

## TOPOLOGICALLY UNREALIZABLE AUTOMORPHISMS OF FREE GROUPS

JOHN R. STALLINGS<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $\phi: F \rightarrow F$  be an automorphism of a finitely generated free group. It has been conjectured (I heard it from Peter Scott) that the fixed subgroup of  $\phi$  is always finitely generated. This is known to be so if  $\phi$  has finite order [1], or if  $\phi$  is realizable by a homeomorphism of a compact 2-manifold with boundary [2]. Here we give examples of automorphisms  $\phi$ , no power of which is topologically realizable on any 2-manifold; perhaps the simplest is the automorphism of the free group of rank 3, given by  $\phi(x) = y$ ,  $\phi(y) = z$ ,  $\phi(z) = xy$ .

**1. PV-matrices and automorphisms.** By a PV-matrix is meant an  $n \times n$  integer matrix of determinant  $\pm 1$ , having one eigenvalue,  $\lambda_1$ , of absolute value greater than 1, and  $n - 1$  eigenvalues of absolute value less than 1. The terminology "PV" is used because  $\lambda_1$  is a Pisot-Vijayaraghavan number [3].

A PV-automorphism of a free abelian group of rank  $n$  is an automorphism whose matrix is a PV-matrix, (PV-ness is independent of the basis). A PV-automorphism of a free (nonabelian) group, is an automorphism whose abelianization is PV.

1.1. If  $M$  is an  $n \times n$  PV-matrix and  $n \geq 3$ , then, since  $|\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n| = 1$ , no eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$  is the inverse of any  $\lambda_j$ .

1.2. If  $M$  is a PV-matrix, then every positive integral power  $M^k$  is a PV-matrix, since the eigenvalues of  $M^k$  are the  $k$ th powers of those of  $M$ .

A simple  $3 \times 3$  example of a PV-matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with eigenvalues approximately

$$\lambda_1 = 1.3247, \quad \lambda_2, \lambda_3 = -0.6624 \pm 0.5623\sqrt{-1}.$$

Correspondingly,  $\phi(x) = y$ ,  $\phi(y) = z$ ,  $\phi(z) = xy$  describes a PV-automorphism of the free group with basis  $\{x, y, z\}$ .

## 2. Eigenvalues of automorphisms of a 2-manifold.

2.1. Let  $h: T \rightarrow T$  be an orientation-preserving homeomorphism of a closed, orientable 2-manifold onto itself. Then the eigenvalues of the homology map

$$h_*: H_1(T) \rightarrow H_1(T)$$

occur in inverse pairs; that is, they can be listed  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_g, \lambda_1^{-1}, \lambda_2^{-1}, \dots, \lambda_g^{-1}$ .

---

Received by the editors September 29, 1980.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 20E05.

<sup>1</sup> Partly supported by NSF grant MCS 77-04242.

© 1982 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/82/0000-0005/\$02.00

The reason is that  $h_*$  preserves an alternating bilinear form, the intersection number, and thus  $h_*$  is symplectic, and every symplectic matrix is similar to its inverse. (Cf. Exercise 1, §6.9, p. 377 in [4].)

2.2. Let  $h: T \rightarrow T$  be an orientation-preserving homeomorphism of a compact, orientable, connected 2-manifold, with  $\beta > 1$  boundary components, onto itself, such that  $h$  maps each boundary component to itself. Then the eigenvalues of the homology map

$$h_*: H_1(T) \rightarrow H_1(T)$$

consist of two sorts: There are  $\beta - 1$  eigenvalues  $= 1$ , and the remaining eigenvalues occur in inverse pairs.

The reason for this is that the subgroup  $S$  of  $H_1(T)$  generated by the boundary components is a free abelian direct summand of rank  $\beta - 1$ ; and  $h_*$  maps  $S$  to itself by the identity. On the quotient by  $S$ ,  $h_*$  is the homology map on the closed manifold obtained by capping off the boundary components with 2-cells, and to this we apply 2.1.

### 3. PV-automorphisms are not realizable.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\phi: F \rightarrow F$  be a PV-automorphism of a free group of infinite rank  $n > 3$ . Then, for every integer  $k > 1$ ,  $\phi^k$  is not realizable, as the automorphism on fundamental group, by any homeomorphism  $h: T \rightarrow T$  of any 2-manifold  $T$ .*

**PROOF.** There are two cases,  $T$  orientable or not.

*Orientable case.* If  $T$  is orientable, then  $h^2$  is orientation preserving and permutes the boundary components of  $T$ ; this permutation has some finite order  $q$ , so that  $h^{2q}$  is orientation preserving and maps each boundary component to itself. The homomorphism

$$h_*^{2q}: H_1(T) \rightarrow H_1(T)$$

is the abelianization of  $\phi^{2kq}$ . This is a PV-automorphism by 1.2, and thus none of the eigenvalues of  $h_*^{2q}$  is the inverse of any other by 1.1. This contradicts 2.2.

*Nonorientable case.* If  $T$  is nonorientable, let  $T' \rightarrow T$  be its orientable double cover. The homeomorphism  $h: T \rightarrow T$  lifts to a homeomorphism  $h': T' \rightarrow T'$ . There is a transfer homomorphism

$$\tau: H_1(T) \rightarrow H_1(T')$$

such that  $\tau \circ h_* = h'_* \circ \tau$ , and such that the composition

$$H_1(T) \rightarrow H_1(T') \rightarrow H_1(T)$$

is multiplication by 2.

If we take the coefficient group to be the field of rational numbers—this does not change any argument on eigenvalues—then  $\tau$  embeds  $H_1(T)$  as a subspace of  $H_1(T')$  which is invariant under  $h'_*$  and on which  $h'_*$  is isomorphic to  $h_*$ .

Now, as in the orientable case, there is some positive integer  $q$  such that  $(h')^{2q}$  is orientation preserving and maps each boundary component of  $T'$  to itself. The list

of eigenvalues of  $(h'_*)^{2q}$  includes, by the transfer argument, the eigenvalues of  $h_*^{2q}$ . The latter are eigenvalues of the abelianization of  $\phi^{2kq}$ , which, as before, do not include any inverse pairs.

There are  $n$  eigenvalues of  $h_*^{2q}$ , since the rank of  $H_1(T)$  is the rank of  $F$ , which is  $n$ . A Euler characteristic argument shows that the rank of  $H_1(T')$  is  $2n - 1$ . Therefore, there are not enough additional eigenvalues of  $(h'_*)^{2q}$  to make up a set of eigenvalues satisfying 2.2.

#### 4. Comments.

4.1. Suppose that  $\phi: F \rightarrow F$  is a PV-automorphism and that  $S \subset F$  is a subgroup of finite index with  $\phi(S) = S$ . I suspect that  $\phi|_S$  is not realizable by a surface homeomorphism and that this can be proved by examining eigenvalues. If  $S$  is a normal subgroup and  $\phi$  induces the identity on  $G = F/S$ , then  $\phi$  determines a ZG-automorphism on the abelianization of  $S$ . Can this automorphism have a symmetric set of eigenvalues (satisfying 2.2)? This seems to involve the question: What does the fact that  $F$  is free imply about the structure of the abelianization of  $S$  as a ZG-module?

4.2. Every automorphism  $\phi: F \rightarrow F$  of a free group of rank  $n$ , whose abelianization has determinant  $+1$ , leaves something fixed modulo the  $(n + 1)$ st term in the lower central series.

PROOF. Define

$$F_1 = F, \quad F_{k+1} = [F, F_k].$$

Then the quotients of the lower central series  $L_k = F_k/F_{k+1}$  form a free Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\phi$  induces automorphisms  $\phi_k: L_k \rightarrow L_k$ . Tensor with the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then  $\phi_1$  has eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ , and corresponding eigenvectors  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$  in  $L_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . However, we need to have  $\xi_{n-1}$  and  $\xi_n$  linearly independent, so that if  $\lambda_{n-1} = \lambda_n$ , it may be necessary to change the defining equation for  $\xi_n$  from  $\phi_1(\xi_n) = \lambda_n \xi_n$  to  $\phi_1(\xi_n) = \lambda_n \xi_n + \xi_{n-1}$ .

Then the element

$$\eta = [\xi_1, [\dots, \xi_n]] \quad \text{in } L_n \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

is nonzero and has the property that

$$\phi_n(\eta) = \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n \eta = \eta.$$

Thus  $\phi_n$  has an eigenvalue 1, and therefore has an *integral* eigenvector  $\theta$  corresponding to the eigenvalue 1. Then  $\theta$  is represented by  $w \in F_n - F_{n+1}$  such that  $\phi(w) \equiv w$  modulo  $F_{n+1}$ .

For example, taking  $\phi$  to be the PV-automorphism described at the end of §1, both

$$[x, [y, z]][x, [x, z]][y, [y, x]][z, [z, y]]$$

and

$$[x, [y, z]][x, [x, y]][x, [x, z]][y, [y, z]][z, [z, x]][z, [z, y]]$$

are fixed modulo  $F_4$ .

Hence, it is at least conceivable that this automorphism leaves something in  $F_3$  fixed. My conjecture, which I cannot prove, is that the fixed subgroup of every PV-automorphism is trivial.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. L. Dyer and G. P. Scott, *Periodic automorphisms of free groups*, *Comm. Algebra* **3** (1975), 195–201.
2. W. Jaco and P. B. Shalen, *Surface homeomorphisms and periodicity*, *Topology* **16** (1977), 347–367.
3. J. W. S. Cassels, *An introduction to diophantine approximation*, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1957.
4. N. Jacobson, *Basic algebra*. I, Freeman, San Francisco, Calif., 1974.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720