

A SIMPLE CONSTRUCTION OF GENUS FIELDS OF ABELIAN NUMBER FIELDS

ZHANG XIANKE

ABSTRACT. Simple elementary construction of the genus field K^* (= maximal abelian subfield of the Hilbert class field) of any abelian number field K is given without using class field theory. When K is of type (l, \dots, l) with l prime, the construction is more explicit. These results contain some former results and show that the main result in [8] has mistakes.

Let K be an abelian extension of the rational field \mathbf{Q} . The genus field K^* of K is, by definition of Leopoldt [1], the maximal absolute abelian number field containing K , which is unramified at all the finite prime ideals of K . Usually, the determination of genus fields involves application of class field theory (see [1-3]). In this paper, we will determine genus fields not using class field theory. We use only Hilbert ramification theory [4].

It is sufficient to assume the degree of K a power of a prime. In fact, if $[K : \mathbf{Q}] = l_1^{s_1} \cdots l_t^{s_t}$ (l_i are distinct rational primes, $s_i > 0$), then it follows that $K = K_1 \cdots K_t$ and $K^* = K_1^* \cdots K_t^*$, where K_i^* is the genus field of K_i and $[K_i : \mathbf{Q}] = l_i^{s_i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq t$) [2].

THEOREM 1. *Let K be an absolute abelian number field of degree l^s , $l \in \mathbf{Z}$ be a rational prime, $s \geq 1$. Then the genus field of K is*

$$K^* = K \prod_{p \neq l} C_p = \prod_p C_p \quad (\text{composite}),$$

where $p \in \mathbf{Z}$ runs over rational primes ramified in K , $e(p)$ is the ramification index of p in K/\mathbf{Q} , C_p is the unique subfield of degree $e(p)$ of $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)$ ($p \neq l$), C_l is a subfield of degree $e(l)$ of $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{l^m})$ for some t , $\zeta_m = \exp(2\pi i/m)$.

PROOF. The field C_p ($p \neq l$) is well defined since $e(p)|p-1$, which can be proved easily by elementary method [4, p. 126]. Alternatively, the Kronecker-Weber theorem (which has an elementary proof in [4]) yields that $K \subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_m)$ for some m . Let $p^a || m$, then the ramification index of p in $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_m)$ is $p^{a-1}(p-1)$, so that $e(p)|(p^{a-1}(p-1), l^s)$, $e(p)|p-1$.

Let K' be the inertia field of p ($\neq l$) in KC_p . We assert that

$$(3) \quad KC_p = K' C_p.$$

In fact, let E and E_1 be the inertia group and first ramification group of p in KC_p , respectively. It is well known that E/E_1 is cyclic and $|E_1|$ is a power of p . But $|E_1|$ divides now $[KC_p : \mathbf{Q}]$, a power of l , and it follows that $|E_1| = 1$ and E is cyclic with order $|E| \geq |E_K| = e(p)$. On the other hand, the restriction map $\sigma \mapsto (\sigma|_{C_p}, \sigma|_K)$

Received by the editors June 27, 1984.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 12A35; Secondary 12A65.

Key words and phrases. Number field, genus field, abelian extension.

©1985 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/85 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

defines an imbedding $E \rightarrow E_{C_p} \times E_K$, where E_k denotes the inertia group of p in any field k . Hence E has no element of order $> e(p)$. This implies $|E| = e(p)$. Since $K' \cap C_p = \mathbf{Q}$, it follows that

$$[K'C_p : \mathbf{Q}] = [K' : \mathbf{Q}][C_p : \mathbf{Q}] = [K' : \mathbf{Q}]e(p) = [K' : \mathbf{Q}][KC_p : K'] = [KC_p : \mathbf{Q}].$$

This proves (3).

Notice that p is not ramified in K' , and the ramification index of each prime p_2 ($\neq p$) in K' is still $e(p_2)$.

Similarly, for any p_2 ($\neq p, l$), we have $K'C_{p_2} = K''C_{p_2}$, i.e. $KC_p C_{p_2} = K''C_p C_{p_2}$. Therefore, we have

$$(4) \quad KC_{p_1} \cdots C_{p_r} = K^{(r)}C_{p_1} \cdots C_{p_r},$$

where every p ($\neq l$) is not ramified in $K^{(r)}$. Thus we have $K^{(r)} = C_l$ from the Kronecker-Weber theorem (or proving directly as in [4]).

In obtaining (4), we have used only the following properties of K : the ramification index of p in K is $e(p)$ and $[K : \mathbf{Q}]$ is a power of l . Now K^* , the genus field of K , also has these properties since K^*/K is unramified. In fact, if a prime number q ($\neq l$) divides $[K^* : \mathbf{Q}]$, then K^* has a subfield of degree q . Suppose a prime p ramifies in this subfield. It follows that $q|e(p)|l^s$, a contradiction. Therefore, as in the case of K , we have $K^*C_{p_1} \cdots C_{p_r} = C_l C_{p_1} \cdots C_{p_r} = L$, $K^* \subset L$. On the other hand, the ramification index of p_i in L/\mathbf{Q} is obviously $[C_{p_i} : \mathbf{Q}] = e(p_i)$, i.e., L/K is an unramified extension. Therefore $K^* \supset L$. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 1. *Let $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{m_1}, \dots, \sqrt{m_n})$ be an extension of degree 2^n of \mathbf{Q} , $m_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ squarefree. Then the genus field of K is*

$$(5) \quad K^* = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p_1^*}, \dots, \sqrt{p_r^*})C_2 = K(\sqrt{p_1^*}, \dots, \sqrt{p_r^*})$$

where p_1, \dots, p_r are all the odd rational primes ramified in K , $p_i^* = (-1)^{(p-1)/2} p_i$; and

$$C_2 = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Q} & \text{if } e(2) = 1, \\ \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) & \text{if } e(2) = 2, T \equiv -1 \pmod{4}, \\ \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2}) & \text{if } e(2) = 2, T \equiv 2 \pmod{8}, \\ \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-2}) & \text{if } e(2) = 2, T \equiv -2 \pmod{8}, \\ \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2}) & \text{if } e(2) = 4; \end{cases}$$

here $T \in \mathbf{Z}$ is an arbitrary squarefree integer such that $\sqrt{T} \in K$, $T \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$; $e(2)$ is the ramification index of 2 in K . In particular, $K^* = K_1^* \cdots K_n^*$, where K_i^* is the genus field of $K_i = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{m_i})$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$).

PROOF. From [5] we know that the ramification index $e(p) = 2$ or 1 when p is odd, and $e(2) = 2, 4$ or 1. Therefore, Theorem 1 implies formula (5) since $C_{p_i} = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p_i^*})$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$). It remains to exhibit C_2 . The cases $e(2) \neq 2$ are trivial. In case $e(2) = 2$, we have $C_2 = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ with $m = -1, 2$, or -2 , and

$$K \subset K^* = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{m}, \sqrt{p_1^*}, \dots, \sqrt{p_r^*}).$$

Since $p_i^* \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we see that every quadratic subfield of K^* has the form $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{T})$ where $T \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or

$$T \equiv \begin{cases} m \pmod{4}, & \text{when } m = -1, \\ m \pmod{8}, & \text{when } m = \pm 2. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, there must exist some $\sqrt{T} \in K$ with $T \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ since 2 is ramified in K (cf. [5]). This determines C_2 and completes the proof.

COROLLARY 2. *Let K be an abelian number field with Galois group $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q}) \cong (\mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z})^n$, l an odd rational prime. Then the genus field of K is*

$$(6) \quad K^* = C_l C_{p_1} \cdots C_{p_r} = K C_{p_1} \cdots C_{p_r}$$

where C_{p_i} is the unique subfield of degree l of $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{p_i})$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$), C_l is the unique subfield of degree l of $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{l^2})$ if l is ramified, and $C_l = \mathbf{Q}$ otherwise; p_1, \dots, p_r are all the ramified rational primes ($\neq l$). In particular, $K^* = K_1^* \cdots K_n^*$, where $K = K_1 \cdots K_n$, K_i are cyclic number fields of degree l .

PROOF. The results of [6] show that the ramification index $e(p)$ is always l for every ramified prime p . Thus the corollary follows from Theorem 1.

REMARK. (1) Corollary 1 contains the classical result about the genus fields of quadratic fields. It also contains the results about the genus fields for fields of type $(2, \dots, 2)$ obtained by Kubokawa in [7].

(2) Corollary 1 shows evidently that the main result in [8] has some mistakes. In fact, Theorem 1 of [8] states that the genus field K^* of any number field K is $K \prod_p \Omega^{(p)}$, where $\Omega^{(p)}$ is a cyclic field of degree e_p^* for each ramified rational prime p , e_p^* denotes the G.C.F. of $(U_p : NU_{\mathfrak{p}_i})$, \mathfrak{p}_i are K -primes over p , U_p and $U_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ are local unit groups, and N denotes the local norm. It is well known that when K is abelian then $e_p^* = e(p)$, the ramification index of p in K (see, e.g., S. Lang's *Algebraic number theory*, p. 221). However, Corollary 1 implies that K^* has no cyclic subfield of degree 4 even when $e(2) = 4$. This proves the error of [8].

REFERENCES

1. H. W. Leopoldt, *Zur Geschlechtertheorie in abelschen Zahlkörpern*, Math. Nachr. **9** (1953), 350–362.
2. M. Ishida, *The genus fields of algebraic number fields*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 555, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1976.
3. C. S. Herz, *Construction of class fields*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 21, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1966.
4. D. A. Marcus, *Number fields*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1977.
5. Zhang Xianke, *On number fields of type $(2, \dots, 2)$* , J. China Univ. Sci. Tech. **12** (1982), 29–41.
6. —, *On number fields of type (l, \dots, l)* , Sci. Sinica Ser. A **1** (1984), 31–38.
7. Y. Kubokawa, *The genus fields for composite of quadratic fields*, J. Saitama Univ. Fac. Ed. Math. Natur. Sci. **26** (1977), 1–3.
8. M. Bhaskaran, *Construction of genus field and some applications*, J. Number Theory **11** (1979), 488–497.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI, ANHUI, THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA