

A HUREWICZ-TYPE THEOREM FOR APPROXIMATE FIBRATIONS

D. S. CORAM AND P. F. DUVALL, JR.¹

ABSTRACT. This paper concerns conditions on point inverses which insure that a mapping between locally compact, separable, metric ANR's is an approximate fibration. Roughly a mapping is said to be π_i -movable [respectively, H_i -movable] provided that nearby fibers include isomorphically into mutual neighborhoods on π_i [resp. H_i]. An earlier result along this line is that π_i -movability for all i implies that a mapping is an approximate fibration. The main result here is that for a UV^1 mapping, π_i -movability for $i < k - 1$ plus H_k - and H_{k+1} -movability imply π_k -movability of the mapping. Hence a UV^1 mapping which is H_i -movable for all i is an approximate fibration. Also, if a UV^1 mapping is π_i -movable for $i < k$ and k is at least as large as the fundamental dimension of any point inverse, then it is an approximate fibration. Finally, a UV^1 mapping $f: M^m \rightarrow N^n$ between manifolds is an approximate fibration provided that f is π_i -movable for all $i < \max\{m - n, \frac{1}{2}(m - 1)\}$.

1. Introduction and statement of results. Given a proper surjective mapping $p: E \rightarrow B$ between locally compact, separable ANR's, we are interested in conditions on the point inverses which insure that p is an approximate fibration (definition below). Earlier results in this direction involve " UV " conditions on point inverses. The mapping $p: E \rightarrow B$ is said to be a k - uv [resp., k - UV] mapping provided that p is proper and surjective and for every b in B and every neighborhood U of $p^{-1}(b)$, there is a neighborhood V of $p^{-1}(b)$ in U such that the inclusion induced map $\tilde{H}_k(V) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_k(U)$ [resp. $\pi_k(V, e) \rightarrow \pi_k(U, e)$] is zero [for each base point e in V]. The notation uv^k [resp., UV^k] means i - uv [resp., i - UV] for all $i \leq k$. In the next section we define properties called π_k -movable and H_k -movable which generalize the " UV " properties by allowing nonzero images. One of the fundamental theorems on UV properties is the following Hurewicz-type theorem [L2, Theorem 4.2]. If $p: E \rightarrow B$ is a UV^{k-1} mapping and a k - uv mapping where $k \geq 2$, then p is a UV^k mapping. The purpose of this note is to prove an analogous theorem for movable mappings.

THEOREM A. *Let $p: E \rightarrow B$ be a mapping between locally compact separable ANR's. If p is UV^1 , π_i -movable for $i \leq k - 1$, and H_k - and H_{k+1} -movable where $k \geq 2$, then p is π_k -movable.*

Received by the editors December 12, 1978 and, in revised form, March 20, 1979.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 54C10; Secondary 54C55, 55C15, 55F65, 57A15.

Key words and phrases. Approximate fibration, UV property.

¹Research of both authors supported by N.S.F. contract.

© 1980 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/80/0000-0131/\$02.50

As an application, we give the following improvement of [CD2, Theorem 3.7] for UV^1 -mappings, and a result on mappings between manifolds which generalizes [L, Theorem 5.4].

THEOREM B. *If $p: E \rightarrow B$ is a UV^1 , π_i -movable map for $i \leq k$ and each fiber of p has fundamental dimension $\leq k$, then f is an approximate fibration.*

THEOREM C. *Let $f: M^m \rightarrow N^n$ be a UV^1 mapping between manifolds. If f is π_i -movable for all $i \leq k - 1$ where $k \geq \max\{m - n + 1, (m + 1)/2\}$, then f is an approximate fibration.*

We use the following terminology and notation in this paper. If $p: E \rightarrow B$ is a mapping, $b \in B$ or $U \subset B$, then the fiber $p^{-1}(b)$ is denoted by F_b and $p^{-1}(U)$ is denoted by \tilde{U} . Our usual homology and cohomology groups are singular, with integral coefficient groups. If λ is one of the usual homology, (cohomology) or homotopy functors, then $\check{\lambda}(X)$ denotes the inverse (direct) limit of $\lambda(U)$ as U ranges over the neighborhoods of X . An absolute neighborhood retract for metric spaces is abbreviated to ANR. A manifold is assumed to be connected and boundaryless.

2. Movability and lifting properties. Suppose that $p: E \rightarrow B$ is a proper surjective map. We say that p has the *approximate homotopy lifting property (AHLP)* for a space X if for each commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times \{0\} & \xrightarrow{g} & E \\ \cap & & \downarrow p \\ X \times I & \xrightarrow{H} & B \end{array}$$

and open cover α of B , there is an extension $G: X \times I \rightarrow E$ of g such that $p \circ G$ is α -close to H . We say that such a G is an α -lift of H . If p has the AHLP for all spaces X , p is an *approximate fibration*.

In [CD2], it was shown that the AHLP for polyhedra can be detected by a homotopy regularity condition on fibers, which we called k -movability. In this paper, it will be convenient to define movability in a slightly more general setting.

Let Λ_0 be the collection of functors $\{\pi_i, H_j | i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. If $\Lambda \subset \Lambda_0$, we say that p is Λ -movable provided that given $b \in B$ and any neighborhood U_0 of F_b , there exist open sets U and V with $F_b \subset V \subset U \subset U_0$ such that for each $F_c \subset V$, each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ (and each base point in F_c if relevant), the inclusion induced map sends $\check{\lambda}(F_c)$ isomorphically onto the image of $\lambda(V)$ in $\lambda(U)$. Given such U, V , we say that $\check{\lambda}(F_c)$ is *realized* as the image of $\lambda(V)$ in $\lambda(U)$.

Thus our earlier terminology, k -movable, is replaced in this paper by Λ -movable where $\Lambda = \{\pi_i | i \leq k\}$. Subject to this change the result from [CD2, Theorem 3.3] says that if E and B are ANR's and f is Λ -movable for $\Lambda = \{\pi_i | i \leq k\}$, then f has the AHLP for polyhedra of dimension $\leq k$.

We will need the following technical lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. Let Λ_1 and Λ_2 be subsets of Λ_0 . If $p: E \rightarrow B$ is Λ_1 -movable and Λ_2 -movable, then p is $(\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2)$ -movable. Furthermore, if B is a manifold, the open sets U and V may be chosen to be preimages of contractible sets.

PROOF. Given $b \in B$ and $U_0 \supset F_b$, choose open sets $U_1, V_1, U_2, V_2, U_3, V_3$ with $F_b \subset V_3 \subset U_3 \subset V_2 \subset U_2 \subset V_1 \subset U_1 \subset U_0$ such that for every $F_c \subset V_3$, $\check{\lambda}(F_c)$ is realized as the image of $\lambda(V_3)$ in $\lambda(U_3)$ and as the image of $\lambda(V_1)$ in $\lambda(U_1)$ and such that $\check{\mu}(F_c)$ is realized as the image of $\mu(V_2)$ in $\mu(U_2)$ for every $\mu \in \Lambda_2$. It is easy to check that if $U = U_2$ and $V = V_3$, $\check{\lambda}(F_c)$ is realized as the image of $\lambda(V)$ in $\lambda(U)$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2$.

For the second conclusion, given $b \in B$ and $U_0 \supset F_b$ choose open sets $V \subset V_2 \subset U_2 \subset U \subset V_1 \subset U_1$ such that $F_b \subset V$, $U_1 \subset U_0$, $\check{\lambda}(F_c)$ is realized as the image of $\lambda(V_i)$ in $\lambda(U_i)$ for each $F_c \subset V_i$ ($i = 1, 2$), and U and V are preimages of contractible sets.

In the next section, it will be convenient to assume that E and B are Q -manifolds. A natural device is to replace p by the map $p \times 1_Q: E \times Q \rightarrow B \times Q$ and appeal to Edwards' Theorem [E]. The reader can easily provide a proof for the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.2. If $p: E \rightarrow B$ is a proper map between ANR's, then for each of the properties P_i below, p has P_i if and only if $p \times 1_Q$ has P_i .

P_1 : Being an approximate fibration.

P_2 : Being λ -movable for some $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$.

P_3 : Having the AHLP for a space X .

LEMMA 2.3. Suppose that $p: E \rightarrow B$ is a map between ANR's and that p has the AHLP for polyhedra of dimension $\leq q$. If $V \subset U$ is a pair of open sets in Y , then $p_*: \pi_i(\tilde{U}, \tilde{V}) \rightarrow \pi_i(U, V)$ is an isomorphism for $i \leq q$ and is epic for $i = q + 1$.

The proof is a variation of a standard argument; see for example [S, Theorem 7.2.8], [L1, Corollary 2.4] and [L2, Lemma 1.2]. It uses [CD2, Lemma 1.2].

3. Proof of Theorem A. By Lemma 2.1 we may assume that both E and B are Q -manifolds. Thus, each point in B has arbitrarily small contractible open neighborhoods.

Let us say that p has property i -DUV provided that for each $b \in B$ and each neighborhood U_0 of F_b , there are neighborhoods $V \subset U$ of F_b in U_0 such that given any fiber F_c in V and any neighborhood W_0 of F_c in V , there are neighborhoods $X \subset W$ of F_c in W_0 such that the inclusion induced map $\nu_*: \pi_i(V, X) \rightarrow \pi_i(U, W)$ is the zero homomorphism for each base point. By Lemma 3.1 of [CD2], p has property i -DUV for $i \leq k - 1$. We wish to prove k -DUV and $(k + 1)$ -DUV.

Given $b \in B$ and a neighborhood U_0 of F_b , apply the hypotheses and Lemma 2.1 to choose $V \subset U$ satisfying the following properties.

- (i) $\check{\pi}_{k-1}F_c$ is realized as the image of $\pi_{k-1}V$ in $\pi_{k-1}U$ for each $F_c \subset V$,
- (ii) \check{H}_iF_c is realized as the image of H_iV in H_iU for each $F_c \subset V$ and $i = k, k + 1$, and

(iii) U and V are the preimages of contractible neighborhoods of b . Given a fiber $F_c \subset V$ and a neighborhood W_0 of F_c in V , apply the hypotheses and the above lemmas again to choose $X \subset W$ satisfying the following properties:

- (iv) $\tilde{\pi}_{k-1}F_c$ is realized as the image of $\pi_{k-1}X$ in $\pi_{k-1}U$,
- (v) \tilde{H}_iF_c is realized as the image H_iX in H_iW , for $i = k, k + 1$, and
- (vi) X and W are preimages of contractible neighborhoods of b .

Consider the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & \pi_k(V, X) & \longrightarrow & \pi_{k-1}X & \\
 & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi_{\#} & \\
 & & \pi_k V & \longrightarrow & \pi_k(V, W) & \longrightarrow & \pi_{k-1}W \xrightarrow{\chi_{\#}} \pi_{k-1}V \\
 & \swarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\
 & H_k V & & \pi_k(U, W) & & & \\
 & \downarrow \Psi_{\#} & & \swarrow h & & & \\
 H_k W & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{\#}\chi_{\#}} & H_k U & \longrightarrow & H_k(U, W) & &
 \end{array}$$

The horizontal rows are portions of exact sequences of pairs, the vertical arrows are inclusion-induced and the diagonal arrows are Hurewicz homomorphisms. By [L2, Lemma 5.1], (iii), and (vi), U and W are simply connected. Also by (iii) and (vi) and Lemma 2.3, $\pi_i(U, W) = 0$ for $i \leq k - 1$. Thus, by [S, p. 397] h is an isomorphism. By (i) and (iv) $\chi_{\#}|_{\text{im } \Phi_{\#}}$ is monic, and by (ii) and (v) $\text{im } \Psi_{\#}\chi_{\#} = \text{im } \Psi_{\#}$. It is now an easy "diagram chasing" argument to show that $\nu_{\#}$ is zero and, hence, we have k - DUV .

Consider next the following similar diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & \pi_{k+1}(V, X) & & & \\
 & & & \swarrow & & & \\
 & & H_{k+1}(V, X) & \longrightarrow & H_k(X) & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi_{\#} & & \\
 H_{k+1}(V) & \longrightarrow & H_{k+1}(V, W) & \longrightarrow & H_k(W) & \xrightarrow{\chi_{\#}} & H_k(V) \\
 & & \downarrow \Psi_{\#} & & & & \\
 H_{k+1}(W) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{\#}\chi_{\#}} & H_{k+1}(U) & \longrightarrow & H_{k+1}(U, W) & & \\
 & & & & \swarrow h & & \\
 & & & & \pi_{k+1}(U, W) & &
 \end{array}$$

Since the proof of Theorem 3.3 of [CD2] really uses only i - DUV (rather than i -movability as stated), we see that p has AHLF for I^i , $i < i \leq k$. As above this implies that $\pi_k(U, W) = 0$ and h is an isomorphism. Also $\chi_{\#}|_{\text{im } \Phi_{\#}}$ is monic and $\text{im } \Psi_{\#} = \text{im } \Psi_{\#}\chi_{\#}$ again. Hence $\nu_{\#}$ is zero and $(k + 1)$ - DUV results.

We now apply Theorem 3.3 of [CD2] again to see that p has AHLP for I^i , $i \leq k + 1$. Hence p is a π_k -movable map by Proposition 3.5 of [CD2], so the proof is finished.

COROLLARY. *Let $p: E \rightarrow B$ be a mapping between locally compact, separable metric ANR's. If p is UV^1 and H_i -movable for all i , then p is an approximate fibration.*

When Theorem A is compared to Lacher's Hurewicz-type theorem for UV properties [L2, Theorem 4.2], a discrepancy in the analogy is noticeable. There is no hypothesis in Lacher's theorem corresponding to the H_{k+1} -movable hypothesis in Theorem A. The reason for this difference is explained in the remark on page 51 of [CD2]. The extra hypothesis is necessary as the following example shows.

Let $f: S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ be the Hopf fibration (a generator of $\pi_3(S^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$), and let K be the complex obtained by attaching a 4-cell to the mapping cylinder M_f along S^3 by the identity. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{H}_i(K) &\cong 0, & i < 1, \\ H_2(K) &\cong \mathbb{Z} \cong \pi_2(K), \\ H_3(K) &\cong 0 \cong \pi_3(K), & \text{and} \\ H_4(K) &\cong \mathbb{Z}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\alpha: S^2 \rightarrow K$ be a generator of $\pi_2(K)$ and let $M_\alpha = (S^2 \times I \cup K)/\{(x, 1) = f(x)\}$ be the mapping cylinder of α . Define $p: M_\alpha \rightarrow I$ by a $p[(x, t)] = t$, $p(K) = 1$. Then p is a π_2 -movable map which is H_3 -movable and 1- UV , but p is not π_3 -movable, since $\pi_3(K) = 0$, $\pi_3(S^2) \neq 0$. Thus we cannot remove the assumption that p be H_{k+1} -movable in Theorem A.

4. Proofs of the applications.

PROOF OF THEOREM B. Since $Fd(F_b) \leq k$, $\check{H}^i(F_b) \cong 0$ for $i \geq k + 1$ and each $b \in B$. It follows from [L2, Theorem 3.1] that p is an i - $uv(Z)$ map for $i \geq k + 1$. Hence p is H_i -movable for $i \geq k + 1$. By Theorem A, p is π_i -movable for all i , so p is an approximate fibration by [CD2, Corollary 3.4].

LEMMA 4.1. *If $f: M^m \rightarrow N^n$ is a UV^1 , $\{\pi_i | i \leq k - 1\}$ -movable mapping between manifolds, then for each $y \in N$, $\check{H}^j(F_y) = 0$ for $j \geq \max\{m - k + 1, m - n + 1\}$.*

PROOF. For $n = 0, 1$, the result is contained in [LM, Theorem 1.3]. For $n \geq 2$, take $y \in N$ and $j \geq \max\{m - k + 1, m - n + 1\}$. If U is a Euclidean neighborhood of y , then U is simply connected and $\pi_{m-j}(U, U - y) = 0$. By Lemma 2.3, $\pi_{m-j}(\tilde{U}, \tilde{U} - F_y) = 0$. The relative Hurewicz theorem [S, p. 397] yields $H_{m-j}(\tilde{U}, \tilde{U} - F_y) = 0$. Since U is simply connected and f is UV^1 , \tilde{U} is simply connected [L2, Lemma 5.1] and thus orientable [S, p. 294]. Therefore duality [S, p. 296] can be applied to give $\check{H}^j(F_y) = 0$.

PROOF OF THEOREM C. Let $y \in N$. By the above lemma $\check{H}^j(F_y) = 0$ for all $j \geq \max\{m - k + 1, m - n + 1\}$. Since $k \geq m - n + 1$ and $k \geq (m + 1)/2$, $\max\{m - k + 1, m - n + 1\} \leq k$. Hence $\check{H}^j(F_y) = 0$ for all $j \geq k$. By [L, Theorem 3.1], f is a j - uv map for all $j \geq k$; and by Theorem A, f is $\{\pi_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ -movable. Hence f is an approximate fibration [CD2, Corollary 3.4].

REFERENCES

- [CD1] D. Coram and P. Duvall, *Approximate fibrations*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **7** (1977), 275–288.
- [CD2] ———, *Approximate fibrations and a movability condition for maps*, Pacific J. Math. **72** (1977), 41–56.
- [E] R. D. Edwards, *A locally compact ANR is a Hilbert cube manifold factor* (to appear).
- [L1] R. C. Lacher, *Cell-like mappings. I*, Pacific J. Math. **30** (1969), 717–731.
- [L2] R. C. Lacher, *Cellularity criteria for maps*, Michigan Math. J. **17** (1970), 385–396.
- [LM] R. C. Lacher and D. R. McMillan, *Partially acyclic mappings between manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **94** (1972), 246–266.
- [S] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA 74074