

THE CONVEXITY OF A DOMAIN AND THE SUPERHARMONICITY OF THE SIGNED DISTANCE FUNCTION

D. H. ARMITAGE AND Ü. KURAN

ABSTRACT. Let D be a domain in \mathbf{R}^N with nonempty boundary ∂D and let u be the signed distance function from ∂D , i.e. $u = \pm \text{dist}$ according as we are in or outside \bar{D} . We prove that, for any $N \geq 2$, u is superharmonic in \mathbf{R}^N if and only if D is convex. When $N = 2$, this criterion requires the superharmonicity of u in D only.

1. Throughout this paper D will denote a proper subdomain of the Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^N , where $N \geq 2$. Thus the boundary ∂D of D in \mathbf{R}^N is not empty and we can define the distance function d from ∂D . The *signed distance function* u in \mathbf{R}^N is defined by

$$u = \begin{cases} d & \text{in } \bar{D}, \\ -d & \text{in } D', \end{cases}$$

where \bar{D} is the closure of D in \mathbf{R}^N and $D' = \mathbf{R}^N \setminus \bar{D}$.

Our main result is the following

THEOREM 1. *The function u is superharmonic in \mathbf{R}^N if and only if D is convex.*

The “if” part of Theorem 1 must be known, at least tacitly; cf. Fuchs [1, p. 11]. For completeness we sketch a proof. For every support hyperplane H of \bar{D} let u_H be the signed distance function from H such that $u_H > 0$ in D and u_H is harmonic in \mathbf{R}^N . Then $u = \inf_H u_H$ and it follows that u is superharmonic (in fact, u is concave) in \mathbf{R}^N , since the u_H are all harmonic and $u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{R}^N)$. The proof of the “only if” part of Theorem 1 (cf. §3) is more involved and requires two preliminary lemmas (§2).

We note that, for example, if D is the punctured ball $D = \{X \in \mathbf{R}^N: 0 < r = \|X\| < 1\}$, then u is superharmonic in D' but not in D . With this motivation we now state

THEOREM 2. *If D is a planar domain and d is superharmonic in D , then D is convex. In higher dimensions, neither D nor \bar{D} need be convex.*

THEOREM 3. *Let F be a proper closed subset of \mathbf{R}^N , where $N \geq 2$, and let d be the distance from ∂F . Then d is subharmonic in F' if and only if F is convex.*

The $N = 2$ and $N \geq 3$ cases of Theorem 2 are proved in §§4 and 5, Theorem 3 in §3.

Received by the editors July 7, 1983.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 31B05; Secondary 52A20.

Key words and phrases. Superharmonic function, distance, convex set.

©1985 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/85 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

2. LEMMA 1. *Let $D \subset \mathbf{R}^N$ be such that $D \neq \text{int}(\bar{D})$. Then u is not superharmonic in \mathbf{R}^N .*

We denote the mean-value of u on $S(X_0, r) = \{X: \|X - X_0\| = r\}$ by $M(u, X_0, r)$.

To prove Lemma 1, choose $X_0 \in \partial D$ and $r_0 > 0$ so that $B(X_0, r_0) = \{X: \|X - X_0\| < r_0\} \subset \text{int}(\bar{D}) \subset \bar{D}$. Clearly $M(u, X_0, r) > 0$ if $0 < r < r_0$; thus if u were superharmonic we must have $u(X_0) > 0$.

LEMMA 2. *Let Y_1, Y_2 be distinct points in \mathbf{R}^N such that $\|Y_1\| = \|Y_2\|$. Let r_1, r_2 denote the distances of a point from Y_1, Y_2 , respectively, and define v in \mathbf{R}^N by $v = r_1 \wedge r_2$. Then there exists a positive number r_0 such that $v(O) > M(v, O, r)$ for all r in $(0, r_0)$.*

By using a magnification, we may suppose that $\|Y_1\| = \|Y_2\| = 1$, and by rotating the axes, we may suppose further that $Y_1 = (\cos \phi, \sin \phi, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $Y_2 = (-\cos \phi, \sin \phi, 0, \dots, 0)$, where $0 \leq \phi < \pi/2$.

If $X = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbf{R}^N$ and $r = \|X\|$, then, writing

$$f(X) = r^2 - 2|x_1| \cos \phi - 2x_2 \sin \phi,$$

we have

$$v(X) = (1 + f(X))^{1/2} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2}f(X).$$

Hence

$$M(v, O, r) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2}M(f, O, r) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}r^2 - (\cos \phi)M(|x_1|, O, r).$$

Since $M(|x_1|, O, r)$ is a positive multiple of r and $\cos \phi > 0$, we have $M(v, O, r) < 1 = v(O)$ when r is small.

3. To prove the “only if” in Theorem 1, suppose that D is not convex. If \bar{D} is convex, then Lemma 1 implies that u is not superharmonic in \mathbf{R}^N , since then $\text{int}(\bar{D})$ is convex [2, Theorem 1.11] and so $D \neq \text{int}(\bar{D})$.

Now suppose that \bar{D} is nonconvex. A key result for this case is Motzkin’s theorem, which states that a proper closed subset F of \mathbf{R}^N is convex if and only if each point of \mathbf{R}^N has a unique nearest point of F (cf. [2, Theorem 7.8]). Hence, taking $F = \bar{D}$, we may assume that (by translating the origin, if necessary) $O \in D'$ and that there exist distinct points Y_1, Y_2 of \bar{D} such that $d(O) = \|Y_1\| = \|Y_2\| > 0$. Define v in \mathbf{R}^N by $v(X) = \|X - Y_1\| \wedge \|X - Y_2\|$. By Lemma 2, there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that $v(O) > M(v, O, r)$ whenever $0 < r < r_0$. Also, $\overline{B(O, r)} \subset D'$ for one of these r . Since $v(X) \geq d(X)$ for all X in D' with equality when $X = O$, we obtain

$$u(O) = -d(O) = -v(O) < -M(v, O, r) \leq -M(d, O, r) = M(u, O, r),$$

so that u is not superharmonic in D' .

The argument in the last paragraph (with \bar{D} replaced by F) proves the “only if” in Theorem 3. The proof of “if” in Theorem 3 is similar to the proof of “if” in Theorem 1 (§1).

4. To prove the plane case ($N = 2$) of Theorem 2, we suppose that D is nonconvex in \mathbf{R}^2 and show that d is not superharmonic in D . There exist a point Y_0 of ∂D , a positive number ϵ and a closed half-plane P with Y_0 on ∂P such that

$$P \cap (\overline{B(Y_0, \epsilon)} \setminus \{Y_0\}) \subset D;$$

cf. [2, Theorem 4.8]. Without loss of generality, suppose that $Y_0 = O$ and $P = \{X: x_2 \geq 0\}$. Let $X_0 = (0, \varepsilon/4)$ and $B = B(X_0, \varepsilon/8)$. If $X = (x_1, x_2) \in B$ and $x_1 \neq 0$, then $d(X) > x_2$. Hence, by the area mean-value equality for the function x_2 ,

$$\int_B d(X) dX > \int_B x_2 dX = \pi(\varepsilon/8)^2(\varepsilon/4) = \pi(\varepsilon/8)^2 d(X_0),$$

so that the area mean-value inequality for the superharmonicity of d fails at X_0 .

5. Here we show by an example that in higher dimensions ($N \geq 3$) the superharmonicity of u in D does not necessarily imply the convexity of D , nor even of \bar{D} .

Let Ω denote the torus in \mathbf{R}^3 obtained by rotating the disc $\omega = \{(0, x_2, x_3): (x_2 - a)^2 + x_3^2 < 1\}$, where $a \geq 2$, about the x_3 -axis. In the case $N = 3$ let $D = \Omega$, and in the case $N \geq 4$ let $D = \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^{N-3}$. Clearly D is not convex, and neither is \bar{D} . We shall show, however, that d is superharmonic in D .

With a point X (in \mathbf{R}^N) we associate plane polar coordinates (r, θ) such that $x_1 = r \cos \theta$ and $x_2 = r \sin \theta$ and we put $\rho = \rho(X) = (x_3^2 + (r - a)^2)^{1/2}$. Then $D = \{X: \rho < 1\}$ and $\partial D = \{X: \rho = 1\}$.

If $X \in D$, then, in finding $d(X)$, we may suppose that $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \omega$. Let $X_0 = (0, a, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then $B(X, 1 - \|X - X_0\|) \subset B(X_0, 1) \subset D$ and so $d(X) \geq 1 - \|X - X_0\| = 1 - \rho$. If $X = X_0$, then clearly $d(X) = 1$; if $X \neq X_0$, then the point Y_0 such that $\|Y_0 - X_0\| = 1$ and X_0, X, Y_0 are collinear (in that order) belongs to ∂D , so that $d(X) \leq \|X - Y_0\| = 1 - \rho$. Hence, in all cases, $d(X) = 1 - \rho$.

Let $G = \{X: \rho = 0\}$. We show first that d is superharmonic in $D \setminus G$ by computing the Laplacian

$$\Delta d(X) = -\Delta \rho = -\left\{ \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial x_3^2} + r^{-1} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial r^2} \right\} = \frac{a - 2r}{r\rho};$$

as we have $2r > 2(a - 1) \geq a$, we get $\Delta d < 0$. Hence d is superharmonic in $D \setminus G$ and therefore satisfies the weak mean-value inequality in $D \setminus G$ (that is, if $S(X, r) \subset D \setminus G$, then $d(X) \geq M(d, X, r)$). Further, d takes its maximum value at each point of G and therefore the mean-value inequality holds on G , too. As d is continuous, it follows that d is superharmonic in D .

REFERENCES

1. W. H. J. Fuchs, *Topics in the theory of functions of one complex variable*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1967.
2. F. A. Valentine, *Convex sets*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1964.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, THE QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY, BELFAST, NORTHERN IRELAND

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY, LIVERPOOL, ENGLAND