A NEW FIXED POINT THEOREM ON DEMI-COMPACT 1-SET-CONTRACTION MAPPINGS

LI GUOZHEN

ABSTRACT. W. V. Petryshyn studied the fixed point theorem of demi-compact mappings. This paper gives a new fixed point theorem of demi-compact 1-set-contraction mappings in the norm form.

W. V. Petryshyn [1,2] studied the fixed point theorem of demi-compact mappings.

This paper gives a new fixed point theorem on demi-compact 1-set-contraction mappings. Let P be a cone of a real Banach space E . Set

$$\begin{aligned} P_r &= \{x \in P \mid \|x\| < r\}, & \partial P_r &= \{x \in P \mid \|x\| = r\}, \\ P_R &= \{x \in P \mid \|x\| < R\}, & \partial P_R &= \{x \in P \mid \|x\| = R\}, \\ P_{r,R} &= \{x \in P \mid r < \|x\| < R\}, & \bar{P}_{r,R} &= \{x \in P \mid r \leq \|x\| \leq R\}, \quad 0 < r < R. \end{aligned}$$

First we state a result obtained by A. J. Potter [3], R. D. Nussbaum [4], and P. M. Fitzpatrick and W. V. Petryshyn [5].

LEMMA 1. *Let $A: \bar{P}_R \rightarrow P$ be a condensing mapping. Suppose that A satisfies*

- (1) *There is some $w > \theta$ such that $x - Ax \neq \lambda w$ for any $\lambda > 0$ and $x \in \partial P_r$,*
- (2) *$\lambda x \neq Ax$ for $\lambda > 1$ and $x \in \partial P_R$.*

Then A has a fixed point $x^ \in \bar{P}_{r,R}$.*

REMARK. Lemma 1 is a particular condition of Theorem 3.2 of [5] and Remark 1.2 of [4].

COROLLARY [4]. *Let $A: \bar{P}_R \rightarrow P$ be condensing. Suppose that A satisfies one of the following conditions:*

- (1)' *$x \in \partial P_R \Rightarrow Ax \not\leq x$ and $x \in \partial P_r \Rightarrow Ax \not\leq x$,*
- (2)' *$x \in \partial P_r \Rightarrow Ax \not\leq x$ and $x \in \partial P_R \Rightarrow Ax \not\leq x$.*

Then A has a fixed point $x^ \in \bar{P}_{r,R}$.*

Obviously, suppose that condition (1)' is satisfied. Then

(i) $x \in \partial P_R, \lambda \geq 1 \Rightarrow Ax \neq \lambda x$ (if $Ax = \lambda x$ then $Ax = \lambda x \geq x$).

(ii) Let any $h > \theta$. Then $x \in \partial P_r, \lambda \geq 0 \Rightarrow x - Ax \neq \lambda h$ (if $x - Ax = \lambda h$, then $x - Ax \geq \theta$, i.e. $Ax \leq x$). So A has a fixed point $x^* \in \bar{P}_{r,R}$.

Analogously, we can prove that A has a fixed point $x^* \in \bar{P}_{r,R}$ under (2)'.

LEMMA 2. *Let P be a cone of a real Banach space E , and let the norm $\|x\|$ be increasing with respect to P . Suppose that $A: \bar{P}_R \rightarrow P$ is a k -set-contraction mapping ($0 < k < 1$), which satisfies one of the following conditions:*

$$(H_1) \quad x \in \partial P_r \Rightarrow \|Ax\| \leq \|x\|; \quad x \in \partial P_R \Rightarrow \|Ax\| \geq \|x\|,$$

Received by the editors October 29, 1984 and, in revised form, April 22, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 47H07; Secondary 47H10.

or

$$(H_2) \quad x \in \partial P_R \Rightarrow \|Ax\| \leq \|x\|; \quad x \in \partial P_r \Rightarrow \|Ax\| \geq \|x\|.$$

Then A has a fixed point in $\overline{P}_{r,R}$.

PROOF. We prove only that Lemma 1 holds under (H_1) . A is a strict-set-contraction.

We set the operator as follows:

$$\tilde{A}_n x = \begin{cases} \left(1 + \frac{\|x\| - s}{n(R-s)}\right) Ax, & x \in P, s \leq \|x\| \leq R, \\ \left(1 - \frac{s - \|x\|}{n(s-r)}\right) Ax, & x \in P, r \leq \|x\| < s, \end{cases}$$

where $s = \frac{1}{2}(r+R)$. $\tilde{A}_n$ is a continuous and bounded operator.

We discuss the operator

$$B_n x = (\|x\|/nK)Ax, \quad x \in \overline{P}_{r,R}, K = \min\{R-s, s-r\}.$$

Let Ω be a open set in $\overline{P}_{r,R}$, by definition and property of the measure $\alpha(\Omega)$ of noncompactness of Ω ,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\Omega) &= \inf \left\{ d|s_i \subset \Omega, \bigcup_{i=1}^N s_i = \Omega, \text{diam } s_i < d \right\}, \\ \alpha(\Omega) &\leq \alpha(\overline{P}_{r,R}), \quad B_n(s_i) \subset B_n(\Omega), \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^N B_n(s_i) = B_n(\Omega), \\ \text{diam } B_n(s_i) &\leq (2R/nK) \text{diam } A(s_i), \\ \alpha(B_n(\Omega)) &\leq (2R/nK)\alpha(A(\Omega)) \leq (2R/nK)k\alpha(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Hence B_n is a $(2R/nK)k$ -set-contraction mapping, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $(2R/nK)k \rightarrow 0$. So when n is large enough, B_n is a strict-set-contraction mapping on $\overline{P}_{r,R}$.

We set operators

$$\begin{aligned} A_n^{(1)} x &= \left(1 + \frac{\|x\| - s}{n(R-s)}\right) Ax, \quad x \in P, s \leq \|x\| \leq R, \\ A_n^{(2)} x &= \left(1 - \frac{s - \|x\|}{n(s-r)}\right) Ax, \quad x \in P, r \leq \|x\| < s. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously $A_n^{(1)}$ and $A_n^{(2)}$ are $\tilde{k}$ -set-contraction mappings, where

$$\tilde{k} = \left(1 + \frac{2R+s}{nK}\right)k.$$

When n is large enough, $0 < \tilde{k} < 1$. Hence $A_n^{(1)}$ and $A_n^{(2)}$ are strict-set-contraction mappings for large enough n .

Set

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= \{x|x \in P, s \leq \|x\| \leq R\}, \\ P_2 &= \{x|x \in P, r \leq \|x\| < s\}, \quad \overline{P}_{r,R} = P_1 \cup P_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega &= (\Omega \cap P_1) \cup (\Omega \cap P_2), \\ \tilde{A}_n(\Omega) &= [\tilde{A}_n(\Omega \cap P_1)] \cup [\tilde{A}_n(\Omega \cap P_2)] \\ &= [A_n^{(1)}(\Omega \cap P_1)] \cup [A_n^{(2)}(\Omega \cap P_2)], \\ \alpha(A_n(\Omega)) &= \alpha\{[A_n^{(1)}(\Omega \cap P_1)] \cup [A_n^{(2)}(\Omega \cap P_2)]\} \\ &= \text{Max}\{\alpha[A_n^{(1)}(\Omega \cap P_1)], \alpha[A_n^{(2)}(\Omega \cap P_2)]\} \\ &\leq \tilde{k}\alpha(\Omega), \quad 0 < \tilde{k} < 1.\end{aligned}$$

Then $\tilde{A}_n$ is a strict-set-contraction mapping. When n is large enough, set

$$A_n x = \begin{cases} \tilde{A}_n x, & r \leq \|x\| \leq R, \\ Ax, & 0 \leq \|x\| < r. \end{cases}$$

A_n satisfies a condition of the Corollary of Lemma 1.

In fact, if there is an $x_0 \in \partial P_r$ such that $A_n x_0 \geq x_0$, since norm is monotonic increasing and, by condition (H₁),

$$r = \|x_0\| \leq \|A_n x_0\| = (1 - 1/n)\|Ax_0\| \leq (1 - 1/n)\|x_0\| = (1 - 1/n)r < r.$$

This is contradiction. Then $x \in \partial P_r$, $A_n x \not\geq x$.

If there is an $x_1 \in \partial P_R$ such that $A_n x_1 \leq x_1$, we obtain

$$R = \|x_1\| \geq \|A_n x_1\| = (1 + 1/n)\|Ax_1\| \geq (1 + 1/n)\|x_1\| = (1 + 1/n)R > R.$$

This is also contradiction. Then $x \in \partial P_R$, $A_n x \not\leq x$.

Hence A_n satisfies a condition of the Corollary of Lemma 1, and there exists $x_n^* \in \bar{P}_{r,R}$ such that $A_n x_n^* = x_n^*$.

If P_1 includes, without loss of generality, subsequence $\{x_{n_k}^*\}$ of $\{x_n^*\}$,

$$(1) \quad x_{n_k}^* = A_{n_k} x_{n_k}^* = \left(1 + \frac{\|x_{n_k}^*\| - s}{n_k(R - s)}\right) Ax_{n_k}^*.$$

Since A is a strict-set-contraction mapping, the set $\{\|Ax_{n_k}^*\|\}$ must be bounded.

$$\|x_{n_k}^* - Ax_{n_k}^*\| = \frac{\|x_{n_k}^*\| - s}{n_k(R - s)} \|Ax_{n_k}^*\| < \frac{1}{n_k} \|Ax_{n_k}^*\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n_k \rightarrow \infty),$$

i.e., $x_{n_k}^* - Ax_{n_k}^* \rightarrow \theta$ ($n_k \rightarrow \infty$).

Since a strict-set-contraction mapping is a demi-compact 1-set-contraction, then there exists a convergent subsequence of $\{x_{n_k}^*\}$, which we write down also as $\{x_{n_k}^*\}$, and $x_{n_k}^* \rightarrow x^*$ ($n_k \rightarrow \infty$). Since $\bar{P}_{r,R}$ is closed, then $x^* \in \bar{P}_{r,R}$. By (1), as $n_k \rightarrow \infty$, we imply that $Ax^* = x^*$. This proves that A has a fixed point $x^* \in \bar{P}_{r,R}$ under condition (H₁).

Analogously, we can prove that A has a fixed point $x^* \in \bar{P}_{r,R}$ under condition (H₂). Q.E.D.

We obtain the following main theorem.

THEOREM. *Let P be a cone of a real Banach space E , and let norm be increasing with respect to P . Suppose that $A: \bar{P}_R \rightarrow P$ demi-compact 1-set-contraction mapping and there is a $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$(i) \quad x \in \partial P_r \Rightarrow \|Ax\| \leq \|x\|, \quad x \in \partial P_R \Rightarrow \|Ax\| \geq (1 + \delta)\|x\|,$$

or

(ii) $x \in \partial P_R \Rightarrow \|Ax\| \leq \|x\|$, $x \in \partial P_r \Rightarrow \|Ax\| \geq (1 + \delta)\|x\|$.

Then A has a fixed point in $\bar{P}_{r,R}$.

PROOF. Since $A: \bar{P}_R \rightarrow P$ is a 1-set-contraction mapping, for an arbitrary open subset $\Omega \subset \bar{P}_{r,R}$, $\alpha(A(\Omega)) \leq \alpha(\Omega)$.

We construct a new operator as follows:

$$A_n x = \lambda_n A x, \quad \lambda_n = (n - 1)/n.$$

Hence,

$$\alpha(A_n(\Omega)) = \alpha(\lambda_n A(\Omega)) = \lambda_n \alpha(A(\Omega)) \leq \lambda_n \alpha(\Omega).$$

Then A_n is a strict-set-contraction mapping.

If condition (i) holds when n is large enough such that $1 > \lambda_n > 1/(1 + \delta)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \partial P_r, \quad & \|A_n x\| = \lambda_n \|Ax\| \leq \|x\|, \\ x \in \partial P_R, \quad & \|A_n x\| = \lambda_n \|Ax\| \geq \|Ax\|/(1 + \delta) \geq \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence A_n satisfies a condition of Lemma 2, and there exist $x_n \in \bar{P}_{r,R}$ such that $A_n x_n = x_n$, i.e. $\lambda_n A x_n = x_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} x_n - A x_n &= x_n - \lambda_n A x_n + \lambda_n A x_n - A x_n \\ &= (\lambda_n - 1) A x_n \rightarrow \theta \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Because A is a demi-compact mapping, there exists a convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x_0 \in \bar{P}_{r,R}$. By continuity of A , $x_0 = A x_0$.

Analogously, we can prove that A has a fixed point $x^* \in \bar{P}_{r,R}$ under condition (ii).

REFERENCES

1. W. V. Petryshyn, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **182** (1973), 323-352.
2. ———, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **14** (1966), 276-284.
3. A. J. Potter, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **19** (1974), 93-102.
4. R. D. Nussbaum, J. Differential Equations **14** (1973), 360-394.
5. P. M. Fitzpatrick and W. V. Petryshyn, J. London Math. Soc. **12** (1975), 75-85.
6. N. P. Cac and J. A. Gatica, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **71** (1979), 547-557.
7. M. A. Krasnoselskii, *Positive solution of operator equations*, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1964.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JIANGXI NORMAL UNIVERSITY, NANCHANG, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA