 1 - \delta/4$  then there is an element  $z = \sum_{i=1}^{n(i)} \lambda_i x_i, \lambda_i > 0$ , such that

$$||x - z|| + \sum_{i=1}^{n(i)} \lambda_i < (1 + \delta) ||x||$$
 and  $\sum_{i=1}^{n(i)} \lambda_i > \tau$ .

Thus

$$||x - z|| < (1 + \delta)||x|| - \tau \le (1 + \delta - \tau)||x||.$$

Because  $\tau > \delta$ , we can construct a series  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i x_i$ , which converges to x in norm by imitating the standard proof of the open mapping theorem (e.g., [1, p. 56]). Consequently there exists a constant K such that

$$|x| = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i x_i = x, \beta_i \geqslant 0 \right\} \leqslant K ||x||,$$

for all  $x \in X^*$ .

We claim that we can choose  $K \le \tau(\tau - \delta)^{-1} = 24/(24 - \varepsilon)$  and that (3)

$$|x|<\tau(\tau-\delta)^{-1}||x||.$$

Suppose  $\rho > 0$ , K is minimal,  $|x| > K - \rho$ , and ||x|| = 1. There is an integer j such that  $||P_j x|| > 1 - \delta/4$  and thus by (1) or (2) there is an element  $z = \sum_{i=1}^{n(j)} \gamma_i x_i$ ,  $\gamma_i > 0$ , such that

$$||x - z|| + \sum_{i=1}^{n(j)} \gamma_i < 1 + \delta$$
 and  $\sum_{i=1}^{n(j)} \gamma_i > \tau$ .

Consequently

$$|x| + (K-1)\tau \le |x-z| + |z| + (K-1)\sum_{i=1}^{n(j)} \gamma_i$$

$$\le K||x-z|| + \sum_{i=1}^{n(j)} \gamma_i < K(1+\delta).$$

Hence  $K - \rho + (K - 1)\tau < K(1 + \delta)$ , for all  $\rho > 0$ , and  $K < \tau(\tau - \delta)^{-1}$ , as claimed. If  $K < \tau(\tau - \delta)^{-1}$ , (3) is obvious. If  $K = \tau(\tau - \delta)^{-1}$ , replacing K by  $\tau(\tau - \delta)^{-1}$  above yields

$$|x| + (\tau(\tau - \delta)^{-1} - 1)\tau < \tau(\tau - \delta)^{-1}(1 + \delta)$$

or  $|x| < \tau(\tau - \delta)^{-1}$ , proving (3).

Our final task is to show that there are elements  $\{w_i: i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset (\varepsilon/16)B_{X^{\bullet}}$  such that  $w^* \lim(x_i - w_i) = 0$ . Then we can let  $x_i' = x_i - w_i$  and

$$\sup\{|x_i'(z)|: i \in \mathbb{N}\} > \sup\{|x_i(z)|: i \in \mathbb{N}\} - (\varepsilon/16)\|z\|$$
$$> (1 - \varepsilon/24)\|z\| - (\varepsilon/16)\|z\|$$
$$= (1 - 5\varepsilon/48)\|z\| > (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}\|z\|$$

(if  $\varepsilon < 1$ ).

Let x be a  $w^*$  cluster point of  $\{x_i: i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and for notational convenience assume that  $w^* \lim x_i = x$ . We claim that  $||x|| \le \varepsilon/16$ . From (3) it follows that there is a sequence  $\{\lambda_i: i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of nonnegative real numbers such that

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i x_i \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\| \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i < \tau (\tau - \delta)^{-1} \|x\|.$$

Suppose  $||x|| > \varepsilon/16$ . Let

$$a = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i\right)^{-1} \varepsilon / 16 < 1,$$

and consider

$$||x_j - ax|| + a \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

For any  $\rho > 0$  there is a sufficiently large integer  $j_0$  such that for all  $j > j_0$ ,

$$||x_j - ax|| < ||x_j|| - a||x|| + \rho.$$

Thus, if  $\rho < \delta - (1 - ||x||(\Sigma \lambda_i)^{-1})\varepsilon/16$ ,

$$||x_{j} - ax|| + a \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{i} < ||x_{j}|| - a||x|| + \rho + a \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{i}$$

$$< ||x_{j}|| - \left(||x|| \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{i}\right)^{-1} - 1\right) \frac{\varepsilon}{16} + \rho$$

$$< ||x_{j}|| + \delta = (1 + \delta)||x_{j}||,$$

for all large j. Clearly we can replace x by some approximate  $\sum_{i=1}^{i_0} \lambda_i x_i$  to get that, for sufficiently large j,

$$\left\|x_{j}-a'\sum_{i=1}^{i_{0}}\lambda_{i}x_{i}\right\|+a'\sum_{i=1}^{i_{0}}\lambda_{i}<(1+\delta)\|x_{j}\|$$

where

$$a' = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{i_0} \lambda_i\right)^{-1} \frac{\varepsilon}{16} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{i_0} \lambda_i\right)^{-1} \tau.$$

This contradicts the fact that  $x_j \in A_m$  if  $i_0 < n(m-1) < j < n(m)$ , and therefore  $||x|| < \varepsilon/16$ .

For each i let  $w_i$  be a  $w^*$  cluster point of  $\{x_i: i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $\bar{d}(x_i, w_i) < i^{-1} + \inf\{\bar{d}(x_i, w): w \text{ is a } w^* \text{ cluster point of } \{x_i: i \in \mathbb{N}\}\}$  where  $\bar{d}(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a translation invariant metric compatible with the  $w^*$  topology on  $B_{X^*}$ . Clearly  $w^* \lim(x_i - w_i) = 0$ , completing the proof.

## REFERENCES

- 1. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwarz, *Linear operators*. I: General theory, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 7, Interscience, New York, 1958.
- 2. W. B. Johnson and M. Zippin, Subspaces of  $(\Sigma G_n)_{\downarrow}$  and  $(\Sigma G_n)_{co}$ . Israel J. Math. 17 (1974), 50-55.
- 3. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, Classical Banach spaces, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 338, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1973.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139