

## THERE ARE NO $Q$ -POINTS IN LAVER'S MODEL FOR THE BOREL CONJECTURE

ARNOLD W. MILLER

**ABSTRACT.** It is shown that it is consistent with ZFC that no nonprincipal ultrafilter on  $\omega$  is a  $Q$ -point (also called a rare ultrafilter).

All ultrafilters are assumed to be nonprincipal and on  $\omega$ .

**DEFINITIONS.** (1)  $U$  is a  $Q$ -point (also called rare [C]) iff  $\forall f \in \omega^\omega$  if  $f$  is finite-to-one then  $\exists X \in U, f \upharpoonright X$  is one-to-one.

(2)  $U$  is a  $P$ -point iff  $\forall f \in \omega^\omega, \exists X \in U, f \upharpoonright X$  is constant or finite-to-one.

(3)  $U$  is a semi- $Q$ -point (also called rapid [C], iff  $\forall f \in \omega^\omega, \exists g \in \omega^\omega, \forall n f(n) < g(n)$  and  $g''\omega \in U$ .

(4)  $U$  is semiselective iff it is a  $P$ -point and a semi- $Q$ -point.

(5) For  $f, g \in \omega^\omega, [f < g$  iff  $\exists n \forall m > n (f(m) < g(m))]$ .

(6) For  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \omega^\omega, [\mathcal{F}$  is dominant iff  $\forall f \in \omega^\omega \exists g \in \mathcal{F} (f < g)]$ .

**THEOREM 1 (KETONEN [Ke]).** *If every dominant family has cardinality  $2^{\aleph_0}$ , then there exists a  $P$ -point.*

**THEOREM 2 (MATHIAS, TAYLOR [M3]).** *If there exists a dominant family of cardinality  $\aleph_1$ , then there exists a  $Q$ -point.*

Kunen [Ku1] showed that adding  $\aleph_2$  random reals to a model of ZFC + GCH gives a model with no semiselective ultrafilters. More recently he showed [Ku2] that if one first adds  $\aleph_1$  Cohen reals (then the random reals) then the resulting model has a  $P$ -point. In either case one has a dominant family of size  $\aleph_1$  so there is a  $Q$ -point.

**THEOREM 3.** *The following are equivalent:*

(1)  $U$  is a semi- $Q$ -point.

(2) Given  $P_n \subseteq \omega$  finite for  $n < \omega$  there exists  $X \in U$  such that  $\forall n, |X \cap P_n| < n$ .

(3)  $\exists h \in \omega^\omega$  such that given  $P_n \subseteq \omega$  finite for  $n < \omega$  there exists  $X \in U$  such that  $\forall n, |X \cap P_n| \leq h(n)$ .

**PROOF.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $f(n) = \sup(\bigcup_{m \leq n} P_m) + 1$ . Suppose that for all  $n, g(n) > f(n)$ ; then  $P_n \cap g''\omega \subseteq \{g(0), \dots, g(n-1)\}$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Assume  $f$  increasing. Choose  $n_0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ , so that  $h(k+1) < n_k$ . Let  $P_k = f(n_k)$  and let  $Y \in U$  so that  $|Y \cap P_k| \leq h(k)$ . Then, for each  $m \geq n_0, |Y \cap f(m)| < m$ , since if  $n_k \leq m < n_{k+1}$  then

---

Received by the editors April 3, 1978.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 02K05.

© 1980 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/80/0000-0023/\$02.00

$$|Y \cap f(n_{k+1})| \leq h(k+1) < n_k \leq m.$$

Hence if  $g \in \omega^\omega$  enumerates  $Y - f(n_0 + 1)$  in increasing order then  $\forall n, f(n) < g(n)$ .  $\square$

Define  $U \times V = \{A \subseteq \omega \times \omega: \{n: \{m: (n, m) \in A\} \in V\} \in U\}$ . Whilst  $U \times V$  is never a  $P$ -point or a  $Q$ -point, nevertheless:

**THEOREM 4.**  $U \times V$  is a semi- $Q$ -point iff  $V$  is a semi- $Q$ -point.

**PROOF.** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Given  $P_k \subseteq \omega$  finite let  $P_k^* = \{\langle n, m \rangle: m \in P_k \text{ and } n \leq m\}$ . Choose  $Z \in U \times V$  so that  $\forall k, |Z \cap P_k^*| \leq k$ . Let  $n \in \omega$  so that  $Y = \{m \geq n: (n, m) \in Z\} \in V$  then  $\forall k, |Y \cap P_k| \leq k$ . (More generally if  $f_* U = V$  and  $U$  is a semi- $Q$ -point and  $f$  is finite-to-one then  $V$  is a semi- $Q$ -point.)

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Given  $P_k \subseteq \omega^2$  finite, choose  $n_k$  increasing so that  $P_k \subseteq n_k^2$ . Let  $Y \in V$  so that  $\forall k, |n_k \cap Y| \leq k$ . Let  $Z = \bigcup_{k < \omega} \{k\} \times \{m: m \in Y \text{ and } m \geq n_k\}$  then

$$Z \cap P_k \subseteq Z \cap n_k^2 \subseteq k \times (n_k \cap Y)$$

which has cardinality  $\leq (k+1)^2$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 5.** In Laver's model  $N$  for the Borel conjecture [L] there are no semi- $Q$ -points.

**PROOF.** Some definitions from [L]:

(1)  $T \in \mathcal{F}$  iff  $T$  is a subtree of  $\omega^{<\omega}$  with the property that there exist  $s \in T$  (called stem  $T$ ) so that  $\forall t \in T, t \subseteq s$  or  $s \subseteq t$ , and if  $t \supseteq s$  and  $t \in T$  then there are infinitely many  $n \in \omega$  such that  $t \hat{\smallfrown} \langle n \rangle \in T$ .

(2)  $\hat{T} \geq T$  iff  $\hat{T} \subseteq T$ .

(3)  $T_s = \{t \in T: s \subseteq t \text{ or } t \subseteq s\}$ .

(4)  $T^0 \geq \hat{T}$  iff  $T \geq \hat{T}$  and they have the same stem.

(5) For  $x < y < \omega$  let  $[x, y) = \{n < \omega: x \leq n < y\}$ .

**LEMMA 1.** Suppose we are given  $T \in \mathcal{F}$  and finite sets  $F_s$  for each  $s \in T - \{\emptyset\}$  such that for each  $s \in T - \{\emptyset\}$ :

(a) if  $s = (k_0, \dots, k_n, k_{n+1})$ , then  $F_s \subseteq [k_n, k_{n+1})$ ;

(b) if  $s = \langle n \rangle$ , then  $F_s \subseteq [0, n)$ ;

(c)  $\exists N < \omega \forall t$  immediately below  $s$  in  $T |F_t| \leq N$ . For any  $\hat{T} \geq T$  let  $H_{\hat{T}} = \bigcup \{F_s: s \in \hat{T}\}$ . Then  $\exists T^1, T^0 \geq T$  such that  $H_{T^0} \cap H_{T^1}$  is finite.

**PROOF.** We may as well assume that the stem of  $T$  is  $\emptyset$ . Given  $Q$  any infinite family of sets of cardinality  $< N < \omega$  there exists  $G, |G| < N, \exists \hat{Q} \subseteq Q$  infinite so that  $\forall F, \hat{F} \in \hat{Q}, F \cap \hat{F} \subseteq G$  (i.e., a  $\Delta$ -system). Now trim  $T$  to obtain  $\hat{T} \geq T$  so that  $\forall s \in T, \exists G_s \subseteq [k_n, \omega]$  finite ( $s = (k_0, \dots, k_n)$ ) and for all  $t, \hat{t}$  immediately below  $s$  in  $\hat{T}, (F_t \cap F_{\hat{t}}) \subseteq G_s$ . Build two sequences of finite subtrees of  $\hat{T}$ :

$$T_n^0 \subseteq T_{n+1}^0 \cdots, \quad T_n^1 \subseteq T_{n+1}^1 \cdots$$

so that

$$\left[ \bigcup_{s \in T_n^0} (F_s \cup G_s) \right] \cap \left[ \bigcup_{s \in T_n^1} (F_s \cup G_s) \right] \subseteq G_\emptyset$$

and  $\bigcup_{n < \omega} T_n^i = T^i \supset \hat{T}$  for  $i = 0, 1$ .

This is done as follows: Suppose we have  $T_n^0, T_n^1$  and we are presented with  $s \in T_n^0$  and asked to add an immediate extension of  $s$  to  $T_n^0$ . Then since  $\{F_t - G_s : t \text{ immediately below } s \text{ in } \hat{T}\}$  is a family of disjoint sets and  $G_t \subseteq [k_n, \omega]$  where  $t = (k_0, \dots, k_n)$  we can find infinitely many  $t$  immediately below  $s$  in  $\hat{T}$  so that

$$[(F_t - G_s) \cup G_t] \cap \left[ \bigcup_{s \in T_n^1} (F_s \cup G_s) \right] = \emptyset. \quad \square$$

The above is a double fusion argument.

Some more definitions from [L]:

(1) Fix a natural  $\omega$ -ordering of  $\omega^{<\omega}$  and for any  $T \in \mathcal{F}$  transfer it to  $\{t \in T : \text{stem } T \subseteq t\}$  in a canonical fashion.  $T\langle n \rangle$  denotes the  $n$ th element of  $\{t \in T : \text{stem } T \subseteq t\}$ .

(2)  $\hat{T}^n \geq T$  iff  $\hat{T} \geq T$  and  $\forall i \geq n, \hat{T}\langle i \rangle = T\langle i \rangle$ .

(3) The p.o.  $\mathbf{P}_{\omega_2}$  is the  $\omega_2$  iteration of  $\mathcal{F}$  with countable support ( $p \restriction_\alpha \Vdash "p(\alpha) \in \mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{M}[G_\alpha]}"$  for all  $\alpha$  and  $\text{supp}(p) = \{\alpha : p(\alpha) \neq \omega^{<\omega}\}$  is countable).

(4) For  $K$  finite and  $n < \omega$ ,  $p_K^n \geq q$  iff  $[p \geq q \text{ and } \forall \alpha \in K, p \restriction_\alpha \Vdash "p(\alpha) \geq q(\alpha)"]$ .

LEMMA 2. Let  $f$  be a term denoting the first Laver real and  $\tau$  any term. If  $p \in \mathbf{P}_{\omega_2}$  and  $p \Vdash "\tau \in \omega^\omega, \forall n (f(n) < \tau(n)) \text{ and } \tau \text{ increasing}"$  then  $\exists Z_0, Z_1$  such that  $Z_0 \cap Z_1$  is finite and  $\exists p_0, p_1 \geq p$  such that  $p_i \Vdash "\tau \omega \subseteq Z_i"$  for  $i = 0, 1$ .

PROOF. Construct a sequence  $p \leq_{K_0}^0 p_n \leq_{K_n}^0 p_{n+1}$  so that  $\bigcup_{n < \omega} K_n = \bigcup_{n < \omega} \text{supp}(p_n)$  and  $0 \in K_0$ . Having gotten  $p_n$ , let  $s = (k_0, \dots, k_m)$  be  $p_n(0)\langle n \rangle$ . Fix  $t = (k_0, \dots, k_m, k_{m+1})$  in  $p_n(0)$ . Then for each  $i \leq m+1$ ,

$$p_i = \langle p_n(0)_i \cap p_n \restriction [1, \omega_2] \rangle \Vdash "\tau(i) \geq k_{m+1} \text{ or } \forall l < k_{m+1} \tau(i) = l".$$

Hence by applying Lemma 6 of [L]  $m+2$  many times we can find  $q_{tK_n}^n \geq p_t$  and  $F_t \subseteq [k_m, k_{m+1}]$  such that  $|F_t| \leq (m+2)(n+1)^{|K_n|}$  and  $q_t \Vdash "\tau''\omega \cap [k_m, k_{m+1}] \subseteq F_t"$ . (Note  $p_t \Vdash "\forall i \geq m+1, \tau(i) > k_{m+1}"$ ). Let  $p_{n+1}(0) = (p_n(0) - p_n(0)_s) \cup \bigcup \{q_t(0) : t \text{ is immediately below } s \text{ in } p_n(0)\}$ . Let  $p_{n+1} \restriction [1, \omega_2]$  be a term denoting  $q_t \restriction [1, \omega_2]$  if  $q_t(0)$  or  $p_n \restriction [1, \omega_2]$  if  $p_n(0) - \{t : s \subseteq t\}$ . Hence  $p_{n+1} \restriction_{K_n}^n \geq p_n$ . Now let  $\hat{p}$  be the fusion of the sequence of  $p_n$  (see [L, Lemma 5]). Then for each  $t \in \hat{p}(0)$  if  $t = \langle k_0, \dots, k_m, k_{m+1} \rangle$  and  $t \supseteq \text{stem } \hat{p}(0)$ , then  $\langle \hat{p}(0)_i \cap \hat{p} \restriction [1, \omega_2] \rangle \Vdash "\tau''\omega \cap [k_n, k_{n+1}] \subseteq F_t"$ . For  $t \in \hat{p}(0)$  and  $t \not\supseteq \text{stem } \hat{p}(0)$  let  $F_t = k_{m+1}$ . Applying Lemma 1 obtain  $T_0, T_1 \geq \hat{p}(0)$ ,  $Z_0$  and  $Z_1$  such that  $Z_0 \cap Z_1$  is finite, and  $\langle T_i \cap p \restriction [1, \omega_2] \rangle \Vdash "\tau''\omega \subseteq Z_i"$  for  $i = 0, 1$ .  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 5. Suppose  $M[G_{\omega_2}] \models "U \text{ is a semi-}Q\text{-point}"$ . Applying an argument of Kunen's we get  $\alpha < \omega_2$  such that  $U \cap M[G_\alpha] \in M[G_\alpha]$ . ( $M[G_\beta] \models "CH"$  for all  $\beta < \omega_2$  so construct using  $\omega_2$ -c.c.,  $\alpha_\lambda < \omega_2$  for  $\lambda < \omega_1$  so that  $\forall x \in M[G_{\alpha_\lambda}] \cap 2^\omega$ ,  $P_{\alpha_{\lambda+1}}$  decides " $x \in U$ ". Let  $\alpha = \sup \alpha_\lambda$ . Note  $M[G_\alpha] \cap 2^\omega = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M[G_\beta] \cap 2^\omega$  since  $\aleph_1$  is not collapsed.) By [L, Lemma 11] we may assume  $U \cap M \in M$ . But Lemma 2 clearly implies that for any  $V$  ult. in  $M$ ,  $M[G_{\omega_2}] \models "no \text{ extension of } V \text{ is a semi-}Q\text{-point}"$ .  $\square$

REMARKS. (1) A similar argument shows that in the model gotten by  $\omega_2$  iteration of Mathias forcing with countable support there are no semi- $Q$ -points. In fact, as Mathias later pointed out to me, the appropriate argument needed is an easy generalization of Theorem 6.9 of [M2].

(2) In [M1] Mathias shows  $[\omega \rightarrow (\omega)^\omega] \Rightarrow [\text{There are no rare filters or nonprincipal ultrafilters.}]$

(3) In neither the Laver or Mathias models are there small dominant families so by Ketonen [Ke] there is a  $P$ -point. Also it is easily shown no ultrafilter is generated by fewer than  $\aleph_2$  sets.

(4) Not long after the results of this paper were obtained, Shelah showed that it is consistent that no  $P$ -points exist [W]. In his model there is a dominant family of size  $\aleph_1$ , so there are  $Q$ -points. It remains open whether or not it is consistent that there are no  $P$ -points or  $Q$ -points.

CONJECTURE. Borel conjecture  $\Leftrightarrow$  there does not exist a semi- $Q$ -point.

#### REFERENCES

- [C] G. Choquet, *Deux classes remarquables d'ultrafiltres sur  $\mathbb{N}$* , Bull. Sci. Math. **92** (1968), 143–153.
- [Ke] J. Ketonen, *On the existence of  $P$ -points in the Stone-Čech compactification of integers*, Fund. Math. **62** (1976), 91.
- [Ku1] K. Kunen, *Some points in  $\beta\mathbb{N}$* , Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **80** (1976), 385–398.
- [Ku2] ———,  *$P$  pt's in random real extensions* (to appear).
- [L] R. Laver, *On the consistency of Borel's conjecture*, Acta Math. **137** (1976), 151–169.
- [M1] A. R. D. Mathias, *Remark on rare filters*, Infinite and Finite Sets, Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975.
- [M2] ———, *Happy families*, Ann. Math. Logic **12** (1977), 59–111.
- [M3] ———,  *$0^\#$  and the  $P$ -point problem* (to appear).
- [R] J. Roitman,  *$P$ -pts in iterated forcing extensions* (to appear).
- [W] E. Wimmers, *The Shelah  $P$ -point independence theorem*, Israel J. Math. (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON, WISCONSIN 53706