ON THE OSCILLATION AND NONOSCILLATION OF SECOND ORDER SUBLINEAR EQUATIONS

MAN KAM KWONG¹ AND JAMES S. W. WONG

ABSTRACT. An oscillation criterion and a nonoscillation criterion are given for the sublinear equation $y'' + a(t) |y|^{\gamma} \operatorname{sgn} y = 0$, $0 < \gamma < 1$, $t \in [0, \infty)$, where a(t) is allowed to change sign. When applied to the special case $a(t) = t^{\lambda} \sin t$, we deduce oscillation for $\lambda > -\gamma$ and nonoscillation for $\lambda < -\gamma$.

We are interested in determining when all continuable solutions of the sublinear Emden-Fowler equation

(1)
$$y''(t) + a(t) | y(t) |^{\gamma} \operatorname{sgn} y(t) = 0, \quad t \in [0, \infty), 0 < \gamma < 1,$$

are oscillatory. We are especially motivated by the particular case $a(t) = t^{\lambda} \sin t$ or more generally $t^{\lambda} f(t)$ where f is a periodic function of period T such that $\int_0^T f(t) dt \ge 0$.

We shall use as weight functions those $\phi: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\phi' > 0, \quad \phi'' < 0.$$

In an earlier paper [2], the authors proved the following extension of the well-known Belohorec Theorem.

THEOREM. If there exists a function ϕ satisfying (2) such that

$$\limsup_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^t \phi^{\gamma}(\tau) a(\tau) d\tau dt = \infty,$$

then (1) is oscillatory, i.e. all continuable solutions of (1) are oscillatory.

An immediate consequence of this theorem is that when $a(t) = t^{\lambda} f(t)$ with $\int_0^T f(t) dt > 0$ and $\lambda \ge -\gamma$ or with $\int_0^T f(t) dt = 0$ and $\lambda > 1 - \gamma$, then (1) is oscillatory. When $\int_0^T f(t) dt = 0$ and $\lambda \le 1 - \gamma$, the theorem fails to apply.

The first result of this paper is a sufficient oscillation condition that applies to cases in which

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^t \phi^{\gamma}(\tau) a(\tau) d\tau dt$$

exists and is finite. This condition allows us to deduce oscillation for $\lambda > -\gamma$ in our motivating example. To supplement our first result, we prove a nonoscillation

Received by the editors July 13, 1981.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 34C10, 34C15.

Key words and phrases. Second order, nonlinear, differential equations, oscillation.

¹The first author wishes to thank the Graduate School of Northern Illinois University for a grant that partially supports this research.

theorem which allows us to settle the cases $\lambda < -\gamma$. The critical case $\lambda = -\gamma$ remains, however, unanswered. Thus the conjecture made by Butler in [1, p. 144] is almost completely proved. For reference to other known results consult [2] or [3].

We define the functions

(3)
$$\mathscr{Q}(s) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{s}^{T} \int_{s}^{t} \phi^{\gamma}(\tau) a(\tau) d\tau dt$$

and

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\perp}(s) = \max{\{\mathcal{Q}(s), 0\}}.$$

THEOREM 1. If there is a weight function ϕ satisfying (2) so that the function \mathcal{Q} given by (3) is defined and satisfies

(4)
$$\limsup_{T\to\infty} \left(\int_0^T \frac{A_+^2(s)}{s} ds \right) \left(\int_0^T \frac{\phi'^2(s)s}{\phi^2(s)} ds \right)^{-1} = \infty,$$

then (1) is oscillatory.

PROOF. As in [2], the following easily verified identity plays a crucial role:

(5)
$$\left(\phi z^{\beta-1}\right)^{\prime\prime} + (\beta-1)\phi z^{\beta-3}z^{\prime2} + \left(\frac{-\phi^{\prime\prime}}{\beta}\right)z^{\beta-1} = -\left(\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}\right)\phi^{\gamma}a,$$

where $z = (y/\phi)^{\gamma}$ and $\beta = 1/\gamma > 1$. Integrating (5) twice, first over [s, t], then over [s, T], we obtain

$$\phi(T)z^{\beta-1}(T) - \phi(s)z^{\beta-1}(s) - (\phi(s)z^{\beta-1}(s))'(T-s)$$

$$+ \int_{s}^{T} \int_{s}^{t} \left(\frac{-\phi''}{\beta}\right) z^{\beta-1}(\tau) d\tau dt$$

$$+ (\beta - 1) \int_{s}^{T} \int_{s}^{t} \phi(\tau) z^{\beta-3}(\tau) z'^{2}(\tau) d\tau dt$$

$$= -\left(\frac{\beta - 1}{\beta}\right) \int_{s}^{T} \int_{s}^{t} \phi^{\gamma}(\tau) a(\tau) d\tau dt.$$

Dividing by T and letting $T \to \infty$, we see that, because the right-hand side tends to a limit and the integrands of the two integrals on the left-hand side as well as the first term are nonnegative, the following limits exist and are finite:

(7)
$$0 \leq \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\phi(T)z^{\beta-1}(T)}{T} = K < \infty,$$

(8)
$$0 \leq \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{s}^{T} \int_{s}^{t} \phi(\tau) z^{\beta-3}(\tau) z^{\prime 2}(\tau) d\tau dt = G(s) < \infty$$

and

$$0 \leq \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_s^T \int_s^t \left(-\frac{\phi''}{\beta} \right) z^{\beta-1}(\tau) d\tau dt = H(s) < \infty.$$

It follows from (8) that

(9)
$$\int_0^\infty \phi(\tau) z^{\beta-3}(\tau) z'^2(\tau) d\tau < \infty$$

since the integrand is nonnegative.

In view of all these, (6) implies

$$K-(\phi(s)z^{\beta-1}(s))'+H(s)+(\beta-1)G(s)=-\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}\mathscr{Q}(s)$$

and so

$$\mathscr{Q}(s) \leq \frac{\beta}{\beta - 1} (\phi(s) z^{\beta - 1}(s))',$$

from which

(10)
$$\frac{\mathcal{Q}_{+}^{2}(s)}{s} \leq \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta - 1}\right)^{2} \frac{\left(\phi(s)z^{\beta - 1}(s)\right)^{\prime 2}}{s} \\ \leq 2\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta - 1}\right)^{2} \left[\frac{\phi^{\prime 2}(s)z^{2\beta - 2}}{s} + \frac{(\beta - 1)^{2}\phi^{2}(s)z^{2\beta - 4}(s)z^{\prime 2}(s)}{s}\right].$$

By (7) and (9),

(11)
$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\phi^2(s)z^{2\beta-4}(s)z'^2(s)}{s} ds \le K_0 \int_0^\infty \phi(s)z^{2\beta-3}(s)z'^2(s) ds < \infty,$$

where $K_0 = \sup_{t>0} \phi(t) z^{\beta-1}(t)/t$. By (7) again

(12)
$$\int_0^T \frac{\phi'^2(s)z^{2\beta-2}}{s} ds \le K_0 \int_0^T \frac{\phi'^2(s)s}{\phi^2(s)} ds.$$

Inequalities (10), (11) and (12) together contradict our hypothesis (4). This completes the proof of the theorem.

REMARK. For the case $a(t) = t^{\lambda} \sin t$ and $1 > \lambda > -\gamma$, we can choose $\phi(t) = t^{\mu}$ with any μ such that $0 < \mu < 1$ and $1 > \mu\gamma + \lambda > 0$. Denote $\mu\gamma + \lambda$ by θ . Then \mathcal{C} is defined and $\mathcal{C}(s) = s^{\theta}(\cos s + o(1))$. Since $\phi'^2(s)s/\phi^2(s) = \mu^2/s$, (4) is satisfied and so (1) is oscillatory. The same argument works for $a(t) = t^{\lambda}f(t)$ with $\int_0^T f(t) dt = 0$ and $\lambda > -\gamma$.

The following result extends the necessity part of Belohorec's Theorem, i.e. equation (1) has a nonoscillatory solution if a(t) satisfies

(13)
$$a(t) \ge 0, \qquad \int_0^\infty t^{\gamma} a(t) \, dt < \infty.$$

Condition (13) implies in particular that $\lim_{T\to\infty} \int_0^T a(t) dt$ exists and is finite when a(t) is nonnegative.

THEOREM 2. Suppose that $A(t) = \int_t^\infty a(t) dt$ exists for all $t \ge 0$. If there exists a function $F(t) \in C^1[0,\infty)$ such that $|A(t)| \le F(t)$ for all large t where $F(t) = O(t^{-\gamma})$ as $t \to \infty$ and

(14)
$$\int_0^\infty t^{\gamma} |F'(t)| dt = B_0 < \infty$$

then (1) has a nonoscillatory solution.

PROOF. Let $y_m(t)$ be the solution of (1) satisfying $y_m(1) = 0$, $y'_m(1) = m$, where m is a positive number. We claim that when m is large enough, $y'_m(t) > 0$ for all t > 1 and so y is nonoscillatory. For the sake of brevity, we omit the subscript m in the following discussion.

Suppose now that y'(t) = 0 for some t > 1. Let τ_1 be the smallest of such t. Let τ_2 be the smallest of all those t such that y'(t) = 2m. (If no such t exists, let $\tau_2 = \infty$.) Finally let $\tau = \min\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$. Then on $[1, \tau)$, 0 < y'(t) < 2m. It follows that

(15)
$$0 < y(t) < 2mt, \quad t \in [1, \tau].$$

At $t = \tau$, we have either

(16)
$$y'(\tau) = 0$$
 (if $\tau = \tau_1$) or $y'(\tau) = 2m$ (if $\tau = \tau_2$).

Integrating (1) once we have for $t \in [1, \tau]$

(17)
$$y'(t) = m - \int_1^t a(s) y^{\gamma}(s) ds.$$

We now proceed to estimate the integral in (17) above as follows:

(18)
$$\left| \int_{1}^{t} a(s) y^{\gamma}(s) ds \right| = \left| (A(1) - A(t)) y^{\gamma}(t) + \int_{1}^{t} (A(s) - A(1)(y^{\gamma} - (s)))' ds \right| \\ \leq y^{\gamma}(t) \{ 2 |A(1)| + |A(t)| \} + \int_{1}^{t} |A(s)| (y^{\gamma}(s))' ds.$$

(The last step uses the fact that y(t), y'(t) > 0 on $[1, \tau)$.) We now integrate the last integral in (18) above:

(19)
$$\left| \int_1^t A(s)(y^{\gamma}(s))' ds \right| \le \int_1^t F(s)(y^{\gamma}(s))' ds \le F(t)y^{\gamma}(t) + \int_1^t |F'(s)| y^{\gamma}(s) ds.$$

Since A(T) tends to zero as $T \to \infty$ by its very definition, A(t) is bounded on $[1, \tau)$, say by a constant K. By assumption, there exists a constant B_1 such that $|t^{\gamma}F(t)| \le B_1$. For $t \in [1, \tau)$, we also have from (15),

Using (19) and (20) in (18), we find

(21)
$$\left| \int_{1}^{t} a(s) y^{\gamma}(s) ds \right| \leq (3K + B_{0} + B_{1}) (2m)^{\gamma} = M(2m)^{\gamma}.$$

Substituting estimate (21) into (17), we obtain

$$m - (2m)^{\gamma} M \le y'(t) \le m + (2m)^{\gamma} M$$
, for all $t \in [1, \tau]$.

For $m > (2^{\gamma}M)^{1/(1-\gamma)}$, we have in particular $0 < y'(\tau) < 2m$. This contradicts (16).

REMARK. For $\lambda < -\gamma$ and $a(\tau) = t^{\lambda} \sin t$, we see that $|\int_{t}^{\infty} a(s) ds|$ is less than a constant multiple of t^{λ} . Then $F(t) \equiv ct^{\lambda}$ satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem and so (1) is nonoscillatory.

Another example is offered by $a(t) = t^{-\gamma} (\log t)^{\mu} \sin t$, $\mu \le -2$. We see that F(t) can be taken to be a multiple of $t^{-\gamma} (\log t)^{\mu}$.

If F is any C^1 nondecreasing function such that

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{F(t)}{t^{1-\gamma}} dt < \infty$$

then F satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem, that is $F(t) = O(t^{-\gamma})$, and (14) holds. To see this we apply integration by parts to obtain

$$\frac{1}{\gamma}F(T)T^{\gamma} + \int_{1}^{T} \frac{\left[-F'(t)\right]t^{\gamma}}{\gamma}dt = \int_{1}^{T} \frac{F(t)}{t^{1-\gamma}}dt + \frac{1}{\gamma}F(1).$$

Since the right-hand side is bounded, by (22), each of the terms on the left is bounded for all T. It can be shown by a continuity argument that the theorem still holds if F satisfies (22) but no continuity requirement is assumed on F.

REFERENCES

- l. G. J. Butler, Integral averages and the oscillation of second order ordinary differential equations, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 11 (1980), 190-200.
 - 2. Man Kam Kwong and J. S. W. Wong, On an oscillation theorem of Belohorec, preprint.
 - 3. J. S. W. Wong, On the generalized Emden-Fowler equation, SIAM Rev. 17 (1975), 339-360.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NORTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY, DEKALB, ILLINOIS 60115

CHINA DYEING WORKS, LTD., 833 SWIRE HOUSE, HONG KONG

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, HONG KONG