

## BORNOLOGICAL SPACES OF NON-ARCHIMEDEAN VALUED FUNCTIONS WITH THE COMPACT-OPEN TOPOLOGY

W. GOVAERTS<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $F$  be a field with nontrivial non-Archimedean valuation of rank one and let  $X$  be a zero-dimensional Hausdorff space. The vector space  $C(X, F)$  of all continuous functions from  $X$  into  $F$  is provided with the compact-open topology  $c$ . We prove that  $C(X, F, c)$  is bornological if and only if  $X$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -replete space.

**Introduction.** In this paper we prove the conjecture in Remark (3) of [2]. In [2] the reader may find some comments on ultraregular (zero-dimensional) spaces and  $\mathbf{Z}$ -replete spaces. Let  $\beta_0 X$  be the Banaschewski compactification of  $X$ , i.e. the essentially (up to homeomorphism) unique compact ultraregular space that contains  $X$  as a dense subspace and is such that disjoint clopen sets in  $X$  have disjoint closures in  $\beta_0 X$ . As in [1]  $v_0 X$  is the set of all  $x \in \beta_0 X$  such that for each sequence  $(V_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  of neighborhoods of  $x$  in  $\beta_0 X$ ,  $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty V_n \cap X \neq \emptyset$ . From Theorem 9 in [1] we know that  $v_0 X = v_{\mathbf{Z}} X$ .

The aim of this paper is to prove

**THEOREM 1.**  $C(X, F, c)$  is an  $F$ -bornological space if and only if  $X$  is  $\mathbf{Z}$ -replete.

The relatively easy "only if" part was obtained in [2, Remark 2]. So from now on we assume that  $X$  is a fixed ultraregular space with  $v_0 X = v_{\mathbf{Z}} X = X$  and we consider an absolutely convex subset  $S$  of  $C(X, F)$  that absorbs all  $c$ -bounded sets. We want to show that  $S$  is a  $c$ -neighborhood; without loss of generality we assume  $\emptyset \neq S \neq C(X, F)$ .

**DEFINITION 1.** If  $f \in C(X, F)$ , then  $B(f) = \{g \in C(X, F): |g(x)| \leq |f(x)| \text{ for all } x \in X\}$ .

Clearly,  $B(f)$  is an absolutely convex  $c$ -bounded set.

**DEFINITION 2.**  $\mathcal{Q} = \{A \subset X: A \text{ is clopen and there is a } \lambda \in F \setminus \{0\} \text{ with } B(\chi_A) \not\subseteq \lambda S\}$ .

Here  $\chi_A$  denotes the characteristic function of  $A$ ; we have  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{Q}$ .

**LEMMA 1** (slightly more general than [2, Lemma 1]). *If  $A \notin \mathcal{Q}$  and  $f \in C(X, F)$  with  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X \setminus A$ , then  $B(f) \subseteq \lambda S$  for all  $\lambda \in F \setminus \{0\}$ .*

---

Received by the editors September 25, 1978 and, in revised form, March 22, 1979.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 54D35, 46E10.

Key words and phrases. Non-Archimedean valued field, ultraregular space, bornological space, compact-open topology,  $\mathbf{Z}$ -replete space.

<sup>1</sup>"Aspirant" of the Belgian "Nationaal Fonds voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek".

© 1980 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/80/0000-0030/\$01.75

PROOF. Let  $\lambda \in F \setminus \{0\}$  be given. As  $B(f^2)$  is bounded, there is a  $\lambda_0 \in F$  such that  $|\lambda_0| > 1$  and  $B(f^2) \subseteq \lambda_0 \lambda S$ . Let  $W = \{x \in X: |f(x)| < |\lambda_0|\}$ , a clopen set. Then  $f = f \cdot \chi_W + f \cdot \chi_{X \setminus W} = f \cdot \chi_{A \cap W} + f \chi_{X \setminus W}$ .

As  $|f \chi_{A \cap W}| < |\lambda_0| \chi_A$  and  $A \notin \mathcal{Q}$ ,  $B(f \chi_{A \cap W}) \subseteq \lambda_0 B(\chi_A) \subseteq \lambda_0 \lambda_0^{-1} \lambda S = \lambda S$ . Hence as  $|f \chi_{X \setminus W}| < |\lambda_0^{-1} f^2|$ ,  $B(f) \subseteq B(f \chi_{A \cap W}) + B(f \chi_{X \setminus W}) \subseteq \lambda S + \lambda_0^{-1} \lambda_0 S \subseteq \lambda S$ .

LEMMA 2. If  $A \in \mathcal{Q}$ ,  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  with all  $A_i$  clopen, then there is an  $i$  with  $A_i \in \mathcal{Q}$ .

PROOF. Cf. [2, Lemma 2].

LEMMA 3. If  $(A_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is a family of disjoint clopen sets, and if  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  is clopen, then at most a finite number of  $A_i$  are in  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

PROOF. Cf. [2, Lemma 3]. Remark, however, that our case requires  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  to be a clopen set.

PROOF OF THE "IF" PART OF THEOREM 1. Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the family of all clopen subsets  $V$  of  $X$  such that  $X \setminus V \notin \mathcal{Q}$ . Then  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$  by Lemma 2. By Lemma 1,  $X \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{K}$ . Clearly, any clopen subset of  $X$  containing a member of  $\mathcal{K}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{K}$ . Finally, if  $V, W \in \mathcal{K}$ , then  $V \cap W \in \mathcal{K}$ , for as  $X \setminus (V \cap W) = (X \setminus V) \cup (X \setminus W)$ ,  $X \setminus (V \cap W) \notin \mathcal{Q}$  by Lemma 2.

Thus  $\mathcal{K}$  is a filter base of clopen sets. Let  $K$  be the adherence of  $\mathcal{K}$  in  $\beta_0 X$ . Thus  $K$  is a nonempty, compact subset of  $\beta_0 X$ . We shall show that  $K \subseteq v_0 X = X$ . Assume, on the contrary, that  $a \in K \setminus v_0 X$ . Then there is a decreasing sequence  $(V_n)_{n \geq 0}$  of clopen neighborhoods of  $a$  in  $\beta_0 X$  such that  $V_0 = \beta_0 X$  and  $(\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n) \cap X = \emptyset$ . Hence  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} ((V_n \setminus V_{n+1}) \cap X)$ .

By Lemma 3, there exists  $m \geq 0$  such that  $(V_n \setminus V_{n+1}) \cap X \notin \mathcal{Q}$  for all  $n \geq m$ . Consequently, as

$$V_m \cap X = \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} ((V_n \setminus V_{n+1}) \cap X), \quad V_m \cap X \notin \mathcal{Q},$$

by Lemma 2, whence  $X \setminus V_m \in \mathcal{K}$ . Thus  $a \in \overline{X \setminus V_m} \cap \overline{X \cap V_m}$  (closures in  $\beta_0 X$ ). However,  $X \setminus V_m$  and  $X \cap V_m$  are disjoint clopen sets in  $X$  so that their closures in  $\beta_0 X$  are disjoint. This contradiction establishes that  $K \subseteq v_0 X = X$ .

Now clearly  $K = \bigcap \mathcal{K}$ . As  $B(\chi_X)$  is bounded, there is a  $\lambda \neq 0$  such that  $B(\chi_X) \subseteq \lambda S$ . As  $K$  is compact, we need only show that if  $|f(x)| < |\lambda|^{-1}$  for all  $x \in K$ , then  $f \in S$ . Let  $W = \{x \in X: |f(x)| < |\lambda|^{-1}\}$ , a clopen subset of  $X$ . The closure  $\overline{W}$  of  $W$  in  $\beta_0 X$  is a neighborhood of  $K$ . Since  $(\bigcap \mathcal{K}) \cap (\beta_0 X \setminus \overline{W}) = \emptyset$  and  $\beta_0 X \setminus \overline{W}$  is compact, there is a  $V \in \mathcal{K}$  with  $V \subset \overline{W}$ . Hence  $V \subseteq W$  and  $X \setminus W \notin \mathcal{Q}$ . By Lemma 1 applied to  $X \setminus W$ ,  $B(f \cdot \chi_{X \setminus W}) \subseteq S$ , and  $B(f \cdot \chi_W) \subseteq B(\lambda^{-1} \chi_X) \subseteq S$ , so

$$B(f) \subseteq B(f \cdot \chi_{X \setminus W}) + B(f \cdot \chi_W) \subseteq S + S \subseteq S.$$

In particular,  $f \in S$ .

FINAL REMARKS. This paper was greatly improved and simplified thanks to the comments of Professor S. Warner. He also remarked that the principal result of [2] can be established in this way. Indeed, suppose that, in addition to the assumptions made on  $S$  in this paper,  $S$  absorbs all pointwisely bounded sets. It suffices to prove that  $K$  is finite. By Lemma 4 of [2],  $X = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_n$  where each  $A_i \in \mathcal{Q}$  and  $A_i$  is not the disjoint union of two members of  $\mathcal{Q}$ . We shall prove that  $K \cap A_i$  has at most one point. Suppose  $a, b \in K \cap A_i$ ,  $a \neq b$ . Let  $V$  be clopen such that  $a \in V$ ,  $b \in X \setminus V$ . Either  $A_i \cap V \notin \mathcal{Q}$  or  $A_i \setminus V \notin \mathcal{Q}$ . We may assume  $A_i \cap V \notin \mathcal{Q}$ . Then  $X \setminus (A_i \cap V) \in \mathcal{K}$  so that  $a \in K \subseteq X \setminus (A_i \cap V)$ , which is a contradiction.

#### REFERENCES

1. G. Bachman, E. Beckenstein, L. Narici and S. Warner, *Rings of continuous functions with values in a topological field*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **204** (1975), 91–112.
2. W. Govaerts, *Bornological spaces of non-Archimedean valued functions with the point-open topology*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 571–575.
3. S. Mrówka, *Further results on E-compact spaces*. I, Acta Math. **120** (1968), 161–185.

RIJKSUNIVERSITEIT GENT, SEMINARIE VOOR HOGERE ANALYSE, GALGLAAN 2, B-9000 GENT, BELGIUM