ω -CONNECTED CONTINUA AND JONES' K FUNCTION

ELDON J. VOUGHT

ABSTRACT. A continuum X is ω -connected if for every pair of points x, y of X , there exists an irreducible subcontinuum of X from x to y that is decomposable. If $A \subset X$ then $K(A)$ is the intersection of all subcontinua of X that contain A in their interiors. The main theorem shows that if X is an ω -connected continuum and H is a connected nowhere dense subset of X , then $K(H)$ has a void interior. Several corollaries are established for continua with certain separation properties and a final theorem shows the equivalence of ω -connectedness and δ -connectedness for plane continua.

Let X be a compact connected metric space (continuum). If for every pair of distinct points x, y of X there exists a subcontinuum I of X irreducible between x and y such that; (1) I is decomposable, then X is ω -connected [3]; (2) I contains no indecomposable subcontinuum with nonvoid interior relative to I , then X is λ -connected [7]; (3) I is hereditarily decomposable, then I is δ -connected [7]; (4) I is an arc, then I is α -connected (arcwise connected). The first three properties are generalizations of arcwise connectedness and it is clear that α -connectedness implies δ -connectedness which implies λ -connectedness which implies ω -connectedness. Also if $A \subset X$ let $K(A)$ be the intersection of all subcontinua of X that contain A in their interiors relative to X . This concept was introduced by F. B. Jones in [9, Theorem 2]. There the K function is restricted to points (rather than subsets).

In [10] H. E. Schlags proves the following:

THEOREM. *If X is a hereditarily decomposable continuum, then for every point x of X , the interior of $K(x)$ relative to X is void.*

C. L. Hagopian [4, Theorem 4] generalized this result in two ways in the following:

THEOREM. *If X is a δ -connected continuum, then for any connected nowhere dense subset H of X , the interior of $K(H)$ relative to X is void.*

Hagopian then raised the question as to whether his theorem was true for λ -connected continua [6]. The main theorem of this paper is to answer that question in the affirmative by proving that the theorem is true, in fact, for ω -connected

Received by the editors May 4, 1983.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 54F20; Secondary 54B15, 54F65.

Key words and phrases. ω -connectedness, δ -connectedness, λ -connectedness, α -connectedness, Jones' K function, θ_1 -continuum, θ -continuum, upper-semicontinuous decomposition, Knaster indecomposable continuum with one endpoint.

©1984 American Mathematical Society
 0002-9939/84 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

continua. As consequences of this theorem, several results concerning monotone, upper-semicontinuous decompositions for continua with certain separation properties are established. Hagopian has also shown [5, Theorem 2] the equivalence of δ -connectedness and λ -connectedness for plane continua. A short proof is given at the close of this paper showing that in fact ω -connectedness, λ -connectedness and δ -connectedness are all equivalent for plane continua.

THEOREM 1. *If X is an ω -connected continuum, then for each connected nowhere dense subset H of X , the interior of $K(H)$ relative to X is void.*

PROOF. Let H be a connected nowhere dense subset of X and assume that $K(H)$ has a nonvoid interior relative to X . Let W be a nonvoid open subset of X whose closure is contained in the interior of $K(H) \setminus H$. Define T to be the component of $X \setminus W$ that contains H and let $K = T \cap \text{Bd}(W)$. There exist open sets U_0, C_0 such that $\text{diam}(U_0) < 1$, $K \subset C_0 \subset \text{Cl}(C_0) \subset \text{Int}(K(H) \setminus H)$, $\text{dist}(x, K) < 1$ for all $x \in C_0$, $\text{Cl}(U_0) \subset W$ and $\text{Cl}(U_0) \cap \text{Cl}(C_0) = \emptyset$.

Observe that the component P of $X \setminus U_0$ that contains H (and hence contains T) cannot contain C_0 . To see this, suppose $C_0 \subset P$. Since $H \not\subset \text{Int}(P)$ (for otherwise $U_0 \not\subset K(H)$), there exists a sequence of points $x_1, x_2, \dots$ in $X \setminus (P \cup U_0)$ converging to a point of H . For each positive integer i , define S_i to be the x_i -component of $X \setminus U_0$. Then $S = \limsup S_i$ is a continuum in $X \setminus U_0$ that intersects both H and $\text{Cl}(U_0)$. Since $C_0 \subset P$, for each positive integer i , $S_i \cap C_0 \neq \emptyset$, hence $S \cap C_0 = \emptyset$. But $K \subset C_0$ and $S \cap T = \emptyset$ so $S \cap W = \emptyset$. This means that $S \subset T$ and hence that $T \cap \text{Cl}(U_0) \neq \emptyset$ since $S \cap \text{Cl}(U_0) \neq \emptyset$. This is a contradiction since $T \subset X \setminus W$ and $\text{Cl}(U_0) \subset W$. Therefore C_0 is not a subset of P .

Thus we have $X \setminus U_0 = L_0 \cup R_0$, a separation, such that $K \subset P \subset L_0$ and $R_0 \cap C_0 \neq \emptyset$. Define V_0, C_1 to be open sets such that $\text{diam}(V_0) < 1$, $K \subset C_1 \subset \text{Cl}(C_1) \subset L_0 \cap C_0$ and $\text{Cl}(V_0) \subset R_0 \cap C_0$. Now replace U_0 and C_0 by V_0 and C_1 , respectively, and follow the argument of the last paragraph word for word down to the final two sentences. This gives a contradiction since $T \subset L_0 \cap (X \setminus W)$ and $\text{Cl}(V_0) \subset R_0$. Therefore C_1 is not a subset of P , the component of $X \setminus V_0$ that contains H . Therefore $X \setminus V_0 = L_1 \cup R_1$, a separation, such that $K \subset L_1 \cap C_1$ and $R_1 \cap C_1 \neq \emptyset$. Note that $L_1 \cap U_0 \neq \emptyset$ and $R_1 \cap U_0 \neq \emptyset$.

We proceed by induction. Assume that open sets $U_i, V_i, C_{2i}, C_{2i+1}$ of X have been defined for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ such that

- (1) $\text{diam}(U_i) < 1/2^i$, $\text{diam}(V_i) < 1/2^i$;
- (2) $X \setminus U_i = L_{2i} \cup R_{2i}$, a separation;
- (3) $K \subset C_{2i+1} \subset \text{Cl}(C_{2i+1}) \subset L_{2i} \cap C_{2i}$, $\text{Cl}(V_i) \subset R_{2i} \cap C_{2i}$, $\text{dist}(x, K) < 1/2^i$ for all $x \in C_{2i}$;
- (4) $X \setminus V_i = L_{2i+1} \cup R_{2i+1}$, a separation;
- (5) $K \subset L_{2i+1} \cap C_{2i+1}$, $R_{2i+1} \cap C_{2i+1} \neq \emptyset$; and
- (6) $\text{Cl}(U_{i+1}) \subset U_i \cap R_{2i+1}$ for $i < n-1$.

Let C_{2n} be an open set such that $K \subset C_{2n} \subset \text{Cl}(C_{2n}) \subset L_{2n-1} \cap C_{2n-1}$ and $\text{dist}(x, K) < 1/2^n$ for all $x \in C_{2n}$. By (2)–(5), $R_{2n-1} \cap U_{n-1} \neq \emptyset$, so let U_n be an open set such that $\text{Cl}(U_n) \subset R_{2n-1} \cap U_{n-1}$ and $\text{diam}(U_n) < 1/2^n$. Our previous

argument yields the fact that $X \setminus U_n = L_{2n} \cup R_{2n}$, a separation, such that $K \subset L_{2n} \cap C_{2n}$ and $R_{2n} \cap C_{2n} \neq \emptyset$. Define V_n, C_{2n+1} to be open sets such that $K \subset C_{2n+1} \subset \text{Cl}(C_{2n+1}) \subset L_{2n} \cap C_{2n}$ and $\text{Cl}(V_n) \subset R_{2n} \cap C_{2n}$. As before we have $X \setminus V_n = L_{2n+1} \cup R_{2n+1}$, a separation, such that $K \subset L_{2n+1} \cap C_{2n+1}$ and $R_{2n+1} \cap C_{2n+1} \neq \emptyset$.

Let $\{x\} = \bigcap U_i$ and (taking subsequences if necessary) let $y = \lim V_i$. Note that $y \in K$ since $K = \bigcap C_i$ and $V_i \subset C_{2i}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots$. By hypothesis there exists an irreducible subcontinuum I of X from x to y that is decomposable. Set $I = I_x \cup I_y$, where I_x and I_y are continua such that $x \in I_x \setminus I_y, y \in I_y \setminus I_x$. Let k be an integer such that $U_k \cap I_y = \emptyset$ and $V_k \cap I_x = \emptyset$. Then $I_x \subset R_{2k+1}$ since $x \in R_{2k+1}$. Also $I_y \subset L_{2k}$ since $y \in K$ and $K \subset \bigcap L_i$. Then since $I_y \subset L_{2k}, V_k \subset R_{2k}$ and $y \in L_{2k+1}$, it follows that $I_y \subset L_{2k+1}$. So we have $I = I_x \cup I_y \subset L_{2k+1} \cup R_{2k+1}$, a separation, with $x \in R_{2k+1}$ and $y \in L_{2k+1}$. This is a contradiction, so the assumption that $K(H)$ has a nonvoid interior is false. This completes the proof of the theorem.

A θ_n -continuum (θ -continuum) is a continuum such that every subcontinuum separates it into at most n components (a finite number of components). The following theorem has been established for θ_n -continua in [2, Theorem 2] and for θ -continua in [1, Theorem 2].

THEOREM. *Let X be a θ_n -continuum or a θ -continuum. Then X admits a monotone, upper-semicontinuous decomposition, the elements of which have void interiors, and which is unique and minimal with respect to the property that the quotient space is a finite graph if and only if whenever H is a nowhere dense subcontinuum of X , it follows that $K(H)$ is nowhere dense.*

As a consequence of this theorem and Theorem 1, the next result follows immediately.

THEOREM 2. *Let X be a θ_n -continuum or a θ -continuum and let X be ω -connected. Then X admits a monotone, upper-semicontinuous decomposition, the elements of which have void interiors and which is unique and minimal with respect to the property that the quotient space is a finite graph.*

Specifically for θ_1 -continua (continua for which the complement of every subcontinuum is connected), Theorem 3 provides a generalization of Hagopian's decomposition theorem for δ -connected θ_1 -continua [4, Theorem 5].

THEOREM 3. *Let X be an ω -connected θ_1 -continuum. Then X admits a monotone, upper-semicontinuous decomposition, the elements of which have void interiors and which is unique and minimal with respect to the property that the quotient space is a simple closed curve.*

The equivalence of δ -connectedness and ω -connectedness for plane continua is observed as a final result. The proof consists of combining the following two theorems.

THEOREM (HAGOPIAN [4, THEOREM 2]). *A plane continuum is δ -connected if and only if it cannot be mapped continuously onto D , the Knaster plane indecomposable continuum with one endpoint.*

THEOREM (KRASINKIEWICZ AND MINC [8, THEOREM 10]). *Let X be a plane continuum which can be mapped continuously onto an indecomposable continuum. Then there exist two points $x, y \in X$ such that X contains exactly one subcontinuum that is irreducible between x and y and this subcontinuum is indecomposable.*

THEOREM 4. *If X is an ω -connected plane continuum then X is δ -connected.*

PROOF. Suppose X is not δ -connected. According to Hagopian's theorem X can be mapped continuously onto the Knaster indecomposable continuum D . Then by the theorem of Krasinkiewicz and Minc, there are two points $x, y \in X$ such that there is only one subcontinuum that is irreducible between x and y and it is indecomposable. But this is a contradiction to the ω -connectedness of X . Hence X is δ -connected.

REFERENCES

1. E. E. Grace, *Monotone decompositions of θ -continua*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **275** (1983), 287–295.
2. E. E. Grace and E. J. Vought, *Monotone decompositions of θ_n -continua*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **263** (1981), 261–270.
3. ———, *Quasi-monotone mappings on θ_n -continua*, Topology Appl. **17** (1984), 55–62.
4. C. L. Hagopian, *λ -connectivity and mappings onto a chainable indecomposable continuum*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **45** (1974), 132–136.
5. ———, *Mapping theorems for plane continua*, Topology Proc. **3** (1978), 117–122.
6. ———, *λ -connectivity*, Abstract of a paper presented at the Mini-Topology Conf., Chico State Univ., Chico, Calif., 1981.
7. B. Knaster and S. Mazurkiewicz, *Sur un problème concernant les transformations continues*, Fund. Math. **21** (1933), 85–90.
8. J. Krasinkiewicz and P. Minc, *Mappings onto indecomposable continua*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **25** (1977), 675–680.
9. F. B. Jones, *Concerning non-aposyndetic continua*, Amer. J. Math. **70** (1948), 403–413.
10. H. E. Schlags, *Non-aposyndesis and non-hereditary decomposability*, Pacific J. Math. **45** (1973), 643–652.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHICO STATE UNIVERSITY, CHICO, CALIFORNIA 95929 (Current address)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95819