A NOTE ON THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF LIE MODULE TRIPLE SYSTEMS

NORA C. HOPKINS

ABSTRACT. Using representation theory and well-known facts about automorphism groups of reductive Lie algebras, the automorphism group of a basic Lie module triple system $(M, \{ , , \}, \mathcal{L}, b, \phi)$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero is related to the automorphism group of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$, the automorphism group of the standard embedding S of $(M, \{ , , \})$, and the automorphism group of the split null extension $\bar{S}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ by M .

1. Introduction. The problem considered in this paper is that of determining the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(M, \{ , , \})$ of a basic Lie module triple system (LMTS) $(M, \{ , , \}, \mathcal{L}, b, \phi)$ over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. We will do this by relating $\text{Aut}(M, \{ , , \})$ to the automorphism group $\text{Aut } \mathcal{L}$ of the reductive Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ and using well-known facts about automorphism groups of reductive Lie algebras (see §2 for definitions).

The relationship between $\text{Aut}(M, \{ , , \})$ and $\text{Aut } \mathcal{L}$ is seen by defining two algebra structures S , the standard embedding of $(M, \{ , , \})$, and $\bar{S}$, the split null extension of $\mathcal{L}$ by M , on $M \oplus \mathcal{L}$. The groups $\text{Aut}(S, \sigma)$ and $\text{Aut}(\bar{S}, \sigma)$ of automorphisms of S and $\bar{S}$, respectively, stabilizing M and $\mathcal{L}$ have natural restriction maps $r: \text{Aut}(S, \sigma) \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathcal{L}$ and $\bar{r}: \text{Aut}(\bar{S}, \sigma) \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathcal{L}$ which are homomorphisms. Since S is generated by $(M, \{ , , \})$ it is not surprising that $\text{Aut}(M, \{ , , \}) \cong \text{Aut}(S, \sigma)$ and so $\text{Aut}(M, \{ , , \})$ will be determined once the kernel and image of r are known. However, since $\bar{S}$ only involves the $\mathcal{L}$ -module structure of M , the kernel and image of $\bar{r}$ are much easier to compute using standard representation theory facts (Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 and Lemma 4.1). Since $\text{Aut}(S, \sigma) \subseteq \text{Aut}(\bar{S}, \sigma)$, using Lemma 3.5, Corollary 3.6, and Lemma 4.2 it is possible to determine the kernel and image of r from those of $\bar{r}$ (Theorems 3.7 and 3.9 and Lemma 4.3). The surprising conclusion is that if ϕ is symmetric or symplectic, the images of r and $\bar{r}$ are the same (Corollary 3.8), $\text{Aut}(S, \sigma)$ is a normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\bar{S}, \sigma)$, and $\text{Aut}(\bar{S}, \sigma)/\text{Aut}(S, \sigma) \cong k^*$ (Theorem 4.4).

This paper contains material from my dissertation, done at the University of Virginia under the direction of J. R. Faulkner, to whom I owe great thanks for his help. I also thank J. C. Ferrar for his comments on the material herein.

2. Basic definitions. Recall from [3] that a Lie module triple system (abbreviated LMTS) is formed from a finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ having a nondegenerate symmetric associative bilinear form b and a finite-dimensional faithful $\mathcal{L}$ -module M having a nondegenerate $\mathcal{L}$ -invariant bilinear form ϕ , that is

$$(2.1) \quad \phi(xl, y) = -\phi(x, yl)$$

Received by the editors October 10, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 17A40, 20F20; Secondary 17B60, 17B40.

©1986 American Mathematical Society
 0002-9939/86 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

for all $x, y \in M$, $l \in \mathcal{L}$. A triple product $\{ , , \}$ on M is defined by setting $\{xyz\} := xR(y, z)$ where $R: M \times M \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is given by

$$(2.2) \quad b(l, R(y, z)) = \phi(zl, y)$$

for all $y, z \in M$, $l \in \mathcal{L}$. The *Lie module triple system* $(M, \{ , , \})$ is denoted $(M, \{ , , \}, \mathcal{L}, b, \phi)$ when the ingredients need to be specified.

We will always assume that $(M, \{ , , \}, \mathcal{L}, b, \phi)$ is a simple LMTS such that M is completely reducible as an $\mathcal{L}$ -module. Hence $\mathcal{L}$ is reductive (Theorem 10, p. 81 of [4]), i.e. $\mathcal{L} = [\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}] \oplus C$ with $[\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}]$ semisimple and C a central ideal of $\mathcal{L}$ whose elements act semisimply on M . Λ will denote the set of lowest weights, without multiplicities, of M as an $\mathcal{L}$ -module. We will usually assume that $(M, \{ , , \}, \mathcal{L}, b, \phi)$ is one of the following *basic* types of LMTS's:

Type (I). M is an irreducible self-dual $\mathcal{L}$ -module of lowest weight λ , $\mathcal{L}$ is semisimple, and ϕ is symmetric or symplectic.

Type (II). $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ with M_1 and M_2 isomorphic irreducible self-dual $\mathcal{L}$ -modules of lowest weight λ , $\mathcal{L}$ is semisimple, ϕ is symmetric or symplectic, and ϕ restricted to $M_i \times M_i$ is identically zero for $i = 1, 2$. $\text{Der}(M, \{ , , \}) = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2)$ where $\text{Der}(M, \{ , , \})$ is the derivation algebra of $(M, \{ , , \})$ and $\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{sl}(2)$ are ideals of $\text{Der}(M, \{ , , \})$.

Type (III). $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ with M_1 and M_2 irreducible dual $\mathcal{L}$ -submodules of lowest weight λ_1 and λ_2 respectively with $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$. ϕ restricted to $M_i \times M_i$ is identically zero and there is a $z \in k^*$ with $\phi(z, y) = a\phi(y, z)$ for all $z \in M_1$, $y \in M_2$. $\mathcal{L}$ is semisimple or has a one-dimensional center spanned by c where $(x_1 + x_2)c := x_1 - x_2$ for $x_i \in M_i$.

Note that simple Lie triple systems are basic [5, 1]. Other examples of basic LMTS's are given in [1, 2] where it was shown that if $(M, \{ , , \}, \mathcal{L}, b, \phi)$ is a LMTS for which M is a completely reducible $\mathcal{L}$ -module and ϕ is symmetric or symplectic, then $(M, \{ , , \})$ can be constructed from basic LMTS's and one- and two-dimensional abelian LMTS's. Note however that not all simple completely reducible LMTS's are basic (see [1] for examples of nonbasic simple LMTS's).

$\alpha \in \text{GL}(M)$ is an *automorphism* of $(M, \{ , , \})$ if $\{xyz\}\alpha = \{x\alpha, y\alpha, z\alpha\}$ and $\text{Aut}(M, \{ , , \})$ will denote the automorphism group of $(M, \{ , , \}, \mathcal{L}, b, \phi)$. There are two nonassociative algebra structures naturally defined on $M \oplus \mathcal{L}$, the first being the split null extension $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ by M , with multiplication defined by $(x+l)(y+l_1) := xl_1 - yl + [l, l_1]$, and the second being the *standard embedding* $\mathcal{S}$ of $(M, \{ , , \})$ (see [3]), with multiplication defined by $(x+l)(y+l_1) := xl_1 - yl + [l, l_1] + R(x, y)$ for $x, y \in M$, $l, l_1 \in \mathcal{L}$. $\sigma \in \text{GL}(M \oplus \mathcal{L})$ defined by $(x+l)\sigma := -x+l$ is an automorphism of both $\mathcal{S}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ fixing $\mathcal{L}$. Let $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$ (respectively $\text{Aut}(\bar{\mathcal{S}}, \sigma)$) be the automorphisms of $\mathcal{S}$ (respectively $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$) which commute with σ .

Clearly $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\bar{\mathcal{S}}, \sigma)$ and $\psi: \text{Aut}(M, \{ , , \}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$ defined by $(x+l)(\tau\psi) := x\tau + (\tau^{-1}l\tau)$ for $\tau \in \text{Aut}(M, \{ , , \})$ is an isomorphism. For computing $\text{Aut}(\bar{\mathcal{S}}, \sigma)/\text{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$ we will also need to consider the automorphism group $\text{Aut } \mathcal{L}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ and the homomorphisms $r: \text{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma) \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathcal{L}$ and $\bar{r}: \text{Aut}(\bar{\mathcal{S}}, \sigma) \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathcal{L}$ given by restriction, i.e. $r\tau := \tau|_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\eta\bar{r} := \eta|_{\mathcal{L}}$ for $\tau \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$, $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\bar{\mathcal{S}}, \sigma)$. The kernel of r (respectively $\bar{r}$) is denoted $\ker r$ (respectively $\ker \bar{r}$) and the image of r (respectively $\bar{r}$) by $\text{im } r$ (respectively $\text{im } \bar{r}$).

3. Images. Since any automorphism of $\mathcal{L}$ is the product of an inner automorphism and one that stabilizes a Borel subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$ [4], we will consider these two types of automorphisms of $\mathcal{L}$ separately. $\text{Inn Aut } \mathcal{L}$ will be the group of inner automorphisms of $\mathcal{L}$. For $e \in \mathcal{L}$ nilpotent define $\exp(\text{ad}_S e) \in \text{Aut}(S, \sigma)$ by

$$\exp(\text{ad}_S e) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (\text{ad}_S e)^n \quad \text{where } x(\text{ad}_S e) := xe \text{ for all } x \in S.$$

THEOREM 3.1 (COROLLARY 5, p. 44 OF [6]). *Let $\text{Inn Aut}(S, \sigma)$ be the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(S, \sigma)$ generated by $\{\exp(\text{ad}_S e) | e \in \mathcal{L}, e \text{ nilpotent}\}$. Then $\tau r \in \text{Inn Aut } \mathcal{L}$ for $\tau \in \text{Inn Aut}(S, \sigma)$, $r: \text{Inn Aut}(S, \sigma) \rightarrow \text{Inn Aut } \mathcal{L}$ is onto, and its kernel is isomorphic to Λ_w / Λ_r where Λ_r is the root lattice of $[\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}]$ and Λ_w is the weight lattice of M as an $[\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}]$ -module. Thus $\text{Inn Aut } \mathcal{L} \subseteq \text{im } r \subseteq \text{im } \bar{r}$.*

Thus if $\nu \in \text{Aut}(\bar{S}, \sigma)$, $\nu = \eta_1 \eta_2$ where $\eta_1 \in \text{Inn Aut}(S, \sigma)$ and $\eta_2 \bar{r}$ stabilizes a Borel subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$.

Now suppose $\tau \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{L}$ stabilizes a Borel subalgebra B of $\mathcal{L}$. Then it stabilizes the Cartan subalgebra H contained in B , and if $\tau^*: H^* \rightarrow H^*$ is defined by $h(\mu\tau^*) := \mu(h\tau^{-1})$ for $h \in H$, $\mu \in H^*$, then $\alpha\tau^*$ is a positive root if α is a positive root and $\Delta\tau^* = \Delta$ for Δ a base of the positive roots where $\Delta\tau^* := \{\alpha\tau^* | \alpha \in \Delta\}$.

THEOREM 3.2. *Suppose $\tau \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{L}$ stabilizes a Borel subalgebra B of $\mathcal{L}$ and N_i is the λ_i weight space relative to B for $\lambda_i \in \Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l\}$. Then $\tau \in \text{im } \bar{r}$ if and only if $\Lambda\tau^* = \Lambda$ and $\dim N_i = \dim N_j$ if $\lambda_i\tau^* = \lambda_j$.*

PROOF. Suppose $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\bar{S}, \sigma)$ with $\eta\bar{r} = \tau$. Then if μ is a weight of M as an $\mathcal{L}$ -module and M_μ is the μ weight space of M , $x \in M_{\mu\tau^*}$ for $x \in M_\mu$. Since τ permutes positive root spaces, $x\eta$ is a lowest weight vector if and only if x is a lowest weight vector. Thus $\Lambda\tau^* = \Lambda$ and $\dim N_i = \dim N_j$ if $\lambda_i\tau^* = \lambda_j$.

Conversely, suppose $\tau \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{L}$ stabilizes B , $\Lambda\tau^* = \Lambda$ and $\dim N_i = \dim N_j$ if $\lambda_i\tau^* = \lambda_j$. Define a new action of $\mathcal{L}$ on M by $x \cdot l := x(l\tau)$ and denote M with this new $\mathcal{L}$ -action by $\tilde{M}$. Now if $x \in M_\mu$, then $x \in \tilde{M}_{\mu\tau^{-1}}$ so M and $\tilde{M}$ have the same lowest weights. Since the λ_i weight spaces of M and $\tilde{M}$ are the same dimension for $\lambda_i \in \Lambda$, M and $\tilde{M}$ are isomorphic $\mathcal{L}$ -modules, i.e. there is a linear bijection $\nu: M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ with $(xl)\nu = (x\nu) \cdot l = (x\nu)(l\tau)$. Thus $\eta \in \text{GL}(M \oplus \mathcal{L})$ defined by $(x+l)\eta := x\nu + l\tau$ is in $\text{Aut}(\bar{S}, \sigma)$ and $\eta\bar{r} = \tau$. Hence $\tau \in \text{im } (\bar{r})$.

Note 3.3. If $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\bar{S}, \sigma)$ with $\eta(\bar{r}) = \tau$ stabilizing a Borel subalgebra B of $\mathcal{L}$, then $y\eta$ is a highest weight vector of M if and only if y is a highest weight vector. In particular, if x_1 and x_2 are nonzero lowest weight vectors with $x_1\eta = x_2$, let $y_1 = x_1 \cdot e_1 \cdots e_n$ be a nonzero highest weight vector and let y_2 be any nonzero highest weight vector of the irreducible submodule generated by x_2 . Then $y_1\eta = (x_1\eta)(e_1\tau) \cdots (e_n\tau) = cy_2$ for some $c \in k$ and $c \neq 0$ since η is injective.

It is also important to note that if $\{x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,k}\}$ is a basis of N_i and $\{x'_{j,1}, \dots, x'_{j,k}\}$ is a basis of N_j , then the η constructed in the second half of the proof above can be defined so that $x_{i,n}\eta = x'_{j,n}$ for $n = 1, \dots, k$ and $i = 1, \dots, l$. For if $M_{i,n}$ is the irreducible submodule of M generated by $x_{i,n}$ and $\tilde{M}_{j,n}$ is the irreducible submodule of $\tilde{M}$ generated by $x'_{j,n}$, then $M_{i,n}$ and $\tilde{M}_{j,n}$ are isomorphic $\mathcal{L}$ -modules since $\lambda_i\tau^* = \lambda_j$. Let $\nu_{i,n}: M_{i,n} \rightarrow \tilde{M}_{j,n}$ be such an isomorphism. Adjusting

$\nu_{i,n}$ by a scalar multiple if necessary, we may assume $x_{i,n}\nu_{i,n} = x'_{j,n}$. Letting $M_i := \sum_{n=1}^k M_{i,n}$ and $\tilde{M}_j = \sum_{n=1}^k \tilde{M}_{j,n}$, define $\nu_i: M_i \rightarrow \tilde{M}_j$ by $(\sum_{n=1}^k z_n)\nu_i := \sum_{n=1}^k (z_n\nu_{i,n})$ for $z_n \in M_{i,n}$ and define $\nu: M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ by $(\sum_{i=1}^l z_i)\nu := \sum_{i=1}^l (z_i\nu_i)$. As before η is defined by $(x+l)\eta := x\nu + l\tau$.

Note 3.4. Finally note that if $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\bar{\mathcal{S}}, \sigma)$, then η is completely determined by $\eta\bar{r} = \tau \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{L}$ and the action of η on a set of vectors $\{x_1, \dots, x_j\}$ spanning the lowest weight spaces of M since vectors of the form $x_i l_1 \cdots l_n$ span M and $(x_i l_1 \cdots l_n) = (x_i \eta)(l_1 \tau) \cdots (l_n \tau)$.

Having determined $\text{im } \bar{r}$, the following lemma will allow us to determine $\text{im } r$ since $\text{im } r \subseteq \text{im } \bar{r}$. Note that the lemma is true for any LMTS.

LEMMA 3.5. *Suppose $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\bar{\mathcal{S}}, \sigma)$. Then $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$ if and only if for all $l \in \mathcal{L}$, $y, z \in M$*

$$(3.5.1) \quad b(l\eta, R(y, z)\eta) = \phi((zl)\eta, y\eta).$$

PROOF. Suppose $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$. Then $R(y, z)\eta = R(y\eta, z\eta)$ so by (2.2) $b(l\eta, R(y, z)\eta) = b(l\eta, R(y\eta, z\eta)) = \phi((z\eta)(l\eta), y\eta) = \phi((zl)\eta, y\eta)$ giving (3.5.1). Conversely, suppose $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\bar{\mathcal{S}}, \sigma)$ satisfies (3.5.1). Then for $u, v \in M$

$$\begin{aligned} b(R(u, v)\eta, R(y, z)\eta) &= \phi([zR(u, v)\eta], y\eta) = \phi((z\eta)[R(u, v)\eta], y\eta) \\ &= b(R(u, v)\eta, R(y\eta, z\eta)) \end{aligned}$$

by (2.2) so $R(y, z)\eta = R(y\eta, z\eta)$ and $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$.

COROLLARY 3.6. *Suppose $(M, \{ , , \})$ is basic and $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\bar{\mathcal{S}}, \sigma)$. Then $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$ if and only if*

$$(3.6.1) \quad \phi(z\eta, y\eta) = \phi(z, y)$$

for all $y, z \in M$.

PROOF. If $\mathcal{L}$ is semisimple, then $b(l\tau, l_1\tau) = b(l, l_1)$ for all $\tau \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{L}$, $l, l_1 \in \mathcal{L}$ [4]. Thus $b(l\eta, l_1\eta) = b(l, l_1)$ for all $l, l_1 \in \mathcal{L}$ if $(M, \{ , , \})$ is Type (I) or (II) or if $(M, \{ , , \})$ is Type (III) with $\mathcal{L}$ semisimple. If $(M, \{ , , \})$ is Type (III) with $\mathcal{L}$ having a one-dimensional center, Theorem 3.2 implies that either $M_1\eta = M_2$ and $M_2\eta = M_1$ or $M_1\eta = M_1$ and $M_2\eta = M_2$. In the first case $c\eta = -c$ and in the second case $c\eta = c$ so $b(c\eta, c\eta) = b(c, c)$ and $b(l\eta, l_1\eta) = b(l, l_1)$ for all $l \in \mathcal{L}$ for $(M, \{ , , \})$ any basic LMTS. Then (3.5.1) becomes $\phi(zl\eta, y\eta) = b(l\eta, R(y, z)\eta) = b(l, R(y, z)) = \phi(zl, y)$ by (2.2) giving (3.6.1) since M is spanned by vectors of the form zl .

Since $\text{Inn Aut } \mathcal{L} \subseteq \text{im } r$, we again only need to determine which $\tau \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{L}$ stabilizing a Borel subalgebra B are in $\text{im } r$.

THEOREM 3.7. *Suppose $(M, \{ , , \})$ is a basic LMTS and $\tau \in \text{im } \bar{r}$ stabilizes a Borel subalgebra B of $\mathcal{L}$.*

(i) *If $\lambda\tau^* = \lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $\tau \in \text{im } r$.*

(ii) *If $(M, \{ , , \})$ is Type (III) with $a = \pm 1$ and $\lambda_1\tau^* = \lambda_2$, then $\tau \in \text{im } r$.*

PROOF. We want to find $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\bar{\mathcal{S}}, \sigma)$ with $\eta\bar{r} = \tau$ and such that (3.6.1) is satisfied. By Note 3.4 we can do this simply by specifying the images of the lowest weight vectors.

Suppose $\lambda\tau^* = \lambda$. If $(M, \{ , , \})$ is Type (I) let x be a nonzero lowest weight vector and $y := xe_1 \cdots e_n$ be a nonzero highest weight vector. By Note 3.3, $x(e_1\tau) \cdots (e_n\tau) = cy$ for some $c \in k^*$. By Notes 3.3 and 3.4 we can define $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$ by $l\eta := l\tau$ and $x\eta := \sqrt{c}^{-1}x$. Then $y\eta = \sqrt{c}y$ and $\phi(x\eta, y\eta) = \phi(x, y)$. If w is a weight vector of M of weight $\mu \neq -\lambda$, by (2.1) $\phi(x, w) = 0 = \phi(x\eta, w\eta)$ since $w\eta$ has weight $\mu\tau^* \neq -\lambda$. Thus $\phi(x\eta, w\eta) = \phi(x, w)$ for all $w \in M$. By induction on m

$$\begin{aligned}\phi((xl_1 \cdots l_m)\eta, w\eta) &= \phi((x\eta)(l_1\eta) \cdots (l_m\eta), w\eta) \\ &= -\phi((x\eta)(l\eta) \cdots (l_{m-1}\eta), (w\eta)(l_m\eta)) = -\phi(xl_1 \cdots l_{m-1}\eta, (wl_m)\eta), \\ &= -\phi(xl_1 \cdots l_{m-1}, wl_m) = \phi(xl_1 \cdots l_m, w)\end{aligned}$$

so η satisfies (3.6.1) and hence $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$ so $\tau \in \text{im } r$. If $(M\{ , , \})$ is Type (II) or (III) Note 3.3 again shows that if x_2 is a nonzero lowest weight vector of M_2 and $y_2 = x_2e_1 \cdots e_n$ is a nonzero highest weight vector, then $x_2(e_1\tau) \cdots (e_n\tau) = cy_2$ for some $c \in k^*$. Let x_1 be a nonzero lowest weight vector of M_1 and define $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$ by $l\eta := l\tau$, $x_1\eta := x_1$ and $x_2\eta := c^{-1}x_2$. Thus $y_2\eta = y_2$ so $\phi(x_1\eta, y_2\eta) = \phi(x_1, y_2)$ and $\phi(y_2\eta, x_1\eta) = \phi(y_2, x_1)$. By the same induction argument as before $\phi(z\eta, w\eta) = \phi(z, w)$ and $\phi(w\eta, z\eta) = \phi(w, z)$ for all $z \in M_1$, $w \in M_2$ giving (3.6.1).

For (ii) let $x_i \in M_i$ be nonzero lowest weight vectors for $i = 1, 2$ and $y_i \in M_i$ be nonzero highest weight vectors for $i = 1, 2$ with $y_2 = x_2e_1 \cdots e_n$. Then by Note 3.3, $x_1(e_1\tau) \cdots (e_n\tau) = cy_1$ for some $c \in k^*$. Also $\phi(x_1, y_2) = d\phi(x_2, y_1)$ so if we define $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$ by $l\eta := l\tau$, $x_1\eta := x_2$, and $x_2\eta := dc^{-1}x_1$, then $y_2\eta = dy_1$ and $\phi(x_1\eta, y_2\eta) = d\phi(x_2, y_1) = \phi(x_1, y_2)$ and again using induction we have $\phi(z\eta, w\eta) = \phi(z, w)$ for all $z \in M_1$, $w \in M_2$. Since ϕ is symmetric or symplectic we also have $\phi(w\eta, z\eta) = \phi(w, z)$ for $z \in M_1$, $w \in M_2$ so η satisfies (3.6.1).

COROLLARY 3.8. *Suppose $(M\{ , , \}, \mathcal{L}, b, \phi)$ is a basic LMTS and ϕ is symmetric or symplectic. Then $\text{im } r = \text{im } \bar{r}$. Hence $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma) = \ker \bar{r} \text{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$.*

PROOF. The first statement is an immediate consequence of Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 3.7. For the second one if $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$, there is an $\eta_1 \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$ with $\eta\bar{r} = \eta_1r = \eta_1\bar{r}$ so $\eta\eta_1^{-1} \in \ker \bar{r}$.

Thus the difference between $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$ and $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$ lies in $\ker \bar{r}$ if $(M\{ , , \}, \mathcal{L}, b, \phi)$ is basic and ϕ is symmetric or symplectic. The following theorem finishes the question of determining $\text{im } r$ for $(M\{ , , \})$ a basic LMTS.

THEOREM 3.9. *Suppose $(M\{ , , \})$ is Type (III) with $a \neq \pm 1$ and $\tau \in \text{im } \bar{r}$ stabilizes a Borel subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$. Then $\tau \in \text{im } r$ if and only if $\lambda_i\tau^* = \lambda_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.*

PROOF. If $\lambda_i\tau^* = \lambda_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ $\tau \in \text{im } r$ by Theorem 3.7(i). If $\lambda_i\tau^* \neq \lambda_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, then $\lambda_1\tau^* = \lambda_2$ and $\lambda_2\tau^* = \lambda_1$ by Theorem 3.2, so if $\eta \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$ with $\eta r = \tau$, $M_1\eta = M_2$ and $M_2\eta = M_1$. But η must satisfy (3.6.1), so for $y \in M_2$, $z \in M_1$, $\phi(y, z) = \phi(y\eta, z\eta) = a\phi(z\eta, y\eta) = a\phi(z, y) = a^2\phi(y, z)$. Thus $a^2 = 1$, i.e. $a = \pm 1$, a contradiction. Hence no such η exists.

4. Kernels. In this section we will determine $\ker \bar{r}$ and $\ker r$.

LEMMA 4.1. *Suppose $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l\}$, N_i is the λ_i weight space of M for $i = 1, \dots, l$, and $P = N_1 \oplus \dots \oplus N_l$. Then N_i is $\ker \bar{r}$ invariant and if $\dim N_i = n_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$, then $\psi: \ker \bar{r} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n_1, k) \times \dots \times \mathrm{GL}(n_l, k)$ defined by $\eta\psi := \eta|_P$ is an isomorphism.*

PROOF. This follows from the proof of Theorem 3.2 and Notes 3.3 and 3.4 since if $\eta \in \ker \bar{r}$, η certainly fixes a Borel subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$.

LEMMA 4.2. *Suppose $\eta \in \ker \bar{r}$. Then $\eta \in \ker r$ if and only if η satisfies (3.6.1).*

PROOF. If $\eta \in \ker \bar{r}$, $l\eta = l$ for all $l \in \mathcal{L}$, so $b(l\eta, l_1\eta) = b(l, l_1)$ for all l, l_1 . The rest of the proof is the same as that of Corollary 3.6 using Lemma 4.1 of [3].

LEMMA 4.3. (i) *If $(M\{\ , \ , \})$ is Type (I), $\ker \bar{r} \cong k^*$ and $\ker r \cong C_2$, the cyclic group of order 2.*

(ii) *If $(M\{\ , \ , \})$ is Type (II), $\ker \bar{r} \cong \mathrm{GL}(2, k)$ and $\ker r \cong \mathrm{SL}(2, k)$.*

(iii) *If $(M\{\ , \ , \})$ is Type (III), $\ker \bar{r} \cong k^* \times k^*$ and $\ker r \cong k^*$.*

PROOF. The assertions about $\ker \bar{r}$ follow from Lemma 4.1 and those about $\ker r$ for Types (I) and (III) follow immediately from Lemma 4.2. For Type (II) recall that there is an ideal $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathrm{Der}(M\{\ , \ , \})$ isomorphic to $\mathrm{sl}(2)$ and consisting of endomorphisms of M which commute with $\mathcal{L}$, so $PD \subseteq P$ for $D \in \mathcal{K}$ and P the lowest weight space of M . Now if $D \in \mathcal{K}$, $\phi(zD, y) = -\phi(z, yD)$ by Lemma 2.3 of [2]. Hence if $D \in \mathcal{K}$ is nilpotent, $\exp D \in \mathrm{Aut}(M\{\ , \ , \})$ where $\exp D := \mathrm{id} + D$, so $\eta_D \in \ker r$ where $(x + l)\eta_D := x(\exp D) + l$. Note that the group generated by $\{\eta_D | D \in \mathcal{K} \text{ nilpotent}\}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SL}(2, k) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(2, k) = \ker \bar{r}$ under restriction to P . Now $\mathrm{GL}(2, k) = k^* \mathrm{SL}(2)$ where $\eta_b \in k^*$ for $b \in k^*$ is defined by $(x + l)\eta_b := bx + l$. Then Lemma 4.2 gives that $\eta_b \in \ker r$ if and only if $b = \pm 1$.

THEOREM 4.4. *Suppose $(M\{\ , \ , \}, \mathcal{L}, b, \phi)$ is a basic LMTS and ϕ is symmetric or symplectic. Then $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\bar{\mathcal{S}}, \sigma)$ and*

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\bar{\mathcal{S}}, \sigma) / \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma) \cong k^*.$$

PROOF. For each type $\ker \bar{r} = k^* \ker r$ where for Types (I) and (III) $\eta_b \in k^*$ is defined for $b \in k^*$ by $(x + l)\eta_b := bx + l$ and for Type (III) η_b is defined by $(x_1 + x_2 + l)\eta_b = bx_1 + x_2 + l$ for $x_i \in M_i$. Hence by Corollary 3.8, $\mathrm{Aut}(\bar{\mathcal{S}}, \sigma) = \ker \bar{r} \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma) = k^* \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$. For Types (I) and (II) $\eta_b^{-1} \nu \eta_b = \nu$ for $\nu \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$ and for Type (III) if $\nu \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$ with $M_i \nu = M_i$, $i = 1, 2$, then $\eta_b^{-1} \nu \eta_b = \nu$ and if $\nu \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$ with $M_1 \nu = M_2$, $M_2 \nu = M_1$, then $\eta_b^{-1} \nu \eta_b = \nu \xi$ where $(x_1 + x_2 + l)\xi := bx_1 + b^{-1}x_2 + l$. Then $\xi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$ so $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma)$ is a normal subgroup in all three cases.

COROLLARY 4.5. *Suppose $(M\{\ , \ , \}, \mathcal{L}, b, \phi)$ is a basic LMTS with ϕ symmetric or symplectic. If $\Lambda_w \subseteq \Lambda_r$, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma) \cong \ker \bar{r} \times \mathrm{im} \bar{r}$.*

PROOF. This follows from Theorem 3.1.

Note 4.5. When studying the forms of a Type (III) LMTS $(M\{\ , \ , \}, \mathcal{L}, b, \phi)$ in a subsequent paper, we will need to consider the groups $\mathrm{Aut}^*(\bar{\mathcal{S}}, \sigma) := \{\eta \in \mathrm{Aut}(\bar{\mathcal{S}}, \sigma) | M_i \eta = M_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2\}$ and $\mathrm{Aut}^*(\mathcal{S}, \sigma) := \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{S}, \sigma) \cap \mathrm{Aut}^*(\bar{\mathcal{S}}, \sigma)$ and also the homomorphisms $\bar{r}^*: \mathrm{Aut}^*(\bar{\mathcal{S}}, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut} \mathcal{L}$ and $r^*: \mathrm{Aut}^*(\mathcal{S}, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut} \mathcal{L}$

given by restriction. The results obtained already give the following statements about these groups: $\ker r^* \cong k^*$, $\ker \bar{r}^* \cong k^* \times k^*$, $\text{Inn Aut } \mathcal{L} \subseteq \text{im } r^* = \text{im } \bar{r}^*$, and $\text{Aut}^*(S, \sigma)$ is a normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}^*(S, \sigma)$ with $\text{Aut}^*(\bar{S}, \sigma)/\text{Aut}^*(S, \sigma) \cong k^*$. If $\eta \in \text{Aut}^*(\bar{S}, \sigma)$ such that η stabilizes a Borel subalgebra B , then $\lambda_i \eta^* = \lambda_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Either $\text{Aut}^*(\bar{S}, \sigma) = \text{Aut}(S, \sigma)$ or $\text{Aut}^*(\bar{S}, \sigma)$ is a normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(S, \sigma)$ of index 2. If $a \neq \pm 1$, $\text{Aut}^*(S, \sigma) = \text{Aut}(S, \sigma)$.

REFERENCES

1. N. C. Hopkins, *Decomposition of Lie module triple systems*, Algebras, Groups and Geometries (to appear).
2. ———, *On the derivation algebras of Lie module triple systems*, J. Algebra (to appear).
3. ———, *Some structure theory for a class of triple systems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **289** (1985), 203–212.
4. N. Jacobson, *Lie algebras*, Dover, New York, 1962.
5. W. Lister, *A structure theory of Lie triple systems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1952), 217–242.
6. R. Steinberg, *Lectures on Chevalley group*, Yale Univ. Notes, New Haven, Conn., 1967.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OHIO, 43210-1174

Current address: Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Indiana State University, Terre Haute, Indiana 47809