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#### Abstract

. $n$-dimensional totally real minimal submanifolds of constant sectional curvature in $n$-dimensional complex space forms are totally geodesic or flat.


1. Introduction. B. Y. Chen and K. Ogiue [1] showed that an $n$-dimensional, totally real, minimal submanifold of constant curvature $c$ in an $n$-dimensional complex space form is totally geodesic or $c \leqslant 0$. On the other hand, [2, Theorem 7] implies that a complete totally real minimal surface of constant sectional curvature in a 2 -dimensional complex space form is totally geodesic or flat. We shall prove a generalization of these results.

Theorem. Let $M$ be an n-dimensional, totally real, minimal submanifold of constant sectional curvature c, immersed in an n-dimensional complex space form. Then $M$ is totally geodesic or flat $(c=0)$.
2. Preliminary. We denote by $M^{n}(4 \bar{c})$ an $n$-dimensional complex space form of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $4 \bar{c}$ with complex structure $J$ and metric $\bar{g}$. Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold of constant sectional curvature $c$ isometrically immersed in $M^{n}(4 \bar{c})$ as a totally real submanifold. We denote by $\sigma$ the second fundamental form of the immersion

$$
\sigma(X, Y)=\bar{\nabla}_{X} Y-\nabla_{X} Y
$$

where $\bar{\nabla}$ (resp. $\nabla$ ) is the covariant differentiation with respect to $\bar{g}$ (resp. the metric $g$ of $M$ ).

We put $T=-J \sigma$. Then $T$ is a symmetric tensor field of type $(1,2)$ on $M$ and it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(T(X, Y), Z)=g(T(X, Z), Y) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the equations of Gauss, Ricci and Codazzi are given respectively by [1],

$$
\begin{gather*}
(\bar{c}-c)\{g(X, Z) g(Y, W)-g(X, W) g(Y, Z)\}+g(T(X, Z), T(Y, W))  \tag{2.2}\\
-g(T(X, W), T(Y, Z))=0 \quad \text { (the equations of Gauss and Ricci), } \\
\left(\nabla_{X} T\right)(Y, Z)-\left(\nabla_{Y} T\right)(X, Z)=0 \quad \text { (the equation of Codazzi). } \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

[^0]3. A lemma. In this section, we prove the following.

Lemma. Let $T$ be a symmetric 3-linear map of $R^{n} \times R^{n} \times R^{n}$ into $R$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
A\{g(X, Z) g(Y, W)-g(X, W) g(Y, Z)\}+\sum_{m=1}^{n} T\left(X, Z, f_{m}\right) T\left(Y, W, f_{m}\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
-\sum_{m=1}^{n} T\left(X, W, f_{m}\right) T\left(Y, Z, f_{m}\right)=0 \text { and } A \geqslant 0 \\
\sum_{m=1}^{n} T\left(X, f_{m}, f_{m}\right)=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $g$ is the Euclidean metric of $R^{n}$ and $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$ is an orthonormal basis. If we choose an orthonormal basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$, such that each $e_{i}$ is a maximum point of the cubic function $T(X, X, X)$, restricted to $\left\{X \in R^{n}:\|X\|=1\right.$, and $X$ is orthogonal to $\left.\left.e_{1}, \ldots, e_{i-1}\right)\right\}$, then $T$ has the following expression:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(e_{a}, e_{a}, e_{a}\right) & =(n-a) \sqrt{\frac{A}{(n-a+1)}+\cdots+\frac{A}{(n-a+1) \ldots n}} \\
T\left(e_{a}, e_{i a}, e_{j_{a}}\right) & =-\sqrt{\frac{A}{(n-a+1)}+\cdots+\frac{A}{(n-a+1) \ldots n}} \delta_{i j_{a}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $1 \leqslant a \leqslant n$ and $a \leqslant i_{a}, j_{a} \leqslant n$ unless $i_{a}=j_{a}=a$.
Proof. If $A=0$, the assumption (3.1) and (3.2) imply $T=0$. Hence we may consider the case $A>0$. We shall prove this lemma by induction on the dimension of $R^{n}$. It is easy to prove that $T\left(e_{1}, e_{1}, X\right)=0$ for all $X$ orthogonal to $e_{1}$. Since $T\left(e_{1}, X, Y\right)$ is symmetric with respect to $X$ and $Y$, we can choose an orthonormal basis $f_{1}\left(=e_{1}\right), f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}$ which satisfies $T\left(f_{1}, f_{i}, f_{j}\right)=\lambda_{i} \delta_{i j}$. Using (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{1} & >0  \tag{3.3}\\
\lambda_{1}+\cdots \lambda_{n} & =0  \tag{3.4}\\
A+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{a}-\left(\lambda_{a}\right)^{2} & =0 \text { for } 1<a \leqslant n . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

If $n=2$, the result follows from (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5).
Assume that the lemma is true for $<n-1$ and consider the lemma for $R^{n}$. Let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$ be the orthogonal basis chosen above. From (3.5), we must consider two cases.

Case 1. $\lambda_{2}=\cdots=\lambda_{p+1}(=\mu)$ and $\lambda_{p+2}=\cdots=\lambda_{n}(=\nu)$, where $\mu \neq \nu$ and $1 \leqslant p \leqslant n-2$.

Case 2. $\lambda_{2}=\cdots=\lambda_{n}(=\mu)$.
If Case 1 holds, then, without loss of generality, we may assume $2 p<n-1$. From (3.4) and (3.5), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu^{2} & =(n-p) A /(p+1), \quad \nu^{2}=(p+1) A /(n-p), \\
\lambda_{1} \mu & =(n-2 p-1) A /(p+1) \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{1} \nu=-(n-2 p-1) A /(n-p) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have $n-2 p-1>0$ and hence, $n>3$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu & =\sqrt{(n-p) A /(p+1)}, \quad \gamma=-\sqrt{(p+1) A /(n-p)}, \\
\lambda_{1} & =\sqrt{(n-p) A /(p+1)}-\sqrt{(p+1) A /(n-p)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we use the following convention on the ranges of indices: $a \leqslant a^{\prime} \leqslant p$ $+1<a^{\prime \prime} \leqslant n$. Using (3.1), we have $\left(\lambda_{a^{\prime}}-\lambda_{a^{\prime \prime}}\right) T\left(f_{a}, f_{a^{\prime}}, f_{a^{\prime \prime}}\right)=0$, which, together with $\lambda_{a^{\prime}}-\lambda_{a^{\prime \prime}} \neq 0$, implies $T\left(f_{a}, f_{a^{\prime}}, f_{a^{\prime \prime}}\right)=0$. Let $N$ (resp. $\left.N^{\prime} ; N^{\prime \prime}\right)$ be the linear subspace of $R^{n}$ spanned by $f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}$ (resp. $f_{2}, \ldots, f_{p+1} ; f_{p+2}, \ldots, f_{n}$ ). Then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(X, Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime \prime}\right) & =0, \quad T\left(f_{1}, X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)=\sqrt{(n-p) A /(p+1)} g\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right) \\
T\left(f_{1}, X^{\prime \prime}, Y^{\prime \prime}\right) & =-\sqrt{(p+1) A /(n-p)} g\left(X^{\prime \prime}, Y^{\prime \prime}\right), \quad T\left(f_{1}, X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime \prime}\right)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $X \in N, X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime} \in N^{\prime}$ and $X^{\prime \prime}, Y^{\prime \prime}, Z^{\prime \prime} \in N^{\prime \prime}$, which, together with (3.1) and (3.2), imply that

$$
\begin{gathered}
A(n+p) /(p+1)\left\{g\left(X^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right) g\left(Y^{\prime}, W^{\prime}\right)-g\left(X^{\prime}, W^{\prime}\right) g\left(Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
+\sum_{a^{\prime}=2}^{p+1} T\left(X^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}, f_{a^{\prime}}\right) T\left(Y^{\prime}, W^{\prime}, f_{a^{\prime}}\right)-\sum_{a^{\prime}=2}^{p+1} T\left(X^{\prime}, W^{\prime}, f_{a^{\prime}}\right) T\left(Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}, f_{a^{\prime}}\right)=0 \\
\sum_{a^{\prime}=2}^{p+1} T\left(X^{\prime}, f_{a^{\prime}}, f_{a^{\prime}}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
A(n+1) /(n-p)\left\{g\left(X^{\prime \prime}, Z^{\prime \prime}\right) g\left(Y^{\prime \prime}, W^{\prime \prime}\right)-g\left(X^{\prime \prime}, W^{\prime \prime}\right) g\left(Y^{\prime \prime}, Z^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\} \\
+\sum_{a^{\prime \prime}=p+2}^{n} T\left(X^{\prime \prime}, Z^{\prime \prime}, f_{a^{\prime \prime}}\right) T\left(Y^{\prime \prime}, W^{\prime \prime}, f_{a^{\prime \prime}}\right) \\
-\sum_{a^{\prime \prime}=p+2}^{n} T\left(X^{\prime \prime}, W^{\prime \prime}, f_{a^{\prime \prime}}\right) T\left(Y^{\prime \prime}, Z^{\prime \prime}, f_{a^{\prime \prime}}\right)=0 \\
\sum_{a^{\prime \prime}=p+2}^{n} T\left(X^{\prime \prime}, f_{a^{\prime \prime}}, f_{a^{\prime \prime}}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

where $X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}, W^{\prime} \in N^{\prime}$ and $X^{\prime \prime}, Y^{\prime \prime}, Z^{\prime \prime}, W^{\prime \prime} \in N^{\prime \prime}$. Since the dimensions of $N^{\prime}$ and $N^{\prime \prime}$ are less than $n$, from the assumption we obtain unit vectors $e^{\prime} \in N^{\prime}$ and $e^{\prime \prime} \in N^{\prime \prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right) & =(p-1) \sqrt{A(n+1) / p(p+1)} \\
T\left(e^{\prime \prime}, e^{\prime \prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right) & =(n-p-2) \sqrt{A(n+1) /(n-p-1)(n-p)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the definition of $e_{1}$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{A(n-p) /(p+1)}-\sqrt{A(p+1) /(n-p)} \\
& >\operatorname{Max}\{(p-1) \sqrt{A(n+1) / p(p+1)} \\
& \quad(n-p-2) \sqrt{A(n+1) /(n-p-1)(n-p)}\}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies $\sqrt{(n-p) /(p+1)} \geqslant(p-1) \sqrt{(n+1) / p(p+1)}$. We immediately obtain $p=1$ or 2 . This, together with the inequality, induces a contradiction for $n>3$. It is easy to treat Case 2 by the same argument as Case 1. Q.E.D.
4. Proof of Theorem. Let $T$ be the second fundamental form of the immersion as a symmetric bilinear map $T M \times T M$ into $T M$. By (2.1), we may consider $T$ as a symmetric 3 -linear map of $T M \times T M \times T M$ into $R$. By (2.2) and the minimality of $M$, it satisfies (3.1) and (3.2) for $A=\bar{c}-c$. We may assume that $M$ is not totally geodesic, i.e., $A \neq 0$. We easily obtain a local field of orthonormal frames $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ such that the lemma holds. We denote by $\omega_{j}^{i}$ the Levi-Civita connection with respect to $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$. Using (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\sqrt{\frac{A}{n}} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{a}^{i}\left(e_{1}\right) e_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{a}^{i}\left(e_{1}\right) T\left(e_{i}, e_{1}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{a}^{i}\left(e_{1}\right) T\left(e_{a}, e_{i}\right) \\
& -(n-1) \sqrt{\frac{A}{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{1}^{i}\left(e_{a}\right) e_{i}+2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{1}^{i}\left(e_{a}\right) T\left(e_{i}, e_{1}\right)=0, \quad \text { for all } a \neq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the innerproduct of it and $e_{1}$, we obtain $\omega_{a}^{1}\left(e_{1}\right)=0$. This, together with the innerproduct of the above and $e_{b}(b \neq 1)$, implies $\omega_{1}^{a}\left(e_{b}\right)=0$. As a result, $e_{1}$ is a parallel vector field on $M$. Thus $M$ is flat. Q.E.D.

The author is grateful to Professor K. Ogiue for his useful criticism.
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