

## PERTURBATIONS AND GROUND STATES OF $C^*$ -DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

HIDEKI KUROSE

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we show that if a  $C^*$ -dynamical system has an irreducible covariant representation, every relatively bounded  $*$ -derivation for its generator is also implemented by a relatively bounded selfadjoint operator for that associated with the dynamics. As its application, we assert that the existence of ground states of a  $C^*$ -dynamical system is stable under sufficiently small perturbations.

$C^*$ -dynamical systems have been studied as a mathematical formulation for quantum mechanics, in particular, quantum statistical mechanics with time evolution. One of the most interesting problems is that concerned with perturbations. Let  $(A, \alpha, \mathbf{R})$  be a  $C^*$ -dynamical system,  $\delta_\alpha$  be its (infinitesimal) generator, and  $\delta$  be another  $*$ -derivation in  $A$  with the domain  $\mathcal{D}(\delta) = \mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha)$ . Then it follows from Longo [9] that  $\delta$  is *relatively bounded* for  $\delta_\alpha$ , that is, there are nonnegative constants  $c$  and  $d$  such that  $\|\delta(x)\| \leq c\|x\| + d\|\delta_\alpha(x)\|$  for all  $x$  in  $\mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha)$ . Furthermore, Batty [2] showed that if  $d < 1$ ,  $\delta_\beta = \delta_\alpha + \delta$  generates a  $C^*$ -dynamics  $(A, \beta, \mathbf{R})$ , which is said to be *small perturbation* for  $\alpha$ . In the special case that  $d = 0$ , the dynamics  $\beta$  is said to be *bounded perturbation* for  $\alpha$ .

Under the above results, we can discuss the stability of physical properties for the initial dynamics under perturbations. For example, it is well known that the existence of KMS-states and ground states is stable under bounded perturbations [1, 6 and 11], and Batty [3] showed that the stability of ground states also holds for type-I  $C^*$ -dynamical systems and its small perturbations.

In this article we first discuss the covariance of a  $*$ -derivation  $\delta$  in  $A$  with  $\mathcal{D}(\delta) = \mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha)$  in irreducible  $\alpha$ -covariant representations and show that the relative boundedness of  $\delta$  for  $\delta_\alpha$  automatically leads that of their implementing selfadjoint operators. As its corollary, we assert that the existence of ground states is stable under sufficiently small perturbations. Our discussions heavily depend on a recent work of Kishimoto [8]. The author would like to thank Professor Kishimoto for fruitful discussions with him and helpful suggestions.

We begin by stating the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $(A, \alpha, \mathbf{R})$  be a  $C^*$ -dynamical system with a generator  $\delta_\alpha$ , let  $\psi$  be an  $\alpha$ -invariant pure state of  $A$ , and let  $(\pi, \mathcal{H}, \xi, H)$  be the G.N.S.  $\alpha$ -covariant representation for  $\psi$  such that  $iH\pi(a)\xi = \pi(\delta_\alpha(a))\xi$ . Consider a  $*$ -derivation  $\delta$  with the domain*

---

Received by the editors July 27, 1984 and, in revised form, October 29, 1984.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L05; Secondary 46L55.

*Key words and phrases.*  $C^*$ -dynamical system, ground state,  $*$ -derivation, perturbation.

©1985 American Mathematical Society  
 0002-9939/85 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

$\mathcal{D}(\delta) = \mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha)$ . Then there exists a selfadjoint element  $k$  in  $A$  such that  $\psi \circ (\delta + \text{Ad } ik) = 0$  and we can find a selfadjoint operator  $K$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfying

- (i)  $\pi(\delta(a)) = [iK, \pi(a)]$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha)$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathcal{D}(K) \supset \mathcal{D}(H)$ , and
- (iii)  $K$  is relatively bounded for  $H$ , that is,

$$\|K\eta\| \leq c_1\|\eta\| + c_2\|H\eta\| \quad \text{for all } \eta \in \mathcal{D}(H),$$

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are nonnegative constants that do not depend on  $\eta$ .

Furthermore, if  $\delta$  is a generator, we have  $\mathcal{D}(K) = \mathcal{D}(H)$ .

PROOF. We first note that  $H$  is defined by  $iH\pi(a)\xi = \pi(\delta_\alpha(a))\xi$  for  $a \in \mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha)$  and satisfies  $(1 \pm iH)\pi(\mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha))\xi = \pi((1 \pm \delta_\alpha)\mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha))\xi = \pi(A)\xi = \mathcal{H}$ , so that  $H$  is a selfadjoint operator in  $\mathcal{H}$  with the domain  $\mathcal{D}(H) = \pi(\mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha))\xi$ .

Let  $\bar{\alpha}$  be a  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous one-parameter group of  $*$ -automorphisms of  $M = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  defined by  $\bar{\alpha}(x) = e^{itH}xe^{-itH}$ , then  $\Delta_{\bar{\alpha}} = \text{Ad } iH$  is its generator. We denote by  $M^{\bar{\alpha}}(\Omega)$  (resp.  $A^\alpha(\Omega)$ ) a spectral subspace for  $\bar{\alpha}$  (resp.  $\alpha$ ) associated with a set  $\Omega \subset \hat{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{R}$  and set  $M_F^{\bar{\alpha}} = \bigcup M^{\bar{\alpha}}(\Omega)$  (resp.  $A_F^\alpha = \bigcup A^\alpha(\Omega)$ ), where  $\Omega$  runs over compact sets in  $\mathbf{R}$ . Batty [3] showed that there exists a  $*$ -derivation  $\Delta$  from  $\pi(\mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha))$  into  $\pi(A)$  such that  $\Delta(\pi(a)) = \pi(\delta(a))$  for  $a \in \mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha)$ . It follows from Kishimoto [8] that  $\Delta$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly closable and its closure  $\bar{\Delta}$  is a generator of a  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous dynamics on  $M$  whose domain  $\mathcal{D}(\bar{\Delta})$  contains  $M_F^{\bar{\alpha}}$ , and the restriction  $\bar{\Delta}|_{M^\alpha(\Omega)}$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous on  $M^{\bar{\alpha}}(\Omega) \cap M_1$ , where  $M_1$  is the unit ball of  $M$  and  $\Omega$  is an arbitrary compact subset of  $\mathbf{R}$ .

Let  $p$  be a one-dimensional projection in  $M$  associated with  $\xi$ , then  $p$  is fixed by the action  $\bar{\alpha}$ , so that belongs to  $\mathcal{D}(\bar{\Delta})$ . Set  $h = i(\bar{\Delta}(p)p - p\bar{\Delta}(p)) \in M_h$ , where  $M_h$  denotes the selfadjoint part of  $M$ . Since  $\pi(A)$  acts irreducibly on  $\mathcal{H}$ , there exists  $k \in A_h$  such that  $\pi(k)\xi = h\xi$ . Then we have, for  $x$  in  $\mathcal{D}(\bar{\Delta})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} ((\bar{\Delta} + \text{Ad } i\pi(k))(x)\xi, \xi) &= (\bar{\Delta}(x)\xi, \xi) + ([i\pi(k), x]\xi, \xi) \\ &= (\bar{\Delta}(x)\xi, \xi) + ([ih, x]\xi, \xi) \\ &= ((p\bar{\Delta}(x)p - p\bar{\Delta}(p)px + p\bar{\Delta}(p)x + px\bar{\Delta}(p) - xp\bar{\Delta}(p)p)\xi, \xi) \\ &= (\bar{\Delta}(pxp)\xi, \xi) \\ &= (p\bar{\Delta}(pxp)p\xi, \xi) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the equality  $p\bar{\Delta}(p)p = 0$  and  $pxp = \lambda p$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ , which easily follows from  $\bar{\Delta}(p) = \bar{\Delta}(p^2) = p\bar{\Delta}(p) + \bar{\Delta}(p)p$  and the fact that  $p$  is one-dimensional. These techniques are due to Bratteli and Robinson [5]. Since  $\bar{\Delta} + \text{Ad } i\pi(k)$  is a generator of a  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous dynamics on  $M$ , if we set

$$iK'\xi = (\bar{\Delta} + \text{Ad } i\pi(k))(x)\xi,$$

it follows from the above calculation that  $K'$  is a selfadjoint operator in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$\mathcal{D}(K') = \mathcal{D}(\bar{\Delta})\xi$  and  $\bar{\Delta} + \text{Ad } i\pi(k) = \text{Ad } iK'$ . Setting  $K = K' - \pi(k)$ , we have, for  $a \in \mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\delta(a)) &= \pi((\delta + \text{Ad } ik)(a)) - \pi(\text{Ad } ik(a)) \\ &= (\bar{\Delta} + \text{Ad } i\pi(k))(\pi(a)) - \text{Ad } i\pi(k)(\pi(a)) \\ &= \text{Ad } iK'(\pi(a)) - \text{Ad } i\pi(k)(\pi(a)) \\ &= \text{Ad } iK(\pi(a)),\end{aligned}$$

so that the assertions (i), (ii), and  $\psi \circ (\delta + \text{Ad } ik) = 0$  are proved.

By the general theory,  $(1 + \Delta_{\bar{\alpha}})^{-1}$  is contractive and  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous and maps the spectral subspace  $M^{\bar{\alpha}}(\Omega)$  onto itself. Since  $\bar{\Delta}|_{M^{\bar{\alpha}}(\Omega)}$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous on  $M^{\bar{\alpha}}(\Omega) \cap M_1$  for every compact subset  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $\bar{\Delta}(1 + \Delta_{\bar{\alpha}})^{-1}|_{M^{\bar{\alpha}}(\Omega)}$  is also  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous on  $M^{\bar{\alpha}}(\Omega) \cap M_1$ . On the other hand, by Longo [9], the condition  $\mathcal{D}(\delta) = \mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha)$  leads to the relative boundedness of  $\delta$  for  $\delta_\alpha$ , and Batty [3] shows that it also holds for  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta_{\bar{\alpha}}$  on  $\pi(\mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha))$ , that is, there exist nonnegative constants  $c$  and  $d$  such that  $\|\Delta\pi(a)\| \leq c\|\pi(a)\| + d\|\Delta_{\bar{\alpha}}\pi(a)\|$  for all  $a$  in  $\mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha)$ . Thus we have, for  $x \in \pi(A)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta(1 + \Delta_{\bar{\alpha}})^{-1}x\| &\leq c\|(1 + \Delta_{\bar{\alpha}})^{-1}x\| + d\|\Delta_{\bar{\alpha}}(1 + \Delta_{\bar{\alpha}})^{-1}x\| \\ &\leq (c + 2d)\|x\|.\end{aligned}$$

By the continuity of  $\bar{\Delta}(1 + \Delta_{\bar{\alpha}})^{-1}$  and the Kaplansky density theorem, the inequality also holds for any  $x$  in  $M_F^{\bar{\alpha}}$ . Setting  $y = (1 + \Delta_{\bar{\alpha}})^{-1}x$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\bar{\Delta}y\| &\leq (c + 2d)\|(1 + \Delta_{\bar{\alpha}})y\| \\ &\leq (c + 2d)(\|y\| + \|\Delta_{\bar{\alpha}}(y)\|) \quad \text{for all } y \in M_F^{\bar{\alpha}}.\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\bar{\Delta}$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly closed, hence norm closed, and  $A_F^\alpha$  is the core for  $\delta_\alpha$ , it follows that

$$\|\bar{\Delta}(\pi(a)p)\| \leq (c + 2d)(\|\pi(a)p\| + \|\Delta_{\bar{\alpha}}(\pi(a)p)\|) \quad \text{for all } a \text{ in } \mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha).$$

Thus we have, for  $a \in \mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\|K\pi(a)\xi\| &\leq \|(K + \pi(k))\pi(a)\xi\| + \|\pi(k)\pi(a)\xi\| \\ &= \|K'\pi(a)\xi\| + \|\pi(k)\pi(a)\xi\| \\ &= \|(\Delta + \text{Ad } i\pi(k))(\pi(a))\xi\| + \|\pi(k)\pi(a)\xi\| \\ &= \|(\Delta + \text{Ad } i\pi(k))(\pi(a))p\| + \|\pi(k)\pi(a)\xi\| \\ &= \|(\bar{\Delta} + \text{Ad } i\pi(k))(\pi(a)p)\| + \|\pi(k)\pi(a)\xi\| \\ &\leq (c + 2d)(\|\pi(a)p\| + \|\Delta_{\bar{\alpha}}(\pi(a)p)\|) \\ &\quad + 2\|k\|\|\pi(a)p\| + \|k\|\|\pi(a)\xi\| \\ &= (c + 2d + 3\|k\|)\|\pi(a)\xi\| + (c + 2d)\|\Delta_{\bar{\alpha}}(\pi(a))p\| \\ &= (c + 2d + 3\|k\|)\|\pi(a)\xi\| + (c + 2d)\|H\pi(a)\xi\|,\end{aligned}$$

where we used  $(\bar{\Delta} + \text{Ad } i\pi(k))(p) = \Delta_{\bar{\alpha}}(p) = 0$ .

If  $\delta$  is a generator,  $\delta + \text{Ad } ik$  is also a generator, so that, as the first remark in the proof for  $\mathcal{D}(H)$ , we have  $\mathcal{D}(K') = \mathcal{D}(K) = \pi(\mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha))\xi$ . This completes the proof.

Let  $(A, \alpha, \mathbf{R})$  be a  $C^*$ -dynamical system and let  $\delta_\alpha$  be its generator. A state  $\psi$  of  $A$  is said to be a *ground state* for  $\alpha$  iff it satisfies  $i\psi(a^*\delta_\alpha(a)) \leq 0$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha)$ . In this case  $\psi$  is automatically  $\alpha$ -invariant, if we denote the G.N.S.  $\alpha$ -covariant representation for  $\psi$  by  $(\pi, \mathcal{H}, \xi, H)$ , we have  $H \geq 0$  [6, 11].

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $(A, \alpha, \mathbf{R})$  be a  $C^*$ -dynamical system which has ground states, let  $\delta_\alpha$  be a generator of  $\alpha$ , and let  $\delta$  be another  $*$ -derivation in  $A$  with the domain  $\mathcal{D}(\delta) = \mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha)$ . Then, for every real number  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda|$  sufficiently small, the continuous dynamics  $\beta$  generated by  $\delta_\beta = \delta_\alpha + \lambda\delta$  also has ground states.*

**PROOF.** The set of all ground states for  $\alpha$  forms a weak\*-closed face of the state space of  $A$ , so that its extremal points are pure ground states for  $\alpha$ . Let  $\psi$  be a pure ground state for  $\alpha$ , and let  $(\pi, \mathcal{H}, \xi, H)$  be the G.N.S.  $\alpha$ -covariant representation for  $\psi$  with  $H \geq 0$ . Then there exists a selfadjoint operator  $K$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfying the conditions in Theorem 1. It follows that

$$\pi(\delta_\beta(a)) = \pi((\delta_\alpha + \lambda\delta)(a)) = [i(H + \lambda K), \pi(a)] \quad \text{for } a \in \mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha).$$

For every real number  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda|$  sufficiently small, by [2],  $\delta_\beta$  generates a continuous dynamics  $\beta$  and, by the relative boundedness of  $K$  for  $H$ , the Kato-Rellich Theorem [10, Theorem 5.4.11] asserts that  $H + \lambda K$  is a lowerbounded selfadjoint operator. Thus, by [3, 11],  $\beta$  has ground states, which completes the proof.

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $(A, \alpha, \mathbf{R})$  be a  $C^*$ -dynamical system which has an irreducible  $\alpha$ -covariant representation  $(\pi, \mathcal{H}, H)$  with  $\pi(\alpha_t(a)) = e^{itH}\pi(a)e^{-itH}$ ,  $\delta$  be a  $*$ -derivation in  $A$  with the domain  $\mathcal{D}(\delta) = \mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha)$ , where  $\delta_\alpha$  is the generator of  $\alpha$ . Then there exists a selfadjoint operator  $K$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfying the three conditions (i)–(iii) in Theorem 1. Moreover, if  $\delta$  is a generator, we have  $\mathcal{D}(K) = \mathcal{D}(H)$ .*

**PROOF.** Set  $\bar{\alpha}_t(x) = e^{itH}xe^{-itH}$  and  $\Delta_{\bar{\alpha}} = \text{Ad } iH$ , and consider the  $C^*$ -dynamical system  $(C(\mathcal{H}), \bar{\alpha}|_{C(\mathcal{H})}, \mathbf{R})$ , where  $C(\mathcal{H})$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra of all compact operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . By Bratteli and Robinson [5], there exists a rank-one projection  $p$  in  $\mathcal{D}(\Delta_{\bar{\alpha}})$ . Let  $\xi$  be a unit vector in the range of  $p$ . The irreducibility of  $\pi$  implies that

$$\pi(h)\xi = i(\Delta_{\bar{\alpha}}(p)p - p\Delta_{\bar{\alpha}}(p))\xi \quad \text{for some } h \in A_h.$$

Then, as in the proof of Theorem 1, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\xi((\delta_\alpha + \text{Ad } ih)(a)) &= (\pi((\delta_\alpha + \text{Ad } ih)(a))\xi, \xi) \\ &= 0 \quad \text{for } a \in \mathcal{D}(\delta_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 1 to  $e^{t(\delta_\alpha + \text{Ad } ih)}$  and  $\delta$ , the assertion follows.

The relative boundedness question has been also considered in a slightly different context in the recent papers [4 and 7]. The author would like to thank the referee for having announced these papers to him.

## REFERENCES

1. H. Araki, *Relative Hamiltonian for faithful normal states of a von Neumann algebra*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **9** (1973), 165–209.
2. C. J. K. Batty, *Small perturbations of  $C^*$ -dynamical systems*, Comm. Math. Phys. **68** (1978), 39–43.
3. ———, *Perturbations of ground states of type I  $C^*$ -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1980), 539–544.
4. O. Bratteli, F. M. Goodman and P. E. T. Jorgensen, *Unbounded derivations tangential to compact groups of automorphisms. II*, J. Funct. Anal. (to appear).
5. O. Bratteli and D. Robinson, *Unbounded derivations of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **42** (1975), 253–268.
6. ———, *Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics. I, II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1979, 1981.
7. F. M. Goodman and P. E. T. Jorgensen, *Lie algebras of unbounded derivations*, J. Funct. Anal. **52** (1983), 369–384.
8. A. Kishimoto, *Derivations with a domain condition*, preprint, 1984.
9. R. Longo, *Automatic relative boundedness of derivations in  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **34** (1979), 21–28.
10. M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. II*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
11. S. Sakai, *The theory of unbounded derivations in  $C^*$ -algebras*, Univ. of Copenhagen and Newcastle-upon-Tyne, Lecture Notes, 1977.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, FUKUOKA UNIVERSITY, FUKUOKA 814, JAPAN