

## COUNTEREXAMPLES TO SEVERAL PROBLEMS CONCERNING $G_\delta$ -EMBEDDINGS

N. GHOUSSOUB AND B. MAUREY<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $JT$  (resp.  $JH$ ) be the James-tree space (resp. the Hagler space). We observe that two canonical subspaces of  $JT^*$  and  $JH^*$ ,  $G_\delta$ -embed in  $l_2$  even though they fail the Radon-Nikodym property. Such  $G_\delta$ -embeddings cannot be the product of a finite number of semi-embeddings. This answers negatively several questions of Bourgain-Rosenthal [1] and Rosenthal [8].

**Introduction.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two separable Banach spaces and let  $S: X \rightarrow Y$  be a given operator.  $S$  is called a *semi-embedding* if  $S$  is one-to-one and  $S(\text{Ball}(X))$  is closed.  $S$  is called a  $G_\delta$ -embedding if  $S$  is one-to-one and  $S(F)$  is a  $G_\delta$  for any closed bounded subset  $F$  of  $X$ . Note that if  $Z$  is another Banach space and  $S_1, S_2$  are two operators such that  $S_1: X \rightarrow Y$  is a semi-embedding and  $S_2: Z \rightarrow X$  is an isomorphic embedding then  $S_1S_2$  is a  $G_\delta$ -embedding. In [1 and 3] stability properties of the following type were investigated: if  $S: X \rightarrow Y$  is a  $G_\delta$ -embedding and  $T$  is an operator from  $L_1$  into  $X$ , what are then the properties (P) such that:

$T$  satisfies (P) if and only if  $ST$  satisfies (P)?

In [3] it is shown that the answer is positive for (P) = Dunford-Pettis and (P) = norm-sign preserving. In [1] it is shown that the answer is positive if (P) = representable provided  $S$  is a semi-embedding or, more generally, a composition of a finite number of semi-embeddings. In this note we construct  $G_\delta$ -embeddings from two spaces failing the Radon-Nikodym property into  $l_2$ . Such a  $G_\delta$ -embedding cannot be the product of semi-embeddings. Hence this gives a negative answer to questions (1) and (2) of Bourgain-Rosenthal [1]. This also solves negatively the local problem formulated by Rosenthal in [8]: namely, the existence of a relatively compact measure convex,  $L_1$ -convex  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $l_2$  without the R.N.P. as defined in [8] for nonclosed sets.

In the following proposition we give a general procedure to construct  $G_\delta$ -embeddings which are not the product of a finite number of semi-embeddings.

**PROPOSITION (1).** *Let  $Y$  be a separable Banach space and let  $X$  be a separable subspace of  $Y^*$  such that*

- (i)  $\text{Ball}(X)$  is a weak\*- $G_\delta$  in  $\text{Ball}(Y^*)$ ,

---

Received by the editors September 14, 1983 and, in revised form, December 12, 1983.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B20, 54E52.

<sup>1</sup> This work was completed while the second-named author was visiting the University of British Columbia.

(ii)  $\text{Ball}(X)$  contains a closed convex set  $K$  with no extreme points.

Then for every dense range compact operator  $T$  from  $l_2$  into  $Y$ , the restriction  $S$  of  $T^*$  to  $X$  is a  $G_\delta$ -embedding of  $X$  into  $l_2$  which is not the product of a finite number of semi-embeddings. Moreover  $S(K)$  is a measure convex,  $L_1$ -convex, relatively norm-compact  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $l_2$  without any extreme point (hence without the R.N.P.).

PROOF. Suppose  $B_{Y^*} \setminus B_X = \bigcup_n K_n$  where the  $K_n$ 's are weak\*-compact subsets of  $Y^*$ . We shall prove that for any closed subset  $F$  in  $B_X$ , the set  $B_{Y^*} \setminus F$  is also a weak\*- $K_\sigma$ . Indeed, for each  $x$  in  $X$ , denote by  $r(x)$  the distance  $d(x, F)$  of  $x$  to  $F$  and let  $D(x) = \{y \in X; \|y - x\| < r(x)/2; \|y\| \leq 1\}$ . These are open sets in the polish space  $\text{Ball}(X)$ , hence, since  $B_X \setminus F = \bigcup_{x \in B_X \setminus F} D(x)$ , there exists a countable subfamily  $(x_n)$  such that  $B_X \setminus F = \bigcup_n D(x_n)$ .

Let now  $C(x) = \{y \in Y^*; \|y - x\| \leq r(x)/2; \|y\| \leq 1\}$ . Note that each  $C(x)$  is weak\*-compact in  $Y^*$ . We claim that  $F \cap (\bigcup_n C(x_n)) = \emptyset$ : if not, there will be  $y$  in  $F$  and an  $x_n$  in  $B_X \setminus F$  such that  $\|y - x_n\| \leq \frac{1}{2}r(x_n) = \frac{1}{2}d(x_n, F)$ , which is absurd. Finally we get

$$B_{Y^*} \setminus F = \left( \bigcup_n K_n \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_n C(x_n) \right),$$

which is a weak\*- $K_\sigma$ .

Let now  $T$  be a dense range bounded linear operator from  $l_2$  into  $Y$ . It follows that  $T^*: Y^* \rightarrow l_2$  is one-to-one. Moreover, the image of every weak\*-compact subset in  $Y^*$  is weakly compact in  $l_2$ , from which follows that the restriction  $S$  of  $T^*$  to  $X$  is a  $G_\delta$ -embedding.

Since  $X$  fails the Radon-Nikodym property, the above discussion gives that  $S$  cannot be the product of a finite number of semi-embeddings. Moreover,  $S(K)$  has no extreme points since  $S$  is one-to-one, hence it fails the R.N.P. as defined in [8] for nonclosed sets. It also has the convexity properties mentioned above since it is the continuous image of a closed convex set. See [8] for more details.

We shall now give two examples of pairs of spaces  $(X, Y^*)$  verifying the hypothesis of the proposition. These spaces will clearly provide the required counterexamples. The first one is the couple  $(B, JT^*)$ , where  $JT$  is the James-tree space [6] and  $B$  is its predual, studied by Lindenstrauss-Stegall [7]. It was shown by Edgar-Wheeler [2] that  $B$  is a weak\*- $G_\delta$  in its bidual  $JT^*$  and it is well known that  $B$  does not have the Radon-Nikodym property. Actually, it can be shown that  $B$  does not have the Krein-Milman property. It follows then that the pair  $(B, JT^*)$  verifies the hypothesis of the proposition. For more details we refer the reader to [2 and 7].

We recall the construction of the second example, which is the pair  $(F, JH^*)$  where  $F$  is the subspace of the dual of Hagler's space  $JH$  [5], also studied by Bourgain-Rosenthal [1], since it is easier to describe and since it turns out to be the "general case": indeed, in a forthcoming paper [4] we show that every Banach space that  $G_\delta$ -embeds in  $l_2$  by means of a compact operator sits in the dual of a separable Banach space the way  $F$  sits in  $JH^*$ .

The space  $JH$  consists of all functions  $x$  from the infinite tree  $T = \{(n, i); n = 0, 1, 2; 0 \leq i < 2^n\}$  into the reals so that  $\|x\| = \sup \sum_{i=1}^n |S_i^*(x)| < \infty$ , where the

sup is taken over all families  $S_1, \dots, S_r$  of admissible segments in  $T$ . (The segments  $S_1, \dots, S_r$  are called admissible provided they have the same starting-level, the same ending-level and are mutually disjoint.) If  $S$  is a segment, then

$$S^*(x) = \sum_{(n,i) \in S} x(n, i).$$

Let  $e_{n,i}$  be the unit vectors of  $JH$  (i.e. the elements defined by  $e_{n,i}(m, j) = \delta_{n,m}\delta_{i,j}$ ). Let  $F$  be the closed linear span in  $JH^*$  of the biorthogonal functionals  $(e_{n,i}^*)$  to  $(e_{n,i})$  (i.e.  $e_{n,i}^*(e_{m,j}) = \delta_{n,m}\delta_{i,j}$ ). Denote by  $\Gamma$  the uncountable set of branches of  $T$ . J. Hagler proved [5] the following facts:

- (a) For every branch  $\gamma$ , and  $x^* \in JH^*$ ,  $\lim_{(n,i) \in \gamma} x^*(e_{n,i})$  exists.
- (b) The space  $F$  is the kernel of the map  $S: JH^* \rightarrow c_0(\Gamma)$  defined by  $Sx^*(\gamma) = \lim_{(n,i) \in \gamma} x^*(e_{n,i})$ .

It then follows easily that

$$F = \left\{ x^* \in JH^*; \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \max_{0 \leq i < 2^n} |x^*(e_{n,i})| \right) = 0 \right\}.$$

Hence  $\text{Ball}(JH^*) \setminus \text{Ball}(F) = \bigcup_m K_m$ , where for each  $m$ ,

$$K_m = \bigcap_{n \geq m} \left\{ x^* \in JH^*; \|x^*\| \leq 1; \max_{0 \leq i < 2^n} |x^*(e_{n,i})| \geq \frac{1}{m} \right\}$$

is weak\*-compact.

Following Bourgain-Rosenthal [1] we define for each  $(n, i) \in T$  and each integer  $k$ , the vector

$$f_{n,i}^k = 2^{-k} \sum_S \sum_{(l,m) \in S} e_{l,m}^*,$$

where the first sum runs over all segments  $S$  with starting level 1, ending level  $n + k$  and passing through  $(n, i)$ . The sequence  $(f_{n,i}^k)_k$  is norm convergent since  $\|f_{n,i}^{k+1} - f_{n,i}^k\| = 2^{-k-1}$ . Let now  $f_{n,i} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{n,i}^k$ . It is easy to see that  $\{f_{n,i}; n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq i < 2^n\}$  is a 1-tree: that is, for each  $(n, i) \in T$  we have

$$f_{n,i} = \frac{1}{2} (f_{n+1,2i} + f_{n+1,2i+1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_{n+1,2i} - f_{n+1,2i+1}\| \geq 1.$$

Let now  $D$  be the weak\*-closed convex hull of  $L = \{f_{n,i}; (n, i) \in T\}$  and let  $K = D \cap F$ : that is,

$$K = \left\{ x^* \in D, \lim_{(n,i) \in \gamma} x^*(e_{n,i}) = 0 \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma \right\}.$$

Note that  $K$  is a closed convex subset of  $D$  such that every extreme point of  $K$  must be an extreme point of  $D$ , since for every  $x^* \in D$  we have  $\lim_{(n,i) \in \gamma} x^*(e_{n,i}) \geq 0$  for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . On the other hand, Krein's theorem guarantees that the extreme points of  $D$  are in the weak\*-closure  $\bar{L}$  of  $L$ . Since no element in  $L$  can be extreme, we have that  $\text{Ext}(K) \subseteq \text{Ext}(D) \subseteq \bar{L} \setminus L$ . On the other hand, it is easy to see that for each  $x^* \in \bar{L} \setminus L$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{1 \leq i < 2^n} |x^*(e_{n,i})| = 1$ , hence  $K \cap (\bar{L} \setminus L) = \emptyset$  and  $K$  has no extreme points. It follows from the proposition that for every compact dense range operator  $T: l_2 \rightarrow JH$ , the restriction  $S = T_F^*: F \rightarrow l_2$  is a  $G_\delta$ -embedding

and  $S(K)$  is a relatively compact, measure convex,  $L_1$ -convex  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $l_2$  with no extreme points.

ADDED IN PROOF. In a forthcoming paper [4] we give a complete characterization of the spaces that  $G_\delta$ -embed in  $l_2$  by means of compact operators. In particular, we show that spaces with the Radon-Nikodym property do  $G_\delta$ -embed in  $l_2$ . It follows that the  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$ -spaces constructed by Bourgain-Delbaen are also examples of spaces  $G_\delta$ -embeddable into  $l_2$ . In view of the results of Bourgain-Rosenthal [1], such  $G_\delta$ -embeddings cannot be the product of a finite number of semi-embeddings.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Bourgain and H. P. Rosenthal, *Applications of the theory of semi-embeddings to Banach space theory*, J. Funct. Anal. **52** (1983), 149–188.
2. G. A. Edgar and R. F. Wheeler, *Topological properties of Banach spaces*, Pacific J. Math. (to appear).
3. N. Ghoussoub and H. P. Rosenthal, *Martingales,  $G_\delta$ -embeddings and quotients of  $L_1$* , Math. Ann. **264** (1983), 321–332.
4. N. Ghoussoub and B. Maurey,  *$G_\delta$ -embeddings in Hilbert space, I*, J. Funct. Anal. (to appear).
5. J. Hagler, *A counterexample to several questions about Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **60** (1977), 289–308.
6. R. C. James, *A separable somewhat reflexive Banach space with nonseparable dual*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1974), 738–743.
7. J. Lindenstrauss and C. Stegall, *Examples of separable spaces which do not contain  $l_1$  and whose duals are not separable*, Studia Math. **54** (1975), 81–105.
8. H. P. Rosenthal, *Geometric properties related to the Radon-Nikodym property*, Séminaire Choquet-Rogalski-St. Raymond, 20 année, 1980–1981, p. 20.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER V6T 1W5, BRITISH COLUMBIA, CANADA

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS VII, PARIS, FRANCE