FIXED SUBALGEBRA OF A COMMUTATIVE FROBENIUS ALGEBRA

GORO AZUMAYA

ABSTRACT. Let B be a finite-dimensional commutative algebra generated by a single element, and let $A = B \otimes B$. We prove that the fixed subalgebra of A under the involution $b_1 \otimes b_2 \mapsto b_2 \otimes b_1$ is Frobenius if and only if either the characteristic of B is different from 2 or B is separable.

1. Introduction. Let A be a (finite-dimensional) Frobenius algebra over a field and G a finite group of automorphisms of A . As is shown by J. L. Pascaud and J. Valette [3], it does not necessarily follow then that the fixed subalgebra A^G under G is Frobenius; indeed, they give an example of a local commutative Frobenius A of any characteristic different from 2 and a group G of order 2 such that A^G is not Frobenius. (Note that for commutative algebras the concepts of "Frobenius" and "quasi-Frobenius" coincide.) In connection with this, N. Jacobson has raised the following question: Suppose B is a commutative Frobenius algebra, or more particularly, an algebra generated by a single element, $A = B \otimes B$, and G is the group of order 2 generated by the automorphism $\sigma: b_1 \otimes b_2 \mapsto b_2 \otimes b_1$ of A . Is then A^G Frobenius too? In the present paper the question is answered affirmatively in the case where B is singly generated and the characteristic of B is different from 2.

2. Lemmas. Let B be a finite-dimensional commutative algebra over a field K , $A = B \otimes_K B$ and $G = \{1, \sigma\}$, where σ is the automorphism as above. Suppose that $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$ are a basis of B over K . Then the n^2 elements $w_i \otimes w_j$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq n$) form a basis of A . If we observe that $(w_i \otimes w_j)^\sigma = w_j \otimes w_i$ for all i, j , we can easily derive the following

LEMMA 1. *Let $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$ be a basis of B over K . Then the n elements $w_i \otimes w_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) and $n(n-1)/2$ elements $w_i \otimes w_j + w_j \otimes w_i$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq n$) together form a basis of A^G over K .*

Let L be an extension field of K . Then $B \otimes_K L$ is considered a commutative algebra over L , and we have $A \otimes_K L = (B \otimes_K L) \otimes_L (B \otimes_K L)$. The automorphism σ of A can also be regarded as an automorphism of $A \otimes_K L$ in the natural manner. Moreover, if $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$ are a basis of B over K then it is also a basis

Received by the editors April 3, 1980.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 16A36, 16A72, 16A74.

Key words and phrases. Finite group of automorphisms acting on a ring, Frobenius algebra, characteristic different from 2.

© 1981 American Mathematical Society
 0002-9939/81/0000-0063/\$02.00

of $B \otimes_K L$ over L , and therefore by applying Lemma 1 to $B \otimes_K L$ and to this basis we know that the $n + n(n-1)/2 (= n(n+1)/2)$ elements given in Lemma 1 together form a basis of $(A \otimes_K L)^G$ over L . Thus we have

LEMMA 2. *Let L be an extension field of K . Then $(A \otimes_K L)^G = A^G \otimes_K L$.*

Let $B = B_1 \oplus B_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_r$ be a decomposition of B into a direct sum of orthogonal subalgebras. Put $A_{\alpha,\beta} = B_\alpha \otimes_K B_\beta$ for every $\alpha, \beta (= 1, 2, \dots, r)$. Then $A_{\alpha,\beta}$'s are orthogonal subalgebras of A such that $A = \sum \oplus A_{\alpha,\beta}$. Each $A_{\alpha,\beta}$ clearly satisfies $A_{\alpha,\beta}^\sigma = A_{\beta,\alpha}$, and in particular every $A_{\alpha,\alpha}$ is a G -invariant subalgebra. Let (α, β) be a pair such that $1 < \alpha < \beta < r$, and put $\tilde{A}_{\alpha,\beta} = A_{\alpha,\beta} \oplus A_{\beta,\alpha}$. Then $\tilde{A}_{\alpha,\beta}$ is also a G -invariant subalgebra, and we have $\tilde{A}_{\alpha,\beta}^G = \{a + a^\sigma | a \in A_{\alpha,\beta}\}$, since $A_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $A_{\beta,\alpha}$ are orthogonal; thus we know that, by associating $a \in A_{\alpha,\beta}$ with $a + a^\sigma$, $\tilde{A}_{\alpha,\beta}^G$ is isomorphic to $A_{\alpha,\beta}$ as algebras. We have therefore the following

LEMMA 3. *Let B be a direct sum of orthogonal subalgebras B_α , $1 < \alpha < r$. Let $A_{\alpha,\beta} = B_\alpha \otimes_K B_\beta$ for every α, β , and let $\tilde{A}_{\alpha,\beta} = A_{\alpha,\beta} \oplus A_{\beta,\alpha}$ for every α, β such that $1 < \alpha < \beta < r$. Then the $r(r+1)/2$ algebras $A_{\alpha,\alpha}$ and $\tilde{A}_{\alpha,\beta}$ are orthogonal G -invariant subalgebras of $A = B \otimes_K B$, and A is a direct sum of these subalgebras; moreover, every algebra $\tilde{A}_{\alpha,\beta}^G$ is isomorphic to $A_{\alpha,\beta}$.*

LEMMA 4. *Let B be a commutative Frobenius algebra, and let $A = B \otimes_K B$. Then A^G is Frobenius if and only if $A_{\alpha,\alpha}^G$ is Frobenius for every α .*

PROOF. From Lemma 3 it follows that A^G is the direct sum of orthogonal subalgebras $A_{\alpha,\alpha}^G$ and $\tilde{A}_{\alpha,\beta}^G$, where the latter algebras are isomorphic to $A_{\alpha,\beta}$. Therefore A^G is Frobenius if and only if all $A_{\alpha,\alpha}^G$ and $A_{\alpha,\beta}$ are Frobenius. Since however B is a Frobenius algebra, each direct summand subalgebra B_α and hence $A_{\alpha,\beta} = B_\alpha \otimes_K B_\beta$ are Frobenius algebras too. Therefore, in order that A^G be Frobenius it is (necessary and) sufficient that $A_{\alpha,\alpha}^G$ be Frobenius for all α .

3. Main results.

PROPOSITION 5. *Let B be an algebra generated by a nonzero nilpotent element over a field K . Then A^G is a Frobenius algebra if and only if the characteristic of K is different from 2.*

PROOF. Let $B = K[c]$ with a nilpotent element $c \neq 0$ of B . Let n be the least positive integer such that $c^n = 0$. Then $n > 2$, and the n elements $1, c, c^2, \dots, c^{n-1}$ form a basis of B over K . Therefore, by Lemma 1, the n elements $c^i \otimes c^i$ ($0 \leq i \leq n-1$) and $n(n-1)/2$ elements $c^i \otimes c^j + c^j \otimes c^i$ ($0 \leq i < j \leq n-1$) together form a basis of A^G over K . If we remove $1 \otimes 1$ (the unit element of A^G) out of the basis then we have a subset W . Let N be the K -subspace of A^G generated by W . Then since every element of W is nilpotent, N is contained in the radical of A^G . It is however clear that $A^G = N \oplus K(1 \otimes 1)$, and this, together with the fact that the radical is a proper ideal of A^G , implies that N coincides with the radical and the factor algebra A^G/N is isomorphic to K . Thus, A^G is a local ring and has an up-to-isomorphism unique simple module, which is one-dimensional over K . Moreover, N is the ideal of A^G generated by $c \otimes c$ and

$1 \otimes c + c \otimes 1$, because $c^i \otimes c^i = (c \otimes c)^i$ for $i > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \otimes c^2 + c^2 \otimes 1 &= (1 \otimes c + c \otimes 1)^2 - 2(c \otimes c), \\ 1 \otimes c^i + c^i \otimes 1 &= (1 \otimes c + c \otimes 1)(1 \otimes c^{i-1} + c^{i-1} \otimes 1) \\ &\quad - (c \otimes c)(1 \otimes c^{i-2} + c^{i-2} \otimes 1) \quad \text{for } i > 2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$c^i \otimes c^j + c^j \otimes c^i = (c \otimes c)^i (1 \otimes c^{j-i} + c^{j-i} \otimes 1) \quad \text{for } j > i > 0.$$

(More precisely, by using these equalities, it is possible to show without difficulty that every element of W is expressed as a polynomial of $c \otimes c$ and $1 \otimes c + c \otimes 1$ with integral coefficients and without constant term.)

Let now M be the socle of A^G , i.e., the sum of all simple ideals of A^G . As is well known, M is then the annihilator of the radical N in A^G and therefore is the annihilator of the generators $c \otimes c$ and $1 \otimes c + c \otimes 1$ of N in A^G . Let M' be the annihilator of $c \otimes c$ in A^G . If we observe that

$$(c \otimes c)(c^i \otimes c^i) = c^{i+1} \otimes c^{i+1}$$

is a member of W or $= 0$ according as $0 < i < n-2$ or $j = n-1$ and also

$$(c \otimes c)(c^i \otimes c^j + c^j \otimes c^i) = c^{i+1} \otimes c^{j+1} + c^{j+1} \otimes c^{i+1}$$

is a member of W or $= 0$ according as $0 < i < j < n-2$ or $j = n-1$, then we know that M' is the K -subspace of A^G generated by $c^{n-1} \otimes c^{n-1}$, $1 \otimes c^{n-1} + c^{n-1} \otimes 1$, $c \otimes c^{n-1} + c^{n-1} \otimes c$, $\dots$, $c^{n-2} \otimes c^{n-1} + c^{n-1} \otimes c^{n-2}$. Next multiply these n elements by $1 \otimes c + c \otimes 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes c + c \otimes 1)(c^{n-1} \otimes c^{n-1}) &= 0, \\ (1 \otimes c + c \otimes 1)(1 \otimes c^{n-1} + c^{n-1} \otimes 1) &= c \otimes c^{n-1} + c^{n-1} \otimes c, \dots, \\ (1 \otimes c + c \otimes 1)(c^{n-3} \otimes c^{n-1} + c^{n-1} \otimes c^{n-3}) &= c^{n-2} \otimes c^{n-1} + c^{n-1} \otimes c^{n-2}, \\ (1 \otimes c + c \otimes 1)(c^{n-2} \otimes c^{n-1} + c^{n-1} \otimes c^{n-2}) &= 2(c^{n-1} \otimes c^{n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where the last element is 0 if and only if the characteristic of K is 2. This means that the annihilator of $1 \otimes c + c \otimes 1$ in M' , i.e., the annihilator M of N in A^G is $K \cdot (c^{n-1} \otimes c^{n-1})$ or $K \cdot (c^{n-1} \otimes c^{n-1}) \oplus K \cdot (c^{n-2} \otimes c^{n-1} + c^{n-1} \otimes c^{n-2})$ according as the characteristic of K is different from 2 or equal to 2. Now, since A^G is a local ring, A^G is a Frobenius algebra if and only if A^G has a unique simple ideal, or equivalently, its socle M is simple. But since A^G/N is isomorphic to K , this is equivalent to the condition that M is one-dimensional over K . Thus we have that A^G is Frobenius if and only if $M = K \cdot (c^{n-1} \otimes c^{n-1})$, i.e., the characteristic of K is different from 2.

COROLLARY 6. *Let $B = K[a]$, and let the minimal polynomial $f(t)$ of a over K be a power of a linear polynomial in K . Then A^G is a Frobenius algebra if and only if the characteristic of K is different from 2 or $f(t)$ is linear.*

PROOF. Let $f(t) = (t - \lambda)^n$, $\lambda \in K$. If we put $c = a - \lambda$ then $B = K[c]$ and n is the least positive integer such that $c^n = 0$. Thus, if $n > 1$ then $c \neq 0$ and by Proposition 5, A^G is Frobenius if and only if the characteristic of K is different

from 2, while if $n = 1$ then $c = 0$ and clearly $B = K = A = A^G$ and the K -algebra K is trivially a Frobenius algebra.

THEOREM 7. *Let $B = K[a]$ and let $f(t)$ be the minimal polynomial of a over K . Let $A = B \otimes_K B$ and let $G = \{1, \sigma\}$, where σ is the automorphism of A defined by $(b_1 \otimes b_2)^\sigma = b_2 \otimes b_1$ for $b_1, b_2 \in B$. Then A^G is a Frobenius algebra over K if and only if the characteristic of K is different from 2 or $f(t)$ is separable (i.e., $f(t)$ has no multiple root in a splitting field).*

PROOF. (i) Assume first that K is algebraically closed. Then $f(t)$ is decomposed as

$$f(t) = (t - \lambda_1)^{n_1} (t - \lambda_2)^{n_2} \cdots (t - \lambda_r)^{n_r},$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r$ are distinct roots of $f(t)$ in K . As is well known, this decomposition yields a direct decomposition $B = B_1 \oplus B_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_r$ into orthogonal subalgebras such that if a_α is the B_α -component of a then $B_\alpha = K[a_\alpha]$ and $(t - \lambda_\alpha)^{n_\alpha}$ is the minimal polynomial of a_α over K for every α . Now, as a homomorphic image of the polynomial ring $K[t]$, B is a principal ideal ring and therefore a Frobenius algebra (cf. Nakayama [1, Theorem 16]). From this follows by Lemma 4 that A^G is Frobenius if and only if $A_{\alpha, \alpha}^G$, where $A_{\alpha, \alpha} = B_\alpha \otimes_K B_\alpha$, is Frobenius for every α . On the other hand, according to Corollary 6, each $A_{\alpha, \alpha}^G$ is Frobenius if and only if the characteristic of K is different from 2 or $n_\alpha = 1$. Thus we have that A^G is a Frobenius algebra if and only if either the characteristic of K is different from 2 or $n_1 = n_2 = \cdots = n_r = 1$.

(ii) Suppose that K is not necessarily algebraically closed. Let L be the algebraic closure of K . Then $(A \otimes_K L)^G = A^G \otimes_K L$ by Lemma 2. Therefore it follows by Nakayama [1, Theorem 14] or Nakayama and Nesbitt [2, Theorem 5] that A^G is Frobenius over K if and only if $(A \otimes_K L)^G$ is Frobenius over L . But if we observe that $A \otimes_K L = (B \otimes_K L) \otimes_L (B \otimes_K L)$, $B \otimes_K L = L[a]$ and the minimal polynomial of a over L is the same as $f(t)$, we can conclude by the above case (i) that the latter condition is equivalent to the condition that the characteristic of L whence of K is different from 2 and $f(t)$ has no multiple root in L . This completes the proof of our Theorem.

REFERENCES

1. T. Nakayama, *On Frobeniusean algebras*. II, *Ann. of Math.* **42** (1941), 1–21.
2. T. Nakayama and C. J. Nesbitt, *Note on symmetric algebras*, *Ann. of Math.* **39** (1938), 659–668.
3. J. L. Pascaud and J. Valette, *Group actions on Q - F -rings*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **76** (1979), 43–44.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, INDIANA 47401