

WHICH CONNECTED METRIC SPACES ARE COMPACT?

GERALD BEER

ABSTRACT. A metric space X is called *chainable* if for each $\epsilon > 0$ each two points in X can be joined by an ϵ -chain. X is called *uniformly chainable* if for each ϵ there exists an integer n such that each two points can be joined by an ϵ -chain of length at most n .

THEOREM. A chainable metric space X is a continuum if and only if X is uniformly chainable and there exists $\delta > 0$ such that each closed δ -ball is compact.

Using Ramsey's Theorem a sequential characterization of uniformly chainable metric spaces is obtained, paralleling the one for totally bounded spaces.

Let (X, d) be a metric space. If p and q are points of X an ϵ -chain of length n from p to q is a finite sequence $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ in X such that $a_0 = p, a_n = q$, and $d(a_{j-1}, a_j) \leq \epsilon$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. We call X ϵ -chainable if each two points in X can be joined by an ϵ -chain, and X is called *chainable* if X is ϵ -chainable for each positive ϵ . The chainable spaces include the connected spaces. Moreover, chainability characterizes the connected spaces among the compact ones [2]. The main purpose of this note is to characterize the compact spaces among the connected spaces (more generally the chainable ones). Chainable spaces that are compact satisfy two conditions, one stronger than completeness and the other weaker than total boundedness, two conditions used frequently to characterize compactness when connectivity is irrelevant.

Before proceeding we set forth some notation. Let X be a chainable metric space. If $a \in X$ then $B_\epsilon[a]$ will denote the closed ϵ -ball with center a . If $A \subset X$, $\bigcup_{x \in A} B_\epsilon[x]$ will be designated by $B_\epsilon[A]$. Inductively construct the set $B_\epsilon^n[A]$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ as follows: $B_\epsilon^1[A] = B_\epsilon[A]$ and for each $n \geq 2$ set $B_\epsilon^n[A] = B_\epsilon[B_\epsilon^{n-1}[A]]$. The following should be observed:

- (1) $B_\epsilon^n[A] \subset B_\epsilon^{n+1}[A]$.
- (2) $B_\epsilon^n[A] \subset B_{n\epsilon}[A]$.
- (3) $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_\epsilon^n[A] = X$ if $A \neq \emptyset$.

Finally if $\epsilon > 0$ define $\phi_\epsilon: X \times X \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ by $\phi_\epsilon(x, y) =$ the length of the shortest ϵ -chain from x to y .

DEFINITION. Let X be a chainable metric space. X is called *uniformly ϵ -chainable* if there exists a positive integer n such that each two points in X can be joined by an ϵ -chain of length at most n . X is called *uniformly chainable* if it is uniformly ϵ -chainable for all positive ϵ .

DEFINITION. Let X be a metric space. X is called *uniformly locally compact* if there exists $\epsilon > 0$ for which each closed ϵ -ball is compact.

Received by the editors April 8, 1980 and, in revised form, April 2, 1981.
AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 54E45, 54D05.

© 1981 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/81/0000-0578/\$02.25

LEMMA. Let X be a chainable metric space. If X is totally bounded then X is uniformly chainable. If X is uniformly locally compact then X is complete.

PROOF. Suppose ε is a positive number. If X is totally bounded, then we can find $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ in X such that $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_\varepsilon[x_i]$. It follows that each two points of X can be joined by an ε -chain of length at most $2 + \max\{\phi_\varepsilon(x_i, x_j): 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$. The proof of the second assertion is easier still and is left to the reader.

Let l_2 denote the collection of square summable real sequences made a Hilbert space in the usual way. The unit ball in this space is clearly uniformly chainable but is not totally bounded. In the same space there exists a subspace that fails to be uniformly locally compact which is not only complete but is also locally compact, sigma compact, connected, and uniformly chainable. Let $\{e_i: i \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ be the standard orthonormal basis for l_2 . For each i in \mathbb{Z}^+ define sets Z_i and T_i as follows:

$$Z_i = \{e_n: n = 2^i(2k-1) \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{Z}^+\},$$

$$T_i = \{\alpha e_n + (1-\alpha)e_{2i-1}: e_n \in Z_i \text{ and } 2^{-i}/\sqrt{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1\}.$$

Let L denote the infinite polygonal path joining e_1 to e_2 , then e_2 to e_3 , etc. Finally let $X = L \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty T_i$. The subspace X is connected, for it consists of the connected set L and a collection of line segments each of which meets L . Since X is a closed subspace of a Hilbert space it is complete. Moreover, X is locally Euclidean; in fact, at each point x in X we can find a ball with center x whose intersection with X is either one, two, or three line segments. Thus, X is locally compact. However, X is not uniformly locally compact because for each i the set $B_{2^{-i}}[e_{2i-1}]$ is not compact. To see that X is uniformly chainable let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Choose i such that $2^{-i} < \varepsilon$. Let y and w be two arbitrary points in X . There is a polygonal path in X leading from y (resp. w) to a point y^* (resp. w^*) in Z_i that consists of at most $2^i + 1$ sides each of length at most $\sqrt{2}$. Now y^* can be joined to w^* by an ε -chain via e_{2i-1} , i.e., in T_i . It follows that y can be joined to w by an ε -chain in X whose length depends on i and not on the choice of y and w .

We are now ready for the main result.

THEOREM 1. Let (X, d) be a chainable metric space. Then X is compact if and only if X is uniformly locally compact and uniformly chainable.

PROOF. Since closed balls are closed sets and compactness implies total boundedness, the necessity of the conditions is immediate. To show that these conditions are sufficient choose $\delta > 0$ such that all closed balls of radius δ are compact. Let $\varepsilon < \delta$ be fixed. We first show that if C is a closed set, then $B_\varepsilon[C]$ is closed. To this end let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in $B_\varepsilon[C]$ convergent to a point x . For each n choose c_n in C satisfying $d(c_n, x_n) \leq \varepsilon$. Eventually $\{c_n\}$ must be in $B_\delta[x]$ so that a subsequence of $\{c_n\}$ must be convergent to some point c in C . Clearly, $d(c, x) \leq \varepsilon$ and therefore $B_\varepsilon[C]$ is closed. Next let A be compact. We claim that $B_\varepsilon[A]$ is compact. Since A is compact there is a finite subset F of A such that $A \subset B_{\delta-\varepsilon}[F]$. It follows that $B_\varepsilon[A] \subset B_\varepsilon[B_{\delta-\varepsilon}[F]] \subset B_\delta[F]$. Thus $B_\varepsilon[A]$ is a closed subset of a compact set and is thus itself compact. Finally let p be an arbitrary point of X . From the last

argument it follows by induction that each set $B_\epsilon^n[\{p\}]$ is compact. By hypothesis there exists an integer n such that each point in X can be connected to p by an ϵ -chain of length at most n . But this means that $X = B_\epsilon^n[\{p\}]$. Hence, X is compact (and connected).

Counterexamples are in order. The intricate subspace of l_2 described earlier shows that "uniformly locally compact" cannot be replaced by "complete" and "locally compact" in the statement of Theorem 1. A much simpler example shows that "uniformly chainable" cannot be replaced by "connected" and "bounded": metrize the real line by defining $d(x, y) = \min\{1, |x - y|\}$. We also mention that the terms "uniformly locally compact" and "uniformly chainable" were not chosen idly, for they immediately generalize to Hausdorff uniform spaces, and Theorem 1 holds in this more general context.

Compact and totally bounded spaces admit sequential characterizations: X is compact (resp. totally bounded) if each sequence in X has a convergent (resp. Cauchy) subsequence. The chainable spaces that are uniformly chainable are sequentially characterized by the behavior that the functions $\{\phi_\epsilon: \epsilon > 0\}$ exhibit when restricted to ordered pairs whose coordinates come from an appropriately chosen subsequence. Such a characterization rests on a basic theorem of combinatorics [3].

RAMSEY'S THEOREM. *Let r be a positive integer and let $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N\}$ be a partition of the r -element subsets of Z^+ . Then there is an infinite subset S of Z^+ and $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ such that each r -element subset of S belongs to A_i .*

DEFINITION. Let X be a chainable metric space and let $\epsilon > 0$. The chain distance function ϕ_ϵ is said to be constant on a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X if $\{\phi_\epsilon(x_n, x_m): n \neq m\}$ consists of exactly one number. Similarly, ϕ_ϵ is bounded on $\{x_n\}$ if $\{\phi_\epsilon(x_n, x_m): n \neq m\}$ is a bounded set of numbers.

THEOREM 2. *Let X be a chainable metric space. The following are equivalent:*

- (a) X is uniformly chainable.
- (b) For each $\epsilon > 0$ every sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X has a subsequence on which ϕ_ϵ is constant.
- (c) For each $\epsilon > 0$ every sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X has a subsequence on which ϕ_ϵ is bounded.
- (d) Let $\{\epsilon_m\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers convergent to zero. Each sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X has a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ such that for each $m \in Z^+$ the function ϕ_{ϵ_m} is constant on a tail of $\{x_{n_k}\}$.

PROOF. (a) \rightarrow (b). Let $\epsilon > 0$. By assumption there exists $N \in Z^+$ such that for each x and y in X we have $\phi_\epsilon(x, y) \leq N$. If $\{x_n: n \in Z^+\}$ is a finite set, then $\{x_n\}$ has a constant subsequence on which ϕ_ϵ is zero. Otherwise, by passing to a subsequence we can assume that the terms of $\{x_n\}$ are distinct. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ let $A_i = \{\{x_j, x_k\}: \phi_\epsilon(x_j, x_k) = i\}$. Clearly $\{A_1, \dots, A_N\}$ partitions the two element subsets of the countably infinite set $\{x_n: n \in Z^+\}$. By Ramsey's Theorem there exist an A_i and an infinite subset S of $\{x_n: n \in Z^+\}$ such that all of

the two element subsets of S belong to A_i . If we sequence S in the order of the subscripts inherited from the original sequence, then we obtain the desired subsequence of $\{x_n\}$.

(b) \rightarrow (d). According to (b) for each m in Z^+ we can inductively construct subsequences $\{x_n^m\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that for each m (i) $\{x_n^{m+1}\}$ is a subsequence of $\{x_n^m\}$, and (ii) ϕ_{ϵ_m} is constant on $\{x_n^m\}$. For each m let $x_{n_m} = x_m^m$. Clearly ϕ_{ϵ_m} is constant on $x_m^m, x_{m+1}^{m+1}, x_{m+2}^{m+2}, \dots$ which is a tail of $\{x_{n_m}\}$.

(d) \rightarrow (a). Suppose X is not uniformly chainable. Let $\{\epsilon_m\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers convergent to zero. Since $I = \{\epsilon: X \text{ is not uniformly } \epsilon\text{-chainable}\}$ is a nondegenerate interval with left endpoint zero, there exists m such that $\epsilon_m \in I$. Fix x_0 in X . For each $n \in Z^+$, $B_{\epsilon_m}^n[\{x_0\}]$ must be a proper subset of $B_{\epsilon_m}^{n+1}[\{x_0\}]$ because X is ϵ_m -chainable but not uniformly ϵ_m -chainable. For each n choose x_n in $B_{\epsilon_m}^{n+1}[\{x_0\}] \setminus B_{\epsilon_m}^n[\{x_0\}]$. Clearly $\phi_{\epsilon_m}(x_k, x_n) > |n - k|$. Hence, ϕ_{ϵ_m} fails to be constant on any subsequence of $\{x_n\}$.

(b) \rightarrow (c). Trivial.

(c) \rightarrow (a). The proof is a reiteration of the proof of the implication (d) \rightarrow (a).

We observe that the statement " $\{x_n\}$ has a Cauchy subsequence" is equivalent to a stronger form of condition (d): Let $\{\epsilon_m\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers convergent to zero, and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . If $\{x_n\}$ has no constant subsequence, then $\{x_n\}$ has a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ such that for each m the function ϕ_{ϵ_m} is one on a tail of $\{x_{n_k}\}$.

We note that the bounded metric spaces are precisely those that can be isometrically imbedded in complete uniformly chainable spaces. Since uniformly chainable spaces are bounded, the sufficiency of the condition is clear. On the other hand since a bounded metric space X can be imbedded in a closed ball of the Banach space $C(X)$ of bounded continuous real valued functions on X [4], the condition is also necessary. Next we give a rather curious characterization of the uniformly chainable spaces among the bounded chainable ones. Let X be a bounded chainable metric space. If $A \subset X$ is nonempty we define the distance δ from A to X by the formula

$$\delta(A, X) = \sup_{x \in X} d(x, A).$$

Of course δ just gives the Hausdorff distance from A to X [1]. For each n in Z^+ let $f_\epsilon^n(A) = \delta(B_\epsilon^n[A], X)$. Notice that $\{f_\epsilon^n(A)\}$ is a decreasing sequence of nonnegative reals and thus converges (though not necessarily to zero). A nontrivial characterization is determined by the rate of convergence over all subsets A of X .

THEOREM 3. *Let X be a bounded chainable metric space. If A is a nonempty subset of X let $f_\epsilon^n(A)$ denote the Hausdorff distance from $B_\epsilon^n[A]$ to X . Then X is uniformly chainable iff, for each $\epsilon > 0$, $\{f_\epsilon^n\}$ converges uniformly on the set of nonempty subsets of X .*

PROOF. Let X be uniformly chainable. If $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n \in Z^+$ such that each two points in X can be joined by an ϵ -chain of length at most n . It follows that $f_\epsilon^k(A) = 0$ for each nonempty set A and $k \geq n$. Conversely suppose that X is

not uniformly ε -chainable for some positive ε . Fix x_0 in X . Then for each n in \mathbb{Z}^+ , $X \setminus B_\varepsilon^n[\{x_0\}] \neq \emptyset$. Fix n and let $A = \{x_0\} \cup X \setminus B_\varepsilon^{2n+4}[\{x_0\}]$. For each $k \geq 2n + 4$ we have $f_\varepsilon^k(A) = 0$. On the other hand it is easy to see that

$$B_\varepsilon^n[A] \subset B_\varepsilon^n[\{x_0\}] \cup (X \setminus B_\varepsilon^{n+4}[\{x_0\}]).$$

As a result each point in the nonempty set $B_\varepsilon^{n+2}[\{x_0\}] \setminus B_\varepsilon^{n+1}[\{x_0\}]$ has distance in excess of ε from $B_\varepsilon^n[A]$ so that $f_\varepsilon^n(A) \geq \varepsilon$. Since n was arbitrary $\{f_\varepsilon^n\}$ fails to converge uniformly.

REFERENCES

1. J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, Mass., 1966.
2. M. H. A. Newman, *Elements of topology of plane sets of points*, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1961.
3. F. Ramsey, *On a problem of formal logic*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **30** (1930), 264–286.
4. G. Simmons, *Introduction to topology and modern analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1963.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90032