ON THE DERIVATIVE WITH RESPECT TO A POINT

A. W. GOODMAN

ABSTRACT. The derivative of a polynomial $p(z)$ with respect to a point ζ is defined by the formula $A_\zeta p(z) = (\zeta - z)p'(z) + np(z)$, where n is the degree of the polynomial. Let $p(z)$ have all its zeros in the unit disk and one zero at $z = 1$. We determine a minimal region that must contain at least one zero of $A_\zeta p(z)$.

1. Introduction. It is customary to define the derivative of a polynomial $p(z)$ with respect to a point ζ by the formula

$$(1.1) \quad A_\zeta \equiv A_\zeta(p(z)) \equiv (\zeta - z)p'(z) + np(z),$$

where n is the degree of the polynomial (see [3, vol. 1, p. 48; 4, vol. 2, p. 61]). Clearly $\lim A_\zeta(p(z))/\zeta \equiv p'(z)$ as $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $p'(z)$ can be regarded as the derivative of $p(z)$ with respect to $\zeta = \infty$. Hence, theorems about $A_\zeta(p(z))$ can be regarded as generalizations for theorems about the derivative of $p(z)$.

An interesting conjecture due to Sendov runs as follows.

CONJECTURE. Let $p(z)$ be a polynomial with all of its zeros in $\bar{E}: |z| \leq 1$. If a is any one of these zeros, then $p'(z)$ has at least one zero in the disk $|z - a| \leq 1$.

This conjecture is still unsettled, although it is known to be true in many special cases. For details and further references see Schmeisser [6, 7]. One can always rotate the disk, and hence one can always assume that $0 \leq a \leq 1$ without loss of generality. Our interest centers on the special case that $a = 1$, where we have

THEOREM A. *Let $p(z)$ be a polynomial with all its zeros in $\bar{E}$ and assume that $p(1) = 0$. Then $p'(z)$ has at least one zero in $|z - 1/2| \leq 1/2$ (see Goodman, Rahman, and Ratti [2] and Schmeisser [5]).*

Here we consider the generalization of Theorem A to $A_\zeta(p(z))$, the derivative of $p(z)$ with respect to the point ζ . For each fixed ζ we obtain a minimal set in which A_ζ must have at least one zero.

2. Statement of the result. If $\zeta = 1$ and $p(1) = 0$, then it is clear from (1.1) that $A_\zeta(p(z)) = 0$ when $z = 1$. Thus the singleton set $\{1\}$ forms the minimal set when $\zeta = 1$. Thus the case $\zeta = 1$ is trivial. The case $\zeta = \infty$ can also be set aside because this is just the case settled by Theorem A. Henceforth we assume that $\zeta \neq 1$ and $\zeta \neq \infty$.

Received by the editors July 14, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 30C10; Secondary 30C15.

Key words and phrases. Polynomials, zeros of the derivative, derivative with respect to a point, minimal set.

THEOREM 1. Let $p(z)$ be a polynomial with all of its zeros in $\bar{E}$ and one zero at $z = 1$. If $\zeta \neq 1$ and $\zeta \neq \infty$, then $A_\zeta(p(z))$ has at least one zero in $\bar{K}$, where $\bar{K}$ is the image of $\bar{E}$ under the transformation

$$(2.1) \quad w = L(z) \equiv \frac{(\zeta - 2)z + \zeta}{-z + (2\zeta - 1)}.$$

This result is sharp. This means that $\bar{K}$ is a minimal set (if any point is deleted from $\bar{K}$, then the assertion is false).

The mapping (2.1) has several interesting properties. First, $L(\bar{E}) = 1$ iff $\zeta = 1$; a trivial case that has already been discussed.

Second, $L(\bar{E})$ is the half-plane $\operatorname{Re} w \leq 1$ iff ζ is on the circle $|\zeta - 1/2| = 1/2$ and $\zeta \neq 1$.

Third, $\bar{K}$ is always symmetric with respect to the real axis, and always contains the points $L(1) = 1$ and $L(-1) = 1/\zeta$.

Fourth, ζ is a fixed point of (2.1).

Finally, $L(\bar{E}) = \bar{E}$ if and only if $|\zeta| = 1$ and $\zeta \neq 1$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. As in [2] we map $\bar{E}$ onto the half-plane $\operatorname{Re} w \geq 0$ by the Möbius transformation

$$(3.1) \quad w = M(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad z = M^{-1}(w) = \frac{w-1}{w+1}.$$

The equation $A_\zeta(p(z)) = 0$ is satisfied whenever

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{A_\zeta(p(z))}{p(z)} = (\zeta - z) \frac{p'(z)}{p(z)} + n = 0$$

or whenever

$$(3.3) \quad (\zeta - z) \left(\frac{1}{z-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{z-z_k} \right) + n = 0.$$

Here we let $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}$ and $z_n = 1$ be the zeros of $p(z)$. If $w_k = M(z_k)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ and $\zeta^* = M(\zeta)$, then the transformation (3.1) applied to (3.3) gives

$$(3.4) \quad 2 \frac{\zeta^* - w}{(\zeta^* + 1)(w + 1)} \left(\frac{w+1}{-2} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(w+1)(w_k+1)}{2(w-w_k)} \right) + n = 0.$$

After a few simple steps we arrive at

$$(3.5) \quad -1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{w_k+1}{w-w_k} + n \frac{\zeta^*+1}{\zeta^*-w} = 0.$$

We add 1 to each term under the sum sign, subtract n from the last term, and divide by $w+1$. Here we observe that $w = -1 = M(\infty)$. Then (3.5) gives

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{w-w_k} - \frac{n}{w-\zeta^*} = 0.$$

Consequently if η_k is any root of equation (3.6), it is also a root of (3.4), and $Z_k = M^{-1}(\eta_k)$ is a zero of $A_\zeta(p(z))$. We next put (3.6) in the form $N(w)/D(w) = 0$, where N and D are polynomials, and find that

$$(3.7) \quad N(w) = w^{n-1} + [(n-1)\zeta^* - 2(w_1 + w_2 + \cdots + w_{n-1})]w^{n-2} + \cdots.$$

Therefore if $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_{n-1}$ are the roots of $N(w) = 0$, then

$$(3.8) \quad \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \cdots + \eta_{n-1} = 2(w_1 + w_2 + \cdots + w_{n-1}) - (n-1)\zeta^*.$$

Since $\operatorname{Re} w_k \geq 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, equation (3.8) tells us that there exists at least one η^* which is a root of $N(w) = 0$, and for which

$$(3.9) \quad \operatorname{Re} \eta^* \geq \operatorname{Re}(-\zeta^*).$$

Then $Z_c = M^{-1}(\eta^*)$ is a root of $A_\zeta(p(z)) = 0$. Since (3.9) defines a half-plane K^* : $\operatorname{Re} w \geq \operatorname{Re}(-\zeta^*)$, the image $\bar{K}$ of this half-plane under $z = M^{-1}(w)$ must contain at least one root of $A_\zeta(p(z)) = 0$.

Let η^* be any fixed point in K^* , where ζ and ζ^* are fixed. We will prove that η^* can occur as a zero of $N(w)$. To see this set $n = 2$. Then equation (3.6) gives

$$(3.10) \quad 1/(w - w_1) = 2/(w - \zeta^*)$$

and hence $w = \eta^* = 2w_1 - \zeta^*$. Thus by selecting w_1 suitably with $\operatorname{Re} w_1 \geq 0$ we can force η^* to be any preassigned point in K^* . Thus, the set $\bar{K} = M^{-1}(K^*)$ is a minimal set under the conditions of Theorem 1. Unless $\zeta = 1$, the set $\bar{K}$ is always a closed circular region, which may be a half-plane, or the closed exterior of a circle. Further, $\bar{K}$ is symmetric with respect to the real axis because it is the image of $\operatorname{Re} w \geq \operatorname{Re}(-\zeta^*)$ under $M(w) = (w-1)/(w+1)$. Since the set K^* is a minimal set for a root of (3.6), it follows that $\bar{K}$ is a minimal set for zeros of $A_\zeta(p(z))$. Finally, we observe that points of $\bar{K}$ occur for the quadratic $p(z) = (z-1)(z-z_1)$. For this polynomial we find that

$$(3.11) \quad A_\zeta(p(z)) = (2\zeta - z_1 - 1)z - (\zeta z_1 - 2z_1 + \zeta).$$

Hence $A_\zeta(p(z)) = 0$ for $z = z_c$, where

$$(3.12) \quad z_c = \frac{(\zeta - 2)z_1 + \zeta}{-z_1 + 2\zeta - 1}, \quad z_1 \neq 2\zeta - 1.$$

Thus as z_1 ranges over $\bar{E}$, equation (3.12) or $L(z)$ generates $\bar{K}$. $\square$

4. Some concluding remarks. Under the conditions of Theorem 1, equation (2.1) provides the complete solution to the problem of finding a minimal set for some zero of $A_\zeta(p(z))$ when $p(z)$ is any polynomial with one zero at $z = 1$ and all zeros in $\bar{E}$. Suppose, however, that we admit to competition only those polynomials of degree n where n is a fixed integer and $n > 2$. Then a minimal set, which now depends on n , may be different from the one found in Theorem 1. To illustrate this possibility, let us return to the original conjecture of Sendov, modified as follows.

PROBLEM. For fixed n , let $p(z)$ be a polynomial of degree n with all of its zeros in $\bar{E}$ and one zero at $z = a$ where a is fixed in $[0, 1]$. Find a minimal set $S(n, a)$ that must always contain at least one zero of $p'(z)$.

It is easy to prove that when $a = 0$, one such minimal set is the disk

$$(4.1) \quad |z| \leq (1/n)^{1/(n-1)}.$$

Thus, in this case, $S(n, 0)$ is a strictly increasing sequence of regions as n increases.

When $a = 1$, a minimal set $S(n, 1)$ is known to be the disk $|z - 1/2| \leq 1/2$ when $n = 2$ or when $n = 3$. The assertion is trivial when $n = 2$ and the case $n = 3$ was settled in [2]. As far as the author is aware the problem is still open for $n \geq 4$. Since Theorem A covers all polynomials, the set $S(n, 1)$ must be contained in the disk $|z - 1/2| \leq 1/2$, but may in fact be smaller than this disk.

REFERENCES

1. A. W. Goodman, *On the zeros of the derivative of a rational function*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. (to appear).
2. A. W. Goodman, Q. I. Rahman, and J. S. Ratti, *On the zeros of a polynomial and its derivative*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (1969), 273–274.
3. E. Laguerre, *Oeuvres*, vol. 1, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1898.
4. G. Pólya and G. Szego, *Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis*, Dover, New York, 1945.
5. G. Schmeisser, *Bemerkungen zu einer Vermutung von Ilieff*, Math. Z. **111** (1969), 121–125.
6. ———, *Zur Lage der Kritischen Punkte eines Polynoms*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **46** (1971), 405–415.
7. ———, *On Ilieff's conjecture*, Math. Z. **156** (1977), 165–173.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA, TAMPA, FLORIDA
33620