

ON FUNCTIONS WHOSE DERIVATIVE HAS POSITIVE REAL PART

D. K. THOMAS

ABSTRACT. Let R be the class of normalised analytic functions f , defined in the open disc D , such that $\operatorname{Re} f'(z) > 0$ for $z \in D$. For $f \in R$, a best possible growth estimate for $|zf'(z)/f(z)|$ is obtained.

1. Introduction. Denote by R the class of functions f which are regular in $D = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ satisfying $f(0) = 0$, $f'(0) = 1$, and $\operatorname{Re} f'(z) > 0$ for $z \in D$.

A classical paper of Alexander [1] shows that such functions are univalent in D . MacGregor [2, Theorem 1] considered the class R and developed the usual distortion and coefficient results, all of which are immediate consequences of the representation theorem for functions of positive real part [3].

An omission from MacGregor's paper is a consideration of a distortion theorem for $|zf'(z)/f(z)|$. Indeed, it appears that no such result has been proved. The object of this note is to give such an estimate.

2. Results and proofs. We begin by stating MacGregor's results (*loc. cit.*)

THEOREM A.

$$(1) \quad \text{Let } f \in R \text{ and } f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad \text{for } z \in D.$$

Then for $z = re^{i\theta}$, $0 \leq r < 1$

$$|a_n| \leq 2/n, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots,$$

$$|f'(z)| \leq (1+r)/(1-r),$$

$$\operatorname{Re} f'(z) \geq (1-r)/(1+r),$$

$$-r + 2 \log(1+r) \leq |f(z)| \leq -r - 2 \log(1-r).$$

We now add the following

THEOREM 1. Let $f \in R$. Then for $z = re^{i\theta}$, $0 < r < 1$,

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right| < \frac{K}{(1-r) \log(1-r)^{-1}},$$

where K is an absolute constant. This growth rate is best possible.

Received by the editors December 26, 1984 and, in revised form, September 9, 1985.
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 30C45.

PROOF. Since $\operatorname{Re} f'(z) > 0$ for $z \in D$, we can write [3]

$$(2) \quad f'(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 + ze^{-it}}{1 - ze^{-it}} d\mu(t),$$

where μ is increasing and $\mu(2\pi) - \mu(0) = 2\pi$. Thus,

$$|f'(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{1 + ze^{-it}}{1 - ze^{-it}} \right| d\mu(t).$$

We now use a method of Twomey [6] to estimate the above integrand by noting that, for $r < 1$,

$$\left| \frac{1 + ze^{-it}}{1 - ze^{-it}} \right| \leq \frac{2r \log((1+r)/|1 - ze^{-it}|)}{(1-r) \log((1+r)/(1-r))} + 1.$$

Hence

$$(3) \quad |f'(z)| \leq \frac{2r \log(1+r)}{(1-r) \log((1+r)/(1-r))} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{r \log|1 - ze^{-it}|^{-1}}{(1-r) \log((1+r)/(1-r))} d\mu(t) + 1.$$

Next we note that, from (2),

$$\frac{f'(z) - 1}{z} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{-it}}{1 - ze^{-it}} d\mu(t),$$

and so

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{1 - ze^{-it}} d\mu(t),$$

where

$$(4) \quad F(z) = \int_0^z \frac{f'(\xi) - 1}{\xi} d\xi.$$

Thus,

$$(5) \quad \operatorname{Re} F(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{|1 - ze^{-it}|} d\mu(t).$$

From (3) and (5) we obtain

$$(6) \quad |f'(z)| \leq \frac{2r \log(1+r)}{(1-r) \log((1+r)/(1-r))} + \frac{|zF(z)|}{(1-r) \log((1+r)/(1-r))} + 1.$$

Now (1) and (4) gives

$$zF(z) = f(z) - z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n-1} z^n,$$

and so using the coefficient estimate in Theorem A we have

$$(7) \quad |zF(z)| \leq |f(z)| + 3r + (2/r)(1-r) \log(1-r)^{-1}.$$

Finally, from (6), (7) and the lower bound for $|f(z)|$ in Theorem A we obtain Theorem 1.

The function $f(z) = -z + 2 \log(1 - z)^{-1}$ shows that the growth rate in Theorem 1 is best possible.

We remark that a sharp estimate in Theorem 1 remains an open question.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 1 is that if $f \in R$ and is bounded, then

$$M(r, f') = O(1) \left[(1 - r) \log(1 - r)^{-1} \right]^{-1}.$$

Following Twomey [5], we have, in the opposite direction,

THEOREM 2. *There exists a bounded $f \in R$ such that*

$$(8) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} M(r, f') (1 - r) \log \frac{1}{1 - r} > 0.$$

PROOF. The examples given in [5, §9] will suffice on writing

$$f'(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \frac{1 + r_n e^{-i\theta_n z}}{1 - r_n e^{-i\theta_n z}}$$

for $z \in D$, with $\{r_n\}$, $\{\theta_n\}$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ defined as in [5, §9]. It is then clear that (8) holds and that f is bounded.

REMARK. Theorem 1 can also be proved using the less elementary method of Ruschweyh [4], but this also appears not to give the sharp result.

REFERENCES

1. J. W. Alexander, *Functions which map the interior of the unit circle upon simple regions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **17** (1915), 12–22.
2. T. H. MacGregor, *Functions whose derivative has a positive real part*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1962), 532–537.
3. P. L. Duren, *Univalent functions*, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
4. S. Ruschweyh, *Nichtlineare Extremalprobleme für holomorphe Stieltjesintegrale*, Math. Z. **142** (1975), 19–23.
5. J. B. Twomey, *On the derivative of a starlike function*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **2** (1970), 99–110.
6. ———, *On starlike functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1970), 95–97.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF SWANSEA, SWANSEA SA2 8PP, WALES