ON SUMMABILITY OF FOURIER SERIES AT A POINT

G. D. DIKSHIT

ABSTRACT. In this paper summability of Fourier series by a regular linear method of summation determined by a triangular matrix, has been studied and various results—some known and some new—on Cesàro and Nörlund summability have been deduced. A convergence criterion has also been obtained.

1. Let $C = (c_{n,k}), k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$, be a triangular matrix and let

$$t_n = \sum_{k=0}^n c_{n,k} s_k,$$

where $\{s_k\}$ is a given sequence of numbers. If $t_n \to s$ as $n \to \infty$, $\{s_n\}$ is called summable (C) to s. In this paper we assume $c_{n,k} \ge 0$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$, and $\sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{n,k} = 1$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for regularity of the method (C) is

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} c_{n,k} = 0 \quad \text{for each k.}$$

In the case

$$c_{n,k} = A_{n-k}^{\alpha - 1} / A_n^{\alpha}, \qquad \alpha \ge 0,$$

where $\{A_n^{\alpha-1}\}$ is determined by the identity

$$(1-x)^{-\alpha} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n^{\alpha-1} x^n \qquad (|x| < 1),$$

the method (C) reduces to the well-known Cesàro method (C, α) . For

$$c_{n,k} = p_{n-k}/P_n, \qquad P_n = p_0 = p_1 + \dots + p_n > 0,$$

the method (C) reduces to the Nörlund method (N, p). In the case $p_n = 1/(n+1)$, the Nörlund method (N, 1/(n+1)) is also known as the harmonic method.

Let f be a Lebesgue integrable periodic function with period 2π and let

$$f(x) \sim rac{1}{2}a_0 + \sum_1^{\infty} (a_n\,\cos nx + b_n\,\sin nx) \equiv \sum_1^{\infty} A_n(x).$$

We write

$$\phi(t)=rac{1}{2}\{f(x+t)+f(x-t)-2f(x)\},$$
 $\Phi(t)=\int_0^t\,|\phi(u)|\,du\quad ext{and}\quad s_n(x)=\sum_0^nA_k(x).$

Received by the editors October 13, 1983.

¹⁹⁸⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 42A24; Secondary 42A20, 40C05.

Key words and phrases. Triangular matrices, Cesàro method, Nörlund method, convergence criteria for Fourier series.

Let

$$C_n(k) = \sum_{m=0}^k c_{n,n-m}$$

and, for $u \geq 0$, define $C_n(u) = C_n([u])$, where [u] is the greatest integer function.

Throughout the paper K is used to denote an absolute constant, not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

2. We establish the following

THEOREM. Let $\{c_{n,k}\}$ be nondecreasing with respect to k. Let χ be a positive function defined over $(0,\infty)$ such that as $n\to\infty$, (i) $n\chi(n)=O(1)$ and (ii) $\int_1^n \chi(u)C_n(u)\,du=O(1)$. Then if $\Phi(t)=o(\chi(\pi/t))$, as $t\to 0+$, the series $\sum A_n(x)$ is summable (C) to f(x).

3. Proof. We have that $\{c_{n,k}\}$ is nonnegative and nondecreasing in k. Hence,

$$(n-k)c_{n,k} \le \sum_{m=k+1}^{n} c_{n,m} \le 1.$$

Thus for each fixed $k, c_{n,k} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, that is, (C) is a regular method.

In view of the fact that the convergence of Fourier series at a point is a local property of the generating function, we may take $\phi(t)=0$ over $[\delta,\pi]$, where $0<\delta<\pi$. We choose δ such that $\Phi(t)=o(\chi(\pi/t))$ for $t\in(0,\delta)$. Let

$$t_n(x) = \sum_{0}^{n} c_{n,k} s_k(x).$$

Then we need to show that $t_n(x) - f(x) = o(1)$ as $n \to \infty$. After the Dirichlet integral, for $n > \pi/\delta$,

$$t_n(x) - f(x) = \sum_{0}^{n} c_{n,k} s_k(x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\delta} \phi(t) L(n,t) dt$$

= $\frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\pi/n} + \int_{\pi/n}^{\delta} \right\} = I_1 + I_2$, say,

where

$$L(n,t) = \sum_{0}^{n} \frac{c_{n,k} \sin\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}t\right)}.$$

As

$$|L(n,t)| \leq \pi \sum_{0}^{n} \left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) c_{n,k} \leq \pi \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

we get

$$|{
m I}_1| \leq \left(n + rac{1}{2}
ight) \int_0^{\pi/n} |\phi(t)| \, dt = o(n\chi(n)) = o(1),$$

as $n \to \infty$.

Next, in view of the order estimates of McFadden [4, Lemma 5.11],

$$\left| \sum_{k=a}^{b} c_{n,n-k} e^{i(n-k)t} \right| \le KC_n(\pi/t),$$

where $0 \le a \le b \le \infty$, $0 < t \le \pi$, and n a positive integer, we obtain

$$\begin{split} |\mathbf{I}_{2}| &\leq K \int_{\pi/n}^{\delta} \frac{|\phi(t)| C_{n}(\pi/t)}{t} dt \\ &= K \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} \int_{\pi/(k+1)}^{\pi/k} \frac{|\phi(t)| C_{n}(\pi/t)}{t} dt + K \int_{\pi/r}^{\delta} \frac{|\phi(t)| C_{n}(\pi/t)}{t} dt, \end{split}$$

where r is a positive integer such that $\pi/r \le \delta < \pi/(r+1)$. As

$$\int_{\pi/(k+1)}^{\pi/k} \frac{|\phi(t)|C_n(\pi/t)}{t} dt = \left[\frac{C_n(\pi/t)}{t}\Phi(t)\right]_{\pi/(k+1)}^{\pi/k} + \int_{\pi/(k+1)}^{\pi/k} \frac{\Phi(t)C_n(\pi/t)}{t^2} dt,$$

$$|I_2| \le o(C_n(r)) + o(n\chi(n)C_n(n)) + K \int_{\pi/n}^{\delta} \frac{\Phi(t)C_n(\pi/t)}{t^2} dt$$

$$\vdots = o(1) + o\left(\int_{1}^{n} \chi(u)C_n(u) du\right) = o(1).$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

4. The four corollaries in this section follow as a result of our Theorem.

COROLLARY 1 (HARDY [2]). Let $\alpha > 0$. If $\Phi(t) = o(t)$, as $t \to 0+$, then $\sum A_n(x)$ is summable (C, α) to f(x).

The case $\alpha=1$ is the classical result of Lebesgue (see [10, Theorem III 3.9]). PROOF. Let $\chi(u)=\pi/u$ and $c_{n,k}=A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1}/A_n^{\alpha}$. Then $\chi(\pi/t)=t$ and

$$C_n(u) = \sum_{m=0}^{[u]} c_{n,n-m} = \sum_{m=0}^{[u]} \frac{A_m^{\alpha-1}}{A_n^{\alpha}} = \frac{A_{[u]}^{\alpha}}{A_n^{\alpha}}.$$

Thus $n\chi(n) = \pi$ and

$$\int_{1}^{n} \chi(u)C_{n}(u) du = O(n^{-\alpha}) \int_{1}^{n} u^{\alpha-1} du = O(1) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Hence all the hypotheses of the Theorem are satisfied and the result follows.

COROLLARY 2. (i) (SIDDIQI [6]). If $\Phi(t) = o(t/\log(2\pi/t))$, as $t \to 0+$, then $\sum A_n(x)$ is summable (N, 1/(n+1)) to f(x).

(ii) If $\Phi(t) = o(t/\{\log(3\pi/t)\log\log(3\pi/t)\})$, as $t \to 0+$, then $\sum A_n(x)$ is summable $(N, 1/\{(n+2)\log(n+2)\})$.

(iii) If $\Phi(t) = o(t/\{\log(k\pi/t)\log_2(k\pi/t)\cdots\log_q(k\pi/t)\})$, as $t \to 0+$, then $\sum A_n(x)$ is summable $(N, 1/\{(n+k)\log(n+k)\cdots\log_{q-1}(n+k)\})$, to f(x), where $\log_r x = \log(\log_{r-1} x)$, for $r \ge 2$, and k is such that $\log_q k > 0$.

PROOF. To deduce this corollary, note that, in case (i) taking

$$\chi(u) = \frac{\pi}{u \log 2u}$$
 and $c_{n,k} = \frac{1/(n+1-k)}{\sum_{0}^{n} 1/(k+1)}$,

we obtain

$$\chi(\pi/t) = t/\log(2\pi/t),$$
 $n\chi(n) = \pi/\log 2n = o(1)$ as $n \to \infty,$
 $C_n(u) = \sum_{0}^{\lfloor u \rfloor} 1/(m+1)/\sum_{0}^{n} 1/(k+1),$

and thus

$$\int_{1}^{n} \chi(u) C_n(u) du = O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right) \int_{1}^{n} \frac{1}{u} du = O(1).$$

Thus the hypotheses of the Theorem are satisfied and the result follows.

The choice of χ , $c_{n,k}$, $C_n(u)$, etc., is similarly suggested in each of the cases (ii) and (iii), and the proof of the corollary is completed.

COROLLARY 3. Let $\{p_n\}$ be a nonnegative, nonincreasing sequence and let p(1/t) = p([1/t]) and P(1/t) = P([1/t]).

- (i) (SINGH [7]). If (a) $\Phi(t) = o(t/\log(\pi/t))$ as $t \to 0+$, and
- (b) $\sum_{1}^{n} (P_k/k \log(k+1)) = O(P_n),$

then $\sum A_n(x)$ is summable (N, p) to f(x).

- (ii) (PATI [5]). If (c) $\Phi(t) = o(t/P(1/t))$ as $t \to 0+$, and
- (d) $\log n = O(P_n)$,

then $\sum A_n(x)$ is summable (N, p) to f(x).

(iii) (SINGH [8]). If (e) $\Phi(t) = o(p(1/t)/P(1/t))$, as $t \to 0+$, then $\sum A_n(x)$ is summable (N, p) to f(x).

REMARKS. In their theorems both Pati and Singh have assumed an extra hypothesis on $\{P_n\}$: " $P_n \to \infty$, as $n \to \infty$ ".

PROOF. Since $\{p_n\}$ is nonnegative and nonincreasing,

$$(n+1)p_n \leq p_0 + p_1 + \cdots + p_n = P_n.$$

Therefore $np_n/P_n = O(1)$, as $n \to \infty$. Taking $c_{n,k} = p_{n-k}/P_n$ we obtain

$$C_n(u) = P(u)/P_n.$$

Case (i). Take $\chi(u)=1$, for $u\in(0,2)$ and $\chi(u)=\pi/(u\log u)$ for $u\in[2,\infty)$. Then for $t\in(0,1/2)$,

$$\chi(\pi/t) = t/\log(\pi/t),$$

and, for n > 2,

$$n\chi(n) = \pi/\log n$$
.

Thus

$$n\chi(n) = o(1)$$
 as $n \to \infty$.

Also

$$\int_{1}^{n} \chi(u) C_{n}(u) du = \frac{P_{1}}{P_{n}} + \frac{\pi}{P_{n}} \int_{2}^{n} \frac{P(u)}{u \log u} du$$

$$= \frac{P_{1}}{P_{n}} + \frac{\pi}{P_{n}} \sum_{2}^{n-1} \int_{k}^{k+1} \frac{P(u)}{u \log u} du$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{P_{n}} \left\{ P_{1} + \pi \sum_{2}^{n-1} \frac{P_{k}}{k \log k} \right\}$$

$$\leq K \left(\frac{1}{P_{n}} \right) \sum_{1}^{n} \frac{P_{k}}{k \log(k+1)}$$

$$= O(1) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

and the hypotheses of the Theorem are satisfied.

Case (ii). Take $\chi(u) = 1/uP(u)$. Then

$$n\chi(n) = 1/P(n) = O(1)$$
, as $n \to \infty$,

and

$$\int_{1}^{n} \chi(u) C_{n}(u) du = \frac{1}{P_{n}} \int_{1}^{n} \frac{1}{u} du = \frac{\log n}{P_{n}} = O(1).$$

Case (iii). Let $\chi(u) = p(u)/P(u)$. Then

$$n\chi(n) = np_n/P_n = O(1),$$

as shown earlier, and also

$$\int_{1}^{n} \chi(u) C_{n}(u) du = \frac{1}{P_{n}} \int_{1}^{n} p(u) du = O(1).$$

Thus in each of these cases, the hypotheses of the Theorem are satisfied and the corollary follows.

COROLLARY 4 (A CONVERGENCE CRITERION). Let χ be a decreasing function such that $\int_1^n \chi(u) du = O(1)$. If $\Phi(t) = o(\chi(\pi/t))$, as $t \to 0+$, then $\sum A_n(x)$ converges to f(x).

In particular, if $\chi(\pi/t)$ denotes any of the following:

- (i) $t/(\log(2\pi/t))^{1+\varepsilon}$,
- (ii) $t/\{\log(k\pi/t)(\log\log(k\pi/t))^{1+\varepsilon}\},\ldots$ where $\varepsilon>0$ and k is appropriately chosen, then $\Phi(t)=O(\chi(\pi/t))$ implies that $\sum A_n(x)$ converges to f(x).

REMARKS. This result may be compared with the corresponding classical results on nonconvergence of a Fourier series at a point of continuity, e.g. see [10, Theorem VIII 2.4, p. 303]. Thus, in the suggested particular cases, $\varepsilon > 0$ may not be replaced by $\varepsilon = 0$. For other alternate convergence criteria involving the case $\varepsilon = 0$, see [3, Theorems 3, 10; 9, Theorems 2, 3].

We shall need the following result for a proof of Corollary 4.

LEMMA [1]. Let $\{p_n\}$ satisfy the Kaluza conditions:

for
$$n \ge 0$$
, $p_n > 0$ and $p_{n+1}/p_n \le p_{n+2}/p_{n+1} \le 1$.

Then if $\{P_n\}$ is bounded, the method (N,p) is ineffective, i.e. only convergent sequences are summable by the method.

PROOF OF COROLLARY 4. We first note that as χ is decreasing,

$$n\chi(n) \le \int_1^n \chi(u) du = O(1).$$

Now choosing $c_{n,k} = p_{n-k}/P_n$ such that $\{p_n\}$ satisfies the requirements of the Lemma (e.g. $\{p_n\}$ may be taken to be one of the sequences

$$\left\{\frac{1}{(n+1)(n+2)}\right\}, \quad \left\{\frac{1}{2^n}\right\}, \quad \left\{\frac{1}{(n+2)(\log(n+2))^{1+\varepsilon}}, \ \varepsilon > 0\right\},$$

etc.), we see that the hypotheses of the Theorem are satisfied, and thus we complete the proof.

In the case of the particular instances cited, we note that

$$\Phi(t) = O(t/(\log(2\pi/t))^{1+\varepsilon}), \text{ as } t \to 0+$$

implies that

$$\Phi(t) = o(t/(\log(2/t))^{1+2/\epsilon}), \text{ as } t \to 0+,$$

and similarly in the other cases, and then the results as claimed follow.

REFERENCES

- G. D. Dikshit, A note on Riesz and Nörlund means, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) 18 (1969), 49-61.
- 2. G. H. Hardy, On the summability of Fourier series, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 12 (1913), 365-372; also in Collected papers of G. H. Hardy, Vol. 3, Oxford Univ. Press, 1969, pp. 118-125.
- 3. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, Some new convergence criteria for Fourier series, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (2) 3 (1934), 43-62; also in Collected papers of G. H. Hardy, op. cit., pp. 68-88.
- 4. L. McFadden, Absolute Nörlund summability, Duke Math. J. 9 (1942), 168-207.
- 5. T. Pati, A generalisation of a theorem of Iyengar on the harmonic summability of Fourier series, Indian J. Math. 3 (1961), 85-90.
- J. A. Siddiqi, On the harmonic summability of Fourier series, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India Sect. A 28 (1948), 527-531.
- T. Singh, On Nörlund summability of Fourier series and its conjugate series, Proc. Nat. Inst. Sci. India Part A 29 (1963), 65-73.
- 8. ____, Nörlund summability of Fourier series and its conjugate series, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 64 (1964), 123-132.
- 9. G. Sunouchi, Convergence criteria for Fourier series, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) 4 (1952), 187-193.
- 10. A. Zygmund, Trigonometric series, Vol. 1, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1968.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF AUCKLAND, AUCKLAND, NEW ZEALAND