ON STRONG UNICITY OF L_1 -APPROXIMATION

ANDRÁS KROÓ

ABSTRACT. Let C_1 be the space of continuous functions on [0, 1] with norm $||f|| = \int_0^1 |f(x)| dx$, and let $G \subset C_1$ be a finite dimensional unicity subspace, i.e. any $f \in C_1$ possesses a unique best approximation out of G. Consider an arbitrary $f \in C_1$ such that 0 is its best approximation. Then for any $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ and $\tilde{g} \in G$ with $||f - \tilde{g}|| < ||f|| + \delta$ it follows that $||\tilde{g}|| < Kw_f^*(\delta)$, where $w_f^*(h) = (w_f^{-1}(h)h)^{-1}$ and $w_f(h)$ denotes the modulus of continuity of f. (-1 is used to denote the inverse function.)

Introduction. Let X be a normed linear space and let G be a finite dimensional unicity subspace of X; that is any $f \in X$ possesses a unique element of best approximation $g^* \in G$ ($||f - g^*|| = \inf\{||f - g||: g \in G\}$). If 0 is the best approximation of $f \in X$, a question of practical interest is that of how fast the "nearly best approximations" $g \in G$ satisfying $||f - g|| \le ||f|| + \delta$ approach zero when $\delta \to 0$. Its practical interest is connected with the study of rate of convergence of different computational algorithms for best approximation and investigation of continuity of the operator of best approximation.

The study of strong unicity was initiated by D. Newman and H. Shapiro [8] for Chebyshev approximation. Their results inspired a wide investigation of this question for different functional spaces. (See also a recent paper of R. Wegmann [12].)

The purpose of the present note is to solve this problem for L_1 -approximation. By a well-known result of M. Krein (see [9, p. 230]) there are no finite dimensional unicity subspaces in L_1 . Therefore we consider the space $X = C_1$ of continuous real-valued functions on I = [0, 1] with norm $||f|| = \int_I |f(x)|$ (Lebesgue integral). The classical Jackson-Krein theorem states that any Haar subspace of C_1 is a unicity subspace. The strong unicity of L_1 -approximation in the case when G is a Haar subspace of C_1 was studied by B. O. Björnestål [1], [2]; he gave the exact rate of strong unicity under this condition. Recently, P. V. Galkin [5] and H. Strauss [10] discovered that Haar subspaces are not the only unicity subspaces of C_1 . They proved that splines with fixed knots also satisfy this property. In [3] an analogous result was given for a special class of splines. These results raise the question of estimating the rate of strong unicity of L_1 -approximation for arbitrary unicity subspaces. It turned out that estimations given by B. O. Björnestål for Haar subspaces can be extended to arbitrary unicity subspaces. This extension needs deeper considerations involving certain ideas of E. W. Cheney and D. E. Wulbert [4] concerning characterization of unicity subspaces of C_1 .

Received by the editors April 6, 1981.
1980 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 41A52.

Let us denote by $w_f(h) = \max\{|f(x_1) - f(x_2)|: x_1, x_2 \in I, |x_1 - x_2| \le h\}$ the modulus of continuity of $f \in C_1$. Then set $w_f^*(h) = (w_f^{-1}(h)h)^{-1}$, where $F^{-1}(x) = \min\{y: F(y) = x\}$ denotes the inverse function.

THEOREM. Let G be a finite dimensional unicity subspace of C_1 . Consider an arbitrary $f \in C_1$ such that 0 is its best approximation. Then for any $0 < \delta \le w_f(1)$ and $\tilde{g} \in G$ satisfying $||f - \tilde{g}|| \le ||f|| + \delta$ it follows that

$$\|\tilde{g}\| \leq c_1 w_i^*(\delta),$$

where the constant c_1 depends only on f and G. Moreover this estimation cannot be improved in general.

PROOF OF THEOREM. We shall need some well-known characterizations of best L_1 -approximation.

The following statements are equivalent (see [9, p. 46]):

- (i) 0 is the best approximation of f;
- (ii) $|\int_{I\setminus Z(f)} g \operatorname{sign} f| \leq \int_{Z(f)} |g| (g \in G);$
- (iii) there exists a measurable function φ_f on Z(f) such that $|\varphi_f| \le 1$ on Z(f) and

$$\int_{I\setminus Z(f)} g \operatorname{sign} f + \int_{Z(f)} g\varphi_f = 0 \qquad (g \in G).$$

Here and throughout the paper $Z(f) = \{x \in I: f(x) = 0\}$. For a given f we always fix an arbitrary φ_f satisfying (iii) and set

$$f^*(x) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{sign} f(x), & x \in I \setminus Z(f), \\ \varphi_f(x), & x \in Z(f). \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, set $O_h(x) = I \cap (x - h, x + h)$;

$$Z_1(f) = \{ x \in I : \mu(O_h(x) \cap \{ x \in I : |f^*(x)| < 1 \}) > 0 \text{ for any } h > 0 \};$$

$$Z_2(f) = \{ x \in I : \mu(O_h(x) \cap \{ x \in I : f^*(x) = \gamma \}) > 0$$

for any
$$h > 0$$
 and $\gamma = 1$ or -1

 $(\mu(A))$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of A); $Z^*(f) = Z_1(f) \cup Z_2(f)$. It can be easily verified that $Z_1(f)$ and $Z_2(f)$ are closed subsets of I; hence $Z_1(f)$, $Z_2(f)$ and $Z^*(f)$ are compact. This implies that for any given h > 0

(2)
$$\inf_{x \in Z_1(f)} \int_{O_h(x)} (1 - |f^*|) = \alpha_f(h) > 0$$

and

(3)
$$\min_{\gamma = \pm 1} \inf_{x \in Z_2(f)} \mu(O_h(x) \cap \{x \in I: f^*(x) = \gamma\}) = \beta_f(h) > 0.$$

Inequalities (2) and (3) are obvious consequences of the fact that continuous functions on compact sets achieve their minimal value.

We shall need the following lemma which is a modification of a result of Cheney and Wulbert (see [4, Theorem 23]).

LEMMA. Let G be a finite dimensional unicity subspace of C_1 . If 0 is the best approximation of $f \in C_1$, then

(4)
$$\sup_{x \in Z^*(f)} |g(x)| \ge c_2 \sup_{x \in I} |g(x)| \qquad (g \in G),$$

where the constant c_2 is independent of g.

PROOF OF THE LEMMA. By the equivalence of norms in finite dimensional spaces we obtain that it is enough to prove that 0 is the only element of G vanishing on $Z^*(f)$. Assume the contrary. Then there exists $g_1 \in G \setminus \{0\}$ such that $Z^*(f) \subset Z(g_1)$. Let (a, b) be an arbitrary interval on which g_1 does not vanish. Then for any $x \in (a, b)$, $x \notin Z^*(f) = Z_1(f) \cup Z_2(f)$. Hence there exists an $h_x > 0$ such that $f^* = \gamma_x$ a.e. on $O_{h_x}(x)$, where $\gamma_x = 1$ or -1. By standard compactness arguments we obtain that $f^* = \gamma$ a.e. on (a, b), where $\gamma = 1$ or -1. Then we can easily construct a continuous function $p \in C_1$ such that $|p| = |g_1|$ on I and sign $p = f^*$ a.e. on $I \setminus Z(g_1) = I \setminus Z(p)$. This and the definition of f^* yield

$$\left| \int_{I \setminus Z(p)} g \operatorname{sign} p \right| = \left| \int_{I \setminus Z(p)} g f^* \right| = \left| \int_{Z(p)} g f^* \right| < \int_{Z(p)} |g| \qquad (g \in G).$$

Thus 0 is the best approximation of p. But for any $0 < \theta < 1$, $Z(p - \theta g_1) = Z(p)$ and $sign(p - \theta g_1) = sign p$ on $I \setminus Z(p)$. This implies that θg_1 is also a best approximation of p; hence we have arrived at a contradiction. The lemma is proved.

Now we are able to prove the theorem. Take an arbitrary $\tilde{g} \in G \setminus \{0\}$ such that $||f - \tilde{g}|| \le ||f|| + \delta$ $(0 < \delta \le w_f(1))$. Since 0 is the best approximation of $f \in C_1$ we have

$$\delta \geqslant \|f - \tilde{g}\| - \|f\|$$

$$= \int_{I \setminus Z(f)} (f - \tilde{g}) \{ \operatorname{sign}(f - \tilde{g}) - \operatorname{sign} f \} + \int_{Z(f)} \{ |\tilde{g}| + \tilde{g}\varphi_f \}$$

$$= 2 \int_{A(f,\tilde{g})} |f - \tilde{g}| + \int_{Z(f)} \{ |\tilde{g}| + \tilde{g}\varphi_f \},$$

where $A(f, \tilde{g}) = \{x \in I: 0 < f(x) < \tilde{g}(x) \text{ or } \tilde{g}(x) < f(x) < 0\}$. By (4) and the compactness of $Z^*(f)$, there exists an $\tilde{x} \in Z^*(f)$ such that

(6)
$$\tilde{\gamma}\tilde{g}(\tilde{x}) \geq c_2 \sup_{x \in I} |\tilde{g}(x)| = c_2 ||\tilde{g}||_C \qquad (\tilde{\gamma} = 1 \text{ or } -1).$$

(Here and in what follows $\|\cdot\|_C$ denotes the supremum norm on I, c_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$) will denote positive constants depending just on f and G.) Furthermore, it can be easily proved that, for any $g \in G$, $w_g(h) \le \|g\|_C \overline{w}(h)$, where $\overline{w}(h)$ is a modulus of continuity depending only on G. This and (6) imply that

(7)
$$\tilde{\gamma}\tilde{g}(x) > (c_2/2) \|\tilde{g}\|_C$$

for any $x \in O_{c_3}(\tilde{x})$, where $c_3 = \overline{w}^{-1}(c_2/2)$. Since $\tilde{x} \in Z^*(f)$, \tilde{x} belongs to either $Z_1(f)$ or $Z_2(f)$. We shall consider these two cases separately.

Case 1. $\tilde{x} \in Z_1(f)$. Set $F = O_{c_3}(\tilde{x}) \cap \{x \in I: |f^*(x)| < 1\}$. Since $|f^*| = 1$ on $I \setminus Z(f)$, $F \subset Z(f)$. Thus we have by (5), (7) and (2)

$$\delta \ge \int_{Z(f)} \{ |\tilde{g}| + \tilde{g}\varphi_f \} \ge \int_F |\tilde{g}|(1 - |f^*|) = \int_{O_{c_3}(\tilde{x})} |\tilde{g}|(1 - |f^*|)$$

$$\ge (c_2/2) ||\tilde{g}||_C \alpha_f(c_3) \ge c_4 ||\tilde{g}||.$$

Since $\delta \le c_5 w_i^*(\delta)$ if $0 < \delta \le w_i(1)$ we obtain estimation (1).

Case 2. $\tilde{x} \in Z_2(f)$. Then by (3)

(8)
$$\mu(O_{c_{1}}(\tilde{x}) \cap \{x \in I: f^{*}(x) = \tilde{\gamma}\}) > \beta_{f}(c_{3}) = c_{6}.$$

Set $B = O_{c_3}(\tilde{x}) \cap \{x \in I \setminus Z(f) : \operatorname{sign} f = \tilde{\gamma}\}, Q = O_{c_3}(\tilde{x}) \cap \{x \in Z(f) : \varphi_f = \tilde{\gamma}\}.$ Then by (8)

Assume at first that $\mu(Q) \ge c_6/2$. Since $Q \subset Z(f)$, we have by (5) and (7)

$$c_5 w_f^*(\delta) \ge \delta \ge \int_{Z(f)} \{ |\tilde{g}| + \tilde{g} \varphi_f \} \ge \int_{Q} \{ |\tilde{g}| + \tilde{g} \varphi_f \}$$

$$= 2 \int_{Q} |\tilde{g}| \ge c_2 ||\tilde{g}||_{C} \mu(Q) \ge c_7 ||\tilde{g}||,$$

which was to be proved. Thus we may assume that $\mu(Q) < c_6/2$. Then by (9)

(10)
$$\mu(B) > c_6/2.$$

Case 2a. $\tilde{\gamma}f < (c_2/4) \|\tilde{g}\|_C$ on $O_{c_3}(\tilde{x})$. Then (7) implies that $B \subset A(f, \tilde{g})$. Thus by (5), (7) and (10) we have

$$\begin{split} c_5 w_f^*(\delta) & \geq \delta \geq 2 \int_{A(f,\tilde{g})} |f - \tilde{g}| \geq 2 \int_{B} |f - \tilde{g}| \\ & \geq 2 \int_{B} \left(\frac{c_2}{2} \, \| \, \tilde{g} \|_{C} - \frac{c_2}{4} \, \| \, \tilde{g} \|_{C} \right) = \frac{c_2}{2} \, \| \, \tilde{g} \|_{C} \mu(B) \geq c_8 \| \, \tilde{g} \| \end{split}$$

which is again the needed estimation.

Case 2b. $\tilde{\gamma}f(x^*) \ge (c_2/4) \|\tilde{g}\|_C$ for some $x^* \in O_{c_3}(\tilde{x})$. Since $\tilde{x} \in Z_2(f) \subset Z(f)$, $f(\tilde{x}) = 0$. Let us assume that $x^* > \tilde{x}$. (The opposite case can be considered analogously.) Then we can choose points $\tilde{x} \le x_1 < x_2 \le x^*$ such that $f(x_1) = 0$, $\tilde{\gamma}f(x_2) = (c_2/4) \|\tilde{g}\|_C$ and $0 < \tilde{\gamma}f < (c_2/4) \|\tilde{g}\|_C$ on (x_1, x_2) . Evidently

(11)
$$x_2 - x_1 \ge w_f^{-1} \left(\frac{c_2}{4} \| \tilde{g} \|_C \right).$$

Moreover, since $(x_1, x_2) \subset (\tilde{x}, x^*) \subset O_{c_3}(\tilde{x})$, (7) implies that $(x_1, x_2) \subset A(f, \tilde{g})$. Hence using (5), (7) and (11) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \delta & \geq 2 \int_{x_1}^{x_2} |f - \tilde{g}| \geq 2 \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \left(\frac{c_2}{2} \, \| \, \tilde{g} \|_C - \frac{c_2}{4} \, \| \, \tilde{g} \|_C \right) \geq \frac{c_2}{2} \, \| \, \tilde{g} \|_C (x_2 - x_1) \\ & \geq \frac{c_2}{2} \, \| \, \tilde{g} \|_C w_f^{-1} \left(\frac{c_2}{4} \, \| \, \tilde{g} \|_C \right) \geq \frac{c_2}{4} \, \| \, \tilde{g} \| w_f^{-1} \left(\frac{c_2}{4} \, \| \, \tilde{g} \| \right), \end{split}$$

i.e. $(c_2/4) \|\tilde{g}\| \le w_t^*(\delta)$. The proof of (1) is completed.

The sharpness of estimation (1) can be verified similarly as in [6], since the Haar property was not employed there (see [6, p. 341]).

Conclusion. The usual approach to the solution of the L_1 -approximation problem consists in its discretization. In [7] and [11] the rate of convergence of discrete L_1 -approximants was studied for Haar subspaces. These investigations were based on strong unicity type results. The theorem proved above allows us to extend these investigations to any unicity subspaces, thus in particular to splines.

REFERENCES

- 1. B. O. Björnestal, Continuity of the metric projection operator. II, preprint, Dept. of Math., Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, TRITA-MAT, no. 20, 1974.
- 2. _____, Local Lipschitz continuity of the metric projection operator, Banach Center Publications, vol. 4, Approximation Theory, PWN, Warsaw, 1979, pp. 43-53.
- 3. M. P. Carroll and D. Braess, On uniqueness of L_1 -approximation for certain families of spline functions, J. Approx. Theory 12 (1974), 362-364.
- 4. E. W. Cheney and D. E. Wulbert, The existence and unicity of best approximations, Math. Scand. 24 (1969), 113-140.
- 5. P. V. Galkin, The uniqueness of the element of best mean approximation to a continuous function using splines with fixed knots, Math. Notes 15 (1974), 3-8.
- 6. A. Kroó, The continuity of best approximations in the space of integrable functions, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 32 (1978), 331-348.
- 7. _____, Best L_1 -approximation on finite point sets: rate of convergence, J. Approx. Theory (to appear).
- 8. D. J. Newman and H. S. Shapiro, Some theorems on Čebyšev approximation, Duke Math. J. 30 (1963), 673-681.
- 9. I. Singer, Best approximation in normed linear spaces by elements of linear subspaces, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1970.
- 10. H. Strauss, L_1 -approximation mit Spline functionen, Numerical Methods in Approximation Theory, vol. 2 (L. Collatz and G. Meinardus, Eds.), Birkhäuserverlag, Stuttgart, 1975.
- 11. K. H. Usow, On L_1 -approximation. II. Computation for discrete functions and discretization effects, SIAM J. 4 (1967), 233–244.
 - 12. R. Wegmann, Bounds for nearly best approximations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 52 (1975), 252-256.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE HUNGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BUDAPEST, REÁLTANODA U. 13-15, H-1053, HUNGARY