A SUFFICIENT CONDITION FOR LINEAR GROWTH OF VARIANCES IN A STATIONARY RANDOM SEQUENCE

RICHARD C. BRADLEY, JR.

ABSTRACT. Suppose $(X_k, k = \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots)$ is a weakly stationary random sequence. For each positive integer n let $S_n \equiv X_1 + \dots + X_n$ and let $\tau(n) = \sup\{|\text{Corr}(\sum_{k=-I}^0 X_k, \sum_{k=m}^{m+I} X_k)| : m > n, I > 0\}$. If $\text{Var } S_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau(2^n) < \infty$, then $n^{-1} \text{Var } S_n$ converges to a finite positive limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$. A bound on the rate of convergence is obtained.

Let $(X_k, k = \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots)$ be a weakly stationary sequence of random variables on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. That is, $EX_k^2 < \infty \forall k$, there are constants μ and $\gamma(0)$ such that $EX_k = \mu$ and $\text{Var } X_k = \gamma(0) \forall k$, and there is a sequence of numbers $\gamma(1), \gamma(2), \gamma(3), \dots$ such that $\text{Cov}(X_k, X_l) = \gamma(|k - l|) \forall k \neq l$. For $-\infty \leq J \leq L < \infty$ let H_J^L denote the L^2 -closure of the linear space spanned by the r.v.'s $X_k - \mu, J \leq k \leq L$. For $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ let $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$ and define the quantities

$$r(n) = \sup\{|\text{Corr}(f, g)| : f \in H_{-\infty}^0, g \in H_n^{\infty}\},$$

$$\tau(n) = \sup_{\substack{m > n \\ I > 0}} \left| \text{Corr} \left(\sum_{k=-I}^0 X_k, \sum_{k=m}^{m+I} X_k \right) \right|.$$

Obviously the sequences $\{r(n)\}$ and $\{\tau(n)\}$ are each nonincreasing, and $0 \leq \tau(n) \leq r(n)$.

Ibragimov and Rozanov [3, Note 2, p. 190] proved the following theorem (it was originally proved in [4]).

THEOREM 0 (IBRAGIMOV AND ROZANOV). *If (X_k) is weakly stationary and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r(2^n) < \infty$, then (X_k) has an absolutely continuous spectral distribution function, with a continuous spectral density $f(\lambda)$.*

In [3, Note 2, p. 190] this is stated for stationary Gaussian sequences, but the extension to general weakly stationary sequences is easy. Ibragimov [2, Theorem 2.2] proved a central limit theorem for *strictly* stationary random sequences satisfying a condition similar to but stronger than $\sum r(2^n) < \infty$; in that theorem it was assumed that $f(0) > 0$. In central limit theorems for weakly dependent random variables it is common practice to assume $\text{Var } S_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consider the question whether $f(0) > 0$ follows if one assumes $\sum r(2^n) < \infty$ and $\text{Var } S_n \rightarrow \infty$.

Received by the editors November 26, 1980 and, in revised form, February 25, 1981.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60G10; Secondary 60G99.

Key words and phrases. Weakly stationary, variance of partial sums, spectral density.

© 1981 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/81/0000-0533/\$02.00

The answer is affirmative, and one can prove this as a corollary to Theorem 0 by using the results in Chapters 4 and 5 of [3]. It also follows easily from the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *If (X_k) is weakly stationary, $\text{Var } S_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau(2^n) < \infty$, then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *There exists a finite positive constant σ^2 such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \text{Var } S_n = \sigma^2$.*
- (ii) *For each γ , $0 < \gamma < 1$, there exist positive constants C and D such that for all $m \geq 1$,*

$$|\sigma^2 - m^{-1} \text{Var } S_m| \leq C \left(m^{(\gamma-1)/2} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau([(2^n m)^\gamma / D] + 1) \right)$$

where $[t]$ denotes the greatest integer $\leq t$.

Theorem 1 covers the case where $\tau(n) = o((\log n)^{-(1+\varepsilon)})$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. For the stationary Gaussian sequence given in Example 1 on pp. 179–180 of [3], $r(n) = O((\log n)^{-1})$ and $n^{-1} \text{Var } S_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Berkes and Philipp [1, Theorem 4] prove an “almost sure invariance principle” for strictly stationary random sequences satisfying the ϕ -mixing condition with a rather slow (logarithmic) mixing rate. Their conditions satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 1 (see [1, Lemma 4.1.1, p. 44]), and it would be interesting to see if one can choose $a_N = \sigma^2 N$ in the conclusion of their theorem. In the cases where $\tau(n) \rightarrow 0$ rapidly, other methods generally give much better bounds on $|\sigma^2 - m^{-1} \text{Var } S_m|$ than Theorem 1(ii) does.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. We will assume $EX_k = 0$ and $\text{Var } X_k = 1$. Let $S_0 \equiv 0$. For any r.v. X let $\|x\| \equiv (EX^2)^{1/2}$. For each $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ let $g(n) \equiv n^{-1/2} \|S_n\|$.

LEMMA 1. *If $N \geq 1$, $K \geq 0$, and $\tau(K+1) < \frac{1}{2}$, then for $L = 2N$ and for $L = 2N + 1$ one has*

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_N\| \cdot [2(1 - \tau(K+1))]^{1/2} (1 - 2b/\|S_N\|) &\leq \|S_L\| \\ &\leq \|S_N\| \cdot [2(1 + \tau(K+1))]^{1/2} (1 + 2b/\|S_N\|) \end{aligned}$$

where $b \equiv \max\{\|S_{K-1}\|, \|S_K\|\}$.

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} S_L &= S_N + (S_{N+K} - S_N) + (S_{2N+K} - S_{N+K}) - (S_{2N+K} - S_L), \\ (1) \quad 2(1 - \tau(K+1))\|S_N\|^2 &\leq \|S_N + S_{2N+K} - S_{N+K}\|^2 \\ &\leq 2(1 + \tau(K+1))\|S_N\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and Lemma 1 follows from Minkowski's inequality.

LEMMA 2. *Given any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any positive integer L_0 , there are positive integers N and L with $L \geq L_0$ such that $\forall l, L \leq l \leq 2L$, one has*

$$(1 - \varepsilon)g(N) \leq g(l) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)g(N).$$

PROOF. For each integer $J \geq 2$, $\text{Corr}(S_N, S_{JN} - S_{(J-1)N}) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. (For $J = 2$ this just follows from (1).) Hence $\forall J \geq 2$, $g(NJ)/g(N) \rightarrow 1$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Let M be a positive integer such that $(1 - \varepsilon) < (1 - \varepsilon)^{1/2} - M^{-1/2} < (1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2} + M^{-1/2} < (1 + \varepsilon)$. Let N be such that $MN \geq L_0$,

$$\|S_N\| = \max\{\|S_k\|, 1 \leq k \leq N\},$$

and for each m , $M \leq m \leq 2M$, one has

$$(1 - \varepsilon)^{1/2} < g(mN)/g(N) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2}.$$

Let $L = MN$.

If $L \leq l \leq 2L$, then for some m one has $M \leq m \leq 2M - 1$ and $mN \leq l \leq (m + 1)N$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \varepsilon)g(N) &< [(1 - \varepsilon)^{1/2} - (m + 1)^{-1/2}]g(N) \\ &\leq [(m + 1)N]^{-1/2}(\|S_{(m+1)N}\| - \|S_N\|) \\ &\leq g(l) \leq (mN)^{-1/2}(\|S_{mN}\| + \|S_N\|) \\ &\leq [(1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2} + m^{-1/2}]g(N) < (1 + \varepsilon)g(N) \end{aligned}$$

and Lemma 2 is proved.

Let $0 < A < 1$ be sufficiently small that if $(a_n, n = 1, 2, \dots)$ is any sequence of real numbers such that $\sum_n |a_n| \leq A$ then $|1 - \prod_n (1 + a_n)| \leq 2 \sum_n |a_n|$ and $|1 - \prod_n (1 + a_n)^{-1}| \leq 2 \sum_n |a_n|$. Let $[]$ denote the greatest-integer function.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1(i). Assume $0 < \varepsilon < A$. Let N be a positive integer such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau([2^{N+n/6}]) < \varepsilon/6$. Let $C > 0$ be such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{N+1-n/6} C^{-1} < \varepsilon/6$. Let L_0 be a positive integer such that (i) $\forall J \geq L_0, \|S_J\| \geq C$, (ii) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n} L_0^{-1} < \varepsilon/6$, and (iii) $\forall J \geq L_0, g(2J) > 2^{-1/6}g(J)$ and $g(2J + 1) > 2^{-1/6}g(J)$ (see Lemma 1). For each $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ let $K_n = [2^{N+n/6}]$. Using Lemma 2, let the positive integers H and L be such that $L \geq L_0$ and $\forall l, L \leq l \leq 2L$, one has $(1 - \varepsilon)g(H) < g(l) < (1 + \varepsilon)g(H)$.

Let m be an arbitrary positive integer satisfying $m \geq 2L$. We wish to prove $(1 - \varepsilon)^2 g(H) < g(m) < (1 + \varepsilon)^2 g(H)$.

For some positive integer M , $2^M L \leq m \leq 2^{M+1} L$. There is a sequence of positive integers $J_0, J_1, \dots, J_M$ such that $m = J_M$, $L \leq J_0 \leq 2L$, and for each $n = 0, 1, \dots, M - 1$, $J_{n+1} = 2J_n$ or $J_{n+1} = 2J_n + 1$.

For each $n = 0, 1, \dots, M - 1$, one has $\|S_{J(n)}\| \geq 2^{n/3} \|S_{J(0)}\| \geq 2^{n/3} C$, and using Lemma 1 and the inequality $\|S_K\| \leq K$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} g(J_{n+1}) &\geq g(J_n)(2J_n/J_{n+1})^{1/2}(1 - \tau(K_n + 1))^{1/2}(1 - 2K_n/(2^{n/3}C)) \\ &\geq g(J_n)(1 - 2^{-n}L_0^{-1})^{1/2}(1 - \tau(K_n + 1))^{1/2}(1 - 2^{N+1-n/6}C^{-1}), \\ g(J_{n+1}) &\leq g(J_n)(1 + \tau(K_n + 1))^{1/2}(1 + 2K_n/(2^{n/3}C)) \\ &\leq g(J_n)(1 + \tau(K_n + 1))^{1/2}(1 + 2^{N+1-n/6}C^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} g(m) &\geq g(J_0) \prod_{n=0}^{M-1} [(1 - 2^{-n}L_0^{-1})^{1/2}(1 - \tau(K_n + 1))^{1/2}(1 - 2^{N+1-n/6}C^{-1})], \\ g(m) &\leq g(J_0) \prod_{n=0}^{M-1} [(1 + \tau(K_n + 1))^{1/2}(1 + 2^{N+1-n/6}C^{-1})]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon < A$ we get $(1 - \varepsilon)g(J_0) \leq g(m) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)g(J_0)$ and hence $(1 - \varepsilon)^2g(H) < g(m) < (1 + \varepsilon)^2g(H)$, which is what we wanted to prove.

Hence $\liminf g(n)$ and $\limsup g(n)$ are finite positive numbers, and their ratio can be forced arbitrarily close to 1 if ε is chosen sufficiently small. Theorem 1(i) follows.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1(ii). Let σ^2 be as in Theorem 1(i). Assume $0 < \gamma < 1$. There are constants $0 < C_1 < C_2$ such that, for all $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, $C_1 < g(n) < C_2$.

Let N be a positive integer such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau([2^{(N+n)\gamma}]) < A/3$. Let L be a positive integer such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{1+N+n(\gamma-1)/2} C_2 / (C_1 L) < A/3$.

Suppose M is a nonnegative integer and $2^M L^2 < m < 2^{M+1} L^2$. For each $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ let $J_n = 2^n m$ and let $K_n = [2^{(N+n)\gamma}]$. Then by Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} g(J_{n+1}) &\geq g(J_n)(1 - \tau(K_{n+M} + 1))^{1/2} (1 - 2C_2 K_{n+M}^{1/2} / (C_1 J_n^{1/2})) \\ &\geq g(J_n)(1 - \tau(K_{n+M} + 1))^{1/2} (1 - C_2 (C_1 L)^{-1} 2^{1+N\gamma/2+(M+n)(\gamma-1)/2}), \\ g(J_{n+1}) &\leq g(J_n)(1 + \tau(K_{n+M} + 1))^{1/2} (1 + 2C_2 K_{n+M}^{1/2} / (C_1 J_n^{1/2})) \\ &\leq g(J_n)(1 + \tau(K_{n+M} + 1))^{1/2} (1 + C_2 (C_1 L)^{-1} 2^{1+N\gamma/2+(M+n)(\gamma-1)/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} g(l) = \sigma$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} g^2(m) &\leq \sigma^2 \cdot \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[(1 - \tau(K_{n+M} + 1))^{-1} (1 - C_2 (C_1 L)^{-1} 2^{1+N\gamma/2+(M+n)(\gamma-1)/2})^{-2} \right], \\ g^2(m) &\geq \sigma^2 \cdot \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[(1 + \tau(K_{n+M} + 1))^{-1} (1 + C_2 (C_1 L)^{-1} 2^{1+N\gamma/2+(M+n)(\gamma-1)/2})^{-2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

and hence by the definition of A ,

$$|\sigma^2 - g^2(m)| \leq 2\sigma^2 \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau(K_{n+M} + 1) + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{1+N\gamma/2+(M+n)(\gamma-1)/2} C_2 / (C_1 L) \right].$$

If we let $M \rightarrow \infty$ and $m \rightarrow \infty$ subject to the restriction $2^M L^2 < m < 2^{M+1} L^2$, then we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{(M+n)(\gamma-1)/2} &= O(2^{M(\gamma-1)/2}) = O(m^{(\gamma-1)/2}), \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau(K_{n+M} + 1) &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau([2^{n\gamma} m^\gamma / L^2] + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1(ii) follows.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The author thanks the referee and the editor for their careful reading of the manuscript and their helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. I. Berkes and W. Philipp, *Approximation theorems for independent and weakly dependent random vectors*, Ann. Probab. 7 (1979), 29–54.
2. I. A. Ibragimov, *A note on the central limit theorem for dependent random variables*, Theory Probab. Appl. 20 (1975), 135–141.
3. I. A. Ibragimov and Y. A. Rozanov, *Gaussian random processes*, Applications of Math., no. 9, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
4. ———, *Gaussian random processes*, "Nauka", Moscow, 1970. (Russian)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, INDIANA 47405