

AN OPERATOR APPROACH TO THE PRINCIPLE OF INCLUSION AND EXCLUSION

C. J. LIU¹

ABSTRACT. Using an operator approach we derive Sylvester-Whitworth formulae for sets A 's. By the same token we treat the problem where both sets of A 's and B 's are involved. Our result extends the Sylvester-Whitworth inclusion and exclusion formula to the resolution of the number of elements in exactly m_1 sets of A 's and m_2 sets of B 's respectively. The formula are applied to the complete graph and complete bipartite graph. The enumeration of spanning subgraphs with any preassigned number of disconnected cycles is solved, together with the case where any preassigned number of vertices have degree one.

1. Introduction. Recently, Chow and the present author introduced an operator approach to some enumeration problems in a series of articles [1, 2, 3, 4]. The general idea is as follows. First, one constructs a vector space of formal sums on which some operators are defined. Then a real-valued linear function on the formal vector space is introduced. This linear function plays an important role in the whole approach. Whether the operator method is applicable to a particular problem depends on the successful search for such a function in each case. The problem we studied by this approach are enumerations of forests and matchings of a graph and also that of connected spanning subgraphs with preassigned cyclomatic number (of a planar graph). In this article, we use the operator approach to treat the principle of inclusion and exclusion which has been investigated in the past and most recently in [5, 6, 7]. The vector space of formal sums under consideration is defined as in references [1-4], but a different real-valued linear function is now introduced as we are treating a different problem here. First, we derive Sylvester-Whitworth formulae for sets of A 's. Next, using the same formalism, we treat the problem where both sets of A 's and B 's are involved. Our result extends the Sylvester-Whitworth inclusion and exclusion formula to the resolution of the number of elements in exactly m_1 sets of A 's and m_2 sets of B 's respectively. Finally, we apply the resulting formulae to the complete graph and complete bipartite graph. The enumeration of spanning subgraphs with any preassigned number of disconnected cycles is resolved, together with the case where any preassigned number of vertices have degree one.

It is a pleasure to thank the referee for his valuable suggestions which resulted in an improvement of the manuscript.

2. Main theorems. Let $\mathbf{R} = \{1, \dots, n, \dots, r\}$ be a set and $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ be the power set of \mathbf{R} , i.e., the collection of all subsets in \mathbf{R} . Construct the vector space \mathbf{U} of

Received by the editors February 6, 1984 and, in revised form, April 22, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05A15; Secondary 05C05.

¹Research supported by the Graduate School, Marquette University.

©1986 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/86 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

the formal sums generated by $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$. The linear "creation" operator is defined by

$$(1) \quad \lambda_i: Q \mapsto \begin{cases} Q \cup \{i\}, & \text{if } i \notin Q, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $Q \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ and the 0 in (1) is the zero formal sum in \mathbf{U} .

Let $A_1, \dots, A_n, \dots, A_r$ be r sets in the universe \mathcal{U} . Denote by $A_i A_j \cdots A_k$ the intersection $A_i \cap A_j \cap \cdots \cap A_k$. Denote by $N(A_i A_j \cdots A_k)$ the number of elements in $A_i A_j \cdots A_k$. Next, we define a linear function μ on the space \mathbf{U} by

$$(2) \quad \mu: Q \mapsto N(A_Q), \quad A_Q = \prod_{i \in Q} A_i.$$

It follows from (1) and (2) that

$$(3) \quad \lambda_i \lambda_j = \lambda_j \lambda_i, \quad \lambda_i^2 = 0, \dots, \quad i, j \in \mathbf{R}$$

and

$$(4) \quad N(A_{Q \cup \{i\}}) = N(A_Q A_i), \quad \text{if } i \notin Q.$$

LEMMA 1. Let S be a subset of \mathbf{R} and $S \cap \{1, \dots, n\} = \emptyset$. Then

$$(5) \quad \mu \left[\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \lambda_i) S \right] = N(A_S \bar{A}_1 \cdots \bar{A}_n)$$

where \bar{A}_i is the complement of A_i .

PROOF. Use mathematical induction on n .

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} (1 - \lambda_i) S \right] &= \mu \left[\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \lambda_i) S \right] - \mu \left[\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \lambda_i) S \cup \{n+1\} \right] \\ &= N(A_S \bar{A}_1 \cdots \bar{A}_n) - N(A_S A_{n+1} \bar{A}_1 \cdots \bar{A}_n) \\ &= N(A_S \bar{A}_1 \cdots \bar{A}_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

The last step follows from the inclusion and exclusion argument.

LEMMA 2. Let S be a subset of \mathbf{R} and $S \cap \{1, \dots, n\} = \emptyset$. Then

$$(7) \quad N_m(A_S) = \mu \left[\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \lambda_i) \frac{1}{m!} \lambda^m S \right], \quad 0 \leq m \leq n,$$

where

$$(8) \quad N_m(A_S) = \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_m}^n N(A_S A_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_m} \bar{A}_{j_1} \cdots \bar{A}_{j_{n-m}}),$$

$$(9) \quad \{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \cap \{j_1, \dots, j_{n-m}\} = \emptyset,$$

$$(10) \quad \{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \cup \{j_1, \dots, j_{n-m}\} = \{1, \dots, n\}$$

and

$$(11) \quad \lambda = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n.$$

PROOF. It follows from (3) that

$$(12) \quad \frac{1}{m!} \lambda^m = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_m}^n \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_m}$$

and

$$(13) \quad \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \lambda_i) \frac{1}{m!} \lambda^m = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_m}^n \prod_{j \neq i_1, \dots, i_m}^n (1 - \lambda_j) \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_m}.$$

Hence

$$(14) \quad \mu \left[\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \lambda_i) \frac{1}{m!} \lambda^m S \right] = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_m}^n \mu \left[\prod_{j \neq i_1, \dots, i_m}^n (1 - \lambda_j) S \cup \{i_1, \dots, i_m\} \right].$$

Then Lemma 1 completes the proof.

THEOREM 1. Let A_1, \dots, A_r be r sets in a universe \mathcal{U} . Let S be a subset of \mathbf{R} , $\mathbf{R} = \{1, \dots, n, \dots, r\}$, and $S \cap \{1, \dots, n\} = \emptyset$. Denote by $W_m(A_S)$ the number of the sizes of all m -tuple intersections of A_S and A_i 's, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then the number of elements in exactly m of $A_i A_S$, $N_m(A_S)$, is given by

$$(15) \quad N_m(A_S) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-m} (-1)^k \binom{m+k}{m} W_{m+k}(A_S), \quad 0 \leq m \leq n,$$

which is the Sylvester-Whitworth inclusion and exclusion formula.

PROOF. By (3) it is readily seen that

$$(16) \quad 1 - \lambda_i = e^{-\lambda_i}$$

and

$$(17) \quad \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \lambda_i) = e^{-\lambda}.$$

Then Lemma 2 yields

$$(18) \quad N_m(A_S) = \mu \left[\frac{1}{m!} \lambda^m e^{-\lambda} S \right].$$

By definition, one reads

$$(19) \quad \mu \left[\frac{1}{m!} \lambda^m S \right] = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_m}^n N(A_S A_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_m}) = W_m(A_S).$$

The relations of (18) and (19) lead to (15).

REMARKS. (i) It follows from (18) and (19) that

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} W_m(A_S) &= \mu \left[\left(\frac{1}{m!} \lambda^m e^{\lambda} \right) e^{-\lambda} S \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-m} \frac{1}{k! m!} \mu [\lambda^{m+k} e^{-\lambda} S] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-m} \binom{m+k}{m} N_{m+k}(A_S) \end{aligned}$$

which can also be established by combinatorial analysis [8].

(ii) The operator approach used here has certain similarity to the symbolic method [5]. However, they are not identical since every term in the operator approach can be identified while the symbolic method fails to do so.

Next, we use the same formalism to generalize the Sylvester-Whitworth formula which provides the resolution to the number of elements in m_1 sets of A 's and in m_2 sets of B 's (both A 's and B 's are sets in the universe \mathcal{U}). To do this, we proceed as follows. Let $\mathbf{R}_k = \{1, \dots, n_k, \dots, r_k\}$, $k = 1, 2$. Denote by $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}_1 \times \mathbf{R}_2)$ the power set of the Cartesian product $\mathbf{R}_1 \times \mathbf{R}_2$. Construct the vector space \mathbf{U}^2 of the formal sums generated by $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}_1 \times \mathbf{R}_2)$ with real coefficients. The linear operators $\lambda_i^{(k)}$, $k = 1, 2$, are defined by

$$(21) \quad \lambda_i^{(k)}: (Q_1, Q_2) \mapsto \begin{cases} (Q_1 \cup \{i\}, Q_2), & \text{if } k = 1 \text{ and } i \notin Q_1, \\ (Q_1, Q_2 \cup \{i\}), & \text{if } k = 2 \text{ and } i \notin Q_2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $Q_k \subseteq \mathbf{R}_k$, $k = 1, 2$, and 0 in (21) is the zero formal sum in \mathbf{U}^2 .

It follows from (21)

$$(22) \quad \lambda_i^{(k)} \lambda_j^{(k)} = \lambda_j^{(k)} \lambda_i^{(k)}, \quad \lambda_i^{(k)} \lambda_i^{(k)} = 0, \quad k = 1, 2,$$

and

$$(23) \quad \lambda_i^{(1)} \lambda_j^{(2)} = \lambda_j^{(2)} \lambda_i^{(1)}.$$

Let $A_1, \dots, A_{n_1}, \dots, A_{r_1}$, $B_1, \dots, B_{n_2}, \dots, B_{r_2}$ be $(r_1 + r_2)$ sets in the universe \mathcal{U} . Define a linear function μ on the space \mathbf{U}^2 by

$$(24) \quad \mu: (Q_1, Q_2) \mapsto N(A_{Q_1} B_{Q_2})$$

where

$$(25) \quad A_{Q_1} = \prod_{i \in Q_1} A_i \quad \text{and} \quad B_{Q_2} = \prod_{i \in Q_2} B_i.$$

It is readily seen that

$$(26) \quad N(A_{Q_1 \cup \{i\}} B_{Q_2 \cup \{j\}}) = N(A_{Q_1} B_{Q_2} A_i B_j),$$

provided $i \in \mathbf{R}_1$, $i \notin Q_1$, $j \in \mathbf{R}_2$, $j \notin Q_2$.

The following result can be established easily by the same argument used in the proof of Lemma 1.

LEMMA 3. Let S_k be a subset of \mathbf{R}_k and $S_k \cap \{1, \dots, n_k\} = \emptyset$, $k = 1, 2$. Then

$$(27) \quad \mu \left[\prod_{k=1}^2 \prod_{i=1}^{n_k} (1 - \lambda_i^{(k)})(S_1, S_2) \right] = N \left(A_{S_1} B_{S_2} \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \overline{A_i} \overline{B_j} \right).$$

LEMMA 4. Let S_k be a subset of \mathbf{R}_k and $S_k \cap \{1, \dots, n_k\} = \emptyset$, $k = 1, 2$. Then

$$(28) \quad N_{m_1, m_2}(A_{S_1} B_{S_2}) = \mu \left[\prod_{k=1}^2 \prod_{i=1}^{n_k} \frac{1}{m_k!} (1 - \lambda_i^{(k)})(\lambda^{(k)})^{m_k}(S_1, S_2) \right]$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 (29) \quad N_{m_1, m_2}(A_{S_1} B_{S_2}) &= \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_{m_1}}^{n_1} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_{m_2}}^{n_2} N(A_{S_1} B_{S_2} A_{i_1} \dots A_{i_{m_1}} B_{j_1} \\
 &\quad \dots B_{j_{m_2}} \bar{A}_{k_1} \dots \bar{A}_{k_{n_1-m_1}} \bar{B}_{l_1} \dots \bar{B}_{l_{n_2-m_2}}),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(30) \quad \{i_1, \dots, i_{m_1}\} \cap \{k_1, \dots, k_{n_1-m_1}\} = \emptyset,$$

$$(31) \quad \{i_1, \dots, i_{m_1}\} \cup \{k_1, \dots, k_{n_1-m_1}\} = \{1, \dots, n_1\}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

and

$$(32) \quad \lambda^{(k)} = \lambda_1^{(k)} + \dots + \lambda_{n_k}^{(k)}, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

PROOF. It follows from (22) and (23)

$$(33) \quad \frac{1}{m_k!} (\lambda^{(k)})^{m_k} = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_{m_k}}^{n_k} \lambda_{i_1}^{(k)} \dots \lambda_{i_{m_k}}^{(k)}, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 (34) \quad &\mu \left[\prod_{k=1}^2 \prod_{l=1}^{n_k} \frac{1}{m_k!} (1 - \lambda_l^{(k)}) (\lambda^{(k)})^{m_k} (S_1, S_2) \right] \\
 &= \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_{m_1}}^{n_1} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_{m_2}}^{n_2} \mu \left[\prod_{i \neq i_1, \dots, i_{m_1}}^{n_1} \prod_{j \neq j_1, \dots, j_{m_2}}^{n_2} (1 - \lambda_i^{(1)}) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \cdot (1 - \lambda_j^{(2)}) \lambda_{i_1}^{(1)} \dots \lambda_{i_{m_1}}^{(1)} \lambda_{j_1}^{(2)} \dots \lambda_{j_{m_2}}^{(2)} (S_1, S_2) \right] \\
 &= \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_{m_1}}^{n_1} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_{m_2}}^{n_2} \mu \left[\prod_{i \neq i_1, \dots, i_{m_1}}^{n_1} \prod_{j \neq j_1, \dots, j_{m_2}}^{n_2} (1 - \lambda_i^{(1)}) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \cdot (1 - \lambda_j^{(2)}) (S_1 \cup \{i_1, \dots, i_{m_1}\}, S_2 \cup \{j_1, \dots, j_{m_2}\}) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3 completes the proof.

THEOREM 2. Let $A_1, \dots, A_{n_1}, \dots, A_{r_1}, B_1, \dots, B_{n_2}, \dots, B_{r_2}$ be $(r_1 + r_2)$ sets in a universe \mathcal{U} . Let S_k be a subset of \mathbf{R}_k with $\mathbf{R}_k = \{1, \dots, n_k, \dots, r_k\}$ and $S_k \cap \{1, \dots, n_k\} = \emptyset$, $k = 1, 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (35) \quad N_{m_1, m_2}(A_{S_1} B_{S_2}) &= \sum_{k_1=0}^{n_1-m_1} \sum_{k_2=0}^{n_2-m_2} (-1)^{k_1+k_2} \binom{m_1+k_1}{m_1} \binom{m_2+k_2}{m_2} \\
 &\quad \cdot W_{m_1+k_1, m_2+k_2}(A_{S_1} B_{S_2}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(36) \quad W_{m_1, m_2}(A_{S_1} B_{S_2}) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_{m_1}}^{n_1} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_{m_2}}^{n_2} N(A_{S_1} B_{S_2} A_{i_1} \dots A_{i_{m_1}} B_{j_1} \dots B_{j_{m_2}})$$

and $N_{m_1, m_2}(A_{S_1} B_{S_2})$ is the number of elements in exactly m_1 of $A_i A_{S_1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n_1$, and m_2 of $B_j B_{S_2}$, $j = 1, \dots, n_2$, respectively.

PROOF. By (22) and (23) one finds

$$(37) \quad \prod_{i=1}^{n_k} (1 - \lambda_i^{(k)}) = e^{-\lambda^{(k)}}, \quad k = 1, 2,$$

where $\lambda^{(k)}$ is defined by (32). It follows from Lemma 4

$$(38) \quad N_{m_1, m_2}(A_{S_1} B_{S_2}) = \mu \left[\prod_{k=1}^2 \frac{1}{m_k!} (\lambda^{(k)})^{m_k} e^{-\lambda^{(k)}} (S_1, S_2) \right].$$

By definition, we have

$$(39) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mu \left[\prod_{k=1}^2 \frac{1}{m_k!} (\lambda^{(k)})^{m_k} (S_1, S_2) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_{m_1}}^{n_1} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_{m_2}}^{n_2} N(A_{S_1} B_{S_2} A_{i_1} \dots A_{i_{m_1}} B_{j_1} \dots B_{j_{m_2}}) \\ &= W_{m_1, m_2}(A_{S_1} B_{S_2}). \end{aligned}$$

The theorem is established by (38) and (39).

3. Spanning subgraphs with disconnected cycles and vertices of degree one. For a complete graph K_n , let $\rho_d(n)$ be the number of d -component spanning unicyclic subgraphs with cycle length l_1, \dots, l_d respectively. For $d = 1$, it is known [9]

$$(40) \quad \rho_1(n) = \frac{1}{2}(n)_l n^{n-l-1}.$$

In fact, (40) can be established easily by considering all spanning subgraphs with a cycle of cycle length l attaching to a l -component forests which can be enumerated by the Kirchoff matrix [1, 4, 10], i.e.,

$$(41) \quad \rho_1(n) = \binom{n}{l} \frac{1}{2}(l-1)! [ln^{n-l-1}].$$

Using the same arguments, it is readily seen that

$$(42) \quad \rho_d(n) = \frac{l}{2^d} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d l_i \right)^{-1} (n)_l n^{n-l-1},$$

where

$$(43) \quad l = l_1 + \dots + l_d.$$

For a complete bipartite graph K_{n_1, n_2} , let $\rho_d(n_1, n_2)$ be the number of d -component spanning unicyclic subgraphs with cycle length $2l_1, \dots, 2l_d$ respectively. A straightforward calculation yields

$$(44) \quad \rho_d(n_1, n_2) = \frac{l}{2^d} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d l_i \right)^{-1} (n_1 + n_2 - l)(n_1)_l (n_2)_l n_1^{n_2-l-1} n_2^{n_1-l-1}$$

where

$$(45) \quad l = l_1 + \dots + l_d.$$

We now consider the application of Theorems 1 and 2. First, we take up the complete graph K_n . Let A_i be the set of spanning subgraphs of K_n with vertex i having degree one. Let A_S be the set of a d -component, $d = |S|$, spanning unicyclic subgraphs of K_n . It is readily seen that

$$(46) \quad \begin{aligned} N(A_S A_{i_1} \dots A_{i_k}) &= \prod_{j=1}^k (\text{number of edges containing vertex } i_j) \rho_d(n-k) \\ &= (n-k)^k \rho_d(n-k). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (20)

$$(47) \quad W_k(A_S) = \binom{n}{k} (n-k)^k \rho_d(n-k).$$

Denote by $N_m^{(n)}(l_1, \dots, l_d)$ the number of d -component spanning unicyclic subgraphs of K_n with m vertices of degree one and d disconnected cycles each of which have cycle length l_1, \dots, l_d respectively. Theorem 1 and (47) lead to

$$(48) \quad N_m^{(n)}(l_1, \dots, l_d) = \frac{n!}{m!} \sum_{k=m}^{n-l} (-1)^{k+m} \frac{(n-k)^k}{(n-k)!(k-m)!} \rho_d(n-k).$$

It follows from (42)

$$(49) \quad N_m^{(n)}(l_1, \dots, l_d) = \frac{l}{2^d} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d l_i \right)^{-1} \frac{n!}{m!} \sum_{k=0}^{n-l-1} l^k \binom{n-l-1}{k} \left\{ \begin{matrix} n-l-k-1 \\ n-m-l \end{matrix} \right\}$$

where $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$ is the Stirling number of the second kind.

Second, we consider the complete bipartite graph K_{n_1, n_2} . Let A_i be the set of spanning subgraphs of K_{n_1, n_2} with vertex i , $i = 1, \dots, n_1$, having degree one and B_j be the set of spanning subgraphs of K_{n_1, n_2} with vertex j , $j = 1, \dots, n_2$, having degree one. Let $A_{S_1} B_{S_2}$ be the set of a d -component, $d = |S_1| = |S_2|$, spanning unicyclic subgraphs of K_{n_1, n_2} . A calculation similar to that of the complete graph K_n yields the following result

$$(50) \quad W_{k_1, k_2}(A_{S_1} B_{S_2}) = \binom{n_1}{k_1} \binom{n_2}{k_2} (n_1 - k_1)^{k_2} (n_2 - k_2)^{k_1} \rho_d(n_1, n_2).$$

Denote by $N_{m_1, m_2}^{(n_1, n_2)}(2l_1, \dots, 2l_d)$ the number of d -component spanning unicyclic subgraphs of K_{n_1, n_2} with m_1 , among n_1 , vertices having degree one in one part and m_2 , among n_2 , vertices having degree one in another part, together with d disconnected cycles each of which have cycle length $2l_1, \dots, 2l_d$ respectively. Theorem

2 and (50) lead to

$$(51) \quad N_{m_1, m_2}^{(n_1, n_2)}(2l_1, \dots, 2l_d) \\ = \frac{n_1!n_2!}{m_1!m_2!} \sum_{k_1=m_1}^{n_1-l} \sum_{k_2=m_2}^{n_2-l} \frac{(n_1-k_1)^{k_2}(n_2-k_2)^{k_1}}{(n_1-k_1)!(k_1-m_1)!(n_2-k_2)!(k_2-m_2)!} \\ \cdot (-1)^{k_1+k_2+m_1+m_2} \rho_d(n_1-k_1, n_2-k_2).$$

Using (44), we have

$$(53) \quad N_{m_1, m_2}^{(n_1, n_2)}(2l_1, \dots, 2l_d) \\ = \frac{l}{2^d} \left(\prod_{j=1}^d l_j \right)^{-1} \frac{n_1!n_2!}{m_1!m_2!} [D_{m_1}(n_1; n_2; l) D_{m_2}(n_2; n_1-1; l) \\ + D_{m_1}(n_1; n_2-1; l) D_{m_2}(n_2; n_1; l) \\ - D_{m_1}(n_1; n_2-1; l) D_{m_2}(n_2; n_1-1; l)]$$

and

$$l = l_1 + \dots + l_d$$

where

$$(54) \quad D_m(a; b; c) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{b-c} c^k \binom{b-c}{k} \left\{ \begin{matrix} b-c-k \\ a-c-m \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

REMARK. The relations of (48) and (51) can also be used in other enumeration problems. For example, let $\rho_0(n)$ be the number of spanning trees of the complete graph K_n . Denote by $N_m^{(n)}$ the number of spanning trees of K_n with m vertices having degree one. Then

$$(55) \quad N_m^{(n)} = \frac{n!}{m!} \left\{ \begin{matrix} n-2 \\ n-m \end{matrix} \right\}$$

is the result of (48). Historically, Rényi obtained (55) from a recurrence relation [11]

$$(56) \quad \frac{m}{n} N_m^{(n)} = (n-m) N_{m-1}^{(n-1)} + m N_m^{(n-1)}.$$

Next, let $\rho_0(n_1, n_2)$ be the number of spanning trees of the complete bipartite graph K_{n_1, n_2} . Denote by $N_{m_1, m_2}^{(n_1, n_2)}$ the number of spanning trees of K_{n_1, n_2} with m_1 , among n_1 , vertices having degree one in one part and m_2 , among n_2 , vertices having degree one in another part respectively. It follows from (51)

$$(57) \quad N_{m_1, m_2}^{(n_1, n_2)} = \frac{n_1!n_2!}{m_1!m_2!} \left\{ \begin{matrix} n_1-1 \\ n_2-m_2 \end{matrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{matrix} n_2-1 \\ n_1-m_1 \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

We announce here (to be published elsewhere) the following result concerning

the case with sets $A_1^{(i)}, \dots, A_{n_i}^{(i)}, \dots, A_{r_i}^{(i)}$, $i = 1, \dots, p$, in the universe \mathcal{U} :

$$(58) \quad N_{m_1, \dots, m_p} \left(\prod_{j=1}^p A_{S_j}^{(j)} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^p \left[\sum_{k_i=0}^{n_i-m_i} (-1)^{k_i} \binom{m_i+k_i}{m_i} \right] \\ \cdot W_{m_1+k_1, \dots, m_p+k_p} \left(\prod_{j=1}^p A_{S_j}^{(j)} \right).$$

We note that (58) is equivalent to Theorem 4 in reference [12].

REFERENCES

1. C. J. Liu and Yutze Chow, *Enumeration of forests in a graph*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1981), 659–662.
2. ———, *Enumeration of connected spanning subgraphs of a planar graph*, Acta Math. (Hungar.) **41** (1983), 27–36.
3. ———, *An operator approach to some graph enumeration problems*, Discrete Math. **44** (1983), 258–291.
4. ———, *On operator and formal sum methods for graph enumeration problems*, SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods **5** (1984), 384–406.
5. John Riordan, *An introduction to combinatorial analysis*, Wiley, New York, 1958.
6. G. C. Rota, *On the foundations of combinatorial theory, I. Theory of Mobius functions*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete **2** (1964), 340–368. See also S. A. Joni and G. C. Rota, *A vector space analogy of permutations with restricted position*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **29** (1980), 59–73.
7. D. Zeilberger, *Garsia and Milne's bijective proof of the inclusion-exclusion principle*, Discrete Math. **51** (1984), 109–110.
8. Herbert S. Wilf, *Averages by the sieve method*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **24** (1978), 256–260.
9. T. L. Austin, R. E. Fagen, W. F. Penneg and John Riordan, *The number of components in random linear graphs*, Ann. Math. Statist. **30** (1959), 747–754.
10. S. Chaiken and D. J. Kleitman, *Matrix tree theorems*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **24** (1978), 377–381.
11. A. Rényi, *Some remarks on the theory of trees*, Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl. **4** (1959), 73–85.
12. C. J. Liu, *A general formula in the principle of inclusion and exclusion*, preprint (1984).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, MARQUETTE UNIVERSITY, MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN 53233