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#### Abstract

Examples are given of holomorphic self-maps of the unit ball on $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ which induce unbounded composition operators on the Hardy space $H^{2}$. In particular, an example is given which is one-to-one on the closed ball. Also, a valence condition on the boundary of this ball is given which is sufficient for unboundedness of the induced composition operator.


1. Introduction. Let $B_{n}$ be the open unit ball in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ and let $H^{2}=H^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)$ be the Hardy space on $B_{n}$. If $\phi$ is a holomorphic mapping of $B_{n}$ into $B_{n}$, then the composition operator $C_{\phi}: f \rightarrow f \circ \phi$ maps holomorphic functions on $B_{n}$ into holomorphic functions. If $n=1$, it is well known that $C_{\phi}$ is a bounded operator on $H^{2}$ (see [5], e.g.). For $n>1$, there are many examples (see [1, 2]) which show that $C_{\phi}$ need not be bounded. These examples exhibit a "collapsing" property on the boundary $\partial B_{n}$ of $B_{n}$. For instance $\phi$ may map an arc on $\partial B_{n}$ to a point on $\partial B_{n}$. The main result of this note is the construction (Theorem 2) of a mapping $\Phi: \bar{B}_{2} \rightarrow \bar{B}_{2}$ which is holomorphic and one-to-one on $\bar{B}_{2}$ and such that $C_{\Phi}$ is unbounded on $H^{2}$. $\Phi$ is in fact a polynomial mapping.
B. MacCluer and J. Shapiro show in [4, Theorem 6.4] that if $\phi: B_{n} \rightarrow B_{n}$ is one-to-one and if the derivative of $\phi^{-1}$ is bounded on $\phi\left(B_{n}\right)$, then $C_{\phi}$ is bounded on $H^{2}$ (see also [1, Theorem 2]). Our example shows that even for one-to-one mappings, some additional hypothesis on $\phi$ must be imposed to guarantee that $C_{\phi}$ is bounded. Example 4 is also related to the above theorem. In Theorem 1 we give a valence condition on $\phi$ which is sufficient for unboundedness of $C_{\phi}$. All of our results rely on the following Carleson measure criterion for boundedness of $C_{\phi}$.

Theorem [3]. Suppose that $\phi: B \rightarrow B$ is holomorphic and that $\mu=\sigma\left(\phi^{*}\right)^{-1}$. Then $C_{\phi}$ is bounded on $H^{2}$ if and only if there is a $C>0$ so that $\mu(S(\varsigma, t)) \leq C t^{2}$ for all $\varsigma \in \partial B$ and $t>0$. In this case we say that $\mu$ is a $\sigma$-Carleson measure.
W. Rudin's book [6] will be used as a standard reference. We will restrict our attention to $B_{2}=B$. For $\phi: B \rightarrow B$, write $\phi=\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)$. Let $\sigma$ denote surface measure on $\partial B$. If $\varsigma \in \partial B$, set $\phi^{*}(\varsigma)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \phi(r \varsigma)$; so $\phi^{*}: \partial B \rightarrow \bar{B}$. Further define $S(\varsigma, t)=\{z \in \bar{B}:|1-\langle z, \varsigma\rangle|<t\}$. Here $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the usual complex inner product in $\mathbf{C}^{2}$, and $t>0$. Let $Q(\varsigma, t)=S(\varsigma, t) \cap \partial B$.
2. A criterion for unboundedness. In this section we prove the following.

THEOREM 1. Suppose that $\phi: B \rightarrow B$ is holomorphic on $B$ and that $\phi^{\prime}$ is uniformly bounded on $B$. If $\sup \left\{\operatorname{card}\left(\phi^{*}\right)^{-1}(\xi): \xi \in \partial B\right\}=\infty$, then $C_{\phi}$ is unbounded on $H^{2}$.

[^0]The proof of this theorem depends on the following lemma. We assume the smoothness hypothesis of Theorem 1.

Lemma 1. Suppose that $\phi(0)=0$. Then there exist positive numbers $A$ and $\delta$ which satisfy the following. If $\zeta, \xi \in \partial B$ and $\phi^{*}(\varsigma)=\xi$, then $\phi^{*}(Q(\varsigma, t)) \subset S(\varsigma, A t)$ for all $0<t<\delta$.

Proof. $\phi$ has a continuous extension to $\bar{B}$, which we can aiso denote by $\phi$. In fact $\phi$ is Lipschitz on $\bar{B}$. Thus there is a $D>0$ so that if $z, w \in \bar{B}$ and $|z-w|<t$, then $|\phi(z)-\phi(w)|<D t$. Let $e=(1,0)$. Consider the case that $\varsigma=\xi=e$. Set

$$
L=\liminf _{z \rightarrow e} \frac{1-|\phi(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}}
$$

Then by the Julia-Carathéodory theory [6, pp. 174-181], $L=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} D_{1} \phi_{1}(r e)$. Note that $L \geq 1$ by the Schwarz Lemma. For $0<c<1$, consider the ellipsoids

$$
E_{c}=\left\{z \in B: \frac{\left|z_{1}-(1-c)\right|^{2}}{c^{2}}+\frac{\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}}{c}<1\right\}
$$

By [6, Theorem 8.54], we have $\phi\left(E_{c}\right) \subset E_{L c}$ if $c<1 / L$. Also note that $E_{c} \subset$ $S(e, 2 c)$.

Now if $z \in Q(e, t)$, we have $\left|1-z_{1}\right|<t$, so that $\left|z_{1}\right|>1-t$. Hence $\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}=$ $1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}<2 t-t^{2}$. If follows that $\left(1-2 t, z_{2}\right) \in E_{2 t}$. Thus $\left(1-2 t, z_{2}\right) \in S(e, 4 t)$, so that $\phi\left(1-2 t, z_{2}\right) \in S(e, 4 t L)$.

Set $\delta=1 / 2 L$. Suppose that $0<t<\delta$, and $z \in Q(e, t)$. Then $\left|z-\left(1-2 t, z_{2}\right)\right| \leq$ $\left|1-z_{1}\right|+2 t<3 t$, so that $\left|\phi(z)-\phi\left(1-2 t, z_{2}\right)\right|<D(3 t)$. Thus $\left|1-\phi_{1}(z)\right|<4 t L+3 t D$, and the lemma holds with $A=4 L+3 D$.

For the general case choose unitaries $U$ and $V: \mathbf{C}^{2} \rightarrow C^{2}$ with $U e=\varsigma$ and $V \xi=e$. Apply the first part of the proof to the map $\lambda=V \circ \phi \circ U$. There are positive numbers $A$ and $\delta$ so that $\lambda(Q(e, t)) \subset S(e, A t)$ for $0<t<\delta$. Since $U(Q(e, t))=Q(\varsigma, t)$ and $V^{-1}(S(e, A t))=S(\xi, A t)$, we have $\phi(Q(\varsigma, t)) \subset S(\xi, A t)$.

Finally, note that $A$ depends on the Lipschitz constant $D$ and on $L$. But $L \leq$ $\sup \left\{\left\|\phi^{\prime}(z)\right\|: z \in B\right\}$, so that both $\delta$ and $A$ can be chosen independent of $\zeta$ and $\xi$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Since an automorphism of $B$ induces a bounded composition operator, we may assume that $\phi(0)=0$. Fix a positive integer $n$. Suppose that $\xi \in \partial B$ and $\operatorname{card}\left(\phi^{*}\right)^{-1}(\xi) \geq n$. Choose $\varsigma_{1}, \varsigma_{2}, \ldots, \zeta_{n} \in \partial B$ so that $\phi^{*}\left(\delta_{k}\right)=\xi, 1 \leq k \leq n$. Choose $A$ and $\delta$ as in Lemma 1. Then choose $t_{0}$ with $0<t_{0} \leq \delta$ and so that if $0<t<t_{0}$, the sets $Q\left(\varsigma_{1}, t\right), \ldots, Q\left(\varsigma_{n}, t\right)$ are pairwise disjoint. Thus

$$
\sigma\left(\phi^{*}\right)^{-1}(S(\xi, A t)) \geq \sigma\left(\bigcup_{1}^{n} Q\left(\varsigma_{k}, t\right)\right) \approx n t^{2}
$$

Since $n$ is arbitrary, it is clear that $\sigma\left(\phi^{*}\right)^{-1}$ is not a Carleson measure, and the theorem is proven.

## 3. Examples.

EXAMPLE 1. This example is a slight variant of an example shown to us by J. P. Rosay. Let

$$
\psi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}, z_{2}\left(1-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}\right)\right)
$$

If $z \in \bar{B}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\psi(z)|^{2} & =\frac{1}{4}\left(1+2 \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}\right)+\left|z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\left(1-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}\right)+\left|z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}\right|^{2}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}\left(2+2\left|z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}\right|^{2}\right) \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Further, $|\psi(z)|=1$ if and only if $z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}=1$, in which case $\psi(z)=e$. Thus $\left(\psi^{*}\right)^{-1}(e)$ is the unit circle $C$ in the $\operatorname{Re} z_{1}, \operatorname{Re} z_{2}$ plane. Hence $C_{\psi}$ is unbounded on $H^{2}$, by Theorem 1. It can be shown directly that $\sigma\left(\psi^{*}\right)^{-1}(S(e, t)) \approx t^{3 / 2}$. We observe some additional properties of $\psi$. Consider the complex Jacobian $J \psi$ on $\bar{B}$. It is easy to check that $J \psi$ vanishes only on $C$ and on the complex line $z_{1}=0$. Also if $z$ and $w$ are in $\bar{B}-C$ and $\psi(z)=\psi(w)$, we have $z_{1}= \pm w_{1}$ and $z_{2}=w_{2}$. Thus $\psi$ is a two-to-one map on $\bar{B}-C . \psi$ is one-to-one on $\left\{z \in B: \operatorname{Re} z_{1}>0\right\}$.

EXAMPLE 2. Let $\rho\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\left(1-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}\right)}, \frac{1}{2} z_{2}\left(1-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}\right)\right)$. Here $\sqrt{ }$ denotes the principal branch of the square root. Then $\rho$ shares may properties with $\psi$. An application of the Schwarz Lemma shows that $\left|\rho_{1}(z)\right| \leq\left|\psi_{1}(z)\right|$ for $z \in B$. It follows that $\rho(\bar{B}-C) \subset B$. Also $\rho(c)=\{e\}$, and $\rho$ is two-to-one on $\bar{B}-C . \rho$ is continuous on $\bar{B}$, but $\rho^{\prime}$ is not bounded on $B$.

We will show that $C_{\rho}$ is compact on $H^{2}$. First we show that $\rho(B)$ is contained in a Koranyi approach region $D_{\alpha}(e)=\left\{z \in B:\left|1-z_{1}\right|<(\alpha / 2)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\right\}$.

Let $E_{1}=\left\{z \in \bar{B}:\left|1-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}\right|<\frac{1}{4}\right\}$ and $E_{2}=\bar{B}-E_{1}$. Then $\sup \{|\rho(z)|: z \in$ $\left.E_{2}\right\}<1$, so we have $\rho\left(E_{2}\right) \subset D_{\alpha_{0}}(e)$ for some $\alpha_{0}>0$. If $z \in E_{1}$, then

$$
|\rho(z)|^{2} \leq 1-2 \operatorname{Re} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\left(1-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}\right)}+\frac{1}{2}\left|1-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}\right|+\frac{1}{4}\left|1-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}\right|^{2}
$$

Thus

$$
1-|\rho(z)|^{2} \geq 2 \operatorname{Re} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\left(1-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}\right)}-\left|1-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}\right|
$$

But $\operatorname{Re}\left(1-z_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}\right) \geq 0$, so

$$
\operatorname{Re} \sqrt{1-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left|1-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}\right|^{1 / 2}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
1-|\rho(z)|^{2} & \geq\left|1-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}\right|^{1 / 2}\left(1-\left|1-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}\right|^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left|1-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}\right|^{1 / 2}=\frac{1}{2}\left|1-\rho_{1}(z)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\rho(B) \subset D_{\alpha}(e)$, where $\alpha=\max \left(\alpha_{0}, 4\right)$.
By the computation mentioned in Example 1, we have

$$
\sigma\left(\rho^{*}\right)^{-1}(S(e, t))=\sigma\left(\psi^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(S\left(e, 2 t^{2}\right)\right) \approx t^{3}
$$

By [3, Lemma 2.1, (ii)], $C_{\rho}$ is compact.
We now construct a biholomorphism $\Phi$ of $B$ into $B$ which is a homeomorphism of $\bar{B}$ onto $\Phi(\bar{B})$ and such that $C_{\Phi}$ is unbounded on $H^{2}$. Let $\psi$ be as in Example 1 and let

$$
\phi(z)=\frac{1}{25}\left(18+9 z_{1}-2 z_{1}^{2}+2 z_{2}^{2}, 9 z_{2}-4 z_{1} z_{2}\right) .
$$

We will consider the map $\Phi=\psi \circ \phi$. Our first step is to study $\phi$.

LEMMA 2. Let $f(z)=18+9 z-2 z^{2}$. If $|z| \leq r<1$ and $z \neq r$, then $|f(z)|<f(r)$.
Proof. Let $z=r e^{i \theta}=x+i y, 0<r<1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(z)|^{2} & =18+9^{2} r^{2}+2^{2} r^{4}+2 \cdot 9 \cdot 18 x-2 \cdot 2 \cdot 18\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)-2 \cdot 2 \cdot 9 r^{2} x \\
& =468+153 r^{2}+4 r^{4}-144(1-x)^{2}+36 x\left(1-r^{2}\right) \\
& \leq 468+153 r^{2}+4 r^{4}-144(1-r)^{2}+36 r\left(1-r^{2}\right)=f(r)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with equality if and only if $x=r$.
NOTE. $g(z)=f(z) / 25$ is the second Taylor polynomial at $z=1$ of the automorphism $A(z)=(z+2 / 3)(1+2 z / 3)^{-1}$ of the unit disc $\Delta$. Using Lemma 2 , one can see that $g(\Delta) \subset \Delta$. Also $g$ is univalent on $\bar{\Delta}, g(1)=1$, and the range of $g$ has second order contact at 1 with the unit circle.

Lemma 3. $\phi$ is one-to-one on $\bar{B}$.
Proof. Suppose that $\phi(z)=\phi(w)$ with $z, w \in \bar{B}$. Then $9 z_{1}-2 z_{1}^{2}+2 z_{2}^{2}=$ $9 w_{1}-2 w_{1}^{2}+2 w_{2}^{2}$, so that $\left(z_{1}-w_{1}\right)\left(9-2 z_{1}-2 w_{1}\right)=2\left(w_{2}-z_{2}\right)\left(w_{2}+z_{2}\right)$. Hence $\left|z_{1}-w_{1}\right| \leq \frac{4}{5}\left|w_{2}-z_{2}\right|$.

Also $9 z_{2}-4 z_{1} z_{2}=9 w_{2}-4 w_{1} w_{2}$ so that $\left(9-4 z_{1}\right)\left(z_{2}-w_{2}\right)=4 w_{2}\left(z_{1}-w_{1}\right)$. Thus $\left|z_{2}-w_{2}\right| \leq \frac{4}{5}\left|z_{1}-w_{1}\right|$, and $z=w$.

Lemma 4. $\phi(e)=e$, and $\phi(\bar{B}-\{e\}) \subset B$.
Proof. For $z \in \partial B$, write $z_{1}=r e^{i \theta}=x+i y$. Then $\left|z_{2}\right|=\sqrt{1-r^{2}}$. Lemma 2 is used in the following inequality.

$$
\begin{aligned}
25|\phi(z)|^{2} \leq & \left(\left|18+9 z_{1}-2 z_{1}^{2}\right|+2\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\left|9-4 z_{1}\right|^{2} \\
\leq \leq & \left|f\left(z_{1}\right)\right|^{2}+4 f(r)\left(1-r^{2}\right)+4\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(1-r^{2}\right)\left(81-72 x+16 r^{2}\right) \\
= & 468+153 r^{2}+4 r^{4}-144(1-x)^{2}+36 x\left(1-r^{2}\right) \\
& +4\left(18+9 r-2 r^{2}\right)\left(1-r^{2}\right) \\
& +4\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(1-r^{2}\right)\left(81-72 x+16 r^{2}\right) \\
= & 625-144(1-x)^{2}+36(1+r)(1-r)(r-x) \leq 625
\end{aligned}
$$

since $36(1+r)(1-r)(r-x) \leq 72(1-x)^{2} \leq 144(1-x)^{2}$. Also note that equality holds if and only if $r=x=1$.

The motivation behind the formula for $\phi$ is that if $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ are real, then $\phi_{1}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Re} g\left(z_{1}+i z_{2}\right)$ and $\phi_{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Im} g\left(z_{1}+i z_{2}\right)$. Since $g(\Delta) \subset \Delta$, one can hope that $\phi(B) \subset B$. Further, the curve $\phi(C)$ has second order tangency at $e$ to $C$.

THEOREM 2. $\Phi(\bar{B}) \subset \bar{B}, \Phi$ is a homeomorphism of $B$ onto $\Phi(\bar{B})$, and $C_{\Phi}$ is unbounded on $H^{2}$.

Proof. Since $\phi$ and $\psi$ both map $\bar{B}$ into $\bar{B}$, we have $\Phi(\bar{B}) \subset \bar{B}$. Also $\operatorname{Re} \phi_{1}(f)>$ 0 for $z \in \bar{B}$ and $\psi$ is one-to-one on $B \cap\left\{z: \operatorname{Re} z_{1}>0\right\}$. Hence Lemmas 3 and 4 show that $\Phi$ is a homeomorphism. It remains to show that $C_{\Phi}$ is unbounded. Now

$$
\Phi_{1}(z)=\frac{1}{2}\left[1+\frac{1}{25^{2}}\left(\left(18+9 z_{1}-2 z_{1}^{2}+2 z_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(9 z_{2}-4 z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{2}\right)\right]
$$

and a computation shows that

$$
1-\Phi_{1}(z)=\frac{1}{1250}\left[144\left(1-z_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(1-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}\right)\left(157-36 z_{1}+4 z_{1}^{2}+4 z_{2}^{2}\right)\right]
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|1-\Phi_{1}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{6}\left(\left|1-z_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|1-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}\right|\right) \quad \text { for } z \in \bar{B} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will show that $\lim _{t>0}\left(\sigma\left(\Phi^{*}\right)^{-1}(S(e, t)) / t^{2}\right)=\infty$ so that $\sigma\left(\Phi^{*}\right)^{-1}$ is not a Carleson measure.

Consider the parametrization of $\partial B$ given by $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left(\sqrt{1-\rho} e^{i \theta_{1}}, \sqrt{\rho} e^{i \theta_{2}}\right)$; $0 \leq \rho \leq 1,-\pi<\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \leq \pi$. It is easy to check that $d \sigma=d \rho d \theta_{1} d \theta_{2}$. For $0<t<1$, let

$$
B_{t}=\left\{\left(\sqrt{1-\rho} e^{i \theta_{1}}, \sqrt{\rho} e^{i \theta_{2}}\right): 0<\theta_{1}<t, 0<\theta_{2}<\pi, \rho<\min \left\{\sqrt{t},\left(t / \theta_{2}\right)\right\}\right.
$$

The following estimates show that $B_{t} \subset\left(\Phi^{*}\right)^{-1}(S(e, t))$. Suppose that $z \in B_{t}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|1-z_{1}\right|^{2} & =1+\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}-2\left|z_{1}\right| \cos \theta_{1} \leq\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|\right)^{2}+\theta_{1}^{2}  \tag{2}\\
& =(1-\sqrt{1-\rho})^{2}+\theta_{1}^{2}<\rho^{2}+\theta_{1}^{2}<t+t^{2}<2 t .
\end{align*}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|1-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}\right| & =\left|1-(1-\rho) e^{2 i \theta_{1}}-\rho e^{2 i \theta_{2}}\right| \leq \rho\left|1-e^{2 i \theta_{2}}\right|+(1-\rho)\left|1-e^{2 i \theta_{1}}\right|  \tag{3}\\
& \leq 2 \rho \theta_{2}+2 \theta_{1}<2 t+2 t=4 t .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus from (1), (2), and (3), $\left|1-\Phi_{1}(z)\right|<\frac{1}{6}(2 t+4 t)=t$.
Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma\left(B_{t}\right) & =\int_{0}^{t} d \theta_{1}\left[\int_{0}^{\sqrt{t}} d \theta_{2} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{t}} d \rho+\int_{\sqrt{t}}^{\pi} d \theta_{2} \int_{0}^{t / \theta_{2}} d \rho\right]=t^{2}+t \int_{\sqrt{t}}^{\pi} \frac{t}{\theta_{2}} d \theta_{2} \\
& =t^{2}+t^{2} \ln \pi+t^{2} \ln \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \geq \frac{t^{2}}{2} \ln \frac{1}{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\sigma\left(\Phi^{*}\right)^{-1}$ is not a Carleson measure.
$\Phi$ is the simplest one-to-one map we have been able to construct which induces an unbounded composition operator. However, motivated by inequalities (2) and (3), we can construct a simple (quadratic) mapping $\Lambda$ of $B$ into $B$ which is two-to-one on $\bar{B}$ and so that $C_{\Lambda}$ is unbounded.

EXAMPLE 3. Consider $\Lambda(z)=\frac{1}{9}\left(5+5 z_{1}-z_{1}^{2}+\frac{3}{2} z_{2}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}\right)$. Just as in Lemma 2, one can show that

$$
\left|5+5 z_{1}-z_{1}^{2}\right| \leq 5+5 r-r^{2} \quad \text { if }\left|z_{1}\right|=r<1
$$

Thus if $z \in \partial B$ and $\left|z_{1}\right|=r$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\Lambda(z)|^{2} & \leq\left|\Lambda_{1}(z)\right|+\left|\Lambda_{2}(z)\right|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{9}\left(\left|5+5 z_{1}-z_{1}^{2}\right|+\frac{3}{2}\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{81}\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{9}\left[5+5 r-r^{2}+\frac{3}{2}\left(1-r^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{9}(1+r)^{2}(1-r)^{2}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{9}\left[9-\frac{5}{2}(1-r)^{2}+\frac{4}{9}(1-r)^{2}\right] \leq 1,
\end{aligned}
$$

with equality only if $z_{1}=r=1$. Thus $\Lambda(\bar{B}-\{e\}) \subset B$. It is elementary to check that $\Lambda(z)=\Lambda(w)$ if ond only if $z_{2}^{2}=w_{2}^{2}$, so that $\Lambda$ is two-to-one on $\bar{B}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
1-\Lambda_{1}(z) & =1-\frac{1}{9}\left(5+5 z_{1}-z_{1}^{2}+\frac{3}{2} z_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{18}\left[5\left(1-z_{1}\right)^{2}+3\left(1-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

so the same argument as in the end of the proof of Theorem 2 shows that $\sigma\left(\Lambda^{*}\right)^{-1}$ is not a Carleson measure. We close with the following example.

Example 4. Let $\phi(z)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ and let $\psi$ be as in Example 1. Set $\Phi=$ $\psi \circ \phi$. Then just as for the map of Theorem 2 , we have that $\Phi$ is a homeomorphism of $\bar{B}$ onto $\Phi(\bar{B}), \Phi(e)=e$, and $\Phi(\bar{B}-\{e\}) \subset B$. Also the derivative of $\Phi^{-1}$ is unbounded near $e$. We claim that $C_{\Phi}$ is bounded, even though the MacCluerShapiro Theorem [4, Theorem 6.4] does not apply. The proof is somewhat tedious, and we only give an outline. We must show that there is a $C>0$ so that if $\varsigma \in \partial B$ and $t>0$, then $\sigma\left(\Phi^{*}\right)^{-1}(S(\varsigma, t)) \leq C t^{2}$. Since on the complement of a neighborhood of $e,\left|\Phi^{*}\right|$ is strictly less than 1 , we need only consider $\varsigma$ near 1 . Then if $z \in Q(\varsigma, t)$ and $t$ is small, we will also have $z$ near $e$.
If $|1-\langle\Phi(z), \varsigma\rangle|<t$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|\varsigma_{1}\right|}{8}\left|\frac{8}{\varsigma_{1}}-4-\left(1+z_{1}\right)^{2}-z_{2}^{2}\right|-\frac{\left|\varsigma_{2}\right|\left|z_{2}\right|}{16}\left|4-\left(1+z_{1}\right)^{2}-z_{2}^{2}\right|<t . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|4-\left(1+z_{1}\right)^{2}-z_{2}^{2}\right| & \leq\left|1-z_{1}\right|\left|3+z_{1}\right|+\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)  \tag{5}\\
& \leq 4\left|1-z_{1}\right|+2\left|1-z_{1}\right|=6\left|1-z_{1}\right|
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\lambda=2 \sqrt{2 / \varsigma_{1}-1}-1$. Then $|\lambda|>1$ and $\lambda$ is near 1 .

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|8 / \varsigma_{1}-4-\left(1+z_{1}\right)^{2}-z_{2}^{2}\right| & \geq\left|\lambda-z_{1}\right|\left|\lambda+2+z_{1}\right|-\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}  \tag{6}\\
& \geq 3\left|\lambda-z_{1}\right|-2\left(1-\left|z_{1}\right|\right) \geq\left|\lambda-z_{1}\right| .
\end{align*}
$$

From (4), (5), and (6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|\zeta_{1}\right|}{8}\left|\lambda-z_{1}\right|-\frac{\sqrt{1-\left|\varsigma_{1}\right|^{2}} \sqrt{1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}}}{16} 6\left|1-z_{1}\right|<t . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Some computation shows that

$$
\sqrt{1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}} \sqrt{1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}} \leq 2\left(2 \sqrt{2-\left|\varsigma_{1}\right|}-1-\left|z_{1}\right|\right) \leq 2\left|\lambda-z_{1}\right| .
$$

Hence if $\varsigma$ and $z$ are sufficiently near $e$ that $\left|s_{1}\right| / 8>\frac{1}{10}$ and $\left|1-z_{1}\right|<\frac{1}{20}$, then from (7),

$$
\frac{1}{10}\left|\lambda-z_{1}\right|-\frac{1}{20}\left|\lambda-z_{1}\right|<t .
$$

Thus $z \in Q((\lambda /|\lambda|, 0), 20 t)$. We can take $C=400$.
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