## A LOCAL CHARACTERIZATION OF NOETHERIAN AND DEDEKIND RINGS

## YVES LEQUAIN

ABSTRACT. Let R be a ring and M a maximal ideal of R. Then R is Noetherian if and only if every ideal contained in M is finitely generated; R is Dedekind if and only if every nonzero ideal contained in M is invertible.

Let R be a ring. It is well known that if the localizations  $R_P$  are Noetherian (respectively Dedekind) at all primes P, then R need not be Noetherian (respectively Dedekind). In this note, we will show that the properties of being Noetherian or Dedekind for R have nevertheless a local nature.

PROPOSITION 1. Let R be a ring and M a maximal ideal of R. Then,

- (a) R is Noetherian if (and only if) every ideal contained in M is finitely generated.
- (b) R is Dedekind if (and only if) every nonzero ideal contained in M is invertible.
- (c) There exists an integer n such that every ideal of R is generated by n elements if (and only if) there exists an integer m such that every ideal of R contained in M is generated by m elements.

If I is an ideal of R,  $\mu(I)$  will denote the minimal number of elements that are needed to generate I. Proposition 1 is clearly a consequence of the following:

PROPOSITION 2. Let R be a ring and M a maximal ideal of R. Let I be an ideal of R.

- (a) If M is finitely generated, then I is finitely generated if and only if  $I \cap M$  is finitely generated.
  - (b) If M is invertible, then I is invertible if and only if  $I \cap M$  is invertible.
  - (c)  $\mu(I) \leq 1 + \mu(I \cap M)$ .

The proof of this proposition will rely on the following:

LEMMA. Let R be a ring. Let I, J be two ideals of R such that I + J is invertible. Then

$$\mu(I) - \mu(I+J) \leqslant \mu(I \cap J) \leqslant \mu(I) + \mu(J).$$

**PROOF.** Consider the map  $\varphi: I \oplus J \to R$  defined by  $\varphi(a, b) = a + b$ . The image of  $\varphi$  is equal to I + J which is invertible by hypothesis, hence projective; thus,  $I \oplus J \simeq (I + J) \oplus \ker \varphi$ . Now,

$$\ker \varphi = \{(a, b) \in I \oplus J/a + b = 0\} = \{(a, -a)/a \in I \cap J\}$$

Received by the editors April 4, 1984.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13E05, 13F05.

is isomorphic to  $I \cap J$ . Thus,  $I \oplus J \simeq (I+J) \oplus (I \cap J)$ . From this, we get that  $\mu(I) \leq \mu(I \oplus J) = \mu((I+J) \oplus (I \cap J)) \leq \mu(I+J) + \mu(I \cap J)$ , hence that  $\mu(I) - \mu(I+J) \leq \mu(I \cap J)$ . We also get that

$$\mu(I \cap J) \leqslant \mu((I + J) \oplus (I \cap J)) = \mu(I \oplus J) \leqslant \mu(I) + \mu(J).$$

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2. (a) If  $I \subseteq M$ , we have  $\mu(I) = \mu(I \cap M)$ . If  $I \nsubseteq M$ , then I + M = R and, by the lemma, we have  $\mu(I) - 1 \le \mu(I \cap M) \le \mu(I) + \mu(M)$ .

- (b) If  $I \subseteq M$ , there is nothing to do. If  $I \nsubseteq M$ , then I and M are comaximal and therefore  $I \cap M = IM$ ; M being invertible, we have that  $I \cap M$  is invertible if and only if I is invertible.
  - (c) Immediate consequence of the lemma.

REMARK 1. In the same spirit as a theorem of I. Cohen [1, Theorem 2, p. 29], we could ask the following: If R is a ring, if M is a maximal ideal of R and if every prime ideal contained in M is finitely generated, then is R Noetherian? The answer is no as the following example shows.

Let (A, p) be a one-dimensional nondiscrete valuation ring, and let R = A[X]. Since p is the only nonzero prime ideal of A, there exists a maximal ideal M of A[X] such that  $M \cap A = (0)$ . By [2, Theorem 2.7, p. 384], M is a principal ideal. Since the height of M is clearly equal to 1, we have that every prime ideal of A[X] contained in M is principal; nevertheless, A[X] is not Noetherian since A is not Noetherian.

REMARK 2. Proposition 1 and Proposition 2 can be easily generalized taking the intersection of a finite number of maximal ideals instead of just one. As a corollary, one obtains that if R is a quasi-local ring, then R is Noetherian (respectively Dedekind) if and only if every nonzero ideal contained in the Jacobson radical of R is finitely generated (respectively invertible).

## REFERENCES

- 1. I. S. Cohen, commutative rings with restricted minimum condition, Duke Math. J. 17 (1950), 27-42.
- 2. J. Ohm and D. E. Rush, The finiteness of I when R[X]/I is flat, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 171 (1972), 377-408.

I.M.P.A., ESTRADA DONA CASTORINA 110, 22460 RIO DE JANEIRO, RJ, BRAZIL