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#### Abstract

An iteration scheme is given for approximating solutions of boundary problems of the form $L y=f(x, y), T y(x)=r$, where $L$ is an $n$th order linear differential operator, $f$ is continuous and $T$ is a continuous linear operator from $C^{n-1}(I)$ into $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. The scheme is based on the condition that the Green's function $G(x, s)$ for the associated linear problem $L y=0, T y=0$ exists and has sign independent of $s$.


1. Introduction. Let $n \geqslant 1$, let $I=[a, b]$ be a real interval, let $a=x_{1}<x_{2}$ $<\cdots<x_{k}=b$, let $p_{1}(x), p_{2}(x), \ldots, p_{n}(x)$ be continuous on $I$, and define the linear differential operator $L$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L y=y^{(n)}+p_{1}(x) y^{(n-1)}+\cdots+p_{n}(x) y . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A. Ju. Levin (see [1]) has obtained the following result.

Theorem L. Let L and I be as above, and suppose that $L$ is disconjugate on $I$. Then the Green's function $G(t, s)$ for the $k$-point boundary value problem (BVP)

$$
\begin{gather*}
L y=0  \tag{1.2}\\
y^{(i)}\left(x_{j}\right)=0, \quad i=0, \ldots, n_{j}-1, j=1, \ldots, k \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\sum n_{j}=n$, satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, s)\left(x-x_{1}\right)^{n_{1}}\left(x-x_{2}\right)^{n_{2}} \cdots\left(x-x_{k}\right)^{n_{k}} \geqslant 0, \quad x_{1}<s<x_{k} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For our purposes, the importance of Levin's theorem is that in this instance the following condition holds.

Condition S. There exists a Green's function $G(x, s)$ for the problem $L y=0$, $T y=0$, and the sign of $G(x, s)$ is independent of $s$.

We present here a bilateral iteration scheme, based on Condition S, which will provide approximants to solutions of BVP's with linear boundary conditions. A. C. Peterson has found [4] that complete disconjugacy is not necessary for this condition to hold; he has recently shown [5] that it will also hold for certain $q$-focal problems, and we shall discuss these in the last section.
2. Linear boundary value problems. Let $I$ be a real interval, let $f: I \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be continuous, and $L$ be given by (1.1). Consider the BVP

$$
\begin{equation*}
L y=f(x, y) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]with boundary conditions
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
T y(x)=r \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $T$ : $C^{n-1}(I) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is a continuous linear operator, $r$ is a given constant vector. Assume that Condition $S$ holds for the associated homogeneous problem $L y=0, T y(x)=0$. Hence there exist subsets of $I, I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ such that
(i) $I=I_{1} \cup I_{2}$ (possibly $I=I_{1}$ or $I=I_{2}$ ) and
(ii) $G(x, s)$ has sign given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
G(x, s)<0 & \text { for } a<s<b, x \in I_{1}, \\
G(x, s) \geqslant 0 & \text { for } a<s<b, x \in I_{2} . \tag{2.3}
\end{array}
$$

Assume that there exists a constant $M$ such that, for all $\left(x, y_{1}\right),\left(x, y_{2}\right)$ in $I \times \mathbf{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f\left(x, y_{1}\right)-f\left(x, y_{2}\right)\right| \leqslant M\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right| . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, suppose that there exist functions $v_{1}(x), w_{1}(x)$ with piecewise continuous $n$th derivatives on $I$, such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
T v_{1}(x)=T w_{1}(x)=r, \quad \text { and such that, for } x \in I  \tag{2.5}\\
L v_{1}-f\left(x, v_{1}\right)+A_{1}(x) \equiv \beta_{1}(x)<0 \\
L w_{1}-f\left(x, w_{1}\right)-A_{1}(x) \equiv \gamma_{1}(x)>0
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}(x)=M\left|v_{1}(x)-w_{1}(x)\right| \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $l_{r}(x)$ be the solution of the problem $L y=0, T y(x)=r$; existence of $l_{r}(x)$ follows from linearity and uniqueness [2]. Construct the sequences $\left\{v_{m}(x)\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{m}(x)\right\}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{m+1}(x)=l_{r}(x)+\int_{I} G(x, s)\left[f\left(s, v_{m}(s)\right)-A_{m}(s)\right] d s \\
& w_{m+1}(x)=l_{r}(x)+\int_{I} G(x, s)\left[f\left(s, w_{m}(s)\right)+A_{m}(s)\right] d s \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{m}(x)=M\left|v_{m}(x)-w_{m}(x)\right|, \quad m \geqslant 1 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1. Let L and f be as above; let (2.4) and Condition S hold. Suppose that there exist functions $v_{1}(x)$ and $w_{1}(x)$ satisfying (2.5), and define the sequences $\left\{v_{m}(x)\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{m}(x)\right\}$ by (2.7). Then there exists a solution $y(x)$ of the BVP (2.1)-(2.2) such that, for all $m \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
v_{m}(x) \geqslant v_{m+1}(x) \geqslant y(x) \geqslant w_{m+1}(x) \geqslant w_{m}(x), & x \in I_{1}, \\
v_{m}(x) \leqslant v_{m+1}(x) \leqslant y(x) \leqslant w_{m+1}(x) \leqslant w_{m}(x), & x \in I_{2} . \tag{2.9}
\end{array}
$$

Proof. Set $u_{m}(x)=v_{m}(x)-w_{m}(x), m \geqslant 1$. Note that $u_{1}(x) \geqslant 0$ for $x \in I_{1}$, $u_{1}(x) \leqslant 0$ for $x \in I_{2}$, since $L u_{1}=f\left(x, v_{1}\right)-f\left(x, w_{1}\right)-2 A_{1}(x)+\beta_{1}-\gamma_{1} \leqslant 0$; hence $u_{1}(x)=\int_{I} G(x, s) L u_{1}(s) d s$ has sign opposite to that of $G$. The rules for constructing the sequences $\left\{v_{m}(x)\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{m}(x)\right\}$ imply, similarly, that

$$
u_{m+1}(x)=\int_{I} G(x, s)\left[f\left(s, v_{m}(s)\right)-f\left(s, w_{m}(s)\right)-2 M\left|v_{m}(s)-w_{m}(s)\right|\right] d s
$$

and, from (2.4), we have that, for each $m \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m+1}(x) \geqslant-\int_{I} G(x, s) M\left|u_{m}(s)\right| d s \geqslant 0, \quad x \in I_{1} \tag{2.10a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m+1}(x) \leqslant-\int_{I} G(x, s) M\left|u_{m}(s)\right| d s \leqslant 0, \quad x \in I_{2} \tag{2.10b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, for each $m \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{m} \geqslant w_{m}, \quad x \in I_{1} ; \quad v_{m} \leqslant w_{m}, \quad x \in I_{2} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

To show the monotonicity of the sequences $\left\{v_{m}(x)\right\},\left\{w_{m}(x)\right\}$ on $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$, note that $\beta_{1}=L v_{1}-L v_{2}, \gamma_{1}=L w_{1}-L w_{2}$, and set $\beta_{m}=L v_{m}-L v_{m+1}, \gamma_{m}=L w_{m}-$ $L w_{m+1}$. Using (2.11), we can write
$\beta_{m+1}(x)= \begin{cases}f\left(x, v_{m}\right)-f\left(x, v_{m+1}\right)-M\left(v_{m}-v_{m+1}\right)+M\left(w_{m}-w_{m+1}\right), & x \in I_{1}, \\ f\left(x, v_{m}\right)-f\left(x, v_{m+1}\right)+M\left(v_{m}-v_{m+1}\right)-M\left(w_{m}-w_{m+1}\right), & x \in I_{2},\end{cases}$ $\gamma_{m+1}(x)= \begin{cases}f\left(x, w_{m}\right)-f\left(x, w_{m+1}\right)-M\left(w_{m}-w_{m+1}\right)+M\left(v_{m}-v_{m+1}\right), & x \in I_{1}, \\ f\left(x, w_{m}\right)-f\left(x, w_{m+1}\right)+M\left(w_{m}-w_{m+1}\right)-M\left(v_{m}-v_{m+1}\right), & x \in I_{2} .\end{cases}$

Setting $\delta_{m}=v_{m}-v_{m+1}, \rho_{m}=w_{m}-w_{m+1}$, we obtain, using (2.4), the inequalities

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\beta_{m+1}(x) \leqslant M\left|\delta_{m}\right|-M \delta_{m}+M \rho_{m}, & x \in I_{1}, \\
\beta_{m+1}(x) \leqslant M\left|\delta_{m}\right|+M \delta_{m}-M \rho_{m}, & x \in I_{2} ; \\
\gamma_{m+1}(x) \geqslant-M\left|\rho_{m}\right|-M \rho_{m}+M \delta_{m}, & x \in I_{1}, \\
\gamma_{m+1}(x) \geqslant-M\left|\rho_{m}\right|+M \rho_{m}-M \delta_{m}, & x \in I_{2} . \tag{2.12}
\end{array}
$$

Since $L \rho_{1}=\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{1} \geqslant 0$, with $T \rho_{1}=0$, it follows that $\rho_{1}<0$ on $I_{1}, \rho_{1}>0$ on $I_{2}$. Similarly, $\delta_{1} \geqslant 0$ on $I_{1}, \delta_{1} \leqslant 0$ on $I_{2}$. By (2.12), $\gamma_{2}>0, \beta_{2} \leqslant 0$ on $I$, and, by induction, for each $m \geqslant 1, \rho_{m} \leqslant 0$ on $I_{1}, \rho_{m} \geqslant 0$ on $I_{2} ; \delta_{m}>0$ on $I_{1}, \delta_{m} \leqslant 0$ on $I_{2}$, and $\gamma_{m} \geqslant 0, \beta_{m}<0$ on all of $I$. Hence $v_{m+1}<v_{m}$ and $w_{m+1} \geqslant w_{m}$ on $I_{1}, v_{m+1} \geqslant v_{m}$ and $w_{m+1} \leqslant w_{m}$ on $I_{2}$, and we have obtained the inequalities involving the $v$ 's and $w$ 's in (2.9). It remains to show that a solution $y(x)$ lies between the $v$ 's and $w$ 's. To prove this, note first that, on $I_{1}$ and on $I_{2}$, the sequences $\left\{v_{m}(x)\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{m}(x)\right\}$ are monotonic, bounded and equicontinuous. By Ascoli's theorem, they have uniform limits $v(x)$ and $w(x)$ with $v(x) \geqslant w(x)$ for $x \in I_{1}, v(x) \leqslant w(x)$ for $x \in I_{2}$. It follows from (2.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L v(x)=f(x, v)-A(x), \quad L w(x)=f(x, w)+A(x) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A(x)=M|v(x)-w(x)|$. Note that $A(x)$ is continuous and nonnegative and that $T v(x)=T w(x)=r$.

For each function $y(x) \in C(I)$, set

$$
\bar{y}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
v(x) & \text { if } y(x)>v(x) \\
y(x) & \text { if } v(x) \geqslant y(x) \geqslant w(x) \\
w(x) & \text { if } y(x)<w(x) \\
v(x) & \text { if } y(x)<v(x) \\
y(x) & \text { if } v(x) \leqslant y(x)<w(x) \\
w(x) & \text { if } y(x)>w(x)
\end{array}\right\}, \quad x \in I_{1}
$$

and define $\hat{F}(x, y(x))=f(x, \bar{y}(x))$. The function $\hat{F}$ is continuous and bounded on $I \times \mathbf{R}$. It follows from the Schauder fixed point theorem that the problem

$$
L y=\hat{F}(x, y), \quad T y(x)=r
$$

has a solution $y(x)$. We now show that this solution satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v(x) \geqslant y(x) \geqslant w(x), \quad x \in I_{1}, \\
& v(x) \leqslant y(x) \leqslant w(x), \quad x \in I_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence that $y(x)$ is a solution of (2.1)-(2.2). Let $D$ be the compact domain bounded by $v(x), w(x)$ and the lines $x=a$ and $x=b$. Set $z(x)=w(x)-y(x)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
L z(x) & =L w(x)-L y(x) \\
& =f(x, w(x))+M|v(x)-w(x)|-f(x, \bar{y}(x)) \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

since (2.4) holds. Furthermore, since $T z(x)=0$, we have $z(x)<0, x \in I_{1}, z(x) \geqslant$ $0, x \in I_{2}$. Similarly, setting $\hat{z}(x)=v(x)-y(x)$, we obtain $\hat{z}(x) \geqslant 0, x \in I_{1}, \hat{z}(x)$ $\leqslant 0, x \in I_{2}$. Hence $(x, y(x))$ lies in $D$ for all $x \in I$, and the proof is complete.

Remarks. (i) It is necessary only that the bound (2.4) hold for all $(x, y) \in D^{(1)}$, where $D^{(1)}$ is the compact domain bounded by the curves $v_{1}(x), w_{1}(x)$ and the lines $x=a$ and $x=b$.
(ii) Set $G=\max _{x \in I}\left|\int_{I} G(x, s) d s\right|$. Then if $2 M G<1$, and if $|f(x, y)|<B$, for some constant $B$ for all $(x, y) \in I \times \mathbf{R}$, the functions $v_{1}$ and $w_{1}$ can be chosen as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{1}(x)=l_{r}(x)-\frac{B}{1-2 M G} \int_{I} G(x, s) d s \\
& w_{1}(x)=l_{r}(x)+\frac{B}{1-2 M G} \int_{I} G(x, s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) In case $f$ has certain monotonicity properties, the Lipschitz continuity is not needed, and the iteration can be simplified by taking $A_{i}(x) \equiv 0$ for all $i \geqslant 1$. Furthermore, the functions $v_{1}$ and $w_{1}$ can be readily obtained from $G(x, s)$ and $l_{r}(x)$ as before, but now without the requirement that $2 M G<1$. Inspection of the proof of Theorem 1 leads to the following result.

Theorem 2. Let L and $T$ be as in Theorem 1. Let $f(x, y)$ be continuous on $I \times \mathbf{R}$, and be monotone decreasing in $y$ for each $x \in I$ and monotone increasing in $y$ for each $x \in I_{2}$. Then if there exist functions $v_{1}(x)$ and $w_{1}(x)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gathered}
v_{1} \geqslant w_{1}, \quad x \in I_{1} ; \quad v_{1} \leqslant w_{1}, \quad x \in I_{2} \\
T v_{1}(x)=T w_{1}(x)=r, \quad \text { and such that, for } x \in I \\
L v_{1}-f\left(x, v_{1}\right) \equiv \beta_{1}(x) \leqslant 0 \\
L w_{1}-f\left(x, w_{1}\right) \equiv \gamma_{1}(x) \geqslant 0
\end{gathered}
$$

and if the sequences $\left\{v_{m}(x)\right\},\left\{w_{m}(x)\right\}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{m+1}(x) & =l_{r}(x)+\int_{I} G(x, s) f\left(s, v_{m}(s)\right) d s \\
w_{m+1}(x) & =l_{r}(x)+\int_{I} G(x, s) f\left(s, w_{m}(s)\right) d s \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

these sequences will converge to solutions $v(x)$ and $w(x)$ of the BVP (2.1)-(2.2), and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
v_{m}(x) \geqslant v_{m+1}(x) \geqslant v(x) \geqslant w(x) \geqslant w_{m+1}(x) \geqslant w_{m}(x), & x \in I_{1}, \\
v_{m}(x) \leqslant v_{m+1}(x) \leqslant v(x) \leqslant w(x) \leqslant w_{m+1}(x) \leqslant w_{m}(x), & x \in I_{2} .
\end{array}
$$

Further, any solution $y(x)$ of the BVP (2.1)-(2.2) which lies between $v_{1}$ and $w_{1}$ will also lie between $v$ and $w$. In case $|f(x, y)| \leqslant B$ for some constant $B$ for all $(x, y) \in I \times \mathbf{R}$, the functions $v_{1}$ and $w_{1}$ can be chosen as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{1}(x)=l_{r}(x)-B \int_{I} G(x, s) d s \\
& w_{1}(x)=l_{r}(x)+B \int_{I} G(x, s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Applications. We consider two applications.
(i) Let $L$ in (2.1) be disconjugate on $I$, and suppose that the boundary conditions (2.2) are the conjugate boundary conditions

$$
y^{(i)}\left(x_{j}\right)=c_{i j}, \quad 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n_{j-1}, j=1, \ldots, k,
$$

where $\sum n_{j}=n, a=x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{n}=b$, and $c_{i j}$ are constants. Levin's inequality (1.3) shows that $I_{1}$ will be the union of all subintervals $\left[x_{j}, x_{j+1}\right]$ of $I$ such that $n_{j+1}+\cdots+n_{k}$ is odd and $I_{2}$ will be the union of all such subintervals such that the same sum is even.
(ii) Consider the $q$-focal BVP

$$
\begin{equation*}
L y=y^{(n)}-\lambda p(x) y=f(x, y) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with boundary conditions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y^{(i)}(a)=c_{i}, & i=0,1, \ldots, q-1, \\
y^{(j)}(b)=c_{j}, & j=q, \ldots, n-1, \tag{3.2}
\end{array}
$$

where $p(x)>0$ is continuous, $\lambda= \pm 1$ and the equation $L y=0$ is disfocal on $I$, i.e., has no nontrivial solution $y(x)$ such that each of the derivatives $y^{(k)}(x)$, $k=0,1, \ldots, n-1$, vanishes at least once in I (see [3]). Peterson [5] has determined the Green's function for the associated homogeneous problem and has shown that its sign is determined by the inequality

$$
(-1)^{n-q} G(x, s)>0 \quad \text { for all }(x, s) \in(a, b) \times(a, b)
$$

Hence in this case $I=I_{1}$ if $n-q$ is odd; $I=I_{2}$ if $n-q$ is even.
Remarks. (i) Because of the general form of the boundary conditions (2.2), we require that the initial approximants $v_{1}$ and $w_{1}$ satisfy the boundary conditions. For the conjugate $k$-point BVP, this requirement can be relaxed somewhat. A modification of the iteration (2.7) or (2.14) then leads to the conclusions of Theorem 1 or Theorem 2, if one begins with functions $v_{1}$ and $w_{1}$ satisfying the boundary conditions (3.1)-(3.4) of Theorem 3.1 of [6].
(ii) Theorems 1 and 2 remain valid under Carathéodory conditions, in the case of Theorem 1 under the hypothesis that (2.4) holds for $(x, y) \in I \times \mathbf{R}$ for almost all $x$. Theorem 2 extends a result of V. Šeda [7] to the case of general linear boundary conditions.
(iii) For certain boundary problems, not only the sign of Green's function $G(x, s)$, but also the signs of some of its derivatives $\partial^{r_{r}} G(x, s) / \partial x^{r_{p}}, p=1, \ldots, p_{0}$, $r_{p}<n$, are independent of $s$ (see, for instance, [5]). (As an example, for the problem $y^{\prime \prime}=0, y(0)=a, y^{\prime}(1)=b, G(x, s) \leqslant 0, \partial G(x, s) / \partial x \leqslant 0$.) In such cases, Theorems 1 and 2 can be extended in a natural way to problems of the form $L y=f\left(x, y, y^{\left(r_{1}\right)}, \ldots, y^{\left(r_{p_{0}}\right)}\right), T y(x)=r$.
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