

A NOTE ON MINIMAL MODULAR SYMBOLS

AVNER ASH¹

ABSTRACT. For any arithmetic group, a set of geometrically-defined cohomology classes is constructed which spans the cohomology of the group with rational coefficients in the highest nonvanishing dimension thereof.

This note gives a geometrical spanning set S for the cohomology of an arithmetic group in the highest nonvanishing dimension. To do this, I generalize the first three sections of [1]. Unfortunately, I know of no way to generalize the algorithm in §4 of [1]. This means that while the S I construct is infinite, and although a priori the cohomology is finite dimensional, I cannot identify a finite generating set inside S , except for the cases covered in [1].¹

Let G be a semisimple algebraic group defined over \mathbf{Q} , K a maximal compact subgroup of $G(\mathbf{R})$, $X = G(\mathbf{R})/K$, and Γ an arithmetic subgroup of $G(\mathbf{Q})$. The action of $G(\mathbf{R})$, and hence of Γ , on X is on the left. It is well known that $H^*(\Gamma, \mathbf{Q}) \simeq H^*(X/\Gamma, \mathbf{Q})$, and by [2] that $H^*(\Gamma, \mathbf{Q}) = 0$ for $*$ $>$ N , where

$$N = \dim X - \text{rank}_{\mathbf{Q}}(G).$$

To construct $S \subset H^N(X/\Gamma, \mathbf{Q})$ we proceed as follows: Let T be a maximal \mathbf{Q} -split torus of G . Without loss of generality, we may assume that T is stable under the Cartan involution of G corresponding to K . Set $A = T(\mathbf{R})^0$. Thus $A \simeq (\mathbf{R}_+^\times)^l$, where $l = \text{rank}_{\mathbf{Q}}(G)$.

Now let $e \in X$ be the base-point corresponding to K . Let \bar{X} be the Borel-Serre bordification of X [2]. From the construction of \bar{X} given in [2], it is easy to verify the following:

LEMMA 1. *The closure Z of Ae in \bar{X} is homeomorphic to a ball of dimension l . The boundary of Z lies in $\partial\bar{X}$.*

Now fix an orientation on Z .

LEMMA 2. *The fundamental class of ∂Z freely generates $H_{l-1}(\partial\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z})$ as a $\mathbf{Z}[U(\mathbf{Q})]$ -module, where U is the unipotent radical of a minimal \mathbf{Q} -parabolic subgroup P of G containing T .*

The proof of this lemma is essentially contained in §8 of [2]. The central object is the Tits building B of the \mathbf{Q} -group G . The homotopy equivalence $g_x: B \rightarrow \partial\bar{X}$ constructed in [2, 8.4.3] maps one of the apartments of B homeomorphically onto ∂Z , if we choose x to be the identity coset e in X . Call this distinguished apartment A_0 . Then choosing an $(l-1)$ -dimensional simplex s of A_0 corresponds to the choice of P in the lemma. Each apartment is homeomorphic to an $(l-1)$ -sphere and B

Received by the editors November 21, 1984 and, in revised form, April 25, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20H05, 20J99.

¹Partially supported by a grant from the NSF.

©1986 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/86 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

is homotopy equivalent [2, 8.5.2] to the wedge of all the apartments of B that contain s . Hence $\partial\bar{X}$ is homotopy-equivalent to a wedge of spheres, and [2, 8.6.8] implies that $H_{l-1}(\partial\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z})$ is a free $\mathbf{Z}[U(\mathbf{Q})]$ -module of rank 1, generated by the fundamental class of $g_e(A_0) = \partial Z$.

We shall use the notation $[I]$ for the fundamental class of ∂Z in $H_{l-1}(\partial\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z})$, where I stands for the identity in $G(\mathbf{Q})$. More generally, if M is any element of $G(\mathbf{Q})$, set $[M]$ to be $M \cdot [I]$, i.e. the fundamental class of $\partial(MZ)$. We call $[M]$ a universal minimal modular symbol. ("Minimal" refers to the fact that it is associated with a minimal \mathbf{Q} -parabolic subgroup of G .)

Now let π denote the canonical projection of \bar{X} onto $\Gamma\backslash\bar{X}$. From now on, assume $l \geq 1$. Since \bar{X} is contractible, $H_{l-1}(\partial\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z})$ is isomorphic to $H_l(X, \partial\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z})$. Now define $[M]_\Gamma$, for any M in $G(\mathbf{Q})$, to be the image of $[M]$ in $H_l(\Gamma\backslash\bar{X}, \partial(\Gamma\backslash\bar{X}), \mathbf{Z})$ under the composition

$$H_{l-1}(\partial\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_l(\bar{X}, \partial\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z}) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} H_l(\Gamma\backslash\bar{X}, \partial, \mathbf{Z}).$$

It follows from Lemma 2.7 of [4] that $\pi(M(Ae))$ is a submanifold (with boundary) of $\Gamma\backslash\bar{X}$. Then $[M]_\Gamma$ is its fundamental class.

THEOREM. *If Γ is torsion-free, the modular symbols $[M]_\Gamma$ as M runs through $U(\mathbf{Q})$, or a fortiori through $G(\mathbf{Q})$, generate $H_l(\Gamma\backslash\bar{X}, \partial, \mathbf{Z})$.*

PROOF. This follows from the fact that π_* is surjective. Although the proof of this fact is done in a special case in [1], the argument carries over verbatim.

REMARK. By Poincaré duality, $H_l(\Gamma\backslash\bar{X}, \partial, \mathbf{Z})$ is naturally isomorphic to $H^N(\Gamma\backslash\bar{X}, \mathbf{Z})$ when Γ is torsion-free, so we may take the promised set S to be the set of currents corresponding to the modular symbols $[M]_\Gamma$, M in $U(\mathbf{Q})$.

If Γ is not torsion-free, the theorem and remark remain true if \mathbf{Z} -coefficients are replaced by \mathbf{Q} -coefficients (cf. remark, p. 246 of [1]).

EXAMPLE. If F is any finite extension of \mathbf{Q} , let G be the \mathbf{Q} -group such that $G(\mathbf{Q}) = \mathrm{SL}(n, F)$, $n \geq 2$. Then

$$G(\mathbf{R}) = \mathrm{SL}(N, F \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}).$$

View \mathbf{R} as embedded in the natural way in $F \otimes \mathbf{R}$. Then A may be taken to be the diagonal matrices in $G(\mathbf{R})$ with entries in \mathbf{R}_+^\times , and $l = n - 1$. In case the ring of integers in F is Euclidean, the algorithm in §4 of [1] shows how to reduce S to a finite spanning set. But otherwise, a general algorithm for this problem is unknown and would be of great interest.

REMARKS. (1) Nonminimal modular symbols may be defined in other dimensions, as in [4]. For these symbols, no such assertion that they generate the cohomology in their dimension is known to be true, nor is any counterexample known to me.

(2) The set $S = \{[M]_\Gamma : M \in U(\mathbf{Q})\}$ depends upon the choices of T , K and U . We may enlarge S to obtain a set which does not depend on these choices, namely $\{[M]_\Gamma : M \in G(\mathbf{Q})\}$.

REFERENCES

1. A. Ash and L. Rudolph, *The modular symbol and continued fractions in higher dimensions*, Invent. Math. **55** (1979), 241–250.
2. A. Borel and J.-P. Serre, *Corners and arithmetic groups*, Comment Math. Helv. **48** (1973), 436–491.
3. A. Borel, *Introduction aux groupes arithmétiques*, Hermann, Paris, 1969.
4. A. Ash, *Non-square-integrable cohomology of arithmetic groups*, Duke Math. J. **47** (1980), 435–449.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OHIO 43210