A TRANSFER FOR COMPACT LIE GROUP ACTIONS

ROBERT OLIVER

ABSTRACT. A short construction of a transfer homomorphism from $H_*(X/G)$ to $H_*(X/H)$, where $H \subseteq G$ are compact Lie groups and X is a G -CW-complex, is given.

We give here a quick construction of a transfer homomorphism for compact Lie group actions due to Lewis, May and McClure [2]. More specifically, we sketch a shortened version of their proof of

THEOREM. *Let G be a compact Lie group, $H \subseteq G$ a closed subgroup, and X a G -CW-complex. Then there exist homomorphisms (for any coefficient group R)*

$$\text{trf}: H_*(X/G; R) \rightarrow H_*(X/H; R) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{trf}^*: H^*(X/H; R) \rightarrow H^*(X/G; R),$$

natural in X ; and such that $\pi_ \circ \text{trf}$ and $\text{trf}^* \circ \pi^*$ are multiplication by $\chi(G/H)$. Here, $\pi: (X/H) \rightarrow X/G$ denotes the projection.*

For convenience, we concentrate on the homology transfer—the construction in cohomology is the same. Let G be a fixed compact Lie group. By G -representation will always be meant a finite dimensional representation over $\mathbf{R}$. For any G -representation V , S^V will denote its one-point compactification. If X is a G -CW-complex with basepoint $x_0 \in X^G$, then we write $\Sigma^V X = S^V \wedge X$ for its V -suspension.

The following “skeletal approximation theorem” for suspensions will be needed.

LEMMA. *Let X, Y be pointed G -CW-complexes, and let V be a G -representation. Then any G -map $f: \Sigma^V X \rightarrow \Sigma^V Y$ is G -homotopic to a “skeletal” map f' ; i.e., $f'(\Sigma^V(X^n)) \subseteq \Sigma^V(Y^n)$ for all $n \geq 0$.*

PROOF. For each n , $\Sigma^V(X^n)$ is constructed by attaching, to $\Sigma^V(X^{n-1})$, pairs of the form

$$\begin{aligned} (D(V) \times G/H \times D^n, (S(V) \times G/H \times D^n) \cup (D(V) \times G/H \times S^{n-1})) \\ \simeq (G/H \times D(V \times \mathbf{R}^n), G/H \times S(V \times \mathbf{R}^n)). \end{aligned}$$

Here, $D(-)$ and $S(-)$ denote unit disk and sphere, and “attaching the pair (X_1, X_2) ” means attaching X_1 via a map defined on X_2 . Furthermore, for any G -space Z , there is a bijection

$$\text{Map}_G(G/H \times D(V \times \mathbf{R}^n), Z) \simeq \text{Map}_H(D(V \times \mathbf{R}^n), Z)$$

(note that $G/H \times D(V \times \mathbf{R}^n) \simeq G \times_H D(V \times \mathbf{R}^n)$).

Received by the editors July 25, 1984 and, in revised form, July 9, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57S10; Secondary 54E60, 55N25.

Key words and phrases. Compact Lie group action, transfer homomorphism, orbit spaces, equivariant CW-complexes.

It thus suffices to prove, for any $H \subseteq G$, any $n \geq 0$, any H -equivariant map $\varphi: D(V \times \mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow S^V \times Y$, and any H -homotopy $\Psi = (\psi_t)$ of $\psi = \varphi|_{S(V \times \mathbf{R}^n)}$ with $\text{Im}(\psi_1) \subseteq S^V \wedge Y^{n-1}$, that Ψ extends to a homotopy $\Phi = (\varphi_t)$ of φ such that $\text{Im}(\varphi_1) \subseteq S^V \wedge Y^n$. For each $K \subseteq H$, set

$$d_K = \dim(V \times \mathbf{R}^n)^K = n + \dim(V^K).$$

If the pair $((S^V \wedge Y)^K, (S^V \wedge Y^n)^K)$ is d_K -connected for all $K \subseteq H$, then the construction of Φ is immediate. But $(S^V \wedge Y)^K$ is obtained by attaching, to $(S^V \wedge Y^n)^K$, pairs of the form

$$\begin{aligned} (D(V)^K \times (G/K_i)^K \times D^{n+j}, (S(V)^K \times (G/K_i)^K \times D^{n+j}) \\ \cup (D(V)^K \times (G/K_i)^K \times S^{n+j-1})) \\ \cong (G/K_i)^K \times (D^{d_K+j}, S^{d_K+j-1}) \end{aligned}$$

for $K_i \subseteq G$ and $j \geq 1$, so $((S^V \wedge Y)^K, (S^V \wedge Y^n)^K)$ is d_K -connected. $\square$

The main step of the construction is the following “homology desuspension” result.

PROPOSITION. *Let V be a G -representation, X and Y (pointed) G -CW-complexes, and $f: \Sigma^V X \rightarrow \Sigma^V Y$ a G -map. Then there is an induced homomorphism (for any coefficient group R) $f_\#: \tilde{H}_*(X/G; R) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_*(Y/G; R)$, which is natural in f , and has the following properties:*

- (1) $(\Sigma^W f)_\# = f_\#$ for any G -representation W .
- (2) $f_\# = (f/G)_*$ if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ (i.e., $V = 0$).
- (3) If $\varphi: S^V \rightarrow S^V$ is a G -map of (ordinary) degree k , then $(\varphi \wedge \text{id}_X)_\#$ is multiplication by k .

PROOF. We may assume (suspending if necessary) that G acts orientably on V . Fix a map $f: \Sigma^V X \rightarrow \Sigma^V Y$. By the lemma, f is homotopic to a map f' such that $f'(\Sigma^V(X^n)) \subseteq \Sigma^V(Y^n)$ for all n .

Let $k = \dim(V)$. If

$$X^n = X^{n-1} \cup_\varphi \left(\coprod_i G/H_i \times D^n \right) \quad \text{and} \quad Y^n = Y^{n-1} \cup_\psi \left(\coprod_j G/K_j \times D^n \right)$$

(and X^{-1}, Y^{-1} are the basepoints), then

$$H_{n+k}(\Sigma^V(X^n), \Sigma^V(X^{n-1}); R) \cong H_n(X^n, X^{n-1}; R) \cong \sum_i H_0(G/H_i; R)$$

and

$$H_{n+k}(\Sigma^V(Y^n), \Sigma^V(Y^{n-1}); R) \cong H_n(Y^n, Y^{n-1}; R) \cong \sum_j H_0(G/K_j; R).$$

Hence, dividing out linearly with the action of $\overline{G} = \pi_0(G)$, we can identify

$$\begin{aligned} R \otimes_{R[\overline{G}]} H_{n+k}(\Sigma^V(X^n), \Sigma^V(X^{n-1})) &\cong \sum_i R \cong H_n(X^n/G, X^{n-1}/G; R) \\ &= C_n(X/G; R) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for Y . So f' induces homomorphisms (for all $n \geq 0$)

$$\hat{f}'_n = R \otimes_{R[\bar{G}]} H_{n+k}(f'_n, f'_{n-1}): C_n(X/G; R) \rightarrow C_n(Y/G; R).$$

These clearly commute with the boundary maps and hence induce

$$f_{\#} = f'_{\#}: \tilde{H}_n(X/G; R) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_n(Y/G; R).$$

If f'' is another skeletal approximation to f , then let F be a skeleton preserving homotopy from f' to f'' . Then $\hat{F}_n$ (in the above notation) defines a chain homotopy between $\hat{f}'_n$ and $\hat{f}''_n$. It follows that $f_{\#}$ is uniquely defined independently of the choice of f' .

Naturality of $f_{\#}$ and conditions (1) and (2) are now clear. If $\varphi: S^V \rightarrow S^V$ is any G -map, then

$$(\varphi \wedge \text{id}_X)_*: H_{n+k}(\Sigma^V(X^n), \Sigma^V(X^{n-1})) \rightarrow H_{n+k}(\Sigma^V(X^n), \Sigma^V(X^{n-1}))$$

is multiplication by $\deg(\varphi)$ for any $n \geq 0$; and hence $(\varphi \wedge \text{id}_X)_{\#}$ is multiplication by $\deg(\varphi)$. $\square$

The construction of the transfer now follows easily. Fix $H \subseteq G$, and let V be any G -representation having an embedding $G/H \subseteq V$. Let t denote the composite

$$t: S^V \rightarrow T(\nu_{G/H}) \subseteq T(\nu_{G/H} \oplus \tau_{G/H}) = G/H^+ \wedge S^V,$$

where $T(\nu_{G/H})$ is the Thom space of the normal bundle, and the first map the Pontrjagin-Thom construction. Note that the composite

$$S^V \xrightarrow{t} G/H^+ \wedge S^V \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} S^V$$

has degree $\chi(G/H)$ (see [1, Theorem 2.4]).

If X is any (nonbased) G -CW-complex, set

$$t_X = t \wedge \text{id}_X: \Sigma^V(X^+) \rightarrow G/H^+ \wedge S^V \wedge X^+ \cong \Sigma^V(G/H \times X)^+.$$

Since $G/H \times X$ has the G -homotopy type of a G -CW-complex (Lemma 1) and $(G/H \times X)/G \cong X/H$, we can now define the transfer for X by

$$\text{trf} = (t_X)_{\#}: H_*(X/G; R) \rightarrow H_*((G/H \times X)/G; R) = H_*(X/H; R).$$

Naturality of trf follows from the naturality of the homology desuspension. That $\pi_* \circ \text{trf}$ is multiplication by $\chi(G/H)$ follows from (3) in the proposition.

REFERENCES

1. J. Becker and D. Gottlieb, *The transfer map and fiber bundles*, *Topology* **14** (1975), 1–12.
2. G. Lewis, J. P. May and J. McClure, *Ordinary $RO(G)$ -graded cohomology*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **4** (1981), 208–212.

MATHEMATISK INSTITUT, NY MUNKEGADE, AARHUS UNIVERSITET, 8000 AARHUS C, DENMARK