## PARACOMPACTNESS IN PERFECTLY NORMAL, LOCALLY CONNECTED, LOCALLY COMPACT SPACES

## DIANE J. LANE

ABSTRACT. It is shown that, under  $(MA + \neg CH)$ , every perfectly normal, locally compact and locally connected space is paracompact.

In [Ru, Z] Rudin and Zenor use the continuum hypothesis (CH) to construct a perfectly normal, separable manifold that is not Lindelöf and is therefore not paracompact. *Manifold* here means a locally Euclidean Hausdorff space. Rudin has shown recently [Ru] that if Martin's Axiom and the negation of the continuum hypothesis (MA +  $\neg$ CH) hold, then every perfectly normal manifold is metrizable. In this paper we show that Rudin's technique can be used to obtain a more general result: If (MA +  $\neg$ CH), then every perfectly normal, locally compact and locally connected space is paracompact. Since locally metrizable paracompact spaces are metrizable, Rudin's theorem follows.

The following theorems will be used.

THEOREM 1 (Z. SZENTMIKLOSSY [S]). If  $(MA + \neg CH)$ , then there is no hereditarily separable, nonhereditarily Lindelöf, compact (locally compact) Hausdorff space.

THEOREM 2 (JUHASZ [J]). If  $(MA + \neg CH)$ , then there is no hereditarily Lindelöf, nonhereditarily separable compact (locally compact) Hausdorff space.

THEOREM 3 (REED AND ZENOR [R, Z]). Every perfectly normal, locally compact and locally connected subparacompact space is paracompact.

THEOREM 4 (ALSTER AND ZENOR [A, Z]). Every perfectly normal, locally compact and locally connected space is collectionwise normal with respect to discrete collections of compact sets.

The following result was obtained independently by H. Junilla and J. Chaber. A proof can be found in [C, Z].

THEOREM 5. A space X is perfect and subparacompact if and only if whenever  $\{W_{\beta}\}_{{\beta}<\gamma}$  is a well-ordered open cover of X, there exists a sequence  $\{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n\in\omega}$  of open covers of X with the property that if  $x\in X$ , there exists  $n\in\omega$  such that  $\mathrm{st}(x,\,\mathfrak{A}_n)=\{U\in\mathfrak{A}_n|x\in U\}$  is contained in the first member of  $\{W_{\beta}\}_{{\beta}<\gamma}$  that contains x.

Received by the editors May 21, 1979 and, in revised form, January 2, 1980. 1980 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 54D18, 54D05; Secondary 03E50, 03E35.

Key words and phrases. Martin's Axiom, subparacompact, paracompact, perfectly normal, locally compact, locally connected, hereditarily separable, hereditarily Lindelöf, continuum hypothesis, manifold.

694 D. J. LANE

We prove

THEOREM 6. If  $(MA + \neg CH)$ , then every perfectly normal, locally compact and locally connected space is paracompact.

PROOF. Let X be a perfectly normal, locally compact and locally connected space. Since components of a locally connected space are open, we may assume that X is connected. We prove that X is subparacompact and thus, by Theorem 3, is paracompact.

Since compact subsets of a perfect space are hereditarily Lindelöf, Theorem 2 implies that compact subsets of X are hereditarily separable.

By transfinite induction we choose for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$  a subset  $X_\alpha$  of X such that

- (i)  $X_{\alpha}$  is the countable union of open sets, each of which is connected, hereditarily separable and has compact closure, and
  - (ii)  $\operatorname{cl}(\bigcup_{B<\alpha}X_B)\subset X_\alpha$ .

Since X is locally compact and locally connected we can clearly make such choices unless there is an  $\alpha < \omega_1$  such that  $\operatorname{cl}(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} X_\beta)$  is not Lindelöf.

Assume that  $\lambda < \omega_1$  and that  $X_{\alpha}$  has been constructed for  $\alpha < \lambda$ . Let  $C = \operatorname{cl}(\bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} X_{\alpha})$ . C is separable. Now suppose that C is not hereditarily separable. Then there exists  $S = \{x_{\beta}\}_{\beta < \omega_1} \subset C$  such that if  $\beta < \omega_1$  then  $x_{\beta} \notin \operatorname{cl}\{x_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha < \beta}$ . For each  $\beta < \omega_1$  let  $U_{\beta}$  denote a hereditarily separable open set in X such that  $x_{\beta} \in U_{\beta}$  and  $U_{\beta} \cap \operatorname{cl}\{x_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha < \beta} = \emptyset$ . Since S is not separable, no countable subcollection of the  $U_{\beta}$ 's covers S. So there is an uncountable subset A of  $\omega_1$  such that, if  $\beta < \alpha$  in A, then  $x_{\alpha} \notin U_{\beta}$ . Since X is perfect there is a sequence  $\{V_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  of open sets such that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n = (C - \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha})$ . For some  $n \in \omega$ ,  $A_n = \{\alpha \in A | x_{\alpha} \notin V_n\}$  is uncountable. Observe that  $S_n = \{x_{\alpha} | \alpha \in A_n\}$  is a closed discrete subset of X. By Theorem 4 we can separate the points of  $S_n$  with a disjoint collection of open sets. But this contradicts the fact that C is separable. We conclude that C is hereditarily separable. Since C is locally compact, Theorem 1 implies that C is hereditarily Lindelöf as well. Therefore we can construct X satisfying (i) and (ii).

Observe that  $\bigcup_{\alpha<\omega_1}X_{\alpha}$  is both open and closed, and since we are assuming X is connected,  $\bigcup_{\alpha<\omega_1}X_{\alpha}=X$ .  $(\bigcup_{\alpha<\omega_1}X_{\alpha}$  is open by definition. X is perfect and locally compact and therefore is first countable. Since  $\operatorname{cl}(\bigcup_{\beta<\alpha}X_{\beta})\subset X_{\alpha}$  for  $\alpha<\omega_1$ , there can be no points of X in  $\operatorname{cl}(\bigcup_{\alpha<\omega_1}X_{\alpha})-\bigcup_{\alpha<\omega_1}X_{\alpha}$ .

So we have a perfectly normal, locally compact and locally connected space  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} X_{\alpha}$  where each  $X_{\alpha}$  is open, hereditarily Lindelöf and  $\operatorname{cl}(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} X_{\beta}) \subset X_{\alpha}$ . We let  $X'_{\alpha} = X_{\alpha} - \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} X_{\beta}$ . In order to show that X is subparacompact we use the characterization of perfect subparacompactness given in Theorem 5.

Let  $\{W_{\beta}\}_{{\beta}<\gamma}$  be a well-ordered open cover of X. Since each  $X_{\alpha}$  is perfect and subparacompact, for each  $\alpha<\omega_1$  there is a sequence  $\{\mathfrak{A}_{\alpha n}\}_{n\in\omega}$  of open covers of  $X_{\alpha}$  having the property described in Theorem 5 with respect to the open cover  $\{W_{\beta}\cap X_{\alpha}\}_{{\beta}<\gamma}$  of  $X_{\alpha}$ . We may assume that  $\mathfrak{A}_{\alpha(n+1)}$  refines  $\mathfrak{A}_{\alpha n}$  for  $n\in\omega$  and  $\alpha<\omega_1$ .

For each  $\alpha < \omega_1$  we can choose by induction a sequence  $\{\mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}\}_{n \in \omega}$  having the following properties.

- (a)  $\mathcal{K}_{an}$  is a countable collection of open sets covering  $X'_{a}$  and refining  $\mathcal{U}_{an}$ ,
- (b) if  $K \in \mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}$ , cl(K) is compact,  $cl(K) \subset X_{\alpha}$  and  $K \cap X'_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$ , and
- (c) if  $x \in X'_{\alpha}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a finite subcollection of  $\bigcup \{\mathfrak{K}_{\beta j} | \beta < \alpha \text{ and } j \in \omega \}$  then there are infinitely many distinct elements of  $\mathfrak{K}_{\alpha n}$  containing x and not intersecting  $cl(\bigcup \mathcal{F})$ .

Note that since X is locally connected and normal, there are uncountably many distinct open sets containing a given point and lying within a given neighborhood. This, together with the fact that  $X_{\alpha}$  is Lindelöf, allows us to easily construct  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}$  satisfying (c).

Let  $\mathfrak{K}_{\alpha} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathfrak{K}_{\alpha n}$ ; let  $\mathfrak{K} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \mathfrak{K}_{\alpha}$ . Note that  $|\mathfrak{K}_{\alpha}| = \omega$  and  $|\mathfrak{K}| = \omega_1$ . For  $K \in \mathfrak{K}_{\alpha}$ , define  $g(K) = \alpha$ ; observe that  $g(K) = \sup\{\beta | K \cap X'_{\beta} \neq \emptyset\}$ .

Define P to be the set of all functions f such that

- (1) the domain of f, called D(f), is a finite subset of  $\mathfrak{K}$ ,
- (2) the range of f, called R(f), is a subset of  $\omega$ , and
- (3) if  $H, K \in D(f)$ , g(H) < g(K), and  $H \cap K \cap X'_{g(H)} \neq \emptyset$ , then f(H) > f(K). Partially order P by defining  $f \leq g$  provided g extends f.

If  $K \in \mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}$ , define  $F_{Kn} = \{ f \in P | D(f) \cap \mathcal{K}_{\alpha n} \cap \{ H | f(H) > n \} \text{ covers } K \cap X'_{\alpha} \}$ . Clearly,  $F_{Kn}$  is open in  $(P, \leq)$ . We will prove that  $F_{Kn}$  is dense. Suppose  $f \in P$ . Since  $\overline{K} \cap X'_{\alpha}$  is compact and  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}$  has property (c), we can choose a finite collection  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}$  such that  $\mathcal{G}$  covers  $K \cap X'_{\alpha}$ ,  $\mathcal{G} \cap D(f) = \emptyset$ , and if  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $J \in D(f) \cap \{ H \in \mathcal{K} | g(H) < \alpha \}$  then  $G \cap \overline{J} = \emptyset$ . Let

$$m = \max\{n, \max\{f(H)|H \in D(f)\}\}.$$

We choose  $h \in P$  such that  $D(h) = D(f) \cup \mathcal{G}$ ,  $h \upharpoonright D(f) = f$ , and if  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  f(G) = m. Since h extends f and  $h \in F_{Kn}$ ,  $F_{Kn}$  is dense in  $(P, \leq)$ .

The proof that  $(P, \leq)$  is ccc is identical to the proof given by Rudin.

Since  $(P, \leq)$  is ccc,  $\{F_{Kn}: K \in \mathcal{K}, n \in \omega\}$  has cardinality  $\omega_1$ , and each  $F_{Kn}$  is open and dense in  $(P, \leq)$ , by  $(MA + \neg CH)$  there is a generic  $G \subset P$  which intersects every  $F_{Kn}$ . If f and f' belong to G there is an  $h \in P$  such that h extends both f and f'. We use this G to find a sequence  $\{\mathcal{Q}_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  of covers of X satisfying Theorem 5 with respect to the open cover  $\{W_{\beta}\}_{\beta \leq \gamma}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{K}' = \{K \in \mathfrak{K} | K \in D(f) \text{ for some } f \in G\}$ . Note that if f and f' belong to G and  $K \in D(f) \cap D(f')$  then f(K) = f'(K). We define a function  $F: \mathfrak{K}' \to \omega$  by F(K) = f(K) where  $f \in G$  and  $K \in D(f)$ . Let  $D_n = \{K \in \mathfrak{K}' | F(K) > n\}$ .

For  $n \in \omega$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , and  $x \in X'_{\alpha}$ , choose  $U_{xn} \in D_n \cap \mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}$  with  $x \in U_{xn}$ . Such a  $U_{xn}$  exists since if  $x \in X'_{\alpha}$  there is a  $K \in \mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}$  containing x and  $G \cap F_{Kn} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}_n = \{U_{xn} | x \in X\}$ .

We claim that  $\{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n\in\omega}$  witnesses the fact that X is (perfectly) subparacompact. To see this, suppose  $x\in X$  and  $\beta<\omega_1$  is the first ordinal such that  $x\in W_\beta$ . There is an  $\alpha<\omega_1$  such that  $x\in X_\alpha'$ . By (a) there is an  $m\in\omega$  such that  $\mathrm{st}(x,\,\mathcal{K}_\alpha m)\subset W_\beta$ . Choose  $K_x\in\mathcal{K}_\alpha\cap\mathcal{K}'$  such that  $x\in K_x$ . Let  $n=\max\{m,\,F(K_x)\}$ . We show that  $\mathrm{st}(x,\,\mathcal{A}_n)\subset W_\beta$ .

Suppose that  $x \in U_{yn} \in \mathcal{U}_n$ . Then  $y \in X'_{\delta}$  for some  $\delta < \omega_1$  and  $U_{yn} \in D_n \cap \mathcal{K}_{\delta n}$ .

Case (i).  $\alpha = \delta$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha n}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha m}$ ,  $\operatorname{st}(x, \mathcal{K}_{\alpha n}) \subset W_{\beta}$  and  $U_{\gamma n} \subset \operatorname{st}(x, \mathcal{K}_{\alpha n})$ .

696 D. J. LANE

Case (ii).  $\alpha > \delta$ . Since  $U_{yn} \in \mathcal{K}_{\delta n}$  implies that  $U_{yn} \subset X'_{\delta}$ ,  $\alpha > \delta$  contradicts the fact that  $x \in U_{yn}$ .

Case (iii).  $\alpha < \delta$ . Since  $U_{yn} \in D_n$  we know that  $F(U_{yn}) > n > F(K_x)$ . However since  $K_x$  and  $U_{yn}$  are both in  $\mathcal{K}'$  and G is generic in (P, <), there is an  $f \in P$  such that  $H_x$  and  $U_{yn}$  are in D(f). Since  $\alpha = g(K_x)$  and  $\delta = g(U_{yn})$  and  $X'_{\alpha} \cap K_x \cap U_{yn} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\alpha < \delta$  gives a contradiction to (3).

Thus the sequence  $\{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n\in\omega}$  has the desired property. By Theorem 5, X is subparacompact.

COROLLARY TO THEOREM 6. If  $(MA + \neg CH)$ , then every component of a perfectly normal, locally compact, locally connected space is Lindelöf.

This follows from the fact that every locally compact, paracompact space is the free union of  $\sigma$ -compact spaces.

## REFERENCES

- [A, Z] K. Alster and P. L. Zenor, On the collectionwise normality of generalized manifolds, Topology Proceedings, Vol. I (Conf. Auburn Univ., Auburn, Ala., 1976), Math Dept., Auburn Univ., Auburn, Ala., 1977, pp. 125-127.
- [C, Z] J. Chaber and P. L. Zenor, On perfect subparacompactness and a metrization theorem for Moore spaces, Topology Proceedings, Vol. II (Conf. Auburn Univ., Auburn, Ala., 1977), Math. Dept., Auburn Univ., Auburn, Ala., 1978, pp. 401-407.
- [J] I. Juhasz, Cardinal functions in topology, Math. Centre Tract, no. 34, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1971.
- [R, Z] G. M. Reed and P. L. Zenor, Metrization of Moore spaces and generalized manifolds, Fund. Math. 91 (1976), 203-210.
- [Ru] M. E. Rudin, The undecidability of the existence of a perfectly normal nonmetrizable manifold, preprint, 1978.
- [Ru, Z] M. E. Rudin and P. L. Zenor, A perfectly normal nonmetrizable manifold, Houston J. Math. 2 (1976), 129-134.
  - [S] Z. Szentmiklossy, S-spaces and L-spaces under Martin's Axiom, preprint, 1978.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AUBURN UNIVERSITY, AUBURN, ALABAMA 36830