## ALGEBRAIC DEFORMATIONS AND TRIPLE COHOMOLOGY

## THOMAS F. FOX1

ABSTRACT. The fundamental theorems of algebraic deformation theory are shown to hold in the context of enriched triple cohomology. This unifies and generalizes the classical theory.

The fundamental results in algebraic deformation theory connect the low order cohomology groups of an algebra A with the existence of deformations of the algebra structure on A. The theory for associative algebras was initiated by Gerstenhaber in [6], where he also outlined techniques applicable to other special cases (see [7]). Here we give a unified treatment of the deformation theories for a broad class of algebra types using the enrichment over the category of coalgebras and triple cohomology (see [1] and [2]).

Let R be a commutative ring and let  $(T, \mu, \eta)$  be a triple on the category Mod-R. Recall that a T-algebra structure on an R-module A is given by a map  $\alpha: AT \to A$  satisfying the equations:

$$(\alpha)T \cdot \alpha = \mu \cdot \alpha \colon AT^2 \to A, \quad \eta \cdot \alpha = \mathrm{id} \colon A \to A.$$
 (1)

Following Gerstenhaber, we would like to investigate when a formal power series  $\alpha + \Sigma \alpha_n x^n$  with coefficients in  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(AT,A)$  determines a formal T-algebra structure on A (or a T-algebra structure on A[[x]], see [6]). Direct use of the conditions (1) immediately brings up the problem of the nonadditivity of most triples of interest, e.g. the tensor-algebra triple. To circumvent this problem we must use an additive enrichment of T over the category of R-coalgebras. We shall assume that the algebras for T are definable by a set of finitary multilinear operations (see [1] or [5]) which is the case for most categories of interest, e.g. associative algebras, commutative algebras, Lie algebras, etc.

Coalgebras and enrichments. Recall that an R-coalgebra  $(C, \delta, \varepsilon)$  is an R-module C equipped with maps  $\delta \colon C \to C \otimes C$  and  $\varepsilon \colon C \to R$  satisfying the equations  $\delta \cdot (\mathrm{id} \otimes \delta) \simeq \delta \cdot (\delta \otimes \mathrm{id})$ ,  $\delta \cdot (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varepsilon) \simeq \mathrm{id} \simeq \delta \cdot (\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})$ , and  $\delta \cdot \tau = \delta$  where  $\tau$  is the "twist" isomorphism  $C \otimes C \to C \otimes C$ , and the other isomorphisms are the obvious canonical ones. The category of all R-coalgebras and their structure preserving maps will be denoted Coalg. This is cartesian closed and serves as a base category over which most categories of R-algebras are enriched (see [1] or [5]).

Received by the editors June 1, 1977 and, in revised form, October 4, 1978.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 16A58, 18C15, 18D15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The author wishes to thank the Forschungsinstitut für Mathematik of the ETH for their hospitality, and Jon Beck and Michael Barr for suggesting that this work could be done.

<sup>© 1980</sup> American Mathematical Society 0002-9939/80/0000-0152/\$02.50

468 T. F. FOX

Note that the "cofree-coalgebra" functor is the right adjoint to the obvious forgetful functor from Coalg to Mod-R.

A point in a coalgebra C is an element f satisfying  $f\delta = f \otimes f$  and  $f\varepsilon = 1$  in R. An N-deformation of a point  $f_0$  is a sequence of elements  $(f_n)$ ,  $0 < n \le N$ , in C satisfying the equations:

$$f_n \delta = \sum_{i+j=n} f_i \otimes f_j, \quad f_n \varepsilon = 0 \quad (\text{if } n > 0).$$
 (2)

An  $\infty$ -deformation of  $f_0$  determines a family of *formal points* in C; for any r in R, the sum  $F = \sum_{0}^{\infty} f_n r^n$  satisfies  $F\delta = F \otimes F$  and  $F\varepsilon = 1$ . Given some sense of convergence in C, as in the analytic theory, these may determine actual points in C (see [6]).

If A and B are R-modules, we denote by (A, B) the cofree-coalgebra over  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(A, B)$ . The adjunction  $\hat{}$ :  $(A, B) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(A, B)$  induces an evaluation map  $A \otimes (A, B) \to B$ , and we may view (-, -) as a generalized Hom functor defining an enrichment of **Mod-R** over **Coalg**. Given a map  $f: A \to B$ , we say an element g of (A, B) represents f if  $\hat{g} = f$ . There is unique point representing each map  $A \to B$ , but also for each  $d: A \to B$  and each point f in (A, B) there is a 1-deformation of f representing f.

If  $A_{\alpha}$  and  $B_{\beta}$  are T-algebras (i.e.  $\alpha$ :  $AT \to A$  and  $\beta$ :  $BT \to B$ ), there is a subcoalgebra of (A, B) whose points precisely represent the T-algebra maps from  $A_{\alpha}$  to  $B_{\beta}$  (see [4]). We denote this coalgebra by  $(A_{\alpha}, B_{\beta})$ ; this is the Coalg-valued Hom from T-alg. If d is a 1-deformation of f in  $(A_{\alpha}, B_{\beta})$ ,  $\hat{d}$  is a derivation from  $A_{\alpha}$  to  $B_{\beta}$  along the algebra map  $\hat{f}$ .

Though generally there is no linear map  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(A,B) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(AT,BT)$  defining T, there is always a natural enrichment of T over  $\operatorname{Coalg}$ ,  $\mathfrak{T}: (A,B) \to (AT,BT)$ . If f is a point in (A,B), then  $\widehat{f}T = \widehat{f}\mathfrak{T}$ . If d is a 1-deformation of f,  $d\mathfrak{T}$  represents the unique fT-derivation from AT to BT (viewed as algebras) that coincides with d on A.

If A, B, and C are R-modules, the composition map in **Coalg**  $\circ: (A, B) \otimes (B, C) \to (A, C)$  induces a composition of deformations defined by convolution, i.e. if  $f = (f_n)$  is a deformation in (A, B) and  $g = (g_n)$  is a deformation in (B, C), we define  $(f \cdot g)_n$  to be  $\sum_{i+j=n} f_i \circ g_j$  in (A, C).

The deformations. We define the coalgebra S to be the equalizer of the following pair of maps in Coalg:

$$(AT, A) \underset{\mu \cdot ()}{\overset{() \mathfrak{I} \cdot ()}{\Rightarrow}} (AT^2, A) \tag{3}$$

where ()  $\Im \cdot$  () =  $\delta \cdot (\Im \otimes id) \cdot \circ$ . The points in S precisely represent the T-algebra structures on A, since T and  $\Im$  coincide on points. Thus an  $\infty$ -deformation  $(\alpha_n)$  of  $\alpha$  in S is a deformation of  $\alpha$  towards another T-algebra structure on A; the series  $\alpha + \sum \hat{\alpha}_n x^n$  is a deformation of  $\alpha$  in the sense of Gerstenhaber, as outlined at the beginning of this paper.

Let  $\alpha$  be a point in S. Two  $\infty$ -deformations  $\alpha_* = (\alpha_n)$  and  $\alpha'_* = (\alpha'_n)$  of  $\alpha$  in S are said to be *equivalent* if there exists an  $\infty$ -deformation  $\alpha_*$  of the identity point in

(A, A) such that  $\alpha_* \cdot \alpha_* = \alpha_* \Im \cdot \alpha_*$ . In this case,  $\alpha_*$  is a *T*-algebra isomorphism of the formal *T*-algebra structures defined by  $\alpha_*$  and  $\alpha_*$  on *A* (the  $\infty$ -deformations of the identity forming a group under convolution). A deformation is *trivial* if it is equivalent to the deformation  $(\alpha, 0, 0, \dots)$ .

Now consider an  $\infty$ -deformation  $(\alpha_n)$  in S. From (3) we have  $\mu \cdot \alpha_n = \sum_{i+j=n} \alpha_i \mathfrak{I}$   $\cdot \alpha_j$  for each  $\alpha_n$ , i.e.

$$\alpha_n \mathfrak{I} \cdot \alpha - \mu \cdot \alpha_n + \alpha \mathfrak{I} \cdot \alpha_n = \sum_{\substack{i+j=n\\i\neq 0\neq j}} \alpha_i \mathfrak{I} \cdot \alpha_j \tag{4}$$

The left side of the above is a coboundary, but to understand in what sense it is one, we must consider

The enriched cohomology complex. If G is the cotriple on T-Alg associated with T, the T-algebra  $A_{\alpha}$  generates a resolution of T-algebras  $A_{\alpha}G^* \to A_{\alpha}$  (see [2]). Hom-ing into a T-algebra  $B_{\beta}$  yields a complex of coalgebras  $(A_{\alpha}, B_{\beta}) \to (A_{\alpha}G^*, B_{\beta})$  which we may resolve in Mod-R. This defines the enriched cohomology groups of  $A_{\alpha}$  with coefficients in  $B_{\beta}$ . Given a T-algebra map  $\lambda$ :  $A_{\alpha} \to B_{\beta}$  and looking at the cohomology of the complex restricted to 1-deformations over  $\lambda$  yields the usual triple cohomology groups, as defined by Barr and Beck in [2] (since  $\lambda$  gives  $B_{\beta}$  the structure of an  $A_{\alpha}$ -module and the 1-deformations correspond to R-linear derivations).

The complex  $(A_{\alpha}G^*, B_{\beta})$  is isomorphic (through adjointness, see [3]) to a "nonhomogeneous" complex of coalgebras, yielding the sequence of *R*-modules and boundary maps as follows:

$$(A, B) \xrightarrow{\vartheta^0} (AT, B) \xrightarrow{\vartheta^1} (AT^2, B) \xrightarrow{\vartheta^2} (AT^3, B) \dots,$$
 (5)

$$()\partial^{n} = \alpha \mathfrak{I}^{n} \cdot () - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{i} \mathfrak{I}^{i} \mu \mathfrak{I}^{n-1-i} \cdot () - (-1)^{n} () \mathfrak{I} \cdot \beta.$$
 (6)

Again, restricting to 1-deformations over  $\lambda U = \xi \in (A, B)$  yields the Barr-Beck (B-B) cohomology groups of  $A_{\alpha}$  with coefficients in  $B_{\beta}$ , here denoted  $H_{\xi}^{n}(\alpha, \beta)$ . Note that in the following paragraphs the words "cochain", "cocycle", and "coboundary" refer to the Barr-Beck concepts unless otherwise stated. If  $\alpha = \beta$  and  $\xi = id$ , then the cohomology groups are denoted  $H^{n}(\alpha, \alpha)$ .

The connection. Reexamining (2) and (4), we see that the first nonzero term in the deformation  $\alpha_* = (\alpha_n)$  is a 1-cocycle, an element of  $Z^1(\alpha, \alpha)$ .

1. Proposition. Every  $\infty$ -deformation is equivalent to a deformation whose first nonzero term is not a coboundary.

PROOF. Let  $\alpha_k$  be the term in question. If  $\alpha_k$  is a coboundary, let x be the 0-cochain such that  $x\partial^0 = \alpha_k$ . Define an  $\infty$ -deformation  $a_* = (a_n)$  of the identity by:  $a_k = x$  and  $a_n = 0$  for  $n \neq k$ . Letting  $\alpha'_* = a_* \Im \cdot \alpha_* \cdot a_*^{-1}$ , we find that  $\alpha'_n = 0$  for n < k, and  $\alpha'_k = \sum_{h+i+j=k} a_h \Im \cdot \alpha_i \cdot a_j^{-1} = \alpha_k - x\partial^0 = 0$ .  $\alpha'_*$  is equivalent to  $\alpha_*$  by construction; the result follows by induction.

2. COROLLARY. If  $H^{1}(\alpha, \alpha) = 0$  every deformation of  $\alpha$  is trivial.

470 T. F. FOX

We now ask when a 1-deformation may be extended to an  $\infty$ -deformation. More generally, suppose  $(\alpha_i)_{i < n}$  is an (n-1)-deformation of  $\alpha$ . If  $\alpha_n$  (extending the sequence in S) is to exist it must satisfy condition (4), i.e.

$$\alpha_n \partial^1 = -\sum_{\substack{i+j=n\\n\neq 0\neq i}} \alpha_i \mathfrak{I} \cdot \alpha_j.$$

This sum is the obstruction in  $(AT^2, A)$  to extending  $(\alpha_i)_{i < n}$ .

3. LEMMA. The obstruction to extending a truncated deformation is a cocycle in the enriched cohomology.

**PROOF.** A trivial computation of  $\partial^2$  acting on the obstruction, using (4) and the naturality of  $\mu$ .

Let  $obs(\alpha_n)$  denote the obstruction defined above, and let  $x_n$  be any element of (AT, A) such that

$$x_n \delta = x_n \otimes \alpha_0 + \alpha_0 \otimes x_n + \sum_{\substack{i+j=n\\i \neq 0 \neq i}} \alpha_i \otimes \alpha_j$$

(there are many of these because (AT, A) is cofree). Then  $x_n \partial^1 - \operatorname{obs}(\alpha_n)$  is a 2-cochain, and in fact is a cocycle. The class of  $x_n \partial^1 - \operatorname{obs}(\alpha_n)$  (which does not depend on the choice of  $x_n$ ) is the B-B obstruction. If y is a 1-cochain such that  $y \partial^1 = x_n \partial^1 - \operatorname{obs}(\alpha_n)$ , then defining  $\alpha_n = x_n + y$  extends  $(\alpha_i)_{i < n}$ , so we have

- 4. PROPOSITION. A truncated deformation may be extended if and only if its B-B obstruction vanishes.
- 5. COROLLARY. If  $H^2(\alpha, \alpha) = 0$  then every 1-cocycle extends to an  $\infty$ -deformation of  $\alpha$ .

Cohomology of the deformed algebra. Consider deformations  $\alpha_*$  of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta_*$  of  $\beta$ , and a formal map  $\xi_* = (\xi_n)$  from  $\alpha_*$  to  $\beta_*$ , i.e.  $\xi_*$  is an  $\infty$ -deformation of  $\xi_0$  in (A, B) and  $\alpha_* \cdot \xi_* = \xi_* \Im \cdot \beta_*$ . Noting that  $\alpha \cdot \xi_0 = \xi_0 \Im \cdot \beta$  we may now compare  $H^n_{\xi}(\alpha_*, \beta_*)$  with  $H^n_{\xi}(\alpha, \beta)$ ; the classical results here (Gerstenhaber [8], Coffee [4]) state that dim  $H^n(\alpha_*, \alpha_*) \leq \dim H^n(\alpha, \alpha)$ , where these are vector spaces over appropriate fields.

Now  $H_{\xi}^{n}(\alpha_{*}, \beta_{*})$  is defined by a complex such as (6) where  $\alpha$  is replaced by  $\alpha_{*}$ ,  $\beta$  by  $\beta_{*}$ , and composition involves convolution of sequences. The boundary operator here will be denoted  $\partial_{*}$ , the cocycles  $C_{*}$ , etc.

DEFINITION. An N-cochain (over  $\xi_*$ ) is a sequence  $(\xi_{1n}) = \xi_{1*}$  of elements in  $(AT^N, B)$  such that

$$\xi_{1n}\delta = \sum_{i+i=n} \xi_{1i} \otimes \xi_j^N + \xi_j^N \otimes \xi_{1i}, \qquad \xi_{1n}\varepsilon = 0.$$
 (7)

(Here  $\xi_*^N$  equals  $\xi_*$  in dimension 0,  $\alpha_* \cdot \xi_*$  in dimension 1, etc. We usually omit this superscript N.)  $\xi_{1*}$  is an N-cocycle if  $\xi_{1*}\partial_*^N = 0$ , i.e.

$$\sum_{i+j=n} \alpha_i \mathfrak{I}^N \cdot \xi_{1j} - (-1)^N \xi_{1j} \mathfrak{I} \cdot \beta_i - \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (-1)^i \mathfrak{I}^i \mu \mathfrak{I}^{n-1-i} \cdot (\xi_{1n}) = 0.$$
 (8)

If  $\xi_{1*} \in Z_*$ , in particular we have  $\xi_{10}\delta = \xi_{10} \otimes \xi_0 + \xi_0 \otimes \xi_{10}$  and  $\xi_{10}\partial = 0$ , i.e.  $\xi_{10}$  is a cocycle over  $\xi_0$ . Thus  $\xi_{1*} \mapsto \xi_{10}$  defines a map  $Z_* \to Z$ , the image of which is the module of *liftable* cocycles, denoted  $Z_l$ . Obviously, this map carries coboundaries to coboundaries, so we have an induced map  $H_* \to H$ , the image of which is the module of liftable classes, denoted  $H_l$ .

Letting  $Z_n = \{\xi_{1*} \in Z_*: \xi_{1i} = 0, i < n\}$  defines a filtering  $Z_* = Z_0 \supset Z_1 \supset Z_2 \ldots$ . Notice that if  $\xi_{1*} \in Z_*$ , then so is  $\xi_{1*}^{(k)}$  defined by

$$\xi_{1n}^{(k)} = \begin{cases} 0, & n < k, \\ \xi_{1(n-k)}, & n > k; \end{cases}$$

this defines an isomorphism  $Z_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} Z_k$ . Now it is obvious that  $Z_l \simeq Z_0/Z_1$ , and in fact  $Z_l \simeq Z_n/Z_{n+1}$  for every n > 0.

6. PROPOSITION. Let  $H_* = H_0 \supset H_1 \supset H_2 \dots$  be the induced filtering of  $H_*$ . Then there are epimorphisms  $H_l \to H_n/H_{n+1}$  for all n > 0.

PROOF. Again we have  $H_l \simeq H_0/H_1$ , and the map  $H_0 \to H_k$  induced by  $Z_0 \to Z_k$  is an epimorphism.

Note that the epimorphisms mentioned above may not be monomorphisms. Pertinent to this is the question of when an *n*-truncated *N*-cocycle  $(\xi_{1i})_{i < n}$  may be extended to an element of  $Z_*^N$ . If the continuation,  $\xi_{1n}$ , is to exist it must satisfy (7) and (8), from which we get

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j=n\\i\neq 0}} -\alpha_i \mathfrak{I}^N \cdot \xi_{1j} + (-1)^N \xi_{1j} \mathfrak{I} \cdot \beta_i = \xi_{1n} \mathfrak{d}^N.$$

The sum on the left of the above equation is the obstruction,  $\operatorname{obs}(\xi_{1n})$ , in  $(AT^{N+1}, B)$  to extending  $(\xi_{1i})_{i < n}$ . It is easy to show that the obstruction is a cocycle in the enriched cohomology. As in the case of a truncated deformation, it defines a class in  $H^{N+1}$  which must vanish if  $\xi_{1n}$  is to exist. More precisely, let  $x_{1n}$  be any element of  $(AT^N, B)$  such that

$$x_{1n}\delta = x_{1n} \otimes \xi_0 + \xi_0 \otimes x_{1n} + \sum_{\substack{i+j=n\\i\neq 0}} \xi_{1i} \otimes \xi_j + \xi_j \otimes \xi_{1i}.$$

Then the class of  $x_{1n}\partial - \text{obs}(\xi_{1n})$  in  $H^{N+1}$  is the B-B obstruction.

7. PROPOSITION. If an n-truncated cocycle is obstructed, then the epimorphism  $H_l \to H_n/H_{n+1}$  has a nontrivial kernel.

**PROOF.** Consider any element  $\lambda_{1*} \in C_*$  such that

$$\lambda_{1i} = \begin{cases} \xi_{1i}, & i < n, \\ x_{1n}, & i = n, \end{cases}$$

and look at  $\lambda_{1*}\partial_{*}$ ; it is easy to see that its first n-1 terms are 0 while its *n*th term is  $x_{1n}\partial - \text{obs}(\xi_{1n})$ . Thus  $x_{1n}\partial - \text{obs}(\xi_{1n}) \in H_l$  but its image in  $H_n/H_{n+1}$  is the class of  $\lambda_{1*}\partial_{*}$ .

## REFERENCES

- 1. M. Barr, Coalgebras over a commutative ring, J. Algebra 8 (1974), 600-610.
- 2. M. Barr and J. Beck, *Homology and standard construction*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 80, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1969, pp. 245-334.
  - 3. J. Beck, Triples, algebras and cohomology, Dissertation, Columbia University (1967).
  - 4. J. P. Coffee, Filtered and associated graded rings, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1972), 584-587.
  - 5. T. F. Fox, Universal coalgebras, Dissertation, McGill University (1977).
  - 6. M. Gerstenhaber, On the deformation of rings and algebras. I, Ann. of Math. (2) 79 (1964), 59-103.
  - 7. \_\_\_\_\_, On the deformation of rings and algebras. II, Ann. of Math. (2) 84 (1966), 1-19.
  - 8. \_\_\_\_\_, On the deformation of rings and algebras. IV, Ann. of Math. (2) 99 (1974), 257-276.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DAWSON COLLEGE, 1001 SHERBROOKE EAST, MONTREAL, QUEBEC, CANADA