

## $H^1$ SUBORDINATION AND EXTREME POINTS

YUSUF ABU - MUHANNA

**ABSTRACT.** Suppose that  $F$  is an element of  $H^1$  (Hardy class of order 1 over the unit disc). Let  $s(F)$  denote the set of functions subordinate to  $F$ . We show that if  $\phi$  is inner and  $\phi(0) = 0$ ; then  $F \circ \phi$  is an extreme point of the closed convex hull of  $s(F)$ .

**1. Introduction.** Let  $U = \{z: |z| < 1\}$  and let  $A$  denote the set of functions analytic in  $U$  with the topology given by uniform convergence on compact subsets of  $U$ . It is known that  $A$  is a metrizable and locally convex space [8, p. 1]. Let  $B$  denote the subset of  $A$  consisting of all functions  $\phi$  that satisfy  $|\phi(z)| < 1$  ( $z \in U$ ).

Suppose that  $F$  is a nonconstant function in  $A$ . Let  $s(F)$  denote the set of functions  $g$  that are subordinate to  $F$  in  $U$ . That is to say,  $s(F)$  is the collection of functions  $g$  given by

$$g = F \circ \phi$$

where  $\phi \in B$  and  $\phi(0) = 0$ . The closed convex hull of  $s(F)$  is denoted by  $\text{Hs}(F)$  and the set of extreme points of  $\text{Hs}(F)$  is denoted by  $\text{Ex}(F)$ .  $\text{Ex}(F) \subseteq s(F)$  because  $s(f)$  is compact [2, p. 440].

A function  $f \in A$  is said to belong to the class  $H^p$  ( $0 < p < \infty$ ) if

$$\|f\|_p = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right\}^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Each  $f \in H^p$  has a radial limit  $f(e^{i\theta})$  almost everywhere and  $f \in L^p$ . For  $f \in H^p$ , we also have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta}) - f(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 1} 0$$

and

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta$$

for every  $0 < r < 1$  [3, p. 21].

It is known that if  $F \in H^p$  and  $f \in \text{Hs}(F)$  then  $\|f\|_p < \|F\|_p$  [5, p. 465].

Suppose that  $F \in H^p$  and  $f \in s(F)$ . In [7, p. 351] Ryff showed that

$$\|f\|_p = \|F\|_p \quad \text{if and only if} \quad f = F \circ \phi$$

where  $\phi$  is inner ( $\phi \in B$  and  $|\phi(e^{i\theta})| = 1$  almost everywhere) and  $\phi(0) = 0$ .

---

Received by the editors May 10, 1984 and, in revised form, December 19, 1984.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 30C80.

*Key words and phrases*. Extreme point,  $H^p$ -functions, inner function, outer function subordination.

©1985 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/85 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

In [5, p. 465] it was proven that if  $F \in H^p$  ( $p > 1$ ) then  $\{F \circ \phi: \phi \text{ inner}, \phi(0) = 0\} \subset \text{Ex}(F)$ . D. J. Hallenbeck (unpublished) extended this result to the case  $p = 1$  when either  $F$  is outer or  $F$  is univalent.

In this paper, we prove the following

**THEOREM.** *If  $F \in H^1$  then*

$$(1) \quad \{F \circ \phi: \phi \text{ inner}, \phi(0) = 0\} \subset \text{Ex}(F).$$

## 2. Lemma.

**LEMMA.** *Suppose that  $X$  is a nonempty subset of  $A$  and there is a number  $M > 0$  so that  $\|g\|_1 \leq M$  for every  $g \in X$ . Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $X$  and  $L_r$  be the function on  $X \times [0, 2\pi]$  defined by*

$$L_r(g, \theta) = g(re^{i\theta}) \quad (r \leq 1).$$

*Then  $L_r$  is measurable and integrable on  $X \times [0, 2\pi]$  with respect to  $d\mu d\theta$ .*

**REMARK.**  $\mu$  is a Borel measure with  $\mu(X) = 1$ .

**PROOF.** First we want to show that  $L_r$  is continuous when  $r < 1$ . Let  $(g_n, \theta_n)$  be a sequence in  $X \times [0, 2\pi]$  which converges in the product topology to  $(g, \theta) \in X \times [0, 2\pi]$ . Then  $g_n \rightarrow g$ ,  $g'_n \rightarrow g'$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $U$  and  $e^{i\theta_n} \rightarrow e^{i\theta}$ . This implies that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an integer  $N > 0$  so that whenever  $n > N$

$$|g(re^{i\theta}) - g_n(re^{i\theta})| < \varepsilon, \quad |g_n(re^{i\theta}) - g_n(re^{i\theta_n})| < (\varepsilon + k)\varepsilon$$

where  $k = \max_{|z| \leq r} |g'(z)|$ . Since

$$|g(re^{i\theta}) - g_n(re^{i\theta_n})| \leq |g(re^{i\theta}) - g_n(re^{i\theta})| + |g_n(re^{i\theta}) - g_n(re^{i\theta_n})|$$

we conclude that  $L_r(g, \theta)$  is continuous.

Second, we want to show that  $L_r$  is measurable. For  $r < 1$  and  $\alpha$  real, let

$$E_\alpha = \{(g, \theta): \text{Re } L_r(g, \theta) > \alpha\}.$$

$E_\alpha$  is open because  $\text{Re } L_r$  ( $r < 1$ ) is continuous. Since the spaces  $X$  and  $[0, 2\pi]$  are separable (polynomials whose coefficients have rational real parts and rational imaginary parts are dense in  $A$ ),  $X \times [0, 2\pi]$  is separable and every open set can be written as a countable union of sets of the form  $O_n \times I_n$ , where  $O_n$  is open in  $X$  and  $I_n$  is open in  $[0, 2\pi]$ . Hence  $E_\alpha$  is measurable and consequently  $\text{Re } L_r$  is measurable. Similarly, it can be shown that  $\text{Im } L_r$  is also measurable. Hence  $L_r$  ( $r < 1$ ) is measurable and consequently, as  $L_1 = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} L_r$ ,  $L_1$  is also measurable.

Now, we want to show that  $L_r$  is integrable. We have, by Tonelli's theorem, that

$$\int \int |g(re^{i\theta})| d\mu d\theta = \int \int |g(re^{i\theta})| d\theta d\mu \leq 2\pi M \quad (r \leq 1).$$

Hence  $L_r$  is integrable.

**3. Proof of the theorem.** Write  $F = I \cdot G$ , where  $I$  is inner and  $G$  is outer [4, p. 74], and assume, without loss of generality, that  $\|F\|_1 = 1$ . Let  $f = F \circ \phi$ , where  $\phi$  is inner and  $\phi(0) = 0$ . It is known that  $G(\phi)$  is outer [9, p. 260] and  $I(\phi)$  is inner. Since

$\text{Hs}(F)$  is a metrizable and compact convex subset of  $A$ , it follows that  $\text{Ex}(F)$  is a  $G_\delta$  subset of  $\text{Hs}(F)$  [6, p. 7] and, in addition, by Choquet's theorem [6, p. 19], there is a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\text{Hs}(F)$  supported by  $\text{Ex}(f)$  so that

$$f = \int_{\text{Ex}(F)} g \, d\mu(g)$$

and, for every continuous linear functional  $L$  on  $A$ ,

$$L(f) = \int_{\text{Ex}(F)} L(g) \, d\mu(g).$$

This implies that  $f(z) = \int_{\text{Ex}(F)} g(z) \, d\mu(g)$  ( $z \in U$ ). Hence

$$|f(z)| \leq \int_{\text{Ex}(F)} |g(z)| \, d\mu(g) \quad (z \in U)$$

and, by Lemma 2, as  $\|g\|_1 \leq 1$  for every  $g \in \text{Hs}(F)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int |f(re^{i\theta})| \, d\theta &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\text{Ex}(F)} \int_0^{2\pi} |g(re^{i\theta})| \, d\theta \, d\mu(g) \\ &\leq \int_{\text{Ex}(F)} \|g\|_1 \, d\mu(g) \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $r \rightarrow 1$ , to conclude that

$$1 = \|f\|_1 \leq \int_{\text{Ex}(F)} \|g\|_1 \, d\mu(g) \leq 1.$$

Therefore  $\|g\|_1 = 1$ ,  $\mu$ -almost everywhere. Since  $\text{Ex}(F) \subset s(F)$ , it follows by Ryff's theorem, that, for  $\mu$ -almost every  $g \in \text{Ex}(F)$ ,  $g = F \circ \psi$  where  $\psi$  is inner and  $\psi(0) = 0$ . Consequently,  $G \circ \psi$  is outer [9, p. 260] and  $I \circ \psi$  is inner.

Now, we claim that  $f(e^{i\theta}) = \int_{\text{Ex}(F)} g(e^{i\theta}) \, d\mu(g)$  for almost all  $\theta$ . To show this, we let

$$H_r(g) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |g(re^{i\theta}) - g(e^{i\theta})| \, d\theta.$$

Then  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} H_r(g) = 0$ ,  $H_r(g) \leq 2\|g\|_1 \leq 2$  and, by the lemma and Tonelli's theorem,  $H_r$  is integrable. This and the bounded convergence theorem give  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_{\text{Ex}(F)} H_r(g) \, d\mu(g) = 0$ . Hence,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| f(re^{i\theta}) - \int_{\text{Ex}(F)} g(e^{i\theta}) \, d\mu(g) \right| \, d\theta \leq \int_{\text{Ex}(F)} H_r(g) \, d\mu(g) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 1} 0.$$

Since  $\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta}) - f(e^{i\theta})| \, d\theta \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 1} 0$ ,  $f(e^{i\theta}) = \int_{\text{Ex}(F)} g(e^{i\theta}) \, d\mu(g)$  for almost all  $\theta$  and the claim is proved.

Let  $L$  be the linear functional on  $H^1$  defined by

$$(2) \quad L(h) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|f(e^{i\theta})|}{f(e^{i\theta})} h(e^{i\theta}) \, d\theta$$

where  $h \in H^1$ . Then  $|L(h)| \leq 1$  whenever  $\|h\|_1 \leq 1$ , in particular  $|L(h)| \leq 1$  for  $h \in \text{Hs}(F)$ , and

$$(3) \quad 1 = L(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|f(e^{i\theta})|}{f(e^{i\theta})} \int_{\text{Ex}(F)} g(e^{i\theta}) d\mu(g) d\theta.$$

Since  $(|f(e^{i\theta})|/f(e^{i\theta}))g(e^{i\theta})$  is measurable on  $\text{Hs}(F) \times [0, 2\pi]$  and  $|g(e^{i\theta})|$  is integrable on  $\text{Hs}(F) \times [0, 2\pi]$ , by the lemma, it follows that  $(|f(e^{i\theta})|/f(e^{i\theta}))g(e^{i\theta})$  is integrable on  $\text{Hs}(F) \times [0, 2\pi]$ . Hence

$$1 = L(f) = \int_{\text{Ex}(F)} L(g) d\mu(g)$$

and consequently  $L(g) = 1$ ,  $\mu$ -almost everywhere, because  $|L(g)| \leq 1$ . This and (2) give that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|f(e^{i\theta})|}{f(e^{i\theta})} g(e^{i\theta}) d\theta = \|g\|_1 = 1, \quad \mu\text{-almost everywhere.}$$

Hence, it follows that  $g(e^{i\theta})/f(e^{i\theta}) = K_g(e^{i\theta}) > 0$  for almost every  $\theta$  and  $\mu$ -almost every  $g \in \text{Ex}(F)$ . We also have, as  $f(e^{i\theta}) = \int_{\text{Ex}(F)} g(e^{i\theta}) d\mu(g)$ ,

$$\int_{\text{Ex}(F)} K_g(e^{i\theta}) d\mu(g) = 1 \quad \text{for almost all } \theta.$$

Since  $G(\phi)$  is the outer factor of  $f$  and  $G(\psi)$  is the outer factor of  $g$ , for  $\mu$ -almost every  $g \in \text{Ex}(F)$ , where  $g = F \circ \psi$  with  $\psi$  inner and  $\psi(0) = 0$ , it follows that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \log|f(e^{i\theta})| d\theta = \log|G(\phi(0))| = \log|G(0)|$$

and

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \log|g(e^{i\theta})| d\theta = \log|G(\psi(0))| = \log|G(0)| \quad [3, \text{p. 24}].$$

Therefore  $\int_0^{2\pi} \log K_g(e^{i\theta}) d\theta = 0$  for  $\mu$ -almost all  $g \in \text{Ex}(F)$ . But then by Jensen's inequality,

$$(4) \quad 1 = \exp \int_0^{2\pi} \log K_g(e^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq \int_0^{2\pi} K_g(e^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$$

for  $\mu$ -almost all  $g \in \text{Ex}(F)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \int_{\text{Ex}(F)} \left[ \exp \int_0^{2\pi} \log K_g(e^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \right] d\mu(g) \\ &\leq \int_{\text{Ex}(F)} \int_0^{2\pi} K_g(e^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} d\mu = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\text{Ex}(F)} K_g(e^{i\theta}) d\mu \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

This and (4) imply that  $(1/2\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} K_g(e^{i\theta}) d\theta = 1$  for  $\mu$ -almost all  $g \in \text{Ex}(F)$ . Since  $\exp$  is strictly convex, we conclude from (4) that  $K_g(e^{i\theta}) = 1$  for almost all  $\theta$  and  $\mu$ -almost all  $g \in \text{Ex}(F)$ . Therefore  $f$  is an extreme point and  $\mu$  is a point mass.

REMARKS. (1) The above proof is partially a generalization of a proof due to K. de Leeuw and W. Rudin [4, p. 158].

(2) For any  $p < 1$ , choose  $\lambda$  so that  $p < \lambda < 1$ . Then  $F(z) = 1/(1 - z)^{\lambda/p} \in H^p$  and since  $\lambda/p > 1$  it is known that  $\text{Ex}(F) = \{F(yz): |y| = 1\}$  [1]. It follows that once  $p < 1$  the inclusion in the above theorem is false (see (1)). This remark is due to D. J. Hallenbeck.

Finally, I would like to thank the University of Petroleum and Minerals for encouraging this research.

#### REFERENCES

1. D. A. Brannan, J. G. Clunie and W. E. Kirwan, *On the coefficient problem for functions of bounded boundary rotation*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. Math. Phys. **523** (1979).
2. N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, *Linear operators*, Part I, Interscience, New York, 1957.
3. P. L. Duren, *Theory of  $H^p$  spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
4. J. P. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
5. D. J. Hallenbeck and T. H. MacGregor, *Subordination and extreme point theory*, Pacific J. Math. **50** (1974), 455–468.
6. R. R. Phelps, *Lectures on Choquet's theorem*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1966.
7. J. V. Ryff, *Subordinate  $H^p$  functions*, Duke Math. J. **33** (1966), 347–354.
8. G. Schober, *Univalent functions—Selected topics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1975.
9. K. Stephenson, *Functions which follow inner functions*, Illinois J. Math. **23** (1979), 259–266.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF PETROLEUM AND MINERALS, DHAHRAN, SAUDI ARABIA 31261