

EXTREME POINTS IN DUALS OF COMPLEX OPERATOR SPACES

ÅSVALD LIMA AND GUNNAR OLSEN

ABSTRACT. We show that if X and Y are complex Banach spaces, and $K(X, Y)$ is the space of compact linear operators from X into Y , then $\text{ext } B(K(X, Y)^*) = \text{ext } B(X^{**}) \otimes \text{ext } B(Y^*)$.

Introduction. We shall let X and Y be complex Banach spaces and we denote the space of compact linear operators from X into Y by $K(X, Y)$. The space of linear bounded operators is denoted $L(X, Y)$. $B(X)$ is the closed unit ball of X and X^* is the dual space of X . $\text{ext } B(X)$ denotes the set of extreme points in $B(X)$.

For $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ and $y^* \in Y^*$, $x^{**} \otimes y^* \in K(X, Y)^*$ is defined by

$$x^{**} \otimes y^*(T) = x^{**}(T^*y^*).$$

In [3, Corollary 2], Fakhouri proved that for real spaces

$$\text{ext } B(K(X, Y)^*) \subseteq \text{ext } B(X^{**}) \otimes \text{ext } B(Y^*).$$

A generalization of this result was given by Collins and Ruess in [2, Theorem 2.2]. The proofs given in [2 and 3] extend to complex spaces. Ruess and Stegall proved in [8, Theorem 1.3] the converse inclusion. Thus

$$(*) \quad \text{ext } B(K(X, Y)^*) = \text{ext } B(X^{**}) \otimes \text{ext } B(Y^*).$$

The proof in [8] is valid in the real case only. The object of this short note is to give a proof of the inclusion \supseteq in (*) for the complex case. The main result is

THEOREM 1. *For real and complex Banach spaces, we have*

$$(*) \quad \text{ext } B(K(X, Y)^*) = \text{ext } B(X^{**}) \otimes \text{ext } B(Y^*).$$

PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT. We shall need Lemma 2 to test if $x^{**} \otimes y^*$ is an extreme point.

LEMMA 2. *Let $\Phi: X \rightarrow C(K)$ be a linear isometry and let $x_0^* \in B(X^*)$ with $\|x_0^*\| = 1$. Then $x_0^* \in \text{ext } B(X^*)$ if and only if*

$$(\#) \quad \begin{cases} K_0 = \{k \in K: \exists \lambda, |\lambda| = 1, \Phi^*(\partial_k) = \lambda x_0^*\} \neq \emptyset \text{ and if} \\ \|\mu\| = 1 = \|\Phi^*\mu\| \text{ with } \Phi^*\mu \in \text{span}\{x_0^*\}, \text{ then} \\ \text{support } \mu \subseteq K_0. \end{cases}$$

Received by the editors May 28, 1984 and, in revised form, September 18, 1984.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B20; Secondary 47B05.

Key words and phrases. Compact operators, extreme points.

©1985 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/85 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

PROOF. Assume (#) is satisfied. Suppose $x_1^*, x_2^* \in B(X^*)$ with $2x_0^* = x_1^* + x_2^*$. Choose $\mu_i \in C(K)^*$ such that $\|\mu_i\| = \|x_i^*\|$ and $x_i^* = \Phi^* \mu_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let $\nu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$. Then we have $1 = \|\nu\| = \|\Phi^* \nu\|$ and $\Phi^* \nu = x_0^*$. By (#), ν is supported by K_0 . Since

$$1 = \|x_0^*\| \leq \|\nu\| = |\nu|(K_0) \leq \frac{1}{2}(|\mu_1| + |\mu_2|)(K_0) \leq 1,$$

μ_1 and μ_2 are supported by K_0 also. Hence $x_i^* = \Phi^* \mu_i = \lambda_i x_0^*$ for some $|\lambda_i| \leq 1$. This is clearly true if μ_i is a discrete measure. If μ_i is not discrete, then we use that we can approximate μ_i in the w^* -topology by discrete measures supported by K_0 and that Φ^* is w^* -continuous.

Thus

$$2x_0^* = x_1^* + x_2^* = \lambda_1 x_0^* + \lambda_2 x_0^*$$

with $|\lambda_i| \leq 1$ and it follows that $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$. Hence x_0^* is an extreme point.

The converse implication is simple and we shall not give the details.

It is well known that in a compact convex set, the only probability measure representing an extreme point is the point measure with unit mass [1, Corollary I.2.4]. There can be many more complex representing measures but, as the next lemma shows, their support is on a small set.

LEMMA 3. Assume X is a complex Banach space. Let $x_0^* \in \text{ext } B(X^*)$ and let λ be a measure on $B(X^*)$ with $\|\lambda\| \leq 1$ such that

$$x_0^*(x) = \int x^*(x) d\lambda(x^*) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Then $\text{support } \mu \subseteq \{\alpha x_0^*: |\alpha| = 1\}$.

PROOF. There is a measurable function Φ on $B(X^*)$ such that $|\Phi| = 1$ a.e. $|\lambda|$ and $\lambda = \Phi|\lambda|$. Define $\omega: B(X^*) \rightarrow B(X^*)$ by $\omega(x^*) = \Phi(x^*)x^*$. ω is measurable. We define a new measure $\omega(|\lambda|)$ on $B(X^*)$ by

$$\omega(|\lambda|)(f) = \int f(\omega(x^*)) d|\lambda|(x^*) = \int f(\Phi(x^*)x^*) d|\lambda|(x^*).$$

For $x \in X$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(|\lambda|)(x) &= \int x(\Phi(x^*)x^*) d|\lambda|(x^*) = \int \Phi(x^*)x^*(x) d|\lambda|(x^*) \\ &= \int x^*(x) d\lambda(x^*) = x_0^*(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\omega(|\lambda|)$ is a probability measure representing $x_0^* \in \text{ext } B(X^*)$. By Corollary I.2.4 in [1], we get $\omega(|\lambda|) = \delta_{x_0^*}$. Suppose V is a compact subset in $B(X^*)$ with $|\lambda|(V) > 0$ and $V \cap \{\alpha x_0^*: |\alpha| = 1\} = \emptyset$. Let $\lambda_1 = \chi_V \lambda$ and $\lambda_2 = \lambda - \lambda_1$. Then we have $\lambda_1 \perp \lambda_2$ and $\|\lambda\| = \|\lambda_1\| + \|\lambda_2\|$. By Corollary 3 in [7], we get

$$\delta_{x_0^*} = \omega(|\lambda|) = \omega(|\lambda_1|) + \omega(|\lambda_2|).$$

If $f \geq 0$ is a continuous function on $B(X^*)$ with $f = 0$ on $\{\alpha x_0^*: |\alpha| = 1\}$ and $f = 1$ on $\{\alpha v: |\alpha| = 1, v \in V\}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \partial_{x_0^*}(f) \geq \omega(|\lambda_1|)(f) \\ &= \int f(\Phi(x^*)x^*)\chi_V(x^*)d|\lambda|(x^*) \geq |\lambda|(V) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction shows that $\text{support } |\lambda| \subseteq \{\alpha x_0^*: |\alpha| = 1\}$.

Before we give the proof of Theorem 1, we shall give the complex version of Lemma 1.5 in [8].

LEMMA 4. Assume $x_0^* \in \text{ext } B(X^*)$ and that μ is a positive measure on $B(X^*)$ with $\|\mu\| \leq 1$. If $|x_0^*(x)| \leq \int |x^*(x)|d\mu(x^*)$ for all $x \in X$, then $\text{support } \mu \subseteq \{\alpha x_0^*: |\alpha| = 1\}$.

PROOF. As in the proof of Lemma 1.5 in [8], we find $h \in L^\infty(B(X^*), \mu)$ such that

$$x_0^*(x) = \int x^*(x)h(x^*)d\mu(x^*) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

We have $\|hd\mu\| \leq 1$ and by Lemma 3 it follows that $hd\mu$ has support in $\{\alpha x_0^*: |\alpha| = 1\}$. Since necessarily, $1 = \|\mu\| = \|hd\mu\|$, it follows that $\text{support } \mu \subseteq \{\alpha x_0^*: |\alpha| = 1\}$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let $x_0^{**} \in \text{ext } B(X^{**})$ and $y_0^* \in \text{ext } B(Y^*)$ and let $h^* = x_0^{**} \otimes y_0^* \in K(X, Y)^*$. Let $K = B(X^{**}) \times B(Y^*)$ with product w^* -topology. Let $\Phi: K(X, Y) \rightarrow C(K)$ be defined by

$$\Phi(T)(x^{**}, y^*) = x^{**}(T^*y^*).$$

Φ is a linear isometry. Let $K_0 = \{k \in K: \exists \lambda, |\lambda| = 1, \Phi^*(\delta_k) = \lambda h^*\}$ and let $Z_0 = \{(\alpha x_0^{**}, \beta y_0^*): |\alpha| = |\beta| = 1\}$. Then clearly $Z_0 \subseteq K_0 \subseteq K$.

We shall use Lemma 2 to show that h^* is an extreme point. Suppose μ is a measure on K with $\|\mu\| = 1 = \|\Phi^*\mu\|$ and assume $\Phi^*\mu \in \text{span}\{h^*\}$. Then $\Phi^*\mu = \lambda h^*$ and we may assume $\lambda = 1$.

Let $x^* \in X^*$ and $y \in Y$. Define $T \in K(X, Y)$ by $T(x) = x^*(x)y$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} x_0^{**}(x^*)y_0^*(y) &= h^*(T) = \Phi^*\mu(T) = \mu(\Phi(T)) \\ &= \int_K \Phi(T)(x^{**}, y^*)d\mu(x^{**}, y^*) \\ &= \int_K x_0^{**}(x^*)y_0^*(y)d\mu(x^{**}, y^*). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mu_1 = |\mu|_{B(X^{**})}$ and $\mu_2 = |\mu|_{B(Y^*)}$. Then we get

$$|x_0^{**}(x^*)y_0^*(y)| \leq \int_{B(X^{**})} |x_0^{**}(x^*)|d\mu_1(x^{**}).$$

Thus it follows that

$$|x_0^{**}(x^*)| \leq \int_{B(X^{**})} |x_0^{**}(x^*)|d\mu_1(x^{**}).$$

By Lemma 4, $\text{support } \mu_1 \subseteq \{\alpha x_0^{**}: |\alpha| = 1\}$. Similarly, $\text{support } \mu_2 \subseteq \{\beta y_0^*: |\beta| = 1\}$. Hence $\text{support } \mu \subseteq Z_0 \subseteq K_0$. By Lemma 2, h^* is an extreme point in $B(K(X, Y)^*)$.

Applications. Using Theorem 1, the proof of Theorem 5.6 in [5] extends to the complex case.

THEOREM 5. *Let X and Y be real or complex Banach spaces. If $K(X, Y)$ contains a proper M -summand, then Y contains a proper M -summand or X contains a proper L -summand.*

For reflexive spaces we get

COROLLARY 6. *Assume X is reflexive. If $K(X)$ contains a proper M -ideal, then X or X^* contains a proper M -summand.*

COROLLARY 7. *For $1 < p < \infty$, $K(l_p)$ contains no proper M -ideal.*

It is well known that the M -ideals coincide with the closed two-sided ideals in C^* -algebras. Thus Corollary 7 gives as a special case the well-known fact that $K(l_2)$ contains no proper closed two-sided ideals. Corollary 7 was first proved by Smith and Ward [9] and Flinn [4]. Corollary 6 extends their result to a much larger class of spaces.

From the proof of Theorem 5.7 in [6], it follows that if $T \in L(X, Y)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists $S \in L(X, Y)$, $y_0^* \in \text{ext } B(Y^*)$ and $x_0^{**} \in \text{ext } B(X^{**})$ such that $\|S - T\| < \varepsilon$ and $\|S\| = x_0^{**}(S^*y_0^*)$. For $h = x_0^{**} \otimes y_0^* \in \text{ext } B(K(X, Y)^*)$, let \hat{h} denote the natural extension to $L(X, Y)$ defined by $\hat{h}(T) = x_0^{**}(T^*y_0^*)$. Thus we have that the convex hull of $\{\hat{h}: h \in \text{ext } B(K(X, Y)^*)\}$ is w^* -dense in $B(L(X, Y)^*)$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The authors thank the referee for his valuable suggestions. The idea to use Lemma 2 is due to him.

REFERENCES

1. E. Alfsen, *Compact convex sets and boundary integrals*, Ergebnisse Math. Grenzgebiete, Bd. 57, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1971.
2. H. S. Collins and W. Ruess, *Weak compactness in space of compact operators and of vector-valued functions*, Pacific J. Math. **106** (1983), 45–71.
3. H. Fakhouri, *Approximation par des opérateurs compacts ou faiblement compacts à valeurs dans $C(X)$* , Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **27** (1977), 147–167.
4. P. Flinn, *A characterization of M -ideals in $B(l_p)$ for $1 < p < \infty$* , Pacific J. Math. **98** (1982), 73–80.
5. P. Harmand and Å. Lima, *Banach spaces which are M -ideals in their biduals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **283** (1984), 253–264.
6. Å. Lima, *Intersection properties of balls in spaces of compact operators*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **28** (1978), 35–65.
7. G. Olsen, *On the classification of complex Lindenstrauss spaces*, Math. Scand. **35** (1974), 237–258.
8. W. M. Ruess and C. P. Stegall, *Extreme points in duals of operator spaces*, Math. Ann. **261** (1982), 535–546.
9. R. R. Smith and J. D. Ward, *M -ideal structure in Banach algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **27** (1978), 337–349.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, NORWEGIAN AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY, 1432 AAS - NLH, NORWAY