

## ON UNIMODULAR ROWS

MOSHE ROITMAN

**ABSTRACT.** We prove here, among other results, that if  $(x_0, \dots, x_n)$  is a unimodular row over a commutative ring  $A$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $x \in A$  and

$$x \equiv x_n \pmod{J(Ax_0 + \dots + Ax_{n-2})},$$

then  $(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) \sim_E (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, x)$ .

This note is based on Suslin's work on projective modules (see [1 and 3]). Among other results, we simplify the proof of Suslin's theorem concerning the completability of the unimodular row  $(x_0^{r_0}, \dots, x_n^{r_n})$ , when  $n! \mid \prod_{i=0}^n r_i$ . More precisely, we simplify the reduction to the case  $(x_0, x_1, x_2^2, \dots, x_n^n)$ .

All the rings here are commutative with unit. We denote by  $U_n(A)$  the set of unimodular rows of length  $n$  over the ring  $A$ . If  $u, v$  are elements in  $U_n(A)$ , we denote  $u \sim v$  if there exists a matrix  $\alpha$  in  $GL_n(A)$  such that  $u\alpha = v$ . We have  $u \sim (1, 0, \dots, 0)$  if and only if  $u$  is completable to a matrix in  $GL_n(A)$ . Similarly, we denote  $u \sim_E v$  if there exists a matrix  $\alpha$  in  $E_n(A)$  such that  $u\alpha = v$ .

If  $I$  is an ideal in a ring  $A$ , we denote by  $J(I)$  the intersection of all the maximal ideals in  $A$  containing  $I$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $A$  be a ring,  $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in U_{n+1}(A)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and let  $y_{n-1}, y_n$  be elements of  $A$  such that  $x_{n-1}y_{n-1} + x_ny_n$  is invertible modulo the ideal  $Ax_0 + \dots + Ax_{n-2}$ . Then  $(x_0, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_n) \sim_E (x_0, \dots, x_{n-2}, y_{n-1}, y_n)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-2}, t$  be elements of  $A$  such that

$$\left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} x_i z_i \right) + t(x_{n-1}y_{n-1} + x_ny_n) = 1.$$

Let  $z_{n-1} = t(y_{n-1} + x_n)$ ,  $z_n = t(y_n - x_{n-1})$  and  $u = (z_0, \dots, z_n)$ . We have

$$(x_0, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_n)u^t = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-2}, y_n, -y_{n-1})u^t = 1.$$

By [3, Corollary 2.8] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (x_0, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_n) &\sim_E (x_0, \dots, x_{n-2}, y_n, -y_{n-1}) \\ &\sim_E (x_0, \dots, x_{n-2}, y_{n-1}, y_n). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in U_{n+1}(A)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , let*

$$I_j = J(Ax_0 + \dots + Ax_{j-1} + Ax_{j+1} + \dots + Ax_{n-1})$$

---

Received by the editors September 28, 1984 and, in revised form, December 3, 1984.  
 1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13D15, 18F25.

for  $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ , and let  $x \in A$ , such that  $x \equiv x_n \pmod{(I_0 + \cdots + I_{n-1})}$ . Then  $(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) \sim_E (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, x)$ .

PROOF. Let  $x = x_n + t_0 + \cdots + t_{n-1}$ , where  $t_j \in I_j$  ( $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ ). It is enough to prove, for  $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ , that

$$(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n + t_0 + \cdots + t_{j-1}) \sim_E (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n + t_0 + \cdots + t_j),$$

so we may assume  $x \equiv x_n \pmod{J(Ax_0 + \cdots + Ax_{n-2})}$ . Let  $y_{n-1}, y_n$  be such that  $x_{n-1}y_{n-1} + x_ny_n \equiv 1 \pmod{(Ax_0 + \cdots + Ax_{n-2})}$ . Then

$$x_{n-1}y_{n-1} + xy_n \equiv x_{n-1}y_{n-1} + x_ny_n \pmod{J(Ax_0 + \cdots + Ax_{n-2})},$$

so  $x_{n-1}y_{n-1} + xy_n$  is invertible  $\pmod{(Ax_0 + \cdots + Ax_{n-2})}$ . By Lemma 1 we have

$$(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) \sim_E (x_0, \dots, x_{n-2}, y_{n-1}, y_n) \sim_E (x_0, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x). \quad \square$$

We do not know if the assumption of Theorem 2 may be replaced by the assumption  $x \equiv x_n \pmod{J(Ax_0 + \cdots + Ax_{n-1})}$  or even by the assumption  $x \equiv x_n \pmod{\sqrt{Ax_0 + \cdots + Ax_{n-1}}}$  (see Propositions 5 and 6 below).

As pointed out by L. N. Vaserstein, Proposition 3 (and also the simplification in the proof of Suslin's theorem below) was already obtained in other ways in [5] and also by R. A. Rao-M. P. Murthy.

PROPOSITION 3 (CF. [3, §2 OR 1, CHAPTER V]). Let  $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in U_{n+1}(A)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and let  $r_0, \dots, r_n, r'_0, \dots, r'_n$  be natural numbers such that  $\prod_{i=0}^n r_i = \prod_{i=0}^n r'_i = r$ . Then  $(x_0^{r_0}, \dots, x_n^{r_n}) \sim_E (x_0^{r'_0}, \dots, x_n^{r'_n}) \sim_E (x_0^r, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

PROOF. By Theorem 2, we have, for any  $s \geq 0$ , that

$$(x_0^s, x_1, \dots, x_n) \sim_E (x_0^s, x_1 - x_0, \dots, x_n), \quad \text{as } x_1 \equiv x_1 - x_0 \pmod{\sqrt{Ax_0^s}}.$$

Furthermore,  $(x_0^s, x_1 - x_0, \dots, x_n) \sim_E (x_1^s, x_1 - x_0, \dots, x_n) \sim_E (x_1^s, -x_0, \dots, x_n) \sim_E (x_0, x_1^s, \dots, x_n)$  so the proposition follows.  $\square$

THEOREM 4 (SUSLIN [5, THEOREM 2]). Let  $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in U_{n+1}(A)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and let  $r_0, \dots, r_n$  be natural numbers such that  $n! \mid \prod_{i=0}^n r_i$ . Then,  $(x_0^{r_0}, \dots, x_n^{r_n}) \sim (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ .

PROOF. Let  $\prod_{i=0}^n r_i = n!d$ . Then, by Proposition 3 and [3, Proposition 1.6], we have  $(x_0^{r_0}, \dots, x_n^{r_n}) \sim_E (x_0^d, x_1^d, (x_2^d)^2, \dots, (x_n^d)^n) \sim (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ .  $\square$

If  $u, v$  are in  $U_n(A)$  we denote  $u \leftrightarrow v$  for the property  $u \sim (1, 0, \dots, 0)$  if and only if  $v \sim (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ .

PROPOSITION 5. For any ring  $A$  and  $n \geq 2$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) For any  $(x_0, \dots, x_n)$  in  $U_{n+1}(A)$  and  $x \in A$  such that

$$x \equiv x_n \pmod{\sqrt{Ax_0 + \cdots + Ax_{n-1}}},$$

we have  $(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) \leftrightarrow (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, x)$ .

(2) If  $x_0, \dots, x_n$  are elements of  $A$  such that  $x_n$  is unipotent

$$\pmod{(Ax_0 + \cdots + Ax_{n-1})},$$

then  $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \sim (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ .

PROOF. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). We have

$$x_n \equiv 1 \pmod{\sqrt{Ax_0 + \cdots + Ax_{n-1}}},$$

so  $(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) \leftrightarrow (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, 1)$ . As  $(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, 1) \sim_E (1, 0, \dots, 0)$  we obtain  $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \sim (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Assume  $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \sim (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Let  $y \in A$  such that  $xy \equiv 1 \pmod{Ax_0 + \cdots + Ax_{n-1}}$ . Then  $(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) \sim_E (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n(xy)) = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, x(x_ny))$ . But  $x_ny \equiv xy \equiv 1 \pmod{\sqrt{Ax_0 + \cdots + Ax_{n-1}}}$ , so  $x_ny$  is unipotent  $\pmod{Ax_0 + \cdots + Ax_{n-1}}$ . By assumption, for any generators  $z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}$  of the ideal  $Ax_0 + \cdots + Ax_{n-1}$ , we have  $(z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_ny) \sim (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , so  $(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, x(x_ny)) \sim (1, 0, \dots, 0)$  by [3, Corollary 3.3]. Finally,

$$(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) \sim (1, 0, \dots, 0). \quad \square$$

PROPOSITION 6. *The two properties of Proposition 5 hold in each of the following cases:*

- (1)  $n!$  is invertible in  $A$ .
- (2) Stably free  $A[X]$ -modules are extended from  $A$ .

(1) (See [3, Proposition 3.1 or 4, Theorem 1.6] and their proofs.) We prove property 2 of Proposition 5. Let  $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in U_{n+1}(A)$ ,

$$x_n \equiv 1 \pmod{\sqrt{Ax_0 + \cdots + Ax_{n-1}}},$$

$(x_n - 1)^k \in Ax_0 + \cdots + Ax_{n-1}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . As  $n!$  is invertible in  $A$ , by a standard argument we have  $x_n \equiv y^{n!} \pmod{Ax_0 + \cdots + Ax_{n-1}}$ , where

$$y = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{1/n!}{i} (x_n - 1)^i.$$

It follows that  $(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) \sim_E (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, y^{n!}) \sim (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ .  $\square$

(2) Let  $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \sim U_{n+1}(A)$ ,  $x_n = 1 + a$ ,  $a \in \sqrt{Ax_0 + \cdots + Ax_{n-1}}$ . Then the row  $u(X) = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, 1 + aX)$  is unimodular over  $A[X]$ . It follows from our assumption that  $u(X) \sim u(0) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Therefore, we have, over  $A$ ,  $(x_0, \dots, x_n) = u(1) \sim (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ .  $\square$

Proposition 6(2) may be applied to regular affine algebras (by Lindel's theorem, etc.). By the argument in the proof of Proposition 6(2) we see that an example of a noncompletable unimodular row  $(x_0, \dots, x_n)$  over a ring  $A$ , with  $x_n$  unipotent  $\pmod{Ax_0 + \cdots + Ax_{n-1}}$ , would also provide an example of a stably free  $A[X]$ -module which is not extended from  $A$  (see [4, p. 114 and 2, Chapter V.3, p. 140].

The next proposition is a direct consequence of Lemma 1.

PROPOSITION 7. *If  $u, v$  are in  $U_n(A)$ ,  $n$  even and  $uv^t$  is an invertible element of  $A$ , then  $u \sim_E v$ .*

As shown by L. N. Vaserstein, Proposition 7 does not hold for odd  $n \geq 3$  (take  $A = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 - 1)$ ;  $u = (\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n)$ ,  $v = -u$ ). Anyway for any  $n \geq 1$  and  $u, v$  in  $U_n(A)$  such that  $uv^t = c$  is invertible in  $A$ , we have  $u \leftrightarrow v$ . (If  $u$  is the first row of  $\alpha \in \text{GL}_n(A)$ , then  $v\alpha^t = (c, \dots) \sim (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , so  $v \sim (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ .) We generalize Lemma 1 and its proof.

THEOREM 8 (CF. [3, LEMMA 2.2 OR 1, CHAPTER V, LEMMA 2.3]). Let  $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in U_n(A)$ ,  $n \geq 2$  and let  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ ,  $y_i \in A$  ( $k \leq i \leq n$ ). Let  $I$  be the ideal in  $A$  generated by the  $2 \times 2$  minors of the matrix

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} x_k & \cdots & x_n \\ y_k & \cdots & y_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Assume  $Ax_0 + \cdots + Ax_{k-1} + I = A$ . Then

$$(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_k, \dots, x_n) \sim_E (x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, y_k, \dots, y_n).$$

PROOF. Let  $d \in I$ ,  $a_i \in A$  ( $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ ) such that  $1 = d + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i x_i$ . There exists an  $(n-k+1) \times 2$  matrix  $\beta$  such that  $\alpha\beta = dI_2$  (see [1, Chapter V, Lemma 2.2]; our formulation is obtained by transposing). Let

$$\alpha' = \begin{pmatrix} a_k \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix}$$

be the sum of the two columns of  $\beta$ . Then  $\alpha\alpha' = \begin{pmatrix} d \\ d \end{pmatrix}$ , so  $\sum_{i=k}^n x_i a_i = \sum_{i=k}^n y_i a_i = d$ . Let  $u = (a_0, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n)$ . Then

$$(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_k, \dots, x_n)u^t = (x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, y_k, \dots, y_n)u^t = 1,$$

so  $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \sim_E (x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, y_k, \dots, y_n)$  by [3, Corollary 2.8].  $\square$

In the formulation of Theorem 8, let  $\bar{A} = A/(Ax_0 + \cdots + Ax_{k-1})$ . The condition  $Ax_0 + \cdots + Ax_{k-1} + I = A$  (the unimodularity of the matrix  $\bar{\alpha}$  over  $\bar{A}$ ) is equivalent to each of the following two conditions:

(i) The matrix  $\bar{\alpha}$  over  $\bar{A}$  has a right inverse.

(ii)  $((\bar{x}_k, \dots, \bar{x}_n), (\bar{y}_k, \dots, \bar{y}_n))$  is a free basis of a direct summand of the  $\bar{A}$ -module  $\bar{A}^{n-k+1}$ . For  $k = -1$ , Theorem 8 generalizes [3, Corollary 2.9].

REMARK. The main result of [5] (which generalizes Proposition 3 above) may be easily obtained using the results above.

THEOREM (L. N. VASERSTEIN). Let  $u = (x_0, \dots, x_n), v = (y_0, \dots, y_n)$  be in  $U_{n+1}(R)$ ,  $n \geq 2, u \sim_E v$ . Then for any  $m \geq 1$  we have  $(x_0^m, x_1, \dots, x_n) \sim_E (y_0^m, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ . If

$$x'_0 x_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{Rx_1 + \cdots + Rx_n}, \quad y'_0 y_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{Ry_1 + \cdots + Ry_n},$$

then  $(x'_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \sim_E (y'_0, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ .

PROOF. As Proposition 3 above allows moving exponents from one entry to another, to prove  $(x_0^m, \dots, x_n) \sim_E (y_0^m, \dots, y_n)$ , it is enough to show  $(x_0^m, \dots, x_n) \sim_E (y_0^m, \dots, y_n)$ , where  $y_1 = x_1 + rx_2$  for some  $r \in R, y_i = x_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n, i \neq 1$  and this is obvious.

Similarly, to obtain  $(x'_0, \dots, x_n) \sim_E (y'_0, \dots, y_n)$ , it is enough to show that if  $x'_i x_i \equiv 1 \pmod{\sum_{k \neq i} Rx_k}, x'_j x_j \equiv 1 \pmod{\sum_{k \neq j} Rx_k}$ , then  $(x_0, \dots, x'_i, \dots, x_n) \sim_E (x_0, \dots, x'_j, \dots, x_n)$ . We may assume  $i = 0, j = 1$  and by correcting  $x'_1$  if necessary we may assume also  $\sum_{k=0}^n x_k x'_k = 1$ , for some  $x'_k$  ( $2 \leq k \leq n$ ) in  $R$ .

By Lemma 1 above we have  $(x'_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \sim_E (x_0, x'_1, \dots, x_n)$  because  $x'_0 x_0 + x_1 x'_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{Rx_2 + \cdots + Rx_n}$ .  $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. I thank Professor L. N. Vaserstein for his remarks.

## REFERENCES

1. S. K. Gupta and M. P. Murthy, *Suslin's work on linear groups over polynomial rings and Serre problem*, ISI Lecture Notes, No. 8, Macmillan of India Ltd., 1980.
2. T. Y. Lam, *Serre's conjecture*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 635, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1978.
3. A. A. Suslin, *On stably free modules*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **102** (1977), 537–550 (=Math. USSR Sb. **31** (1977), 479–491).
4. R. G. Swan, *Projective modules over Laurent polynomial rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **237** (1978), 111–120.
5. L. N. Vaserstein, *Operations on orbits of unimodular vectors*, J. Algebra (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HAIFA, MT. CARMEL, HAIFA 31999, ISRAEL

*Current address:* Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada K7L 3N6