# THE INFIMUM OF SMALL SUBHARMONIC FUNCTIONS 

## P. C. FENTON

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { AbSTRACT. Suppose that } u \text { is subharmonic in the plane and that, for some } \\
& p>1, \underline{\lim }_{r \rightarrow \infty} B(r) /(\log r)^{p}=\sigma<\infty \text {. It is shown that, given } \varepsilon>0 \text {, } \\
& \quad A(r)>B(r)-(\sigma+\varepsilon) \operatorname{Re}\left\{(\log r)^{p}-(\log r+i \pi)^{p}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $r$ outside an exceptional set $E$, where

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(\log r)^{p-1}} \int_{E \cap[1, r]} \frac{(p-1)(\log t)^{p-2}}{t} d t<\frac{\sigma}{\sigma+\varepsilon}
$$

1. Introduction. Let $u(z)$ be subharmonic in the plane and define $B(r)=$ $\max _{|z|-r} u(z), A(r)=\inf _{|z|=r} u(z)$. The purpose of this note is to prove

Theorem. Let $p>1$ be given and suppose that $u(z)$ is subharmonic in the plane and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(r)}{(\log r)^{p}}=\sigma<\infty \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, given $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(r)>B(r)-(\sigma+\varepsilon) \operatorname{Re}\left\{(\log r)^{p}-(\log r+i \pi)^{p}\right\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r$ outside a set $E$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(\log r)^{p-1}} \int_{E \cap[1, r]} \frac{(p-1)(\log t)^{p-2}}{t} d t \leqslant \frac{\sigma}{\sigma+\varepsilon} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term $\operatorname{Re}\left\{(\log r)^{p}-(\log r+i \pi)^{p}\right\}$ is $\frac{1}{2} \pi^{2} p(p-1)(\log r)^{p-2}(1+o(1))$ when $r$ is large, and in this form (1.2) should be compared with Theorem 4 of [1], together with Barry's remarks in [1, §7.4]. The inequality is evidently sharp as can be seen from $u(z)=\operatorname{Re}(\log z)^{p}$ (modified slightly in a disc about 0 ). The case $p=1$ in (1.1) is considered separately in $\S 4$.

If $u(z)$ is subharmonic in the plane then, from the Riesz representation theorem, there exists a unique nonnegative measure $\mu$ defined on all bounded, Borel-measurable subsets of the plane such that, if $R$ is a given positive number,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z)=h_{R}(z)+\int_{|\zeta|<R} \log \left|1-\frac{z}{\zeta}\right| d \mu_{\zeta} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|z|<R$. Here $h_{R}(z)$ is harmonic in $|z|<R$. Actually to obtain (1.4) it is assumed that $u$ is harmonic at 0 but this may be achieved in the usual way by replacing $u$ in a small disc about 0 by the Poisson integral of its boundary
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values on the disc. No loss of generality is entailed since we are concerned with $u(z)$ only when $|z|$ is large. In what follows we shall assume, without loss of generality, that $u(0)=0$.

In [2] Barry has put into subharmonic form results derived by Kjellberg ([4, pp. 190-192]) in the case $u(z)=\log |f(z)|$, where $f$ is an entire function. Some of these are as follows.

Set $\mu^{*}(t)=\mu(|\zeta|<t)$ and define

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{1}(z, R)=\int_{|\zeta|<R} \log \left|1-\frac{z}{\zeta}\right| d \mu_{\zeta},  \tag{1.5}\\
u_{2}(z, R)=\int_{|\zeta|<R} \log \left|1+\frac{z}{|\zeta|}\right| d \mu_{\zeta}=\int_{0}^{R} \log \left|1+\frac{z}{t}\right| d \mu^{*}(t), \tag{1.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{3}(z, R)=u(z)-u_{1}(z, R) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, with $B_{j}(r, R)=\max _{|z|-r} u_{j}(z, R), \quad A_{j}(r, R)=\inf _{|z|=r} u_{j}(z, R), j=$ 1, 2, 3,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}(r, R) \leqslant A_{1}(r, R) \leqslant B_{1}(r, R) \leqslant B_{2}(r, R) . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{3}(r, R) \leqslant \frac{4 r}{R} B(2 R), \quad A_{3}(r, R) \geqslant-\frac{4 r}{R} B(2 R) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<r<\frac{1}{2} R$. From (1.9) it follows that, for $u(z)$ satisfying (1.1), $u_{1}(z, R)$ converges uniformly to $u(z)$ on bounded sets as $R \rightarrow \infty$ through a sequence.

Finally we note the subharmonic analogue of Jensen's Theorem [3, p. 473]: for $r>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{r} \log \frac{r}{t} d \mu^{*}(t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} u\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) d \theta \leqslant B(r) . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. A Lemma. We prove the following Lemma which, though very straightforward, is in fact central to the proof of the Theorem.

Lemma. Let $R_{1}, R_{2}$ and $R$ be positive numbers satisfying $R_{1}<R_{2}<R$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(R_{1}, R_{2}, R\right)=\int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \frac{A_{2}(t, R)-B_{2}(t, R)}{t} d t \geqslant-\frac{1}{2} \pi^{2} \mu^{*}(R)\left(1+\frac{R_{2}}{R}\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On integrating $z^{-1} \log (1-z / t)$ around a semiannulus in the upper half-plane we obtain, for any positive $t$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}}\{\log \mid 1- & \left.\frac{s}{t} \left\lvert\,-\log \left(1+\frac{s}{t}\right)\right.\right\} \frac{d s}{s} \\
& =\int_{0}^{\pi} \operatorname{Arg}\left(1-\frac{R_{2}}{t} e^{i \theta}\right) d \theta-\int_{0}^{\pi} \operatorname{Arg}\left(1-\frac{R_{1}}{t} e^{i \theta}\right) d \theta \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating both sides with respect to $\mu^{*}(t)$ from 0 to $R$ and inverting the order of integration (which is justified since all three integrands are nonposi-
tive) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
I\left(R_{1}, R_{2}, R\right)= & \int_{0}^{\pi} d \theta \int_{0}^{R} \operatorname{Arg}\left(1-\frac{R_{2}}{t} e^{i \theta}\right) d \mu^{*}(t) \\
& -\int_{0}^{\pi} d \theta \int_{0}^{R} \operatorname{Arg}\left(1-\frac{R_{1}}{t} e^{i \theta}\right) d \mu^{*}(t) \\
= & I_{2}-I_{1} . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Integration by parts yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{R} \operatorname{Arg}(1- & \left.\frac{R_{2}}{t} e^{i \theta}\right) d \mu^{*}(t) \\
& =\mu^{*}(R) \operatorname{Arg}\left(1-\frac{R_{2}}{R} e^{i \theta}\right)-\int_{0}^{R} \mu^{*}(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \operatorname{Arg}\left(1-\frac{R_{2}}{t} e^{i \theta}\right) d t \\
& =\mu^{*}(R) \operatorname{Arg}\left(1-\frac{R_{2}}{R} e^{i \theta}\right)-\int_{0}^{R} \mu^{*}(t) \frac{R_{2} \sin \theta}{t^{2}+R_{2}^{2}-2 t R_{2} \cos \theta} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus

$$
I_{2}=\mu^{*}(R) \int_{0}^{\pi} \operatorname{Arg}\left(1-\frac{R_{2}}{R} e^{i \theta}\right) d \theta-\int_{0}^{R} \frac{\mu^{*}(t)}{t} \log \left|\frac{t+R_{2}}{t-R_{2}}\right| d t
$$

There is a similar expression for $I_{1}$.
Now

$$
\operatorname{Arg}\left(1-\frac{R_{2}}{R} e^{i \theta}\right) \geqslant-\operatorname{Arcsin} \frac{R_{2}}{R} \geqslant-\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{R_{2}}{R}
$$

and also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{R} \frac{\mu^{*}(t)}{t} \log \left|\frac{t+R_{2}}{t-R_{2}}\right| d t & \leqslant \mu^{*}(R) \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-1} \log \left|\frac{t+R_{2}}{t-R_{2}}\right| d t \\
& =\mu^{*}(R) \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-1} \log \left|\frac{t+1}{t-1}\right| d t \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \pi^{2} \mu^{*}(R) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(The value of the integral follows on taking limits as $R_{1} \rightarrow 0$ and $R_{2} \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.2).) Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2} \geqslant-\frac{1}{2} \pi^{2} \mu^{*}(R)\left(1+\frac{R_{2}}{R}\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand $I_{1}<0$, and the Lemma follows.
3. Proof of the Theorem. From (1.8), (1.9) and the Lemma we deduce that, for $R_{2}<\frac{1}{2} R$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R_{1}}^{R_{2}} \frac{A(t)-B(t)}{t} d t \geqslant-\frac{1}{2} \pi^{2} \mu^{*}(R)\left(1+\frac{R_{2}}{R}\right)-\frac{8 R_{2}}{R} B(2 R) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, with $\psi(t)=\operatorname{Re}\left\{(\log t)^{p}-(\log t+i \pi)^{p}\right\}$ and $R_{1}=1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{1}^{R_{2}} & \frac{A(t)-B(t)+\sigma \psi(t)}{t} d t \\
& \geqslant \frac{\sigma}{p+1} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(\log R_{2}\right)^{p+1}-\left(\log R_{2}+i \pi\right)^{p+1}\right\} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \pi^{2} \mu^{*}(R)\left(1+\frac{R_{2}}{R}\right)-\frac{8 R_{2}}{R} B(2 R)+O(1) \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

We choose $R$ so that the second and third terms of the right-hand side of (3.2) are small. This is done as follows. Given $\eta>0$, we can find arbitrarily large values of $r$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{r} \frac{\mu^{*}(t)}{t} d t \leqslant B(r) \leqslant(\sigma+\eta)(\log r)^{p}
$$

Suppose that $\mu^{*}(t)>p(\sigma+2 \eta)(\log t)^{p-1}$ for $r^{\prime} \leqslant t \leqslant r$. Then

$$
(\sigma+2 \eta)\left\{(\log r)^{p}-\left(\log r^{\prime}\right)^{p}\right\} \leqslant \int_{r^{\prime}}^{r} \frac{\mu^{*}(t)}{t} d t \leqslant(\sigma+\eta)(\log r)^{p}
$$

from which it follows that $r^{\prime} \geqslant r^{\nu}$, where $\nu=(\eta /(\sigma+2 \eta))^{1 / p}$. Also

$$
B\left(r^{\prime}\right) \leqslant B(r) \leqslant(\sigma+\eta)(\log r)^{p} \leqslant \frac{(\sigma+\eta)(\sigma+2 \eta)}{\eta}\left(\log r^{\prime}\right)^{p}
$$

It is thus possible to find arbitrarily large values of $r$ at which

$$
\mu^{*}(r) \leqslant p(\sigma+2 \eta)(\log r)^{p-1} \quad \text { and } \quad B(r) \leqslant \frac{(\sigma+2 \eta)^{2}}{\eta}(\log r)^{p}
$$

and we choose $R$ so that $2 R$ is one such value. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu^{*}(R) \leqslant \mu^{*}(2 R) \leqslant p(\sigma+2 \eta+o(1))(\log R)^{p-1} \text { and } \\
B(2 R) \leqslant c(\log 2 R)^{p}, \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $c=(\sigma+2 \eta)^{2} / \eta$.
Returning to (3.2) and making use of (3.3) we have, for $R_{2} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} R$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left(R_{2}\right)= & \int_{1}^{R_{2}} \frac{A(t)-B(t)+\sigma \psi(t)}{t} d t \\
\geqslant & \frac{\sigma}{p+1} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(\log R_{2}\right)^{p+1}-\left(\log R_{2}+i \pi\right)^{p+1}\right\} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \pi^{2} p(\sigma+2 \eta+o(1))(\log R)^{p-1}\left(1+\frac{R_{2}}{R}\right) \\
& -\frac{8 c R_{2}}{R}(\log 2 R)^{p}+O(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now set $R_{2}=R^{1-\alpha}$, where $\alpha>0$ is fixed. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left(R_{2}\right) \geqslant & \frac{1}{2} \pi^{2} p\left\{\sigma-(\sigma+2 \eta)(1-\alpha)^{1-p}+o(1)\right\}\left(\log R_{2}\right)^{p-1} \\
& -8 c R_{2}^{-\alpha /(1-\alpha)}(1-\alpha)^{-p}\left(\log R_{2}\right)^{p}(1+o(1))+O(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since we may take $\eta>0$ and $\alpha>0$ as small as we please we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{J(r)}{(\log r)^{p-1}} \geqslant 0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose now that (1.2) is false for $r$ in a set $E$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(r) & \leqslant-\varepsilon \int_{E \cap[1, r]} \frac{\psi(t)}{t} d t+\sigma \int_{\sim E \cap[1, r]} \frac{\psi(t)}{t} d t \\
& =-(\sigma+\varepsilon) \int_{E \cap[1, r]} \frac{\psi(t)}{t} d t+\sigma \int_{1}^{r} \frac{\psi(t)}{t} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also $\psi(t)=\frac{1}{2} \pi^{2} p(p-1)(\log t)^{p-2}(1+o(1))$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{J(r)}{(\log r)^{p-1}} \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \pi^{2} p \varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\sigma-(\sigma+\varepsilon) \frac{1}{(\log r)^{p-1}} \int_{E \cap[1, r]}(p-1) \frac{(\log t)^{p-2}}{t} d t\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing this with (3.4) we deduce that

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(\log r)^{p-1}} \int_{E \cap[1, r]}(p-1) \frac{(\log t)^{p-2}}{t} d t \leqslant \frac{\sigma}{\sigma+\varepsilon}
$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem.
4. The case $p=1$. When $p=1$ in (1.1) we have on a sequence of $r$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{r} \frac{\mu^{*}(t)}{t} d t \leqslant B(r)=O(\log r) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $\mu^{*}(r)$ is bounded on a sequence and thus bounded (since $\mu^{*}$ is nondecreasing), so that in fact (4.1) holds for all large $r$. We may thus appeal to Theorem 12 of [1] to deduce that, if $h(r)$ is positive and continuous for $r \geqslant c>0$ and such that

$$
\int_{c}^{\infty} \frac{h(t)}{t} d t
$$

is divergent, then

$$
A(r)>B(r)-h(r)
$$

for certain arbitrarily large values of $r$. The same result may be obtained from (3.1).

## References

1. P. D. Barry, The minimum modulus of small integral and subharmonic functions, Proc. London Math. Soc. 12 (1962), 445-495.
2. $\qquad$ , On a theorem of Kjellberg, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 15 (1964), 179-191.
3. W. K. Hayman, The minimum modulus of large integral functions, Proc. London Math. Soc. 2 (1952), 469-512.
4. Bo Kjellberg, On the minimum modulus of entire functions of lower order less than one, Math. Scand. 8 (1960), 189-197.

[^0]:    Received by the editors June 20, 1978 and, in revised form, December 14, 1978.

