# AN INJECTIVE METRIZATION FOR COLLAPSIBLE POLYHEDRA 

JIE-HUA MAI AND YUN TANG

AbSTRACT. In this paper we prove that any finite collapsible polyhedron is injectively metrizable.

A metric space $Y$ is injective if every mapping which increases no distance from a subspace of any metric space $X$ to $Y$ can be extended, increasing no distance, over $X$. Isbell [2] proved that every 2-dimensional collapsible polyhedron admits injective metrics. In this paper we generalize the result to any finite collapsible polyhedron, which answers a part of the problem put forward by Isbell [2,3].

Let $S$ be a simplicial complex. According to [4], $S$ is called collapsible if there is a sequence of increasing subcomplexes $S_{0}, S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}$ such that $S_{0}=$ a point, $S=S_{n}$ and $S_{i+1}=S_{i} \cup\left\{\Delta_{i}, \tau_{i}\right\}$, where $\Delta_{i}$ is an $r_{i}$-dimensional simplex with an ( $r_{i}-1$ )dimensional face $\tau_{i}$ such that $S_{i} \cap\left\{\Delta_{i}, \tau_{i}\right\}=\varnothing, i=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. The polyhedron $|S|$ of a (collapsible) complex $S$ is called a (collapsible) polyhedron.

Let $K$ be a cubical complex. $I=[0,1], I^{n+1}=I^{n} \times I$. Metrize $K$ as follows: assume that each $k$-cube of $K$ is a copy of $I^{k}$; define the distance between two points $x, y \in|K|$ so that if $x$ and $y$ are in a common cell, for example, in $\left|I^{k}\right|$, then the distance

$$
d(x, y)=\max _{i}\left|x_{i}-y_{i}\right|
$$

where $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right), y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right) \in\left|I^{k}\right|$; otherwise the distance is the length of the shortest path joining them. Obviously, $K$ then is a convex metric space.

Definition 1. Let $K$ be a cubical complex, $Y$ a connected subset of $|K| . Y$ is called a generalized cuboid of $K$ if for any cell of $K$, for example, $I^{k}$, either the intersection $Y \cap\left|I^{k}\right|=\varnothing$, or there are $s_{i}, t_{i}, 0 \leqslant s_{i} \leqslant t_{i} \leqslant 1, i=1, \ldots, k$, such that

$$
Y \cap\left|I^{k}\right|=\left\{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right) \in I^{k} \mid s_{i} \leqslant y_{i} \leqslant t_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k\right\} .
$$

For convenience, write GC for generalized cuboid.
Definition 2. Let $K$ be a cubical complex. $K$ is called collapsible if there are a sequence of subcomplexes $K_{0}, K_{1}, \ldots, K_{n}$ of $K$, and nonempty subcomplexes $L_{i}$ of $K_{i}, i=0,1, \ldots, n$, such that $K_{0}=$ one point, $K=K_{n}$, and $K_{i+1}=K_{i} \cup L_{i} \times I$, where

$$
L_{i} \times I=\left\{c \times\{0\}, c \times I, c \times\{1\} \mid c \in L_{i}\right\}, \quad I=[0,1]
$$

$i=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. Such $K$ is called regular if each $\left|L_{i}\right|$ is a GC of $K_{i}$.

Remark 1. Here for every $c \in L_{i}$, we always identify $c$ and $c \times\{0\}$. In particular $I^{n}=I^{n} \times\{0\} \subset I^{n+1}$.

Remark 2. Isbell [2] gave a different, rather special definition for collapsible cubical complexes in the 2-dimensional case.

Lemma 1. Let $S$ be a collapsible simplicial complex. Then $S$ can be subdivided to a regular collapsible cubical complex $K$ such that the polyhedron of any subcomplex of $S$ is exactly the polyhedron of the corresponding subcomplex of $K$.

Proof. Let the subcomplexes of $S, S_{0}, S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}$, and the simplex $\Delta_{i}, \tau_{i}$ be as above. Set $K_{0}=S_{0}$. Suppose Lemma 1 is true for $n=i$; we want to show it is true for $S_{i+1}=S=S^{\prime} \cup\left\{\Delta_{i}, \tau_{i}\right\}$.

Write $\partial \Delta_{i}=\Delta_{i}-\operatorname{Int} \Delta_{i}$, by the hypothesis of induction, the polyhedron $\left|S_{i}\right|$ is subdivided to a regular collapsible cubical complex $M$, and $\partial \Delta_{i}-\operatorname{Int} \tau_{i}$ is a polyhedron of some subcomplex $L$ of $M$. Obviously, there exists a homeomorphism $f$ of $\left(\partial \Delta_{i}-\right.$ Int $\left.\tau_{i}\right) \times I$ onto $\Delta_{i}$ such that $f(x, 0)=x$ for every $x \in \partial \Delta_{i}-$ Int $\tau_{i}$. By $f$, one can obtain the cubical subdivision $M^{\prime}=M \cup L \times I$ of $\left|S^{\prime}\right|$.

Consider an arrangement $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{m}$ of all cubes contained in $L$ so that $\operatorname{dim} c_{i} \leqslant \operatorname{dim} c_{i+1}$, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$. Set

$$
M_{\alpha}=M \cup\left\{c_{j} \times I, c_{j} \times\{1\} \mid j=1,2, \ldots, \alpha\right\}, \quad \alpha=0,1, \ldots, m
$$

then $M=M_{0} \subset M_{1} \subset \cdots \subset M_{m-1} \subset M_{m}=M \cup L \times I$. Let $Q_{\alpha}=c_{\alpha} \times\{0\} \cup$ $\partial c_{\alpha} \times I$. It is easy to construct a homeomorphism $f_{\alpha}$ of $\left|Q_{\alpha} \times I\right|$ onto $\left|c_{\alpha} \times I\right|$, $\alpha=1,2, \ldots, m$, such that

$$
f_{\alpha}(x, t, 0)= \begin{cases}(x, 0) & \text { if } x \in c_{\alpha}, t=0 \\ (x, t) & \text { if } x \in \partial c_{\alpha}, t \in I\end{cases}
$$

Let $P_{0}=M_{0}, P_{\alpha}=P_{\alpha-1} \cup Q_{\alpha} \times I, \alpha=1, \ldots, m$. By construction each $P_{\alpha}$ is a cubical subdivision of $M_{\alpha}$, and $\left|Q_{\alpha}\right|$ is clearly a GC of $P_{\alpha-1}$. So $M \subset P_{1} \subset P_{2} \subset$ $\cdots \subset P_{m}$ is a subsequence of regular cubical complexes. Since $\left|P_{m}\right| \approx\left|M_{m}\right| \approx\left|S_{i+1}\right|$, $P_{m}$ is as desired.

To study injective metrization we give some properties of GC.
Lemma 2. Suppose $L$ is a subcomplex of a cubical complex $K,|L|$ is $G C$ in $K$, and projection

$$
P:|K| \cup|L \times I| \rightarrow|K|
$$

is given by $p(x)=x$ for $x \in|K|$ and $p(y, t)=y$ for $(y, t) \in|L| \times I$. Let $K^{\prime}=K \cup$ $L \times I$. If $X$ is a GC of $K^{\prime}$, then
(i) $p(X)$ is a GC of $K$;
(ii) if $p(X) \cap|L|=\varnothing, X=p(X)$;
(iii) if $p(X) \cap|L| \neq \varnothing$ and $X \cap|K|=\varnothing$, then there are $s_{0}, t_{0} \in I, s_{0} \leqslant t_{0}$, such that $X=p(X) \times\left[s_{0}, t_{0}\right]$;
(iv) if $p(X) \cap|L| \neq \varnothing$ and $X \cap|K| \neq \varnothing$, i.e. $X \cap|L| \neq \varnothing$, then there is $t_{0} \in I$ such that $X=(X \cap|K|) \cup\left((p(X) \cap|L|) \times\left[0, t_{0}\right]\right)$.

Proof. (i) If $|K| \cap X \neq \varnothing$, it is easy to see that $p(X)=|K| \cap X$ is a GC of $K$. If $|K| \cap X=\varnothing, p(X) \subset|L|$ and hence $p(X)$ is a GC of $L$. Since $|L|$ is a GC of $K$, so is $p(X)$.
(ii) If $p(X) \cap|L|=\varnothing, X \subset|K|$ and hence $X=p(X)$.
(iii) and (iv) follow easily from $X=(X \cap|K|) \cup(X \cap|L \times I|)$ and the following

Claim. If $p(X) \cap|L| \neq \varnothing$, then there are $s_{0}, t_{0} \in I$ such that

$$
X \cap(L \times I)=(p(X) \cap|L|) \times\left[s_{0}, t_{0}\right]
$$

It suffices to show that if $(x, s)$ and $(y, t)$ in $|L| \times I$ are points in $X$, then $(y, s)$ is also a point in $X$. In fact, take a broken line in $X$

$$
\left[\left(x_{0}, s_{0}\right),\left(x_{1}, s_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, s_{n}\right)\right]
$$

such that $(x, s)=\left(x_{0}, s_{0}\right),(y, t)=\left(x_{n}, s_{n}\right)$, and $\left[\left(x_{i-1}, s_{i-1}\right),\left(x_{i}, s_{i}\right)\right]$ belong to a common cube, $i=1, \ldots, n$. It successively follows from $\left(x_{0}, s_{0}\right) \in X$ that $\left(x, s_{0}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n-1}, s_{0}\right),\left(x_{n}, s_{0}\right)=(y, s)$ are in $X$.

For $r \geqslant 0$, nonempty subsets $Y$ of $|K|$ and $X$ of $\left|K^{\prime}\right|$, write

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(Y, r) & =\{y \in|K| \mid d(y, Y) \leqslant r\} \\
B^{\prime}(X, r) & =\left\{x \in \mid K^{\prime} \| d(x, X) \leqslant r\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3. Let $K$ and $L$ be as in Lemma 2. Suppose that for every $G C$ of $K, Y$, and $s \geqslant 0, B(Y, s)$ is a GC of $K$. Then for every $G C$ of $K^{\prime}=K \cup(L \times I), X$, and $r \geqslant 0$, $B^{\prime}(X, r)$ is a GC of $K^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $X$ be a GC of $K^{\prime}$. The proof conveniently splits into two cases:
Case 1. $X \cap|L| \neq \varnothing$. By (iv) of Lemma 2, there is $t_{0} \in I$ such that

$$
X=(X \cap|K|) \cup\left((p(X) \cap|L|) \times\left[0, t_{0}\right]\right)
$$

Let $B_{1}=B^{\prime}(X \cap|K|, r), B_{2}=B^{\prime}\left((p(X) \cap|L|) \times\left[0, t_{0}\right], r\right)$, it is easy to see

$$
\begin{aligned}
B^{\prime}(X, r) & =B_{1} \cup B_{2} \\
& =\left(B_{1} \cap|K|\right) \cup\left(B_{1} \cap|L \times I|\right) \cup\left(B_{2} \cap|K|\right) \cup\left(B_{2} \cap|L \times I|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is obvious that

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{1} \cap|L \times I| \subset B_{2} \cap|L \times I|, \quad B_{2} \cap|K| \subset B_{1} \cap|K|, \\
B_{1} \cap|K|=B(X \cap|K|, r),
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
B_{2} \cap|L \times I|=(B(p(X), r) \cap|L|) \times\left[0, t_{1}\right]
$$

where $t_{1}=\min \left\{t_{0}+r, 1\right\}$. Then $B^{\prime}(X, r)=B(X \cap|K|, r) \cup((B(p(X), r) \cap$ $|L|) \times\left[0, t_{1}\right]$. Since $X \cap|K|, p(X)$ and $|L|$ are GC of $K$, by the hypothesis, $B(X \cap|K|, r)$ and $B(p(X), r) \cap|L|$ are GC of $K$. So $B^{\prime}(X, r)$ is a GC of $K$. The proof of Case 1 is complete.

Case 2. $X \cap|L|=\varnothing$. Let $r_{0}=d(X,|L|)$. One has

$$
B^{\prime}(X, r)=B^{\prime}\left(B^{\prime}\left(X, r_{0}\right), r-r_{0}\right) \quad \text { whenever } r_{0} \leqslant r
$$

Case 2(a). $X \cap|K|=\varnothing$. By (iii) of Lemma 2, there are $s_{0}, t_{0} \in I$ such that $X=p(X) \times\left[s_{0}, t_{0}\right]$. If $r_{0} \leqslant r$, let $t_{2}=\min \left\{1, t_{0}+r_{0}\right\}$. Since $B\left(p(X), r_{0}\right)$ is a GC of $K, B^{\prime}\left(X, r_{0}\right)=\left(B\left(p(X), r_{0}\right) \cap|L|\right) \times\left[0, t_{2}\right]$ is a GC of $K$. Now $B^{\prime}\left(X, r_{0}\right) \cap$ $|L| \neq \varnothing$, by Case $1, B^{\prime}(X, r)$ is a GC of $K^{\prime}$. If $r_{0}>r$, similarly,

$$
B^{\prime}(X, r)=(B(p(X), r) \cap|L|) \times\left[s_{0}-r, t_{1}\right]
$$

is a GC of $K^{\prime}$.
Case 2(b). $X \cap|K| \neq \varnothing$, then $X \subset|K|$. If $r_{0} \leqslant r, B^{\prime}\left(X, r_{0}\right)=B\left(X, r_{0}\right)$ has nonempty intersection with $|L|$. By Case $1, B^{\prime}(X, r)$ is a GC of $K^{\prime}$. If $r_{0}>r$, $B^{\prime}(X, r)=B(X, r)$ is a GC of $K^{\prime}$.

Let $K$ be a cubical complex. $K$ is said to have property $(\mathrm{P})$ if any collection of GC of $K,\left\{X_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A\right\}$, such that every couple of its members intersect, has a common point.

Lemma 4. Let $K$ and $L$ be as in Lemma 2. If $K$ has the property ( P ), then $K^{\prime}=K \cup L \times I$ also has the property $(\mathrm{P})$.

Proof. Let $\left\{X_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A\right\}$ be a collection of GC of $K^{\prime}$ such that for each $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $A, X_{\alpha} \cap X_{\beta} \neq \varnothing$. Then $\left\{p\left(X_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$ pairwise intersect in $|K|$, and hence $\cap_{\alpha} p\left(X_{\alpha}\right) \neq$ $\varnothing$. We want to show $\cap_{\alpha \in A} X_{\alpha} \neq \varnothing$.
If $X_{\alpha} \cap|K| \neq \varnothing$ for each $\alpha \in A$, then

$$
\left(\bigcap_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}\right) \cap|K|=\bigcap_{\alpha}\left(X_{\alpha} \cap|K|\right)=\bigcap_{\alpha} p\left(X_{\alpha}\right) \neq \varnothing .
$$

Hence $\cap_{\alpha} X_{\alpha} \neq \varnothing$.
If $X_{\alpha_{0}} \cap|K|=\varnothing$ for some $\alpha_{0} \in A$, then $X_{\alpha_{0}} \subset|L| \times I$, and $p\left(X_{\alpha}\right) \cap|L| \neq \varnothing$ for each $\alpha \in A$. By (iii) and (iv) of Lemma 3, for each $\alpha \in A$, there are $s_{\alpha}, t_{\alpha}$ such that $0 \leqslant s_{\alpha} \leqslant t_{\alpha} \leqslant 1$ and

$$
X_{\alpha}=\left(X_{\alpha} \cap|K|\right) \cup\left(\left(p\left(X_{\alpha}\right) \cap|L|\right) \times\left[s_{\alpha}, t_{\alpha}\right]\right)
$$

Set $s=\sup \left\{s_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A\right\}, t=\inf \left\{t_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A\right\}$. One has $s \leqslant t$. In fact, if not, there are $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in A$ such that $s_{\alpha_{1}}>t_{\alpha_{2}} \geqslant 0$. Then $X_{\alpha_{1}} \cap|K|=\varnothing$. Obviously $X_{\alpha_{1}}=\left(p\left(X_{\alpha_{1}}\right)\right.$ $\cap|L|) \times\left[s_{\alpha_{1}}, t_{\alpha_{1}}\right]$ does not intersect with $X_{\alpha_{2}}=\left(X_{\alpha_{2}} \cap|K|\right) \cup\left(\left(p\left(X_{\alpha_{2}}\right) \cap|L|\right) \times\right.$ $\left.\left[s_{\alpha_{2}}, t_{\alpha_{2}}\right]\right)$. Contradiction. Then $\bigcap_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}=\left(\bigcap_{\alpha} p\left(X_{\alpha}\right)\right) \times[s, t] \neq \varnothing$.

We have to use an important property of injective metric spaces. That is
Lemma 5. Let $X$ be a metric space. Then $X$ is injective if and only if $X$ is convex and any collection of solid spheres in pairwise intersection in $X$ has a common point.

For proof of Lemma 5 see [1].
Now we can obtain our main conclusion.
Theorem. Let $S$ be a finite collapsible simplicial complex. Then there is a distance function in $S$ such that $S$ becomes an injective metric space.

Proof. By Lemma 1, $S$ can be subdivided to a regular collapsible cubical complex $K$ with its natural metric. Let the sequence of subcomplexes of $K$,

$$
K_{0} \subset K_{1} \subset \cdots \subset K_{n}=K
$$

and $L_{i} \subset K_{i}, i=0,1, \ldots, n$, be as in Definition 2. Because $|K|$ is convex, using Lemma 5 , we need only show that every solid sphere in $|K|, B(x, r)=\{y \in|K|$ $\mid d(x, y) \leqslant r\}$ is a GC of $K$, and that $K$ has the property (P).

The proof will be by induction on $n$. If $n=0, K_{0}=$ one point, it holds obviously. Suppose it holds for $n=j \geqslant 0$. Then the correctness for $K_{j+1}=K=K^{\prime} \cup L_{j} \times I$ easily follows from Lemma 3 and Lemma 4.

The authors are indebted to Professor J. R. Isbell for guidance.
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