A Q -MANIFOLD LOCAL-COMPACTIFICATION OF A METRIC COMBINATORIAL ∞ -MANIFOLD

KATSURO SAKAI

ABSTRACT. Let K be a combinatorial ∞ -manifold, that is, a countable simplicial complex such that the star of each vertex is combinatorially equivalent to the countable-infinite full simplicial complex. Then the space $|K|_m$ with the metric topology is a manifold modeled on the space σ , where σ is the subspace of the Hilbert cube $Q = I^\omega$ which consists of all points having at most finitely many nonzero coordinates. In this paper, we give a local-compactification of $|K|_m$ which is a $[0, 1)$ -stable Q -manifold containing $|K|_m$ as an f.d. cap set.

0. Introduction. Let X be a metric space with a metric d . A closed subset A of X is called a Z -set in X if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each map $f: I^n \rightarrow X, n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a map $g: I^n \rightarrow X \setminus A$ with $d(f, g) < \varepsilon$. An *f.d. cap set* for X is a subset M of X such that $M = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n$ where $M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \cdots$ is a tower of finite-dimensional compact Z -sets in X and for each $\varepsilon > 0$, each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and each finite-dimensional compact subset A of X , there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and an embedding $h: A \rightarrow M_n$ such that $h|_{A \cap M_m} = \text{id}$ and $d(h, \text{id}) < \varepsilon$ [**An** and **Ch**₁]. Let σ denote the subspace of the Hilbert cube $Q = I^\omega$ which consists of all points having at most finitely many nonzero coordinates. R. D. Anderson [**An**] showed that σ is an f.d. cap set for Q and that for any f.d. cap set M for Q there is a homeomorphism of Q onto itself taking M onto σ . A *Hilbert cube manifold* (or *Q -manifold*) is a separable manifold modeled on the Hilbert cube Q . A separable manifold modeled on the space σ is called a σ -manifold. T. A. Chapman [**Ch**₁] proved that any f.d. cap set for a Q -manifold is a σ -manifold and any σ -manifold can be embedded as an f.d. cap set for a Q -manifold and that for any f.d. cap sets M and N for a Q -manifold X , there is a homeomorphism of X onto itself taking M onto N .

Two simplicial complexes are said to be *combinatorially equivalent* provided they admit simplicially isomorphic subdivisions. A *combinatorial ∞ -manifold* is a countable simplicial complex such that the star of each vertex is combinatorially equivalent to the countable-infinite full simplicial complex, namely a ∞ -simplex [**Sa**₁]. For a simplicial complex K , $|K|$ denotes the underlying set and $|K|_m$ denotes the space $|K|$ with the topology induced by the metric

$$d_1(x, y) = \sum_{v \in K^0} |x(v) - y(v)|,$$

where $(x(v))_{v \in K^0}$ and $(y(v))_{v \in K^0}$ are the barycentric coordinates of x and y respectively. The author [**Sa**₂, **Sa**₃] showed that any σ -manifold is homeomorphic to

Received by the editors May 2, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 57N20, 57Q15, 54E45, 54F40.

Key words and phrases. Simplicial complex, combinatorial ∞ -manifold, metric topology, local-compactification, σ -manifold, Q -manifold, $[0, 1)$ -stable, f.d. cap set, Z -set, ANR.

$|K|_m$ for some combinatorial ∞ -manifold K and that a countable simplicial complex K is a combinatorial ∞ -manifold if and only if $|K|_m$ is a σ -manifold. For a combinatorial ∞ -manifold K , we give here a local-compactification of $|K|_m$ which is a Q -manifold containing $|K|_m$ as an f.d. cap set.

Through the paper, K always denotes a countable-infinite simplicial complex with vertices v_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $x \in |K|$, we write $x_i = x(v_i)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Identifying $x \in |K|$ with $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in Q$, we consider $|K| \subset Q$. The topology of $|K|_m$ is clearly the subspace topology on $|K|$. Thus $|K|_m$ can be considered as a subspace of Q . Then $|K|_m$ has a local-compactification

$$\overline{|K|}^{Q^*} = \text{cl}_{Q \setminus \{0\}} |K| = (\text{cl}_Q |K|) \setminus \{0\},$$

where 0 denotes the point $(0, 0, \dots) \in Q$. It is not difficult to see that $\overline{|K|}^{Q^*} = |K|$ if and only if K is locally finite. In §1, we show that $\overline{|K|}^{Q^*}$ is an ANR local-compactification of $|K|_m$ if K has no principal (maximal) simplex. Our main result is the following which is proved in §2.

MAIN THEOREM. *If K is a combinatorial ∞ -manifold, then $\overline{|K|}^{Q^*}$ is a $[0, 1)$ -stable Q -manifold and $|K|_m$ is an f.d. cap set for $\overline{|K|}^{Q^*}$.*

Here we say that a Q -manifold X is $[0, 1)$ -stable if $X \times [0, 1)$ is homeomorphic to X . T. A. Chapman [Ch₂] showed that $[0, 1)$ -stable Q -manifolds are topologically classified by homotopy type. From this result and the topological uniqueness of f.d. cap sets for a Q -manifold, it follows that if two combinatorial ∞ -manifolds K and L have the same homotopy type, then the pairs $(\overline{|K|}^{Q^*}, |K|_m)$ and $(\overline{|L|}^{Q^*}, |L|_m)$ are homeomorphic.

The author would like to thank Doug Curtis and V. T. Liem for helpful conversations.

1. The compactification $\text{cl}_Q |K|$. We use the metric d_Q for Q defined by

$$d_Q(x, y) = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \min\{|x_i - y_i|, i^{-1}\}.$$

1.1. LEMMA. *If K has no principal simplex, then*

$$\text{cl}_Q |K| = \left\{ x \in Q \mid (1) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \leq 1 \text{ and } (2) v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_n} \text{ span a simplex of } K \text{ if } x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n} \neq 0 \right\}.$$

PROOF. It is easy to see that each $x \in \text{cl}_Q |K|$ satisfies (1) and (2). (Cf. [Sa₄, Lemma 2.2]. This is valid without hypothesis.) Conversely take $x \in Q$ satisfying (1) and (2). For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_n}\} = \{v_i \mid x_i \neq 0, i \leq m\}.$$

Then $v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_n}$ span a simplex of K by (2). Since K has no principal simplex, we have $v_{i_{n+1}} \in K^0 \setminus \{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_n}\}$ such that $v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_{n+1}}$ span a simplex of K and $i_{n+1} > m$. Note $x_{i_1} + \dots + x_{i_n} \leq 1$ by (1). We define $y \in |K|$ as follows:

$$y_i = \begin{cases} x_{i_j} & \text{if } i = i_j, j = 1, \dots, n, \\ 1 - (x_{i_1} + \dots + x_{i_n}) & \text{if } i = i_{n+1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $x_i = y_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, m$, we have $d_Q(x, y) < m^{-1}$. Therefore $x \in \text{cl}_Q|K|$. $\square$

1.2. THEOREM. *If K has no principal simplex, then $\text{cl}_Q|K|$ is an AR; hence $\overline{|K|}^{Q^*}$ is an ANR.*

PROOF. Let $\mu: Q \times Q \rightarrow Q$ be a map defined by

$$\mu(x, y)_i = \min\{x_i, y_i\}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Define a map $\lambda: Q \times Q \times I \rightarrow Q$ as follows:

$$\lambda(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} (1 - 2t)x + 2t\mu(x, y) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ (2t - 1)y + (2 - 2t)\mu(x, y) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then $\lambda(x, y, 0) = x$, $\lambda(x, y, 1) = y$ and $\lambda(x, x, t) = x$ for any $x, y \in Q$ and $t \in I$, that is, λ is an equi-connecting map for Q . Using Lemma 1.1, it is easily seen that

$$\lambda(\text{cl}_Q|K| \times \text{cl}_Q|K| \times I) \subset \text{cl}_Q|K|.$$

Let $x, y, z \in \text{cl}_Q|K|$ such that $d_Q(x, z), d_Q(y, z) < n^{-1}$, and let $t \in I$. Then for each $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$|x_i - z_i|, |y_i - z_i| < n^{-1} \quad (\leq i^{-1}),$$

which implies

$$|\mu(x, y)_i - z_i| = |\min\{x_i, y_i\} - z_i| < n^{-1}.$$

From the definition of λ , it follows that

$$|\lambda(x, y, t)_i - z_i| < n^{-1} \quad \text{for each } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Therefore we have

$$d_Q(\lambda(x, y, t), z) = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \min\{|\lambda(x, y, t)_i - z_i|, i^{-1}\} < n^{-1}.$$

This means the n^{-1} -neighborhood of z in $\text{cl}_Q|K|$ is λ -convex. By the result of [Du], $\text{cl}_Q|K|$ is an AR. $\square$

1.3. REMARKS. The hypothesis of Theorem 1.2 is essential. In general $\overline{|K|}^{Q^*}$ is not even locally connected. In fact, if K is the cone over the positive integers then $\text{cl}_Q|K|$ is homeomorphic to the cone over $\{0\} \cup \{1/n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. However, it is not a necessary condition. Let K be the cone over the complex of the half-open interval $[0, \infty)$. Then $\text{cl}_Q|K|$ is homeomorphic to a 2-simplex, hence is an AR.

Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1.2, it can also be shown that *the inclusion $|K|_m \subset \overline{|K|}^{Q^*}$ is a weak homotopy equivalence, therefore a homotopy equivalence* (cf. [Sa₄, Theorem 2.12]).

2. Proof of the Main Theorem and some remarks. First we prove the following

2.1. PROPOSITION. *Let X be an ANR local-compactification of a σ -manifold M and let d be a metric for X . If for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each compact subset A of X there is a map $h: A \rightarrow M$ with $d(h, \text{id}) < \varepsilon$, then X is a Q -manifold and M is an f.d. cap set for X .*

PROOF. H. Toruńczyk [To] characterized Q -manifolds as locally compact ANR's X with the disjoint approximation property (i.e., any two maps from Q to X can be

arbitrarily closely approximated by maps whose images are disjoint). The disjoint approximation property for the σ -manifold M (cf. [Mo]) and the mapping hypothesis imply that X also has the disjoint approximation property and is therefore a Q -manifold.

Next we will show that M is an f.d. cap set for X . By [Sa₂, Lemma 2], M has a strongly universal tower $\{M_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ for finite-dimensional compacta such that $M = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} M_i$ and each M_i is a finite-dimensional compact (strong) Z -set in M (cf. [CDM]). Then the mapping hypothesis implies that each M_i is a Z -set in X . To verify the absorption property of the tower $\{M_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, let A be finite-dimensional compact subset of X and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since M is an ANR, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that any map $f: A \cap M_m \rightarrow M$ with $d(f, \text{id}) < \delta$ is $\varepsilon/3$ -homotopic to the inclusion $A \cap M_m \subset M$. From the hypothesis, there is a map $h: A \rightarrow M$ with $d(h, \text{id}) < \delta$. Then $h|_{A \cap M_m}$ is $\varepsilon/3$ -homotopic to the inclusion $A \cap M_m \subset M$. By the Homotopy Extension Theorem [Hu, Chapter IV, Theorem 2.2 and its proof], h is $\varepsilon/3$ -homotopic to a map $j: A \rightarrow M$ with $j|_{A \cap M_m} = \text{id}$. From the strong universality of the tower $\{M_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, we have an embedding $g: A \rightarrow M_n$ for some $n \geq m$ such that $g|_{A \cap M_m} = j|_{A \cap M_m} = \text{id}$ and $d(g, j) < \varepsilon/3$. Then $d(g, \text{id}) \leq d(g, j) + d(j, h) + d(h, \text{id}) < \varepsilon$. Hence M is an f.d. cap set for X . $\square$

PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM. To verify the hypothesis of Proposition 2.1, let A be a compact subset of $\overline{K}^{Q^*}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since A is closed in Q and $0 \notin A$, we have $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < s < 1$ such that $n^{-1} < \varepsilon/3$ and each $x \in A$ has a coordinate $x_i \geq s$ for some $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let L be the finite full subcomplex for K with $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\} = L^0$, and set

$$|L|^* = \{tx \mid x \in |L|, t \in [s, 1]\} \subset \overline{K}^{Q^*}.$$

Define a map $f: A \rightarrow |L|^*$ by

$$f(x)_i = \begin{cases} x_i & \text{for } i \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{for } i > n. \end{cases}$$

Then $d_Q(f, \text{id}) < n^{-1} < \varepsilon/3$. Since $|L|$ is a compact subset of the σ -manifold $|K|_m$, $|L|$ is a Z -set in $|K|_m$. Hence there is a map $g: |K|_m \rightarrow |K|_m \setminus |L|$ with $d_Q(g, \text{id}) < \varepsilon/3$. Since we have a homeomorphism $h: [s, 1] \times |L| \rightarrow |L|^*$ defined by $h(t, x) = tx$, we can define a map $g^*: |L|^* \rightarrow \overline{K}^{Q^*}$ by $g^*(tx) = tg(x)$. Then $d_Q(g^*, \text{id}) \leq d_Q(g, \text{id}) < \varepsilon/3$. Observe that

$$g^* (|L|^*) \cap \{tx \mid x \in |L|, t \in [0, 1]\} = \emptyset.$$

This implies that each $x \in g^* (|L|^*)$ has a nonzero coordinate $x_i \neq 0$ for some $i > n$. From compactness of $g^* (|L|^*)$, there exists $m > n$ such that each $x \in g^* (|L|^*)$ has a nonzero coordinate $x_i \neq 0$ for some $i = n+1, \dots, m$. Define a map $k: g^* (|L|^*) \rightarrow |K|_m$ as follows:

$$k(x)_i = \begin{cases} x_i & \text{for } i \leq n, \\ \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^n x_j\right) \cdot x_i / \sum_{j=n+1}^m x_j & \text{for } n < i \leq m, \\ 0 & \text{for } i > m. \end{cases}$$

Then $d_Q(k, \text{id}) < n^{-1} < \varepsilon/3$. Thus we have a map $kg^*f: A \rightarrow |K|_m$. And then

$$d_Q(kg^*f, \text{id}) \leq d_Q(k, \text{id}) + d_Q(g^*, \text{id}) + d_Q(f, \text{id}) < \varepsilon.$$

By Proposition 2.1, $\overline{K}|^{Q^*}$ is a Q -manifold and $|K|_m$ is an f.d. cap set for $\overline{K}|^{Q^*}$.

To verify the $[0, 1)$ -stability of $\overline{K}|^{Q^*}$, we use Wong's criterion [Wo]. Thus we show that $\overline{K}|^{Q^*}$ is properly contractible to infinity; that is, for each compact subset A of $\overline{K}|^{Q^*}$ there is a proper homotopy $h: \overline{K}|^{Q^*} \times I \rightarrow \overline{K}|^{Q^*}$ with $h_0 = \text{id}$ and $h_1(\overline{K}|^{Q^*}) \subset \overline{K}|^{Q^*} \setminus A$. For any compact subset A of $\overline{K}|^{Q^*}$, we have $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < s < 1$ such that

$$\{x \in Q \mid x_i \leq s \text{ for each } i = 1, \dots, n\} \cap A = \emptyset.$$

Define a homotopy $h: Q \times I \rightarrow Q$ by

$$h(x, t) = ((1 - t) + ts) \cdot x.$$

Then $h_0 = \text{id}$ and $h_1(Q) \cap A = \emptyset$. By Lemma 1.1, $h(\overline{K}|^{Q^*} \times I) \subset \overline{K}|^{Q^*}$. Thus we have a proper homotopy $h: \overline{K}|^{Q^*} \times I \rightarrow \overline{K}|^{Q^*}$ with the desired property. Therefore $\overline{K}|^{Q^*}$ is $[0, 1)$ -stable. $\square$

It is natural to ask the following

2.2. QUESTION. *If $\overline{K}|^{Q^*}$ is a Q -manifold, then is K a combinatorial ∞ -manifold?*

From the above proof, it follows that $\overline{K}|^{Q^*}$ is $[0, 1)$ -stable if $\overline{K}|^{Q^*}$ is a Q -manifold.

For the countable-infinite full simplicial complex Δ^∞ , $\text{cl}_Q|\Delta^\infty|$ is homeomorphic to Q by Keller's Theorem [Ke]. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the identity map of $\text{cl}_Q|\Delta^\infty|$ is n^{-1} -close to a map $f: \text{cl}_Q|\Delta^\infty| \rightarrow |\Delta^\infty|_m$ defined by

$$f(x)_i = \begin{cases} x_i & \text{for } i \leq n, \\ 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i & \text{for } i = n + 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } i > n + 1. \end{cases}$$

Then as in the proof of Proposition 2.1, $|\Delta^\infty|_m$ is an f.d. cap set for $\text{cl}_Q|\Delta^\infty|$. Thus the pair $(\text{cl}_Q|\Delta^\infty|, |\Delta^\infty|_m)$ is homeomorphic to the pair (Q, σ) . More generally, the following follows from the Main Theorem.

2.3. COROLLARY. *For any contractible combinatorial ∞ -manifold K , the pair $(\text{cl}_Q|K|, |K|_m)$ is homeomorphic to the pair (Q, σ) .*

PROOF. By the Main Theorem, $\overline{K}|^{Q^*}$ is homeomorphic to $Q \times [0, 1)$ which is homeomorphic to $Q \setminus \{0\}$. Since $\text{cl}_Q|K|$ and Q are the one-point compactifications of $\overline{K}|^{Q^*}$ and $Q \setminus \{0\}$ respectively, they are homeomorphic. Then $\{0\}$ is a Z -set in $\text{cl}_Q|K|$. Since $|K|_m$ is an f.d. cap set for $\overline{K}|^{Q^*} = (\text{cl}_Q|K|) \setminus \{0\}$, it is also an f.d. cap set for $\text{cl}_Q|K|$. Thus $(\text{cl}_Q|K|, |K|_m)$ is homeomorphic to (Q, σ) . $\square$

However, for a noncontractible combinatorial ∞ -manifold K , $\text{cl}_Q|K|$ is not a Q -manifold because $0 \in \text{cl}_Q|K|$ and $\{0\}$ is not a Z -set in $\text{cl}_Q|K|$ (cf. [Sa₄]).

REFERENCES

- [An] R. D. Anderson, *On sigma-compact subsets of infinite-dimensional spaces*, unpublished manuscript.
- [Ch₁] T. A. Chapman, *Dense sigma-compact subsets of infinite-dimensional manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **154** (1971), 399–426.
- [Ch₂] —, *On the structure of Hilbert cube manifolds*, Compositio Math. **24** (1972), 329–353.

- [CDM] D. W. Curtis, T. Dobrowolski, and J. Mogilski, *Some applications of the topological characterizations of the sigma-compact spaces l_f^2 and Σ* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **284** (1984), 837–846.
- [Du] J. Dugundji, *Locally equiconnected spaces and absolute neighborhood retracts*, Fund. Math. **57** (1965), 187–193.
- [Hu] S.-T. Hu, *Theory of retracts*, Wayne State Univ. Press, Detroit, Mich., 1965.
- [Ke] O. H. Keller, *Die Homöomorphie der kompakten konvexen Mengen im Hilbertschen Raum*, Math. Ann. **105** (1931), 748–758.
- [Mo] J. Mogilski, *Characterizing the topology of infinite-dimensional σ -compact manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **92** (1984), 111–118.
- [Sa₁] K. Sakai, *Combinatorial infinite-dimensional manifolds and $\mathbf{R}^\infty$ -manifolds*, Topology Appl. (to appear).
- [Sa₂] ———, *On topologies of triangulated infinite-dimensional manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **39** (1987) (in press).
- [Sa₃] ———, *Simplicial complexes triangulating infinite-dimensional manifolds*, preprint.
- [Sa₄] ———, *Completions of metric simplicial complexes by using l_p -norms*, preprint.
- [To] H. Toruńczyk, *On CE-images of the Hilbert cube and characterization of Q -manifolds*, Fund. Math. **106** (1980), 31–40.
- [Wo] R. Y.-T. Wong, *Non-compact Hilbert cube manifolds*, unpublished manuscript.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA, SAKURA-MURA, IBARAKI 305, JAPAN (Current address)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70803