

## NONEXISTENCE OF EQUIVARIANT DEGREE ONE MAPS

JANEY ANTONIO DACCACH

(Communicated by Haynes R. Miller)

**ABSTRACT.** We establish the nonexistence of equivariant maps between some classes of manifolds carrying  $G$ -free actions and spheres, whose degree is prime to  $|G|$ , the order of  $G$ , where  $G$  is a finite nontrivial group.

Dold, in his article [D], proved the following theorem:

*If a map  $f: S^m \rightarrow S^n$  commutes with some free action of a finite nontrivial group  $G$  on the spheres  $S^m, S^n$ , then  $n \geq m$ .*

We shall prove that this result remains true for all  $G$ -free actions on closed oriented manifolds and  $G$ -maps  $f: M^m \rightarrow N^n$  under the following hypothesis:

(H) Assume that  $S^m$  has a free  $G$ -action and that there is a  $G$ -map  $h: M^m \rightarrow S^m$  such that the degree of  $h$  is prime to  $|G|$ .

We use the notion of the trace of an action.

For all  $G$ -spaces  $X$ , Gottlieb [G] defined an integer  $\text{tr}(G, X)$ , called the trace of the action, having several properties. For our purposes, we select the following:

$G_1$ : If  $G$  is a finite group of order  $|G|$  acting on a manifold  $N$  which is dominated by a finite CW complex, then  $\text{tr}(G, N) = |G|$  if and only if the action is free.

$G_2$ : If  $M^m$  and  $N^m$  are closed oriented compact  $G$ -manifolds where  $G$  acts by orientation preserving homeomorphisms, and  $f, g: M^m \rightarrow N^m$  are  $G$ -maps, then  $\text{tr}(G, M)$  divides the coincidence number  $L(f, g)$ .

In fact, if  $\deg f$  or  $\deg g$  is not zero,  $G_2$  can be generalized as follows.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *If  $M^m$  and  $N^m$  are closed oriented compact  $G$ -free manifolds and  $f, g: M \rightarrow N$  are equivariant maps such that  $\deg f \neq 0$  or  $\deg g \neq 0$ , then  $\text{tr}(G, M)$  divides  $L(f, g)$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $G$  acts by orientation preserving homeomorphisms then the result is nothing but  $G_2$ , proved by Gottlieb [G].

Now suppose that  $\deg f \neq 0$ . So if  $h \in G$  reverses orientation in  $M$ , then  $h$  also reverses orientation in  $N$ .

Let us define an action  $G \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1$  by

$$\begin{cases} h(z) = \bar{z} & \text{if } h \text{ reverses orientation,} \\ h(z) = z & \text{if } h \text{ preserves orientation,} \end{cases}$$

---

Received by the editors July 29, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 55M25, 55M20; Secondary 57S17.

*Key words and phrases.* Coincidence number, degree of a map, trace of an action,  $G$ -manifolds.

for  $h \in G$  and  $z \in S^1$ , the unit circle in the complex plane, and consider the  $G$ -free manifolds  $M \times S^1$  and  $N \times S^1$ , and the equivariant maps

$$f \times \text{id}, \quad g \times c: M \times S^1 \rightarrow N \times S^1$$

where the action of  $G$  on  $M \times S^1$  and  $N \times S^1$  is the diagonal action,  $\text{id}$  is the identity function, and  $c$  is the constant function, whose image is  $1 \in S^1$ .

Since  $M \times 1 \subset M \times S^1$  is an equivariant retract it follows that  $\text{tr}(G, M \times S^1) = \text{tr}(G, M)$  (see [G]); also since  $G$  acts freely by orientation preserving homeomorphisms in  $M \times S^1$  and  $N \times S^1$  it follows from  $G_2$  that  $\text{tr}(G, M \times S^1)$  divides  $L(f \times \text{id}, g \times c)$ .

But an easy computation shows that  $L(f \times \text{id}, g \times c) = L(f, g)$ . So  $\text{tr}(G, M)$  divides  $L(f, g)$ . Q.E.D.

Since in this note we assume that all manifolds are closed, compact, oriented, connected  $G$ -manifolds, where  $G$  acts freely it follows that if  $f, g: M^m \rightarrow N^m$  are  $G$ -maps, then  $|G|$  divides  $L(f, g)$ . This result was also obtained by Nakaoka [N, Theorem 4.1].

From the definition of  $L(f, g)$  (see [V]), it follows that if  $f, g: M^m \rightarrow S^m$  are maps, then  $L(f, g) = \deg f + (-1)^m \deg g$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $M^m$  and  $N^n$  be  $G$ -free manifolds and let  $M^m$  satisfy the hypothesis (H). If  $f: M^m \rightarrow N^n$  is a  $G$ -map then  $n \geq m$ .*

**PROOF.** Let us assume that  $m > n$ . Since we assume that  $S^m$  is a  $G$ -free manifold, then  $S^m$  is  $m$ -universal for the group  $G$ , because it is  $(m - 1)$ -connected [St]. So there is a  $G$ -map  $h_1: N^n \rightarrow S^m$ . By hypothesis (H) we have also a  $G$ -map  $h: M^m \rightarrow S^m$  whose degree is prime to  $|G|$ . Then we have two  $G$ -maps  $(h_1 \circ f)$ ,  $h: M^m \rightarrow S^m$  and  $|G|$  divides  $L(h_1 \circ f, h)$ . But  $L(h_1 \circ f, h) = \deg(h_1 \circ f) + (-1)^m \deg h$  and since  $h_1 \circ f$  factors through a manifold of lower dimension we have that  $\deg(h_1 \circ f) = 0$ ; we conclude that  $|G|$  divides  $\deg h$ .

This implies  $G$  is trivial. Q.E.D.

Suppose now that the nontrivial finite group  $G$  acts freely on the closed oriented manifolds  $M^m, N^n, S^{m+n}$ .

Let us consider the diagonal action of  $G$  on the product  $M^m \times N^n$ . Since the projection to the first factor  $\pi: M^m \times N^n \rightarrow M^m$  is equivariant, there is no equivariant map  $f: M^m \times N^n \rightarrow S^{m+n}$  whose degree is prime to  $|G|$ . In particular we have

**COROLLARY 3.** *If the nontrivial finite group  $G$  acts freely on the closed oriented manifolds  $M^m, N^n, S^{m+n}$ , then there is no degree one equivariant map  $f: M^m \times N^n \rightarrow S^{m+n}$ , where  $G$  acts diagonally on  $M \times N$ .*

As another application let us consider the Stiefel manifold  $V_k(R^n)$ , the set of  $k$ -orthonormal frames of  $R^n$ , and the involution  $\alpha: V_k(R^n) \rightarrow V_k(R^n)$  defined by  $(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}) = (-e_{i_1}, \dots, -e_{i_k})$ .

Consider also the involution on  $S^m$  given by the antipodal map.

**COROLLARY 4.** *For  $k > 1$  there is no equivariant odd degree map  $f: V_k(R^n) \rightarrow S^m$ , where  $m = \dim V_k(R^n)$ .*

**PROOF.** For  $j < k$  the projection  $\pi: V_k(R^n) \rightarrow V_j(R^n)$  is an equivariant map. Since  $\dim V_j(R^n) < \dim V_k(R^n)$  the hypothesis (H) can not be satisfied. Q.E.D.

## REFERENCES

- [D] Albrecht Dold, *Simple proofs of Borsuk-Ulam results*, Contemp. Math., vol. 19, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1983, pp. 65–69.
- [G] Daniel H. Gottlieb, *The trace of an action and the degree of a map*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **287** (1985), 419–429.
- [N] Minoro Nakaoka, *Coincidence Lefschetz number for a pair of fibre preserving maps*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **32** (1980).
- [St] Norman Steenrod, *The topology of fibre bundles*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1951.
- [V] James W. Vick, *Homology theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1973.

ICMSC-USP, CAIXA POSTAL 668, 13560-SÃO CARLOS-SP, BRASIL