## GENERALIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FUNDAMENTAL RESULTS ON FINITE GROUPS

## MORTON E. HARRIS AND DAVID A. SIBLEY

In this short note, we synthesize various fundamental results of finite groups and their proofs to obtain a generalization of J. G. Thompson's fundamental  $A \times B$  Lemma (cf. [5, Theorem 5.3.4]), a generalization of a fundamental result of H. Bender (cf. [2, Satz] and [4, Theorem 3.1]) and a generalization of an important result of N. Blackburn (cf. [3, Theorem]).

Our notation is standard and tends to follow the notation of [5]. In particular, p denotes a prime integer and all groups that we consider are finite.

Our first four results concern the following basic situation.

V is a p-group acted on by the group G where G = KP with  $K = O_{p'}(G)$  and  $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)$ .

We begin by observing that the proof of [2, Satz] yields a generalization of [5, Theorem 5.2.4].

THEOREM 1. Suppose that V is abelian. Let  $k \in K$  be such that  $\Omega_1(C_V(P)) \leq C_V(k)$ . Then [V, k] = 1.

PROOF. By [5, Theorem 5.2.4] and the observation that  $\Omega_1(C_{\nu}(P)) = C_{\Omega_1(\nu)}(P)$ , we may assume that  $V = \Omega_1(V)$ . Moreover, by replacing G by  $\overline{G} = G/C_K(V)$ , we may assume that  $C_K(V) = 1$ . Also  $K_1 = C_K(C_{\nu}(P))$  is P-invariant and hence we may assume that  $K = K_1$  and  $C_{\nu}(P) \leq C_{\nu}(G)$ . But  $V = C_{\nu}(K) \times [V, K]$  and P acts on [V, K] by [5, Theorem 5.2.3]. Suppose that  $[V, K] \neq 1$ . Then  $1 \neq C_{\nu}(P) \cap [V, K] \leq C_{\nu}(K)$  which is a contradiction. Thus [V, K] = 1 and we are done.

The next result also applies the proof of [2, Satz] to generalize the fundamental results [5, Theorems 5.3.4 and 5.3.10] and [4, Theorem 2.4] when  $p \neq 2$ .

THEOREM 2. Suppose that  $p \neq 2$ . Let  $k \in K$  be such that  $\Omega_1(C_V(P)) \leq C_V(k)$ . Then [V, k] = 1.

PROOF. As above, we may assume that  $C_K(V) = 1$  and  $\Omega_1(C_V(P)) \leq C_V(G)$ . Then [5, Theorem 5.3.13] implies that V contains a characteristic subgroup D of class at most 2 and exponent P such that K acts faithfully on P. Then  $C_D(P) \leq \Omega_1(C_V(P)) \leq C_V(G)$  and hence we may assume that V = D. As in [2, (B)], we now use an observation of R. Baer (cf. [1, Theorem B.1]) to conclude that we may assume that V is abelian. But then  $C_V(P) \leq C_V(G)$  and an application of Theorem 1 completes the proof.

Received by the editors July 9, 1980 and, in revised form, August 21, 1980. 1980 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20D45; Secondary 20E34.

Note that Theorem 2 is false for p = 2. We give two examples.

- (1) Let V be a direct product of n > 1 quaternion groups of order 8 and let G = KP where K is an elementary abelian group of order  $3^n$ ,  $P^{\#}$  consists of an involution inverting every element of K and where  $C_V(P) = C_V(K) = \Omega_1(V)$ .
- (2) Let V be a 2-group of type  $U_3(4)$  and let  $G = KP \le \operatorname{Aut}(V)$  with G a Frobenius group of order 20 such that  $C_V(P) \le C_V(K) = Z(V) = \Omega_1(V)$ , (cf. [6, VI, Lemma 2.5]).

However, utilizing the proof of [4, Theorem 3.1], we can demonstrate

THEOREM 3. Suppose that p = 2. Let  $k \in K$  be such that  $\langle [V, P], \Omega_2(C_V(P)) \rangle \leq C_V(k)$ . Then [V, k] = 1.

PROOF. As above, we may assume that  $C_K(V) = 1$ ,  $\langle [V, P], \Omega_2(C_V(P)) \rangle \leq C_V(K)$  and  $\Omega_2(C_V(P)) \leq C_V(G)$ . Also, since G acts on  $C_V(K)$  and on  $N_V(C_V(K))$ , we may assume that  $C_V(K) \leq V$ . As  $V = [V, K]C_V(K)$  by [5, Theorem 5.3.5], [V, K] = [V, K, K] by [5, Theorem 5.3.6] and [V, K] is G-invariant by [5, Theorem 2.2.1(iii)], we may assume that V = [V, K]. Theorem 1 implies that K acts trivially on every characteristic abelian subgroup of V. Hence [5, Exercise 5.4] implies that V is a nonabelian special 2-group. Thus  $\exp(V) = 4$  and  $C_V(P) \leq C_V(G)$ . On the other hand,  $K = [K, P]C_K(P)$  and  $P \times C_K(P)$  acts on V. Since  $C_K(V) = 1$ , [5, Theorem 5.3.4] implies that  $C_K(P) = 1$  and K = [K, P]. Note that [V, P, K] = 1 and  $[K, V, P] = [V, P] \leq C_V(K)$ . Hence  $[P, K, V] = [K, V] \leq C_V(K) \leq V$  by [5, Theorem 2.2.3(ii)]. Thus K stabilizes the chain  $V > C_V(K) > 1$  and [5, Theorem 5.3.2] forces K = 1 to complete the proof of Theorem 3.

It is easy to see that Theorem 3 implies Thompson's  $A \times B$  Lemma [5, Theorem 5.3.4] when p = 2. Suppose in the above that  $G = K \times P$ , p = 2, and  $C_{\nu}(P) \leq C_{\nu}(k)$  for some  $k \in K$ . Proceed by induction on |V|. Since [V, P] < V and G acts on [V, P], we conclude that  $[V, P] \leq C_{\nu}(k)$ . Then Theorem 3 yields the desired conclusion [V, k] = 1.

COROLLARY 3.1. Suppose that p = 2 and [V, P] is contained in a characteristic abelian subgroup of V. Let  $k \in K$  be such that  $\Omega_2(C_V(P)) \leq C_V(k)$ . Then [V, k] = 1.

PROOF. Let  $1 = M' \le M$  char V. Thus G acts on M and  $\Omega_1(C_M(P)) \le \Omega_2(C_V(P)) \le C_V(k)$ . Then Theorem 1 implies that  $M \le C_V(k)$  and Theorem 3 yields the desired conclusion.

The next result generalizes and presents an alternate proof of [3, Theorem].

THEOREM 4. Let G be a finite p-group, let E be a subgroup of G and let  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$  be such that  $E \leqslant C_G(\alpha)$ . Suppose that  $\Omega_1(C_G(E)) \leqslant C_G(\alpha)$  if  $p \neq 2$  and  $\Omega_2(C_G(E)) \leqslant C_G(\alpha)$  if p = 2. Then the order of  $\alpha$  is a power of p.

PROOF. Suppose that  $\alpha$  is also a p'-element of Aut(G). Since  $\langle \alpha \rangle \times E$  acts on G and  $\langle \alpha \rangle$  acts faithfully on  $C_G(E)$  by [5, Theorem 5.3.4], we conclude that  $\alpha = 1$  by Theorem 2 and Corollary 3.1 to complete the proof.

For our final result, we apply Theorems 2 and 3 to obtain generalizations of the fundamental results [2, Satz] and [4, Theorem 3.1] of H. Bender.

THEOREM 5. Let H be a p-constrained group, let  $Q \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(H)$ , let  $R = Q \cap O_{p',p}(H)$  and let A be a subgroup of Q. Also let K be an A-invariant p'-subgroup of G and observe that this implies that  $[A \cap R, K] < O_p(H)$ . In addition, assume the following two conditions:

- (a) if  $p \neq 2$ , then  $[\Omega_1(C_R(A)), K] \leq O_p(H)$ ; and
- (b) if p = 2, then  $\langle [\Omega_2(C_R(A)), K], [R, A, K] \rangle \leq O_p(H)$ . Then  $K \leq O_p(H)$ .

PROOF. Clearly we may assume that  $O_p(H) = 1$  and  $R = O_p(H)$ . Then KA acts on R,  $C_H(R) = Z(R)$  and Theorems 2 and 3 immediately yield the desired conclusion.

## REFERENCES

- 1. R. Baer, Groups with abelian central quotient group, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 44 (1938), 357-386.
- 2. H. Bender, Über den grössten p'-Normalteiler in p-auflösbaren Gruppen, Arch. Math. (Basel) 18 (1967), 15-16.
  - 3. N. Blackburn, Automorphisms of finite p-groups, J. Algebra 3 (1966), 28-29.
- 4. T. M. Gagen, Topics in finite groups, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series, no. 16, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1976.
  - 5. D. Gorenstein, Finite groups, Harper and Row, New York, 1968.
- 6. D. Gorenstein and K. Harada, Finite groups whose 2-subgroups are generated by at most 4 elements, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 147 (1974).

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55455

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PENNSYLVANIA 16802