SPHERICAL SUMMABILITY OF DIFFERENTIATED MULTIPLE FOURIER SERIES¹

M. J. KOHN

ABSTRACT. We prove a theorem on the Bochner-Riesz summability of formally differentiated multiple Fourier series.

1. Suppose $f(t)$ is a periodic function of a single variable. Let $S[f]$ be its Fourier series and let $S^{(r)}[f]$ be the series obtained by formally differentiating $S[f]$ r times. The following result, see [6, vol. II, p. 60], is well known: *If $f(t_0)$ has an r th symmetric derivative equal to s then $S^{(r)}[f]$ is summable (C, α) at t_0 to sum s provided $\alpha > r$.*

In this paper we establish a p -dimensional analogue to the preceding result. For functions of several variables we use a definition of "weighted symmetric derivative" based upon taking weighted spherical averages and expanding them into power series. The weights we use are surface harmonics.

In p dimensions let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$, $n = (n_1, \dots, n_p)$, $x' = |x|^{-1}x$, $T^p = \{x \in E^p \mid -\pi < x_i \leq \pi, i = 1, \dots, p\}$, and $\Sigma = \{x \in E^p \mid |x| = 1\}$. Let $\Omega(\eta)$ be a surface harmonic of order ν ; that is, the restriction to Σ of a polynomial that is harmonic and homogeneous of degree ν . Let r be an integer of the form $r = \nu + 2k$, where k is a nonnegative integer. Suppose $F(x)$ is defined in a neighborhood of $x_0 \in E^p$. We will say $F(x_0)$ has an r th Ω -derivative equal to s if F is integrable over each sphere $|x - x_0| = t$, for t small, and if

$$\begin{aligned} (2\pi)^{-p/2} \int_{\eta \in \Sigma} F(x_0 + t\eta) \Omega(\eta) ds(\eta) \\ = a_r t^r + a_{r+2} t^{r+2} + \dots + a_r t^r + o(t^r) \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

as $r \rightarrow 0$, where

$$a_r = \frac{2^{-p/2-r-1} s}{((r-\nu)/2)! \Gamma((r+\nu+p)/2)}$$

and $ds(\eta)$ represents the element of $(p-1)$ -dimensional surface area.

The previous definition is taken from [3] where the following result is proved: *Suppose $P(x)$ is a harmonic polynomial homogeneous of degree ν and let $\Omega(\eta)$ be the restriction of $P(x)$ to Σ . Let $r = \nu + 2k$ where k is a nonnegative integer. If $F(x)$ and*

Received by the editors March 10, 1980.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 42B05.

Key words and phrases. Multiple Fourier series, Bochner-Riesz summability, weighted symmetric derivative.

¹This work has been partially supported by the National Science Foundation under grant #MCS-8003584.

© 1981 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/81/0000-0168/\$02.50

all partial derivatives of F of order $\leq r + 1$ are continuous in a neighborhood of $x_0 \in E^p$, then $F(x_0)$ has an r th Ω -derivative with value $P(\text{grad})\Delta^k F(x_0)$.

Now let $F(x)$ be defined for $x \in T^p$. Let $S[F]$ denote the multiple Fourier series of F . If $P(x)$ is a polynomial for $x \in E^p$, let $P(D)S[F]$ denote the series obtained by formally applying the differential operator $P(D)$ to $S[F]$.

We will say a multiple trigonometric series $\sum c_n \exp(in \cdot x)$ is Bochner-Riesz- β summable at x_0 to s if

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^p} c_n \exp(in \cdot x) \varphi(|n|/R) = s \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$\varphi(u) = \begin{cases} (1 - u^2)^\beta & \text{if } 0 \leq u < 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } u \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

THEOREM. Let $P(x)$ be a harmonic polynomial homogeneous of degree ν and let $\Omega(\eta)$ be the restriction of $P(x)$ to the unit sphere. Let r be a number of the form $r = \nu + 2k$, where k is a nonnegative integer. Suppose $F(x_0)$ has an r th Ω -derivative equal to s . Then $P(D)\Delta^k S[F]$ is Bochner-Riesz- β summable at x_0 to s , provided $\beta > r + (p - 1)/2$.

This theorem has been proven when $\nu = r = 0$ in [1] and when $\nu = 0$, $r > 0$ in [4]. The proof here is based on a modification of the arguments from [1] and [4].

2. Proof of the Theorem. We are given

$$\begin{aligned} (2\pi)^{-p/2} \int_{\eta \in \Sigma} F(x_0 + t\eta) \Omega(\eta) ds(\eta) \\ = a_\nu t^\nu + a_{\nu+2} t^{\nu+2} + \cdots + a_r t^r + o(t^r) \end{aligned}$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. We may assume without loss of generality, see [4], that $a_\nu = a_{\nu+2} = \cdots = a_r = 0$. Hence

$$(2\pi)^{-p/2} \int_{\eta \in \Sigma} F(x_0 + t\eta) \Omega(\eta) ds(\eta) = o(t^r) \quad (2.1)$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. Let

$$S[F] = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^p} c_n e^{in \cdot x}.$$

Then

$$P(D)\Delta^k S[F] = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^p} (-1)^k |n|^{2k} P(in) c_n e^{in \cdot x}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_R(x) &= \sum_{|n| < R} (-1)^k |n|^{2k} P(in) c_n e^{in \cdot x} (1 - |n|^2/R^2)^\beta \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^p} (-1)^k |n|^{2k} P(in) c_n e^{in \cdot x} \varphi(|n|/R). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

We must show $\sigma_R(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

We begin by establishing the following relation:

$$\sigma_R(x) = (-1)^k R^{r+1} \int_0^\infty F_\Omega(x, t) H_\varphi(Rt) dt \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$F_\Omega(x, t) = (2\pi)^{-p/2} \int_{\eta \in \Sigma} F(x + t\eta) \Omega(\eta) ds(\eta) \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$H_\varphi(c) = c^{p/2} \int_0^\infty u^{r+p/2} \varphi(u) J_{\nu+(p-2)/2}(cu) du. \quad (2.5)$$

We first prove (2.3) for the special case when $F(x)$ is an exponential monomial, $F(x) = \exp(in \cdot x)$. In this case,

$$\sigma_R(x) = (-1)^k |n|^{2k} P(in) \exp(in \cdot x) \varphi(|n|/R) \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_\Omega(x, t) &= (2\pi)^{-p/2} \int_{\eta \in \Sigma} e^{in \cdot (x + t\eta)} \Omega(\eta) ds(\eta) \\ &= e^{in \cdot x} (2\pi)^{-p/2} \int_{\eta \in \Sigma} e^{in \cdot t\eta} \Omega(\eta) ds(\eta). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

The last integral on the right of (2.7) can be evaluated by the Funk-Hecke theorem, see [2, p. 181]: if $\xi \in \Sigma$ and $f(h)$ is continuous for $-1 < h < 1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\eta \in \Sigma} f(\xi \cdot \eta) \Omega(\eta) ds(\eta) \\ = \Omega(\xi) \cdot \frac{2^{-\nu+1} \pi^{(p-1)/2}}{\Gamma(\nu + (p-1)/2)} \int_{-1}^1 f^{(\nu)}(h) (1-h^2)^{\nu+(p-3)/2} dh. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

We apply (2.8) with $\xi = n' = |n|^{-1}n$ and $f(h) = \exp(i|n|th)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\eta \in \Sigma} \exp(in \cdot t\eta) \Omega(\eta) ds(\eta) &= \int_{\eta \in \Sigma} f(n' \cdot \eta) \Omega(\eta) ds(\eta) \\ &= \Omega(n') \frac{2^{-\nu+1} \pi^{(p-1)/2} (i|n|t)^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu + (p-1)/2)} \\ &\quad \cdot \int_{-1}^1 e^{i|n|th} (1-h^2)^{\nu+(p-3)/2} dh. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

The last integral on the right can be evaluated by formula 4 of [5, p. 48]. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 e^{i|n|th} (1-h^2)^{\nu+(p-3)/2} dh \\ = \frac{\Gamma(\nu + (p-1)/2)}{\pi^{-1/2} (1/2|n|t)^{\nu+(p-2)/2}} J_{\nu+(p-2)/2}(|n|t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Combining (2.7), (2.9), and (2.10), we obtain

$$F_\Omega(x, t) = e^{in \cdot x} \Omega(n') i^\nu (|n|t)^{-l} J_{\nu+l}(|n|t), \quad (2.11)$$

where $l = (p-2)/2$.

We next use *Hankel's repeated integral*, see [5, p. 456]: if $g(u)$ is continuous at s and if $\int_0^\infty g(u) u^{1/2} du < \infty$ then

$$g(s) = \int_0^\infty c J_{r+l}(cs) dc \int_0^\infty g(u) J_{r+l}(cu) u du.$$

We use this formula with $g(s) = \varphi(s)s^{r+l}$. Then

$$\varphi(s)s^{r+l} = \int_0^\infty c J_{r+l}(cs) dc \int_0^\infty \varphi(u) u^{r+l} J_{r+l}(cu) u du.$$

Hence

$$\varphi(s) = s^{-r-l} \int_0^\infty c^{-l} J_{r+l}(cs) H_\varphi(c) dc$$

where $H_\varphi(c)$ is defined by (2.5). Therefore

$$\varphi(R^{-1}|n|) = (R^{-1}|n|)^{-r-l} \int_0^\infty c^{-l} J_{r+l}(cR^{-1}|n|) H_\varphi(c) dc.$$

We change variables in the last integral, letting $c = Rt$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(R^{-1}|n|) &= (R^{-1}|n|)^{-r-l} \int_0^\infty (Rt)^{-l} J_{r+l}(|n|t) H_\varphi(Rt) R dt \\ &= R^{r+1}|n|^{-r} \int_0^\infty (|n|t)^{-l} J_{r+l}(|n|t) H_\varphi(Rt) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Returning to (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_R(x) &= (-1)^k |n|^{2k} P(in) \exp(in \cdot x) \varphi(R^{-1}|n|) \\ &= (-1)^k i^r |n|^{r+2k} \Omega(n') \exp(in \cdot x) \varphi(R^{-1}|n|) \\ &= (-1)^k R^{r+1} \int_0^\infty F_\Omega(x, t) H_\varphi(Rt) dt, \end{aligned}$$

by (2.11). This establishes (2.3) for the special case when $F(x)$ is an exponential monomial. In the general case, formula (2.3) can be proved for arbitrary $F(x)$ using an approximation process. The argument is given in detail in the proof of Theorem V of [1] and is omitted here.

We next simplify $H_\varphi(c)$ by first changing variables and then using formula (1) from [5, p. 373].

$$\begin{aligned} H_\varphi(c) &= c^{l+1} \int_0^\infty u^{r+l+1} \varphi(u) J_{r+l}(uc) du \\ &= c^{l+1} \int_0^1 u^{r+l+1} (1-u^2)^\beta J_{r+l}(uc) du \\ &= c^{l+1} \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^{2\beta+1} \theta \sin^{r+l+1} \theta J_{r+l}(c \sin \theta) d\theta \\ &= c^{l+1} \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^{2\beta+1} \theta (1 - \cos^2 \theta)^k \sin^{r+l+1} \theta J_{r+l}(c \sin \theta) d\theta \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} c^{l+1} \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^{2j+2\beta+1} \theta \sin^{r+l+1} \theta J_{r+l}(c \sin \theta) d\theta \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} c^{l+1} 2^{j+\beta} \Gamma(j+\beta+1) c^{l-\beta-j} J_{r+l+\beta+j+1}(c) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k A_j c^{l-\beta-j} J_{r+l+\beta+j+1}(c). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

We now complete the proof of the Theorem. By (2.3) and (2.13),

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_R(x) &= (-1)^k R^{r+1} \int_0^\infty F_\Omega(x, t) H_\Phi(Rt) dt \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^k A_k R^{r+1} \int_0^\infty F_\Omega(x, t) (Rt)^{l-\beta-j} J_{r+l+\beta+j+1}(Rt) dt.\end{aligned}$$

We will show each term of the last sum tends to 0.

Fix j and fix $\delta > 0$. Let $R > \delta^{-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}R^{r+1} \int_0^\infty F_\Omega(x, t) (Rt)^{l-\beta-j} J_{r+l+\beta+j+1}(Rt) dt \\ = R^{r+1} \int_0^{1/R} + R^{r+1} \int_{1/R}^\delta + R^{r+1} \int_\delta^\infty = \text{I} + \text{II} + \text{III}.\end{aligned}$$

To estimate I we use the inequality $|J_s(z)| \leq A|z|^s$, for $|z| < 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}I &= R^{r+1} \int_0^{1/R} o(t^r) (Rt)^{l-\beta-j} O(Rt)^{r+l+\beta+j+1} dt \\ &= R^{r+2l+r+2} \int_0^{1/R} o(t^{r+2l+r+1}) dt = o(1),\end{aligned}$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

To estimate II we use the inequality $|J_s(z)| \leq A|z|^{-1/2}$ for $|z| \geq 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{II} &= R^{r+1} \int_{1/R}^\delta o(t^r) (Rt)^{l-\beta-j} O(Rt)^{-1/2} dt \\ &= R^{r+l-\beta-j+1/2} \int_{1/R}^\delta o(t^{r+l-\beta-j-1/2}) dt = o(1),\end{aligned}$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

For the estimate of III we recall that $F(x)$ is periodic, so if $\lambda > 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\delta+\lambda}^{\delta+\lambda+1} |F_\Omega(x, t)| dt \leq C. \\ |\text{III}| &\leq R^{r+1} \sum_{\lambda=0}^\infty \int_{\delta+\lambda}^{\delta+\lambda+1} |F_\Omega(x, t)| (Rt)^{l-\beta-j} O(Rt)^{-1/2} dt \\ &\leq R^{r+1} \sum_{\lambda=0}^\infty C \cdot (R(\delta + \lambda))^{l-\beta-j-1/2} \\ &= R^{r+l-\beta-j+1/2} \sum_{\lambda=0}^\infty C(\delta + \lambda)^{l-\beta-j-1/2} = o(1),\end{aligned}$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Note we needed $\beta > r + (p - 1)/2$ for the estimates of II and III. This completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

1. S. Bochner, *Summation of multiple Fourier series by spherical means*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **40** (1936), 175–207.
2. H. Hochstadt, *The functions of mathematical physics*, Interscience, New York, 1971.
3. M. Kohn, *On differentiation of multiple trigonometric series*, Illinois J. Math. (to appear).
4. V. Shapiro, *Circular summability C of double trigonometric series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1954), 223–233.
5. G. N. Watson, *Theory of Bessel functions*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1966.
6. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, vols. I and II, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1968.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BROOKLYN COLLEGE OF THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK,
BROOKLYN, NEW YORK 11210