ON THE AVERAGE NUMBER OF GROUPS OF SQUARE-FREE ORDER

CARL POMERANCE

ABSTRACT. Let G(n) denote the number of (nonisomorphic) groups of order n. It is shown here that for large x

$$x^{1.68} \leq \sum_{n \leq x}' G(n) \leq x^2 \cdot \exp\{-(1+o(1))\log x \log\log\log x/\log\log x\},$$

where \sum' denotes a sum over square-free n. Under an unproved hypothesis on the distribution of primes p with all primes in p-1 small, it is shown that the upper bound is tight.

1. Introduction. Let G(n) denote the number of isomorphism classes among the groups of order n. For n square-free, there is a relatively simple formula for G(n) due to Hölder [9]. First let

$$f(n,m) = \prod_{q \mid m} (n,q-1).$$

(Throughout the paper, the letters p, q will denote primes.) Then

(1.1)
$$G(n) = \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \text{ pld}}} \frac{f(p, n/d) - 1}{p - 1}, \quad n \text{ square-free.}$$

With this elegant formula, the techniques of number theory can be applied to give several interesting results about G(n) for n square-free. For example, in Murty and Murty [11], it is shown that

$$\sum_{n \le x} \mu^2(n) \log G(n) = (c_1 + o(1))x \log \log x$$

for a certain positive constant c_1 . (The square of the Möbius function $\mu^2(n)$ is the characteristic function of the square-free integers.) Thus the geometric mean of G(n) for square-free $n \leq x$ is about $(\log x)^{\pi^2 c_1/6}$. In Erdös, Murty, and Murty [4] it is shown that $\mu^2(n) \log G(n)/\log \log n$ has a continuous, strictly increasing distribution function on $[0, \infty)$.

The maximal order of $\mu^2(n)G(n)$ is somewhat different. Murty and Srinivasan [12] recently showed that for all n,

(1.2)
$$\mu^{2}(n)G(n) \leq n/(\log n)^{A\log_{3} n}$$

Received by the editors November 11, 1985 and, in revised form, January 17, 1986.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 11N45; Secondary 20D60, 11N56.

Research supported in part by an NSF grant.

for a certain positive constant A, where $\log_k n$ denotes the k-fold iterated natural logarithm. They also showed that the estimate (1.2) is essentially best possible, for there are infinitely many n with

$$\mu^2(n)G(n) \geq n/(\log n)^{B\log_3 n}$$

for some positive constant B.

This paper will be concerned with the average order of $\mu^2(n)G(n)$. From the distribution function result cited above it follows that for any K, the set of n with $\mu^2(n)G(n) > (\log n)^K$ has positive asymptotic density. From this fact and from (1.2) it follows that for any fixed K and all large x (depending on the choice of K),

$$x(\log x)^K \le \sum_{n \le x} \mu^2(n) G(n) \le x^2/(\log x)^{A \log_3 x}.$$

Below it is shown that for large x,

(1.3)
$$x^{1.68} \le \sum_{n \le x} \mu^2(n) G(n) \le x^2 / \exp\{(1 + o(1)) \log x \log_3 x / \log_2 x\}.$$

Moreover, the equation

(1.4)
$$\sum_{n \le x} \mu^2(n) G(n) = x^2 / \exp\{(1 + o(1)) \log x \log_3 x / \log_2 x\}$$

is shown modulo a reasonable conjecture on the distribution of primes p with all primes in p-1 small. The "o(1)" appearing in (1.3) and (1.4) is partially expanded to

$$\frac{1}{\log_3 x} \left(\log_4 x + \frac{\log_4 x - 1}{\log_3 x} + O\left(\left(\frac{\log_4 x}{\log_3 x}\right)^2\right)\right).$$

Let C(n) denote the number of isomorphism classes among all groups of order n which have all of their Sylow subgroups cyclic. Then for n square-free, G(n) = C(n). In [11], a formula that generalizes the Hölder formula (1.1) is given. Namely it is shown that

(1.5)
$$C(n) = \sum_{d \mid n} \prod_{p^{\alpha} \mid d} \sum_{i=1}^{a} \frac{f(p^{i}, n/d) - f(p^{i-1}, n/d)}{p^{i-1}(p-1)},$$

where we write m||n if m|n and (m, n/m) = 1. In [12], (1.2) is actually shown via the stronger result

$$(1.6) C(n) \le n/(\log n)^{A \log_3 n}.$$

Below, the upper bound in (1.3) is shown by proving the stronger result that the same upper bound holds for $\sum_{n < x} C(n)$.

In [11], it is shown that $C(n) \leq f(n,n)$ for all n. Thus the upper bound result of this paper could possibly be achieved by proving a similar result for $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n,n)$. Although superficially simpler, this sum does not appear so easy to estimate. Probably the same upper bound could be worked out, but I have not completed all of the details. It should be remarked that essentially the same upper bound is established in [5] for the sum $\sum_{n \leq x}' f(n-1,n)$, where the dash indicates the sum is over composite integers.

If we drop the restriction that n is square-free, the behavior of G(n) changes markedly. In fact

$$x^{C\log^2 x} \le \sum_{n \le x} G(n) \le x^{D\log^2 x}$$

holds for certain positive constants C, D. The lower bound follows from restricting n to prime powers and using results of Higman [8] and Sims [17] on p-groups. The upper bound follows from work of Neumann [13] and the recent classification of the finite simple groups. In fact, from these papers it follows that C can be chosen as any number smaller than $2/(27 \log^2 2)$ and D can be chosen as any number greater than $1/(2 \log^2 2)$.

2. The upper bound.

THEOREM 2.1. There is a constant c_2 such that for all large x, (2.1)

$$\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \le x} C(n) \le x \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{\log x}{\log_2 x} \left(\log_3 x + \log_4 x + \frac{\log_4 x - 1}{\log_3 x} + c_2 \left(\frac{\log_4 x}{\log_3 x}\right)^2\right)\right\}.$$

PROOF. From (1.5) we have (ϕ denotes Euler's function)

$$C(n) = \sum_{d \mid \mid n} \frac{1}{\phi(d)} \prod_{p^{a} \mid \mid d} \sum_{i=1}^{a} p^{a-i} (f(p^{i}, n/d) - f(p^{i-1}, n/d))$$

$$\leq \sum_{\substack{d \mid \mid n \\ p \mid d \to f(p, n/d) > 1}} \frac{1}{\phi(d)} \prod_{p^{a} \mid \mid d} \sum_{i=1}^{a} p^{a-i} f(p^{i}, n/d).$$

Note that

$$\begin{split} \prod_{p^{a}\parallel d} \sum_{i=1}^{a} p^{a-i} f(p^{i}, n/d) &\leq d \sum_{\delta \mid d} \prod_{p^{i} \parallel \delta} p^{-i} f(p^{i}, n/d) \\ &= d \sum_{\delta \mid d} \delta^{-1} f(\delta, n/d) \leq \sigma(d) f(d, n/d), \end{split}$$

where σ is the sum of the divisors function. Thus

(2.2)
$$C(n) \leq \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ p \mid d \to f(p, n/d) > 1}} \frac{\sigma(d)}{\phi(d)} f\left(d, \frac{n}{d}\right).$$

From the Hardy-Ramanujan inequality [7], the number of integers $n \leq x$ with $\omega(n) > 2 \log x \log_3 x/(\log_2 x)^2$ (where $\omega(n)$ is the number of distinct prime factors of n) is less than

$$x \cdot \exp(-1.5 \log x \log_3 x / \log_2 x)$$

for large x. Thus by virtue of (1.6) (or the easier inequality $C(n) \leq f(n,n) \leq \phi(n)$ from [11]), we may ignore such n in the sum (2.1). Let \sum^* denote a sum over integers n with

$$(2.3) \qquad \qquad \omega(n) \le 2\log x \log_3 x/(\log_2 x)^2.$$

From (2.2) we have

(2.4)
$$\sum_{n \le x}^{*} C(n) \le \sum_{d \le x}^{*} \frac{\sigma(d)}{\phi(d)} \sum_{\substack{m \le x/d \\ p \mid d \to f(p,m) > 1}}^{*} f(d,m).$$

Let $\alpha(n)$ denote the largest square-free divisor of n. Then if m is such that p|d implies f(p,m) > 1, then

$$\alpha(f(d,m)) = \alpha(d).$$

If k is any integer with $\alpha(k) = \alpha(d)$, let

$$N_{k,d}(y) = \sum_{\substack{m \le y \\ f(d,m) = k}}^{*} 1.$$

Thus (2.4) is now transformed to

(2.5)
$$\sum_{n \le x}^{*} C(n) \le \sum_{d \le x}^{*} \frac{\sigma(d)}{\phi(d)} \sum_{\substack{k \le x/d \\ \alpha(k) = \alpha(d)}} k N_{k,d} \left(\frac{x}{d}\right).$$

We now turn our attention to bounding $N_{k,d}(y)$. If m is such that f(d,m)=k, we write $m=m_1m_2$ where m_1 is the product of the distinct primes q|m with (d,q-1)>1. Say the prime factorization of m_1 is $q_1\cdots q_s$. Let $k_j=(d,q_j-1)$ for $j=1,\ldots,s$. Thus $\prod_{j=1}^s k_j=k$ and each $k_j>1$. That is, the multiset $\{k_1,\ldots,k_s\}$ is a factorization of k. (By a factorization of an integer k we mean an unordered multiset of integers exceeding 1 whose product is k.) Let $\mathcal{F}(k)$ denote the set of all factorizations of k. For each factorization \mathcal{F} in $\mathcal{F}(k)$, let $N_{\mathcal{F},d}(y)$ denote the number of m counted by $N_{k,d}(y)$ which give rise to the factorization \mathcal{F} as described above. Thus

(2.6)
$$N_{k,d}(y) = \sum_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}(k)} N_{\mathcal{F},d}(y).$$

For $\mathcal{F} = \{k_1, \ldots, k_s\}$, we have

$$N_{\mathcal{F},d}(y) \leq y \sum_{m_1 \leq y} \frac{1}{m_1},$$

where m_1 runs over integers of the form $q_1 \cdots q_s$, the q's being distinct primes with $(d, q_j - 1) = k_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, s$. Thus

$$(2.7) N_{\mathcal{F},d}(y) \le y \prod_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{\substack{q \le y \\ q = 1 \text{mod } k_j}} \frac{1}{q} \le y \prod_{j=1}^{s} \frac{\log \log y + c_3 \log k_j}{\phi(k_j)}$$

for some absolute constant c_3 (see Norton [14] or Pomerance [15]).

Note that $s = \omega(m_1) \leq \omega(m)$ so that if m is counted by $N_{k,d}(y)$, we have by (2.3) that

(2.8)
$$s \le 2 \log x \log_3 x / (\log_2 x)^2$$
.

We now majorize the product $\prod_{j=1}^{s} (\log \log y + c_3 \log k_j)$ (for $k, y \leq x$) by breaking it into two parts corresponding to $k_j < \exp((\log_2 x)^3)$ and $k_j \geq \exp((\log_2 x)^3)$. The first part is at most, by (2.8),

$$(\log_2 x + c_3(\log_2 x)^3)^s \le \exp\left\{7\frac{\log x(\log_3 x)^2}{(\log_2 x)^2}\right\}$$

for large x. The number of factors in the second part is $O(\log x/(\log_2 x)^3)$, so the second part is majorized by

$$(\log_2 x + c_3 \log x)^{O(\log x/(\log_2 x)^3)} = \exp\left\{O\left(\frac{\log x}{(\log_2 x)^2}\right)\right\}.$$

Therefore, for large x and $k, y \leq x$,

$$\prod_{j=1}^{s} (\log \log y + c_3 \log k_j) \le \exp \left\{ 8 \frac{\log x (\log_3 x)^2}{(\log_2 x)^2} \right\}.$$

Next note that by (2.8),

$$k / \prod_{j=1}^{s} \phi(k_j) = \prod_{j=1}^{s} k_j / \phi(k_j) \le (c_4 \log_2 x)^s$$
$$\le \exp\{3 \log x (\log_3 x)^2 / (\log_2 x)^2\}$$

for large x.

Putting these estimates into (2.7), we have

$$N_{\mathcal{F},d}(y) \le \frac{y}{k} \exp\left\{11 \frac{\log x (\log_3 x)^2}{(\log_2 x)^2}\right\}.$$

Thus from (2.6) we have

$$kN_{k,d}(y) \le yf(k) \exp\left\{11\frac{\log x(\log_3 x)^2}{(\log_2 x)^2}\right\}$$

where f(k) is the cardinality of $\mathcal{F}(k)$, that is, the number of factorizations of k. From (2.5) we now have

(2.9)
$$\sum_{n \le x}^{*} C(n) \le x \sum_{d \le x}^{*} \frac{\sigma(d)}{d\phi(d)} \left(\sum_{\substack{k \le x/d \\ \alpha(k) = \alpha(d)}} f(k) \right) \exp\left\{ 11 \frac{\log x (\log_3 x)^2}{(\log_2 x)^2} \right\}.$$

But note that

$$\sum_{d \le x} \frac{\sigma(d)}{d\phi(d)} = O(\log x).$$

Also note that since d satisfies (2.3), for large x

$$\sum_{\substack{k \le x/d \\ \alpha(k) = \alpha(d)}} 1 \le \psi\left(\frac{x}{d\alpha(d)}, \frac{3\log x \log_3 x}{\log_2 x}\right) \le \psi\left(x, \frac{3\log x \log_3 x}{\log_2 x}\right),$$

where $\psi(x,y)$ denotes the number of integers up to x, none of whose primes exceed y. Indeed, if $\alpha(k) = \alpha(d)$, then $\alpha(d)|k$ and all of the primes in $k/\alpha(d)$ are among

the primes in d. Thus the number of such $k \le x/d$ is at most the number of integers below $x/d\alpha(d)$ all of whose primes are among the first $\omega(d)$ primes. But using (2.3) the $\omega(d)$ th prime is less than $3 \log x \log_3 x/\log_2 x$ for large x.

From de Bruijn [2],

$$\psi\left(x, \frac{3\log x \log_3 x}{\log_2 x}\right) \le \exp\left\{4\frac{\log x (\log_3 x)^2}{(\log_2 x)^2}\right\}$$

for large x. Therefore, from (2.9) we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x}^* C(n) \leq x \left(\max_{k \leq x} f(k) \right) \exp \left\{ 16 \frac{\log x (\log_3 x)^2}{(\log_2 x)^2} \right\}$$

for large x. We now use Theorem 5.1 in [3] which asserts that

$$f(k) \leq k \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{\log k}{\log_2 k} \left(\log_3 k + \log_4 k + \frac{\log_4 k - 1}{\log_3 k} + c_5 \left(\frac{\log_4 k}{\log_3 k}\right)^2\right)\right\}$$

for all large k and some constant c_5 . The theorem now follows where we may choose c_2 as any constant with $c_2 < c_5$.

3. The lower bound.

THEOREM 3.1. For all large x,

$$\sum_{n \le x} \mu^2(n) G(n) > x^{1.68}.$$

PROOF. A key ingredient in the proof is a new result which comes out of the work of Balog [1], Fouvry [6], and Rousselet [16]:

THEOREM (BALOG-FOUVRY-ROUSSELET). There is a constant $0 < c_6 < 0.32$ such that uniformly for all $y \ge x^{c_6}$ the number N of primes $p \le x$ with all primes in p-1 below y satisfies $N >> x/\log^2 x$.

(The notation f(x) >> g(x) is equivalent to g(x) = O(f(x)).) For a short discussion on the background of this kind of result, see [5]. The number of integers up to x divisible by a square-full number exceeding $\log^5 x$ is $O(x/\log^{5/2} x)$. We thus have the following corollary of the Balog-Fouvry-Rousselet theorem.

COROLLARY. Uniformly for all $y \ge x^{c_6}$, the number N_1 of primes $p \le x$ with all primes in p-1 below y and with all square-full divisors of p-1 below $\log^5 x$ satisfies $N_1 >> x/\log^2 x$.

Now choose numbers $c_7, \varepsilon > 0$ with

$$\frac{1}{c_6} > c_7 > \frac{1+\varepsilon}{0.32}.$$

Let \mathbf{P} denote the set of primes p with

- (i) $(\log x)^{c_7-\varepsilon} \leq p \leq (\log x)^{c_7}$,
- (ii) all primes in p-1 are below $\log x/\log\log x$,
- (iii) all square-full divisors of p-1 are below $(c_7 \log \log x)^5$.

By the corollary, the cardinality of P satisfies

$$|\mathbf{P}| >> (\log x)^{c_7}/(\log \log x)^2.$$

Let

$$k = \left\lceil \frac{\log x - 2\log x/\log\log x}{c_7\log\log x} \right\rceil,\,$$

so that the product m of any k primes in \mathbf{P} uniformly satisfies

$$(3.1) x^{(c_7-\varepsilon)/c_7+o(1)} < m < x^{1-2/\log\log x}.$$

Finally, let **S** denote the set of all integers $m\alpha(\phi(m))$, where m is the product of k distinct primes in **P** and, as in §2, the function α gives the largest square-free divisor of its argument. By (ii) above

(3.2)
$$\alpha(\phi(m)) \leq \prod_{q < \log x/\log \log x} q < x^{2/\log \log x}$$

for large x. Thus from (3.1), $x^{1-\varepsilon/c_7+o(1)} \le n < x$ uniformly for $n \in \mathbb{S}$.

We now show that if $n \in \mathbb{S}$, then G(n) is very large. Indeed, from (1.1), if $n = m\alpha(\phi(m)) \in \mathbb{S}$ and $d = \alpha(\phi(m))$, then

$$G(n) \ge \prod_{p|d} \frac{f(p,m) - 1}{p - 1} = \frac{1}{\phi(d)} \prod_{p|d} (f(p,m) - 1)$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{\phi(d)} \prod_{p|d} \frac{p - 1}{p} f(p,m) = \frac{1}{d} f(d,m)$$

$$= \frac{1}{d} \prod_{q|m} (d, q - 1) \ge \frac{\phi(m)}{d(c_7 \log \log x)^{5k}}$$

$$\ge x^{1 - \varepsilon/c_7 + o(1)}$$

uniformly, using (3.1), (3.2), and property (iii) above. Therefore

$$\sum_{n \le x} \mu^2(n) G(n) \ge x^{1-\varepsilon/c_7+o(1)} |\mathbf{S}|$$

and it remains for us to estimate the cardinality of S. But this is easy since

$$|\mathbf{S}| = {|\mathbf{P}| \choose k} \ge {|\mathbf{P}| \choose k}^k \ge x^{1-1/c_7+o(1)}.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{n \le x} \mu^2(n) G(n) \ge x^{2-(1+\varepsilon)/c_7+o(1)}.$$

But by the choice of ε and c_7 , we have $2 - (1 + \varepsilon)/c_7 > 1.68$, which proves the theorem.

4. The conditional lower bound. In this section we give a stronger result than Theorem 3.1, but it depends on an unproved hypothesis. Recall that $\psi(x,y)$ denotes the number of integers $n \leq x$ with all primes in n not exceeding y.

CONJECTURE. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, the number N(x,y) of primes p in [x/2,x] with p-1 square-free and all primes in p-1 not exceeding y satisfies $N(x,y) >> \psi(x,y)/\log x$ uniformly for $y > \exp((\log x)^{\varepsilon})$.

It might seem more appropriate to compare N(x,y) with $\psi_0(x,y)$, the number of square-free $n \leq x$ with no prime in n exceeding y. However, Ivić and Tenenbaum [10] recently showed that

$$\psi_0(x,y) \sim rac{6}{\pi^2} \psi(x,y) \quad ext{as } x o \infty ext{ and } rac{\log y}{\log \log x} o \infty$$

and that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\psi_0(x,y) >> \psi(x,y)$ uniformly for $y > (\log x)^{2+\varepsilon}$. In any event we shall only be interested in the conjecture for $y \approx \exp(\sqrt{\log x})$.

THEOREM 4.1. If the conjecture is true, there is a constant c₈ such that

$$\sum_{n \le x} \mu^2(n) G(n)$$

$$> x^2 \exp \left\{-\frac{\log x}{\log_2 x} \left(\log_3 x + \log_4 x + \frac{\log_4 x - 1}{\log_3 x} + c_8 \left(\frac{\log_4 x}{\log_3 x}\right)^2\right)\right\}.$$

PROOF. The proof parallels that of Theorem 3.1, but we use the conjecture rather than the Balog-Fouvry-Rousselet theorem. Let \mathbf{P} denote the set of primes p with

- (i) $p \in [\frac{1}{2}e^{(\log_2 x)^2}, e^{(\log_2 x)^2}],$
- (ii) every prime in p-1 is below $\log x/(\log_2 x)^2$,
- (iii) p-1 is square-free.

By the conjecture,

$$|\mathbf{P}| >> \psi(e^{(\log_2 x)^2}, \log x/(\log_2 x)^2)/(\log_2 x)^2.$$

From [3], we thus have

$$|\mathbf{P}| > \exp\left\{ \left(\log_2 x\right)^2 - \log_2 x \left(\log_3 x + \log_4 x - 1 + \frac{\log_4 x - 1}{\log_3 x} + c_9 \left(\frac{\log_4 x}{\log_3 x}\right)^2\right) \right\}$$

for some constant c_9 . Let

$$k = \left\lceil \frac{\log x - 2\log x/(\log_2 x)^2}{(\log_2 x)^2} \right\rceil.$$

If m is the product of k primes in \mathbf{P} , then

(4.1)
$$x^{1-3(\log_2 x)^{-2}} < m \le x^{1-2(\log_2 x)^{-2}}$$

for large x. Let S denote the set of all $m\alpha(\phi(m))$, where m runs over the integers composed of k distinct primes in P. Then

(4.2)
$$\alpha(\phi(m)) \le \prod_{p < \log x/(\log_2 x)^2} p < x^{2(\log_2 x)^{-2}}$$

for large x, so that if $n \in S$, then

$$x^{1 - 3(\log_2 x)^{-2}} < n < x.$$

Write $n \in S$ in the form md, where m is the product of k distinct primes in P and $d = \alpha(\phi(m))$. Then from (3.3),

$$G(n) \ge \frac{1}{d} f(d,m) = \frac{1}{d} \phi(m) > x^{1-6(\log_2 x)^{-2}}$$

for large x by (4.1) and (4.2). Thus for large x,

$$\sum_{n \le x} \mu^2(n) G(n) > x^{1 - 6(\log_2 x)^{-2}} |\mathbf{S}|.$$

But

$$|\mathbf{S}| = \binom{|\mathbf{P}|}{k} > x \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{\log x}{\log_2 x} \left(\log_3 x + \log_4 x + \frac{\log_4 - 1}{\log_3 x} + c_{10} \left(\frac{\log_4 x}{\log_3 x}\right)^2\right)\right\}$$

for any $c_{10} > c_9$ and all large x depending on the choice of c_{10} . Thus the theorem is proved for any $c_8 > c_{10}$.

REFERENCES

- A. Balog, p + a without large prime factors, Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux (1983-84), no. 31, 5 pp., Univ. Bordeaux I, Talence, 1984.
- N. G. de Bruijn, On the number of positive integers ≤ x and free of prime factors > y. II, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 69 = Indag. Math. 28 (1966), 239-247.
- E. R. Canfield, P. Erdös, and C. Pomerance, On a problem of Oppenheim concerning "Factorisatio Numerorum", J. Number Theory 17 (1983), 1-28.
- 4. P. Erdös, M. R. Murty, and V. K. Murty, On the enumeration of finite groups, J. Number Theory (to appear).
- 5. P. Erdös and C. Pomerance, On the number of false witnesses for a composite number, Math. Comp. 46 (1986), 259-279.
- E. Fouvry, Théorème de Brun-Titchmarsh application au théorème de Fermat, Invent. Math. 79 (1985), 383-407.
- G. H. Hardy and S. Ramanujan, The normal number of prime factors of a number n, Quart. J. Math. Oxford 48 (1917), 76-92.
- G. Higman, Enumerating p-groups, I: Inequalities, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 10 (1960), 24-30.
- O. Hölder, Die Gruppen mit quadratfreier Ordnungszahl, Nachr. Königl. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen Math.-Phys. K 1 (1958), 211-229.
- 10. A. Ivić and G. Tenenbaum, Local densities over integers free of large prime factors (to appear).
- 11. M. R. Murty and V. K. Murty, On groups of square-free order, Math. Ann. 267 (1984), 299-309.
- 12. M. R. Murty and S. Srinivasan, On the number of groups of square-free order (to appear).
- P. M. Neumann, An enumeration theorem for finite groups, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) 20 (1969), 395-401.
- K. K. Norton, On the number of restricted prime factors of an integer. I, Illinois J. Math. 20 (1976), 681-705.
- C. Pomerance, On the distribution of amicable numbers, J. Reine Angew. Math. 293/294 (1977), 217-222.
- 16. B. Rousselet (to appear).
- 17. C. C. Sims, Enumerating p-groups, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 15 (1965), 151-166.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, ATHENS, GEORGIA 30602