

ADDITIVE GROUPS OF T -RINGS

GEORGE V. WILSON

ABSTRACT. We build on a result of Bowshell and Schultz to give a complete characterization of the groups which occur as the additive groups of T -rings. This answers a question of Feigelshtock.

In this paper, all groups are abelian. We write R^+ for the additive group of a ring R , $t(G)$ for the torsion subgroup of a group G , and G_p for the p -primary component of G .

Fuchs [F1, Problem 45] posed the problem of classifying those rings R with the property that $R \approx \text{End}_{\mathbf{Z}}(R^+)$. Bowshell and Schultz [BS] found one class of rings with this property that they called T -rings. A unital ring R is a T -ring if the map $m: R \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} R \rightarrow R$ induced by multiplication $a \otimes b \rightarrow ab$ is an isomorphism. [BS] characterized T -rings in terms of their additive groups. In order to state their result, we recall some basic facts about torsion-free groups. A torsion-free group has rank one if and only if it is isomorphic to a subgroup of the rational numbers. Rank one groups are completely classified by an invariant called type. A rank one group is the additive group of a unital ring if and only if its type is idempotent under a natural product. Readers unfamiliar with this material are referred to [F2, §85] for a thorough treatment.

We are now in a position to state the above-mentioned result.

PROPOSITION 1 [BS]. *The following are equivalent for a unital ring R :*

- (A) *R is a T -ring,*
- (B) (1) *the quotient $R^+/t(R^+)$ is a rank one group of idempotent type and*
(2) *if R_p^+ is nonzero, it is cyclic and $R^+/t(R^+)$ is p -divisible.*

From this, one can easily see that a torsion group is the additive group of a T -ring if and only if it is cyclic; see [BS, 1.4]. This led Feigelshtock to ask if the conditions in B above are enough to guarantee that a nontorsion group is the additive group of a T -ring [Fg, Question 4.7.30]. By Proposition 1, it suffices to determine if such a group is the additive group of some unital ring. In fact, these conditions are not sufficient and we now determine the minor additional restriction needed to give a T -ring.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let G be an abelian group which satisfies the conditions in (B) above. Then either $G \approx t(G) \oplus G/t(G)$ or else $\bigoplus G_p \leq G \leq \prod G_p$.*

PROOF. Since we assume that each nonzero p -component G_p is cyclic, the G_p are pure and bounded, hence they are summands [F2, 27.5]. Let $\pi_p: G \rightarrow G_p$ be a projection map and let $\alpha = \prod \pi_p: G \rightarrow \prod G_p$ be the product of these maps. Suppose that there is even one element x with infinitely many of the $\pi_p x \neq 0$. In

Received by the editors September 30, 1985 and, in revised form, December 27, 1985.
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 20K99.

©1987 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/87 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

this case, we claim that α is an injection. Let $q: G \rightarrow G/t(G)$ be the quotient map, $q(x) \neq 0$. Take any $g \in G$. If $g \in t(G)$, then of course $\alpha(g) \neq 0$. Next suppose $g \notin t(G)$. Since $G/t(G)$ is assumed to be a rank one group, $q(g)$ is a rational multiple of $q(x)$, i.e. $mq(x) = nq(g)$ for some integers m and n . This implies that $mx = ng + t$ for some $t \in t(G)$. Since x has infinitely many nonzero projections $\pi_p x$, so does mx . Since t has only finitely many nonzero projections, ng must have infinitely many and so g does also. Thus, $\alpha g \neq 0$ and α is an injection.

Next suppose that there is no element with infinitely many projections. Then every element $g \in G$ can be written $g = k + t$, where $k \in \ker \alpha$ and $t \in t(G)$. Since $\ker \alpha \cap t(G) = 0$, $G = \ker \alpha \oplus t(G)$.

We can now complete the picture of the additive groups of T -rings.

PROPOSITION 3. *A group G is the additive group of a T -ring if and only if*
 (1) *it satisfies the conditions of (B) in Proposition 1 and*
 (2) *there is some $g \in G$ such that for every prime p , $\pi_p g$ generates G_p .*

PROOF. Say $G \approx R^+$ for a T -ring R . Proposition 1 shows that (B) holds. It is easy to see that for $1 \in R$, $\pi_p 1$ generates G_p for every p .

Conversely, assume that G satisfies (1) and (2). By Proposition 2, $G \approx t(G) \oplus G/t(G)$ or $\bigoplus G_p \leq G \leq \prod G_p$. Suppose that $G \approx t(G) \oplus X$, where X is a rank one, torsion-free group of idempotent type. Write the g given in condition (2) as $g = t + x$, $t \in t(G)$, $x \in X$. Since $\pi_p(x) = 0$ for all p and $\pi_p(t) \neq 0$ for only finitely many p , $\pi_p(g)$ is nonzero for only finitely many p . Since $\pi_p(g)$ generates each G_p , only finitely many G_p are nonzero. Since each G_p is cyclic, $t(G)$ is cyclic and carries a unital ring structure. Since X is rank one of idempotent type, it also has unital ring structure. Clearly, $G \approx t(G) \oplus X$ carries the product ring structure.

Suppose $\bigoplus G_p \leq G \leq \prod G_p$. Let $q: G \rightarrow G/t(G)$ be the quotient map. Choose $g \in G$ such that for all p , $\pi_p g$ generates G_p and so that $q(g)$ has an idempotent height sequence. Give each G_p the ring structure that makes $\pi_p g$ the unity of G_p and give $\prod G_p$ the product ring structure. We claim that G is a subring. Clearly, if $t \in \bigoplus G_p$ and x is any element of $\prod G_p$, then $xt \in \bigoplus G_p \leq G$, so we must show that the product of nontorsion elements of G is again in G . Take $x, y \in G$ and write $jx = mg + t$, $ky = ng + s$ with $j, k, m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$, $t, s \in t(G)$. Since $q(g)$ has idempotent type and is divisible by j and k , it is divisible by jk . Choose $z \in G$ with $jkz = mng + u$, $u \in t(G)$. With this choice, $jk(z - xy) \in t(G)$, so $v = z - xy \in t(G)$ and $xy = z - v \in G$. We see that G is a unital subring of $\prod G_p$ and so is a T -ring.

It is fairly easy to see that any group satisfying the conditions of (B) has a ring structure which makes the multiplication map $G \otimes G \rightarrow G$ an isomorphism. The extra condition in Proposition 3 is simply to insure that this is a unital ring structure.

REFERENCES

- [BS] R. A. Bowshell and P. Schultz, *Unital rings whose additive endomorphisms commute*, Math. Ann. **228** (1977), 197–214.
- [F1] L. Fuchs, *Abelian groups*, Publ. House Hungarian Acad. Sci., Budapest, 1958.
- [F2] —, *Infinite Abelian groups*, Vols. 1 and 2, Academic Press, New York and London, 1970 and 1973.
- [Fg] S. Feigelstock, *Additive groups of rings*, Pitman, London, 1983.