

FINITELY ADDITIVE SUPERMARTINGALES ARE DIFFERENCES OF MARTINGALES AND ADAPTED INCREASING PROCESSES

THOMAS E. ARMSTRONG

ABSTRACT. It is shown that any nonnegative bounded supermartingale admits a Doob-Meyer decomposition as a difference of a martingale and an adapted increasing process upon appropriate choice of a reference probability measure which may be only finitely additive.

Introduction. In [Armstrong, 1983] it is shown that every bounded finitely additive supermartingale is a decreasing process with respect to some reference probability measure P . This concept of a decreasing (or increasing) process is weaker than that corresponding to decreasing (increasing) processes of random variables adapted to a filtration. The corresponding class of finitely additive processes are called adapted decreasing (increasing) processes with respect to P . Theorem B asserts that for every bounded nonnegative finitely additive supermartingale Y there is a probability P so that $Y = M - A$ where M is a martingale and A is an adapted increasing process with respect to P . In order to establish this it is necessary in Proposition A to show that for $g = \{g_t; t \in T\}$ an ordinary L^1 -bounded nonnegative supermartingale adapted to the linearly ordered filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t; t \in T\}$ on the probability measure space (X, \mathcal{F}, P) to be expressed as the difference $m - a$ where m is a martingale and a is an increasing process with $0 = \inf_t a_t$, it is necessary and sufficient that $\{g_\tau; \tau \text{ simple } T\text{-valued stopping time } \leq t\}$ be uniformly integrable for all $t \in T$. This extends the usual Doob-Meyer Decomposition Theorem in allowing arbitrary linearly ordered T .

Finitely additive supermartingales are differences of martingales and increasing adapted sequences. The Doob-Meyer Decomposition Theorem asserts that a nonnegative L^1 -bounded supermartingale $f = \{f_t; 0 \leq t < \infty\}$ adapted to a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t; 0 \leq t < \infty\}$ of sub- σ -algebras in a probability space (X, \mathcal{F}, P) admits a decomposition $f = m - a$ where m is a martingale and a is an increasing process with $a_0 = 0$ iff f is of class DL. We recall that f is of class DL iff $\{f_\tau; \tau \text{ stopping time } \leq t\}$ is uniformly integrable (i.e., $\sigma(L^1, L^\infty)$ -relatively compact) for each $t \in [0, \infty)$. Attention may be confined to stopping times τ with only finitely many

Received by the editors May 23, 1983 and, in revised form, April 16, 1984 and February 12, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 60G48, 28A60.

Key words and phrases. Martingale, supermartingale, increasing process, finitely additive measure, set function process.

©1985 American Mathematical Society
 0002-9939/85 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

values (i.e., simple stopping times) in the definition of class DL. Usually the Doob-Meyer Decomposition Theorem is stated for right-continuous f but holds for arbitrary f as is shown in Theorem 20 of Appendix I of Dellacherie and Meyer [1982]. See Mertens [1971] for one of the first results in this vein. Imposing predictability guarantees uniqueness on the increasing process but this will not be of concern to us here.

In Armstrong [1983] finitely additive supermartingales were introduced. See Gut and Schmidt [1983] for Schmidt's work on finitely additive supermartingales on the integers. The topic of concern here is the Doob-Meyer Decomposition Theorem in this context. We recall that in Armstrong [1983] filtrations of σ -algebras are replaced by linearly ordered families of subalgebras of a Boolean algebra \mathcal{B} . If Γ is a chain of subalgebras of \mathcal{B} then a process Y on Γ is a family $\{Y_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\}$ where each $Y_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a finitely additive measure of bounded variation on the subalgebra \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{B} . Suppose that \mathcal{B} is the σ -algebra \mathcal{F} of a probability space (X, \mathcal{F}, P) , Γ is a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t: 0 \leq t < \infty\}$, and that $f = \{f_t: 0 \leq t < \infty\}$ is an L^1 -bounded stochastic process adapted to Γ . In this case one obtains a process Y on Γ by setting Y_t equal to that measure on \mathcal{F}_t with P -density f_t . Note that $E(f_s | \mathcal{F}_t)$ corresponds to restricting Y_s to \mathcal{F}_t . As a result we adopt the conditional expectation notation $E(\mu | \mathcal{A})$ for the restriction to \mathcal{A} of a finitely additive μ on a superalgebra of \mathcal{A} . One important distinction between processes of finitely additive measures and stochastic processes of random variables is the absence of a reference probability measure. This makes quite a bit of difference in what follows.

A process Y on a chain Γ of subalgebras of \mathcal{B} is said to be a *supermartingale* provided that when $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}_2$ are in Γ then $Y_{\mathcal{A}_1} \geq E(Y_{\mathcal{A}_2} | \mathcal{A}_1)$. Y is said to be a *martingale* if $Y_{\mathcal{A}_1} = E(Y_{\mathcal{A}_2} | \mathcal{A}_1)$ in the same circumstance. Given a supermartingale Y one wishes to find a decomposition $Y = M - A$ where M is a martingale and A is an "increasing process" with $\inf\{\|A_{\mathcal{A}}\|: \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\} = 0$. One definition of increasing process is given in Armstrong [1983]. If $\{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\}$ is a family in $\text{BA}(\mathcal{B})$ so that $\mu_{\mathcal{A}_1} \leq \mu_{\mathcal{A}_2}$ if $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}_2$ then this family is said to be *increasing* and $Y = \{E(\mu_{\mathcal{A}} | \mathcal{A}): \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\}$ is called an *increasing process* on Γ . Decreasing processes are analogously defined. It is shown in §6 of Armstrong [1983] that every supermartingale Y does admit a decomposition $Y = M - A$ where M is a martingale and A is increasing with $\inf\{\|A_{\mathcal{A}}\|: \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\} = 0$. In fact, Y is a decreasing process. This is used to show that the class of differences of nonnegative finitely additive supermartingales (i.e., F -processes) is the class of differences of nonnegative submartingales. One consequence is the existence of at least one P in $\text{BA}_1^+(\mathcal{B})$ (the finitely additive probability measures on \mathcal{B}) so that $Y_{\mathcal{A}} \ll E(P | \mathcal{A})$ for all $\mathcal{A} \in \Gamma$. Here \ll denotes the $\varepsilon - \delta$ notion of absolute continuity standard for finitely additive measures. Unfortunately, the increasing processes are not the precise analogue of increasing stochastic processes adapted to a filtration but are more general as well be seen.

One may convert finitely additive processes on a chain Γ of subalgebras of \mathcal{B} into countably additive processes on a filtration of σ -algebras. This may be done by passage to the totally disconnected compact Hausdorff Stone space $X_{\mathcal{B}}$. The clopen algebra of $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{B} under a map $A \rightarrow [A]$ from \mathcal{B} to the clopen

algebra $[\mathcal{B}]$. If \mathcal{A} is a subalgebra of \mathcal{B} then \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to $[\mathcal{A}] = \{[A]: A \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset [\mathcal{B}]$. Denote by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}$ the Baire algebra $\sigma([\mathcal{B}])$ of $X_{\mathcal{B}}$. For \mathcal{A} a subalgebra of \mathcal{B} denote by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$ the σ -algebra $\sigma([\mathcal{A}])$. It may be shown that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is isomorphic to the Baire algebra of the Stone space $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ of \mathcal{A} upon identifying $[A]$ or A with the corresponding clopen set in $X_{\mathcal{A}}$. The Stone correspondence identifies a $\mu \in \text{BA}(\mathcal{B})$ with a unique $\tilde{\mu} \in \mathcal{M}(X_{\mathcal{B}})$ (the Radon measures on $X_{\mathcal{B}}$) via the formula $\mu(A) = \tilde{\mu}([A])$ for all $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{B}$. The map $\mu \rightarrow \tilde{\mu}$ is a Banach lattice isomorphism from $\text{BA}(\mathcal{B})$ onto $\mathcal{M}(X_{\mathcal{B}})$. $\mathcal{M}(X_{\mathcal{B}})$ is considered to be $\text{CA}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}})$, the countably additive Baire measures on $X_{\mathcal{B}}$, in the usual fashion. If μ is in $\text{BA}(\mathcal{A})$ for some subalgebra \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{B} then $\tilde{\mu}$ is defined in the same manner as above as an element of $\text{CA}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}})$. It is easily seen that if $Y = \{Y_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\}$ is a process on a chain Γ of subalgebras of \mathcal{B} then $\tilde{Y} = \{\tilde{Y}_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\}$ is a countably additive process on $\{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\}$ and that all countably additive processes on $\{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\}$ arise in this fashion. A process Y is a martingale, supermartingale or increasing process iff \tilde{Y} is. Y is such that $Y_{\mathcal{A}} \ll E(P|\mathcal{A})$ for all \mathcal{A} for some $P \in \text{BA}_1^+(\mathcal{B})$ iff $\tilde{Y}_{\mathcal{A}} \ll E(\tilde{P}|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}})$ for all \mathcal{A} . In this case, let $f_{\mathcal{A}}^P$ be the density of $\tilde{Y}_{\mathcal{A}}$ with respect to $E(\tilde{P}|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}})$ for all \mathcal{A} . The family $f^P = \{f_{\mathcal{A}}^P: \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\}$ is a stochastic process adapted to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\}$ which is a supermartingale, martingale, increasing process iff Y is.

An increasing process $A = \{A_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\}$ is said to be *increasing with respect to* $P \in \text{BA}_1^+(\mathcal{B})$ iff it is of the form $\{E(\mu_{\mathcal{A}}|\mathcal{A}): \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\}$ with $\{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\}$ an increasing family of measures absolutely continuous to P . If $g_{\mathcal{A}}^P$ is the \tilde{P} -density of $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}$ we have $g^P = \{g_{\mathcal{A}}^P: \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\}$ increasing in $L^1(X_{\mathcal{B}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}, \tilde{P})$. It need not be the case that g^P is adapted to $\{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\}$. If this is the case we say that A is an *adapted increasing process with respect to* P . An equivalent requirement is that $h^P = \{E(g_{\mathcal{A}}^P|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}): \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\}$ be increasing in $L^1(X_{\mathcal{B}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}, \tilde{P})$, for $\{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\}$ could be replaced by $\{\nu_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\}$ where $\tilde{\nu}_{\mathcal{A}} = h_{\mathcal{A}}^P \tilde{P}$ for $\mathcal{A} \in \Gamma$. One may give a necessary and sufficient condition purely in terms of the finitely additive measures $\{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\}$ and P so that A be adapted. This is that each $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the limit in variation norm of a sequence $\{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^n: n \in N\}$ where each $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^n$ is obtained from P by choosing a finite partition $\{A_{nj}: j = 1, \dots, m\} \subset \mathcal{A}$ scalars $\{\lambda_{nj}^{\mathcal{A}}: j = 1, \dots, m\}$ and letting $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}^n(B) = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_{nj}^{\mathcal{A}} P(B \cap A_{nj})$. This is an exercise in the Bochner finitely-additive Radon-Nikodym theorem (Bochner and Phillips [1941]). We are nearly in a position to show that every finitely additive supermartingale is the difference of a martingale and an adapted increasing process with respect to some $P \in \text{BA}_1^+(\mathcal{B})$. The only obstacle is the extension of Doob-Meyer Decomposition Theorem from filtrations indexed by $[0, \infty)$ to filtrations indexed by arbitrary linearly ordered set. This is not a great obstacle, for a supermartingale has its variation concentrated on an order separable set and such sets are isomorphic to subsets of $[0, \infty)$.

PROPOSITION A. *Let $\{\mathcal{F}_t: t \in T\}$ be a linearly ordered filtration in a probability space (X, \mathcal{F}, P) . In order that an L^1 -bounded supermartingale $\{f_t: t \in T\}$ adapted to $\{\mathcal{F}_t: t \in T\}$ admit a decomposition $f = m - a$ where m is a martingale and a is an increasing process with $\inf\{\|a_t\|_1: t \in T\} = 0$ it is necessary and sufficient that $\{f_{\tau}: \tau$ simple T -valued stopping time $\leq t\}$ be uniformly integrable for all $t \in T$.*

PROOF. The case where T is order separable is considered first. In this case T is order isomorphic to a subset of $[0, \infty)$ with $0 = \inf T$. Regard T as actually being a subset of $[0, \infty)$. Extend the filtration from T to $[0, \infty)$ as follows. If $t \in [0, \infty)$, set $\mathcal{F}_t = \cap \{ \mathcal{F}_s : t \leq s, s \in T \}$. Extend f from T to $[0, \infty)$ by setting $f_t = \sup \{ E(f_s | \mathcal{F}_t) : t \leq s \in T \}$. We have $\{f_\tau : \tau \text{ simple stopping time} \leq t\}$ uniformly integrable for $0 \leq t < \infty$ iff $\{f_\tau : \tau \text{ simple } T\text{-valued stopping time} \leq t\}$ is uniformly integrable for $t \in T$. The reason for this is that uniform integrability of a family is preserved by adjoining to it arbitrary conditional expectations on sub- σ -algebras or sequential almost sure limits. Thus, if $\{f_\tau : \tau \text{ simple } T\text{-valued stopping time} \leq t\}$ is uniformly integrable for $t \in T$ then $f_t = m_t - a_t$ for $0 \leq t \leq \infty$ with m a martingale and a an increasing process with $a_0 = 0$. Restriction of f , m , and a to T gives us the desired decomposition. Conversely, if $f_t = m_t - a_t$ for $t \in T$ with m a martingale and a an increasing process with $\inf_T a_t = 0$ then $\{m_\tau : \tau \text{ simple stopping time} \leq t\}$ is uniformly integrable for $t \in T$ and, since $\{a_\tau : \tau \text{ simple stopping time} \leq t\}$ is dominated by a_t , it is uniformly integrable for $t \in T$. As a result $\{f_\tau = m_\tau - a_\tau : \tau \text{ simple stopping time} \leq t\}$ is uniformly integrable for $t \in T$. This establishes the order separable case.

In order to establish the proposition for nonseparable T it is only necessary to show that if $\{f_\tau : \tau \text{ simple } T\text{-valued stopping time} \leq t\}$ is uniformly integrable for all $t \in T$ then f admits a Doob-Meyer decomposition. The converse is established as in the preceding paragraph. Let $R \subset [0, \infty)$ denote $\{\|f_t\|_1 : t \in T\}$. If $r \in \bar{R} \setminus R$ and r is a limit from above of $\{\|f_t\|_1 : \|f_t\|_1 > r\}$, set \mathcal{F}_{r^-} equal to $\sigma\{\mathcal{F}_t : \|f_t\|_1 > r\}$. If $r \in \bar{R} \setminus R$ is a limit from below of $\{\|f_t\|_1 : \|f_t\|_1 < r\}$, set \mathcal{F}_{r^+} equal to $\sigma\{\mathcal{F}_t : \|f_t\|_1 < r\}$. If $r \in R$ let $L_r = \{t \in T : \|g_t\| = r\}$. In this case set \mathcal{F}_{r^+} equal to $\sigma\{\mathcal{F}_t : \|g_t\| = r\}$ and \mathcal{F}_{r^-} equal to $\cap\{\mathcal{F}_t : \|g_t\| = r\}$. Adjoin $\{r^- \text{ and/or } r^+ : r \in \bar{R}\}$ to T to obtain $T^\#$ where $s \leq r^- \leq r^+ \leq t$ if $\|g_s\| > r > \|g_t\|$ and $r^- < t < r^+$ if $\|g_t\| = r$. The filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t : t \in T^\#\}$, as defined, extends $\{\mathcal{F}_t : t \in T\}$. Since $\{f_s : s \leq t\}$ is uniformly integrable for $t \in T$ we may extend f to be a supermartingale adapted to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t : t \in T^\#\}$ by setting $f_{r^-} = \lim\{f_t : \|f_t\| > r\}$ or

$$f_{r^+} = \lim\{f_t : \|f_t\| < r\}$$

if $r \in \bar{R} \setminus R$ and by setting $f_{r^-} = \lim\{f_t : t \text{ decreasing in } L_r\}$ and

$$f_{r^+} = \lim\{f_t : t \text{ increasing in } L_r\}$$

if $r \in R$. It is easily verified that $\{f_\tau : \tau \text{ simple } T^\#\text{-valued stopping time} \leq t\}$ is uniformly integrable for each $t \in T^\#$. The ensemble \tilde{T} of all r^- or r^+ for $r \in \bar{R}$ is obtained by deletion of L_r from $T^\#$ for all $r \in R$. One may verify that if D is a countable dense set in R then $\{r^+, r^- : r \in D\}$ is a countable order dense set in \tilde{T} . One has $\{f_\tau : \tau \text{ simple } \tilde{T}\text{-valued stopping time} \leq t\}$ uniformly integrable for all $t \in \tilde{T}$. As a result, it may be concluded that, for all $t \in \tilde{T}$, $f_t = m_t - a_t$ where m is a martingale and a is an increasing process with $0 = \inf\{a_t : t \in \tilde{T}\}$. Notice that if $r \in R$ then $a_{r^-} = a_{r^+}$ almost surely for $f_{r^-} = f_{r^+}$ almost surely. As a result, a may be extended to $T^\#$ from \tilde{T} as an increasing process. If $t \in L_r$ then a_t must be the function a_{r^-} . Extend m as a martingale from \tilde{T} to $T^\#$. We have $f = m - a$ on $T^\#$. Upon restriction from $T^\#$ to T we obtain the desired Doob-Meyer decomposition of f . This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

THEOREM B. *Let Y be a nonnegative bounded finitely additive supermartingale on a chain Γ of subalgebras of a Boolean algebra \mathcal{B} . There is a $P \in \text{BA}_1^+(\mathcal{B})$ and a $Q \in \text{BA}^+(\mathcal{B})$ with $Q \ll P$ so that if $\mathcal{M}Q$ is the martingale $\{E(Q|\mathcal{A}): \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\}$ then $A = \mathcal{M}Q - Y$ is an adapted increasing process with respect to P .*

PROOF. By our previous remarks we know that $Y = M - A$ where A is an increasing process with $\inf\{\|A_{\mathcal{A}}\|: \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\} = 0$ and M is a martingale. We may find $\nu \in \text{BA}^+(\mathcal{B})$ so that $E(\nu|\mathcal{A}) = M_{\mathcal{A}}$ for $\mathcal{A} \in \Gamma$. Since $E(\nu|\mathcal{A}) = M_{\mathcal{A}}$ it follows that $Y_{\mathcal{A}} \leq E(\nu|\mathcal{A})$ for $\mathcal{A} \in \Gamma$. Let P be $\lambda\nu$ where $\lambda = \|\nu\|^{-1}$. It follows that $Y_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \lambda E(P|\mathcal{A})$ for $\mathcal{A} \in \Gamma$. Let us pass to the Stone space $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ equipped with the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\}$ as in previous remarks. Let $\tilde{P} \in \mathcal{M}_1^+(\mathcal{B})$ correspond to P under the Stone correspondence. Let $\tilde{Y} = \{\tilde{Y}_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\}$ be the countably additive supermartingale on $\{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\}$ corresponding to Y under the Stone correspondence. Let $\{f_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\} = f$ be the supermartingale adapted to $\{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\}$ with $\tilde{Y}_{\mathcal{A}} = f_{\mathcal{A}}E(\tilde{P}|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}})$ for $\mathcal{A} \in \Gamma$. The supermartingale f is uniformly bounded in L^∞ -norm by λ hence is uniformly integrable. Proposition A may be applied to f to yield a decomposition $f = m - a$ where m is a martingale and a is an increasing process with $\inf\{\|a_{\mathcal{A}}\|_1: \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\} = 0$. Since m is uniformly integrable it is of the form $\{E(h|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}): \mathcal{A} \in \Gamma\}$ for some $h \in L^1(X_{\mathcal{B}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}, \tilde{P})$. Let $\tilde{Q} = h\tilde{P}$ and let $Q \in \text{BA}^+(\mathcal{B})$ correspond to \tilde{Q} under the Stone correspondence. If $\mathcal{A} \in \Gamma$ then $A_{\mathcal{A}} = E(Q|\mathcal{A}) - Y_{\mathcal{A}}$ corresponds to $a_{\mathcal{A}}\tilde{P}$ under the Stone correspondence. It is immediate that this Q is the one which we were seeking. \square

We may obtain the following as a nearly immediate corollary relating to ordinary supermartingales adapted to a filtration:

COROLLARY C. *Let (X, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability measure space, $\{\mathcal{F}_t: t \in T\}$ be a linearly ordered filtration of sub- σ -algebras of \mathcal{F} and $\{f_t: t \in T\}$ be a nonnegative L^1 -bounded supermartingale adapted to $\{\mathcal{F}_t: t \in T\}$. There is a $\Phi \in L_1^{\infty*+}(X, \mathcal{F}, P)$ and a $\Psi \in L^{\infty*+}(X, \mathcal{F}, P)$ with $\Psi \ll \Phi$ so that $A = \{A_t: t \in T\} \subset L^{\infty*+}(X, \mathcal{F}, P)$ defined by $A_t = E(\Psi|\mathcal{F}_t) - f_tP$ is an adapted increasing process with respect to Φ .*

PROOF. $L^{\infty*}(X, \mathcal{F}, P)$ may be identified with $\text{BA}(\mathcal{F}_P)$ where \mathcal{F}_P is the measure algebra of P obtained as the Boolean quotient of \mathcal{F} by the ideal of P -negligible sets. The filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t: t \in T\}$ induces, under the quotient map, a chain $\{(\mathcal{F}_t)_P: t \in T\}$ of subalgebras of \mathcal{F}_P . $\{f_tP: t \in T\}$ may be considered as a finitely additive supermartingale on this chain. Theorem B applies in this situation to establish the corollary. \square

REMARKS. (a) Corollary C states that a Doob-Meyer decomposition exists for any supermartingale if we are allowed to change the reference probability measure from P to an element Φ of $\text{BA}_1^+(\mathcal{F}_P)$. Note that Φ is weakly absolutely continuous with respect to P in annihilating all P -negligible sets. If Φ were to be countably additive it would be absolutely continuous with respect to P hence of the form hP for some $h \in L_1^1(X, \mathcal{F}, P)$. In this case, Ψ is of the form ghP for some g in $L^1(X, \mathcal{F}, hP)$ and A_t is of the form $a_t hP$ where $\{a_t: t \in T\}$ is an increasing process. In this case,

it follows that $\{f_\tau: \tau\text{-simple } T\text{-valued stopping time } \leq t\}$ is uniformly integrable for $t \in T$. Thus, if $P = \Phi$ is an impossible choice for Φ then any suitable Φ must have a nontrivial purely finitely additive part. The work of Astbury [1981] is related to this.

(b) Another connection between finite additivity and the Doob-Meyer Decomposition Theorem is the characterization in Metivier and Pellaumail [1975] of those nonnegative supermartingales admitting such a decomposition as those whose Doleans-Föllmer measure on the ring of predictable sets is countably additive. Thus, those which do not admit such a decomposition have a nontrivial purely finitely additive part in their Doleans-Föllmer measure. The relationship between this observation and the remarks in (a) has yet to be made precise.

(c) It need not be the case that the Doob-Meyer decomposition for countably additive supermartingales leads one inevitably to finitely additive measures. Consider $\{X_t: t \in T\}$ a linearly ordered projective system of compact Hausdorff spaces with $P_{t,s}: X_s \rightarrow X_t$ the associated continuous surjection for $s \geq t$. Let X_∞ be the projective limit with $P_t: X_\infty \rightarrow X_t$ the associated projection for $t \in T$. Let \mathcal{F} be the Baire field of X_∞ and for $t \in T$ let \mathcal{B}_t be the Baire field of X_t . Let $\mathcal{F}_t = P_t^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_t) \subset \mathcal{F}$ for all $t \in T$. One may consider countably additive processes adapted to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t: t \in T\}$. Equip $CA^+(\mathcal{B}_t)$, hence $CA^+(\mathcal{F}_t)$, with the vague topology so closed norm bounded sets are compact. The canonical surjection $\mathcal{A}_{t,s}: CA^+(\mathcal{F}_s) \rightarrow CA^+(\mathcal{F}_t)$ associated with $P_{t,s}$ is vaguely continuous. The proof of Theorem 6-1 of Armstrong [1983] is valid in this setting as is that of Theorem B. As a result, if Y is a countably additive norm bounded nonnegative supermartingale adapted to $\{\mathcal{F}_t: t \in T\}$ there is a $P \in CA_1^+(\mathcal{F}_\infty) = \mathcal{M}_1^+(X_\infty)$, and a $Q \in CA^+(\mathcal{F}_\infty)$ with $Q \ll P$ so that, if $M_t \in CA^+(\mathcal{F}_t)$ is the restriction of Q to \mathcal{F}_t for all t , then $A_t = M_t - A_t$ for $t \in T$ yields an adapted increasing process with respect to P with

$$\inf\{\|A_t\|: t \in T\} = 0.$$

(d) As we have remarked previously we have not considered uniqueness in the Doob-Meyer Decomposition Theorem. This is of considerable importance for the purpose of stochastic integration. If one considers supermartingales adapted to a linearly ordered filtration of sub- σ -algebras of a probability measure space it appears clear that the proof of Proposition A yields a predictable increasing process in the Doob-Meyer decomposition from a predictable increasing process on the order separable \tilde{T} and conversely. Uniqueness of the predictable process on T appears to follow from uniqueness on \tilde{T} . As a result it appears that, with the appropriate definition of predictability of finitely additive processes, there is a unique predictable adapted increasing process with respect to P which occurs in a Doob-Meyer decomposition of a finitely additive supermartingale in Theorem B. Of course, different P in Theorem A give rise to different predictable adapted increasing processes.

(e) The effects of change in the reference probability measures on semimartingales have been considered in several places. Memin [1980] is a notable example. Usually the new probability is one mutually absolutely continuous with respect to the original and in these cases always countably additive.

REFERENCES

- T. E. Armstrong [1983], *Finitely additive F-processes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **279**, 271–295.
- K. A. Astbury [1981], *Order convergence of martingales in terms of countably additive and purely finitely additive martingales*, Ann. Probab. **9**, 226–275.
- S. Bochner and R. S. Phillips [1941], *Additive set functions and vector lattices*, Ann. of Math. **42**, 316–324.
- C. Dellacheire and P. Meyer [1982], *Probabilities and potential B*, Theory of Martingales, North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- A. Gut and K. D. Schmidt [1983], *Amarts and set function processes*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- J. Memin [1980], *Espaces de semi-martingales et changement de probabilité*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete **52**, 9–39.
- J.-F. Mertens [1972], *Théorie des processus stochastiques généraux applications aux supermartingales*, Z. Warsch. Verw. Gebiete **22**, 45–68.
- M. Metivier and J. Pellaumail [1975], *On Doleans-Föllér measure for quasi-martingales*, Illinois J. Math. **19**, 491–504.
- P. A. Meyer [1966], *Probability and potential*, Blaisdell, Waltham, Mass.
- K. M. Rao [1969a], *On decomposition theorems of Meyer*, Math. Scand. **24**, 66–78.
- [1969b], *Quasi-martingales*, Math. Scand. **24**, 79–92.

DEPARTMENT OF THEORETICAL STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55455

Current address: Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Maryland-Baltimore County, Catonsville, Maryland 21228