

A MONOTONIC PROPERTY FOR THE ZEROS OF ULTRASPHERICAL POLYNOMIALS

ANDREA LAFORGIA¹

ABSTRACT. It is shown that $\lambda x_{n,k}^{(\lambda)}$ increases as λ increases for $0 < \lambda < 1$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, [\frac{n}{2}]$, where $x_{n,k}^{(\lambda)}$ is the k th positive zero of ultraspherical polynomial $P_n^{(\lambda)}(x)$.

The aim of this work is to prove the following

THEOREM. Let $x_{n,k}^{(\lambda)}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, [\frac{n}{2}]$, be the zeros of the ultraspherical polynomial $P_n^{(\lambda)}(x)$ in decreasing order on $(0, 1)$, where $0 < \lambda < 1$.

Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lambda x_{n,k}^{(\lambda)} < (\lambda + \varepsilon) x_{n,k}^{(\lambda+\varepsilon)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, [\frac{n}{2}].$$

REMARK 1. For our purposes the following form of Sturm comparison theorem will prove useful. This formulation differs from the usual formulation [2, p. 19] in that $f(x) < F(x)$ is hypothesized for the interval $a < x < X_m$, rather than for the larger interval $a < x < x_m$. (See the work [1] for the proof of this formulation of Sturm theorem.)

LEMMA. Let the functions $y(x)$ and $Y(x)$ be nontrivial solutions of the differential equations

$$y''(x) + f(x)y(x) = 0; \quad Y''(x) + F(x)Y(x) = 0$$

and let them have consecutive zeros at x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m and X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m respectively on an interval (a, b) . Suppose that $f(x)$ and $F(x)$ are continuous, that $f(x) < F(x)$, $a < x < X_m$, and that

$$(1) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow a^+} [y'(x)Y(x) - y(x)Y'(x)] = 0.$$

Then

$$X_k < x_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. The function

$$u(x) = (1 - x^2)^{\lambda/2+1/4} P_n^{(\lambda)}(x)$$

Received by the editors March 6, 1981.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 33A45; Secondary 34A50.

Key words and phrases. Zeros of ultraspherical polynomials, Sturm comparison theorem.

¹This research was supported by Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche.

© 1981 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/81/0000-0568/01.50

which has the same zeros on $(-1, 1)$ as $P_n^{(\lambda)}(x)$ satisfies [2, p. 82] the differential equation

$$y''(x) + p_\lambda(x)y(x) = 0$$

where

$$p_\lambda(x) = \frac{(n + \lambda)^2}{1 - x^2} + \frac{2 + 4\lambda - 4\lambda^2 + x^2}{4(1 - x^2)^2}.$$

The functions $u(x/\lambda)$ and $u(x/(\lambda + \epsilon))$ that have on the interval $(0, \lambda)$ and $(0, \lambda + \epsilon)$ consecutive zeros at $\lambda x_{n,1}^{(\lambda)}, \dots, \lambda x_{n,[n/2]}^{(\lambda)}$ and $(\lambda + \epsilon)x_{n,1}^{(\lambda+\epsilon)}, \dots, (\lambda + \epsilon)x_{n,[n/2]}^{(\lambda+\epsilon)}$ respectively, satisfy the differential equations

$$z''(x) + \psi_\lambda(x)z(x) = 0, \quad W''(x) + \psi_{\lambda+\epsilon}(x)w(x) = 0$$

where $\psi_\nu(x) = \nu^{-2}p_\nu(\frac{x}{\nu})$.

It is easy to show that $\psi_\lambda(x)$ decreases as λ increases for $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $0 < x < \lambda$, that is $\psi_\lambda(x) > \psi_{\lambda+\epsilon}(x)$, $\epsilon > 0$. The limit condition (1) is easily shown to hold in the present case. Thus the above Lemma is applicable and its conclusion gives the desired result.

REMARK 2. Our result contrasts with $x_{n,k}^{(\lambda)} > x_{n,k}^{(\lambda+\epsilon)}$ which follows from formula $\partial x_{n,k}^{(\lambda)} / \partial \lambda < 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, [\frac{n}{2}]$. Putting this result together with the new result gives

$$1 < \frac{x_{n,k}^{(\lambda)}}{x_{n,k}^{(\lambda+\epsilon)}} < 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{\lambda}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \left[\frac{n}{2} \right].$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. We are grateful to Professor Martin E. Muldoon for his interest and for useful comments.

REFERENCES

1. S. Ahmed, A. Laforgia and M. E. Muldoon, *On the spacing of the zeros of some classical orthogonal polynomials*, J. London Math. Soc. (to appear).
2. G. Szegő, *Orthogonal polynomials*, 4th ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1975.

ISTITUTO DI CALCOLI NUMERICI, UNIVERSITÀ DI TORINO, VIA CARLO ALBERTO, 10-10123 TORINO, ITALY