SHAPE EQUIVALENCE DOES NOT IMPLY CE EQUIVALENCE¹

STEVE FERRY

ABSTRACT. We give an example of shape equivalent compacta X and Y such that there is no compactum Z with cell-like maps $Z \twoheadrightarrow X$ and $Z \twoheadrightarrow Y$.

A space X is said to be *cell-like* if for some imbedding of X in an ANR, X has the property that for each neighborhood U of X , X contracts to a point in U . This is an intrinsic property of X and is independent of the choice of ANR and embedding. A continuous map $f: Z \rightarrow Y$ between compacta is said to be *cell-like* (CE) if f is surjective and $f^{-1}(y)$ is cell-like for each $y \in Y$. If X and Y are compacta, we say that X and Y are *CE equivalent* if there exist compacta $X = X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{2k} = Y$ and CE maps $f_{2i}: X_{2i+1} \rightarrow X_{2i}$ and $f_{2i+1}: X_{2i+1} \rightarrow X_{2i+2}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$.

In [F₁] it is shown that two compacta which are homotopy equivalent must be CE equivalent. In fact, more is shown. The maps constructed have sections and contractible point-inverses. It is natural to seek a Čech analog of this theorem for general compacta. Thus, we are led to study the question: "If X and Y are shape equivalent compacta, must X and Y be CE equivalent?"

In this note we will exhibit a simple example which shows that this is not the case. Let X be a plane compactum which is the union of a circle C and a ray R

FIGURE 1

Received by the editors November 13, 1978 and, in revised form, April 29, 1979.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 57C10; Secondary 54C10, 55B05

Key words and phrases. Shape theory, cell-like map.

¹Research partially supported by NSF grants and the A. P. Sloan Foundation.

which spirals into C . See Figure 1. X is shape equivalent to S^1 . We will show that X is not CE equivalent to S^1 .

DEFINITION 1. We will say that a compactum Z is an *acyclic image* if there exist a compactum W with $\check{H}^*(W) = \check{H}^*(\text{pt})$ and a continuous surjection $f: W \rightarrow Z$.

LEMMA 1. Let P and Q be CE equivalent compacta. Then P is an acyclic image if and only if Q is an acyclic image.

PROOF. It suffices to consider the case in which there is a CE map $r: P \rightarrow Q$. It is clear that Q is an acyclic image if P is an acyclic image. Suppose, then, that Q is an acyclic image. Let $f: W \rightarrow Q$ be a surjection as in Definition 1 and let E be the pullback in the diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & P \\ \downarrow \tilde{r} \text{ CE} & & \downarrow r \text{ CE} \\ W & \xrightarrow{f} & Q \end{array}$$

E is compact, $\tilde{f}$ is surjective, and $\tilde{r}$ is CE. A cell-like set has the Čech cohomology of a point, so the Vietoris-Begle theorem [S] implies that $\tilde{r}$ induces an isomorphism of Čech cohomology. Thus, E has the Čech cohomology of a point and P is an acyclic image. $\square$

LEMMA 2.² The space X of Figure 1 is not an acyclic image.

PROOF. Suppose not. Let $f: W \rightarrow X$ be a surjection as in Definition 1. Let $r: X \rightarrow C$ be a radial retraction and let $e: E^1 \rightarrow C$ be the universal cover. Since $\check{H}^1(W) \cong [W, C] = 0$, the composition $r \circ f: W \rightarrow C$ lifts to E^1 and there is a map $\tilde{f}: W \rightarrow E^1$ such that $e \circ \tilde{f} = r \circ f$.

Let $W' = f^{-1}(R)$. Choose a map $\tilde{r}: R \rightarrow E^1$ so that $e \circ \tilde{r} = r|_R$ and so that $\tilde{r} \circ f = \tilde{f}$ for some point $w_0 \in W'$. Let $W'' = \{w \in W' \mid \tilde{r} \circ f(w) = \tilde{f}(w)\}$. The usual argument shows that W'' is open in W' and therefore in W . W'' cannot be closed in W since W is connected and W'' is neither empty nor all of W .

There is therefore a sequence $\{w_i\} \in W''$ converging to a point $w^* \in W - W'$. Thus, $\lim f(w_i) \in C$ and $\{\tilde{r} \circ f(w_i)\}$ is unbounded in E^1 . On the other hand, $\{\tilde{r} \circ f(w_i)\} = \{\tilde{f}(w_i)\} \subset \tilde{f}(W)$, which is compact. This is the desired contradiction. $\square$

This completes the proof of our main result, since there is a continuous map of $[0, 1]$ onto S^1 .

² Lemma 2 is essentially Theorem 1 of M. K. Fort [F0].

It would be interesting to find shape equivalent UV^1 compacta which are not CE equivalent. Parts of [F₂] are relevant to this problem.

REFERENCES

- [F₁] Steve Ferry, *Homotopy, simple homotopy, and compacta*, Topology (to appear).
[F₂] ———, *A stable converse to the Vietoris-Smale theorem with applications to shape theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
[F0] M. K. Fort, Jr., *Images of plane continua*, Amer. J. Math. **81** (1959), 541–545.
[S] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY 08540

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY, LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40506