

A GENERALIZATION OF THE VIETORIS-BEGLE THEOREM

JERZY DYDAK AND GEORGE KOZŁOWSKI

(Communicated by Doug W. Curtis)

ABSTRACT. A theorem is proved which generalizes both the Vietoris-Begle theorem and the cell-like theorem for spaces of finite deformation dimension. The proof is geometric and uses a double mapping cylinder trick.

The *double mapping cylinder* $DM(f)$ of a map $f: X' \rightarrow X$ is the space

$$X' \times [-1, 1] \cup_a X \times \{-1, 1\},$$

where $a(x, i) = (f(x), i)$ for $i = -1, 1$. The composition $p(f \times \text{id}): X' \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow X$ (here $p: X \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow X$ is the projection) induces $\hat{f}: DM(f) \rightarrow X$ such that $\hat{f}^{-1}(x)$ is the suspension $\sum f^{-1}(x)$ of $f^{-1}(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

If $f: X' \rightarrow X$ and $A \subset X$, then $f|_{f^{-1}(A)}: f^{-1}(A) \rightarrow A$ is denoted by f_A .

We are going to use a *double mapping cylinder trick* as in [**K**₁, Lemma 7] or [**K**₂, Lemma 2] (see also [**K**₃, **D-S**₁, and **D-S**₂, p. 139]):

If $\hat{f}^\#: [X, E] \rightarrow [DM(f), E]$ is a surjection then $f^\#: [X, E] \rightarrow [X', E]$ is an injection.

Indeed, let $g, h: X \rightarrow E$ be two maps such that $gf \approx hf$. Then there is a map $H: DM(f) \rightarrow E$ such that $H(x, -1) = g(x)$ and $H(x, 1) = h(x)$ for $x \in X$. Since $\hat{f}^\#$ is surjective, H extends over the mapping cylinder $M(\hat{F})$ of \hat{f} . Let $F: M(\hat{f}) \rightarrow E$ be an extension of H . Notice that $\hat{f}|_{X \times \{i\}}$ is a homeomorphism for $i = -1, 1$. Using this one can produce an embedding $a: X \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow M(\hat{f})$ such that $a|_{X \times \{i\}} = \text{id}$ for $i = -1, 1$. Then $F \cdot a$ is a homotopy joining g and h .

The aim of this note is to prove the following

THEOREM. *Let E be a connected CW complex and let $f: X' \rightarrow X$ be a closed surjective map of paracompact Hausdorff spaces. If all maps $f^{-1}(x) \rightarrow \Omega E$ are nullhomotopic for all $x \in X$ and $\hat{f}_A^\#: [A, \Omega E] \rightarrow [DM(f_A), \Omega E]$ is a surjection for all closed subsets A of X , then $\hat{f}_A^\#: [A, E] \rightarrow [DM(f_A), E]$ is a surjection for all closed subsets A of X .*

If $\hat{f}_A^\#: [A, E] \rightarrow [DM(f_A), E]$ is a surjection for all closed subsets A of X , then the image of $f^\#: [X, E] \rightarrow [X', E]$ is the set

$$\{[g]: g: X' \rightarrow E \text{ and } g|_{f^{-1}(x)} \approx \text{const for all } x \in S\}.$$

Before proceeding with the proof of the Theorem let us draw certain corollaries to it.

Received by the editors October 21, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 55N05; Secondary 55N20, 55P20.

Key words and phrases. Vietoris-Begle theorem, double mapping cylinder, loop space.

COROLLARY 1. *Let E be a connected CW complex such that $\pi_i E = 0$ for $i > p$. If $f: X' \rightarrow X$ is a closed surjective map of paracompact Hausdorff spaces such that all maps $f^{-1}(x) \rightarrow \Omega^k E$ are nullhomotopic for all $x \in X$ and for $1 \leq k \leq p$, then $f^\#: [X, E] \rightarrow [X', E]$ is injective and its image is the set*

$$\{[g]: g: X' \rightarrow E \text{ and } g|_{f^{-1}(x)} \approx \text{const for all } x \in X\}.$$

PROOF. $\pi_i(\Omega^p E) = \pi_{i+p} E = 0$ for $i > 0$. Therefore each component of $\Omega^p E$ is contractible, and since point inverses of \hat{f}_A are connected, $\hat{f}_A^\#: [A, \Omega^p E] \rightarrow [DM(f_A), \Omega^p E]$ is a surjection for each closed subset A of X . By induction we get from the Theorem that $\hat{f}_A^\#: [A, \Omega^k E] \rightarrow [DM(f_A), \Omega^k E]$ is a surjection for $0 \leq k \leq p$ and the double mapping cylinder trick implies Corollary 1. \square

REMARK. Corollary 1 strengthens and gives a simpler proof of one of the basic theorems used in the proof of Theorem 2 of [K₄]. A statement and proof by John Walsh for compact metric spaces along with some further discussion can be found in Appendix B of [W].

If one interprets the reduced Čech cohomology group $\tilde{H}^q(A; G)$ as $[A, K(G, q)]$ (see [G or S]), then Corollary 1 implies the Vietoris-Begle theorem which we recall in the improved version from [D] (see also [S, p. 344]):

VIETORIS-BEGLE THEOREM. *Suppose $f: X' \rightarrow X$ is a closed surjective map of paracompact Hausdorff spaces such that $\tilde{H}^q(f^{-1}(x); G) = 0$ for all $x \in X$ and for $q < n$ ($n \geq 0$). Then the sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow H^q(X; G) \xrightarrow{H^q(f)} H^q(X'; G) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \prod_{x \in X} H^q(f^{-1}(x); G)$$

is exact for $q \leq n$, where γ is induced by the inclusion induced homomorphisms $\tilde{H}^q(X'; G) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^q(f^{-1}(x); G)$.

REMARK. The assertion that $\hat{f}^\#: [X, E] \rightarrow [DM(f), E]$ is a surjection means that if g, h are maps from X into E and if $H: X' \times I \rightarrow E$ is a homotopy between gf and hf , then H is homotopic rel. $X' \times \{0, 1\}$ to a homotopy $K: X' \times I \rightarrow E$ which induces a homotopy $X \times I \rightarrow E$ between g and h . The statement of the Vietoris-Begle theorem can therefore be strengthened to include a corresponding assertion, as can be seen in the proof of that theorem.

COROLLARY 2. *If $f: X' \rightarrow X$ is a closed surjective map between paracompacta of finite deformation dimension such that $f^{-1}(x)$ has trivial shape for each $x \in X$, then f is a shape equivalence.*

PROOF. We must show that for any CW complex E , $f^\#: [X, E] \rightarrow [X', E]$ is a bijection. By Corollary 1 it is so for all E such that almost all its homotopy groups are trivial. Let $n > \text{def-dim } X, \text{def-dim } X'$ (see [D-S₂] for a definition of the deformation dimension). By attaching k -cells for $k > n$ to E we can construct E' such that $\pi_i E' = 0$ for $i > n$. Since the n -skeletons of E and of E' coincide, the inclusion $i: E \rightarrow E'$ induces bijections $[X, E] \rightarrow [X, E']$ and $[X', E] \rightarrow [X', E']$. Now, $[X, E] \rightarrow [X', E]$ is a bijection because $[X, E'] \rightarrow [X', E']$ is a bijection. \square

REMARK. See [K_{1,3}, Sh, and D-S₂] for other proofs of results similar to Corollary 2.

In the process of proving the Theorem we need to replace E by a homotopically equivalent space which is an ANE for paracompact spaces.

LEMMA. Any CW complex has the homotopy type of an ANE for paracompact spaces.

PROOF. Take a simplicial complex K such that its geometric realization $|K|$ with the metric topology is homotopy equivalent to a given CW complex (see [G, p. 149]). $|K|$ is considered as a subset of $l_2(K^{(0)})$ with the metric induced from $l^2(K^{(0)})$. As in [H, p. 107] the space $|K^{(n)}|$ is complete for each n . Therefore the subset

$$X = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} |K^{(n)}| \times [n, \infty)$$

of $|K| \times [0, \infty)$ is complete in the metric induced from the obvious metric on $l_2(K^{(0)}) \times [0, \infty)$. It is clear that the inclusion $X \rightarrow |K| \times [0, \infty)$ induces isomorphisms of homotopy groups and, by the Whitehead theorem, it is a homotopy equivalence. Since every complete metric ANR is an ANE for paracompact spaces (see [H, pp. 84, 87]), the Lemma follows. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM. Assume E is an ANE for paracompact spaces. The two statements we have to prove will have the same proof in the beginning. So let us assume that $F: Y \rightarrow X$ is a closed surjective map, where Y is paracompact Hausdorff, and suppose $g: Y \rightarrow E$ is a map such that $g|F^{-1}(x)$ is nullhomotopic for all x in X . Let $p: M(F) \rightarrow X$ be the projection.

Fix $x \in X$. Since $g|F^{-1}(x) \approx \text{const}$, there exists an extension $g': Y \cup p^{-1}(x) \rightarrow E$ of g . Define $g'': Y \cup p^{-1}(x) \cup X \rightarrow E$ by $g''|Y \cup p^{-1}(x) = g'$ and $g''(X) = g'(x)$. g'' extends over a neighborhood U of $Y \cup p^{-1}(x) \cup X$ in $M(F)$. Choose a neighborhood V_x of x in X such that $p^{-1}(V_x) \subset U$. Having done that for all x in X , we choose a locally finite cover $\{A_s\}_{s \in S}$ consisting of closed sets which is a refinement of $\{V_x\}_{x \in X}$. Then, for each $s \in S$, we choose a map $g_s: Y \cup p^{-1}(A_s) \rightarrow E$ such that $g_s|Y = g$ and $g_s(A_s)$ is a one-point set.

Claim. Suppose $F = f$ or $F = \hat{f}$. If A is a closed subset of A_s for some s in S and $h: p^{-1}(A) \rightarrow E$ is an extension of $g|F^{-1}(A)$, then

$$h \approx g_s/p^{-1}(A) \text{ rel. } F^{-1}(A).$$

The Claim will be proved by showing that the map

$$c: B = F^{-1}(A) \times [-1, 1] \cup p^{-1}(A) \times \{-1, 1\} \rightarrow E$$

defined by $c(x, t) = g(x)$ for $(x, t) \in F^{-1}(A) \times [-1, 1]$, $c(X, -1) = g_s(x)$, $c(x, 1) = h(x)$ for $x \in p^{-1}(A)$, is nullhomotopic (because c will be extendible over $p^{-1}(A) \times [-1, 1]$ in this case, which will give a homotopy from g_s to h over $F^{-1}(A)$).

Assuming that the Claim holds we proceed as follows:

If $a: Y \cup p^{-1}(D) \rightarrow E$ is an extension of g (D is closed in X) and $s \in S$, then $a|p^{-1}(D \cap A_s) \approx g_s|p^{-1}(D \cap A_s) \text{ rel. } F^{-1}(D \cap A_s)$. Since $g_s|F^{-1}(A_s) \cup p^{-1}(D \cap A_s)$ is extendible over $p^{-1}(A_s)$, so is $a|F^{-1}(A_s) \cup p^{-1}(D \cap A_s)$. Therefore there exists an extension $a': Y \cup p^{-1}(D \cup A_s) \rightarrow E$ of a . By well-ordering S and by the transfinite induction we can construct an extension $g': p^{-1}(X) \rightarrow E$ of g .

So it remains to prove the Claim by showing that $c \approx \text{const}$.

Case 1. $F = f$.

Here $g_s|A = \text{const}$ implies $h|A \approx \text{const}$ by the double mapping cylinder trick. Without loss of generality we may assume $h(A) = g_s(A)$. Now $c = c'd$, where

$d: B \rightarrow \sum DM(f_A)$ is obtained by contracting each of $A \times \{-1\}$ and $A \times \{1\}$ to a point. Let $c'': DM(f_A) \rightarrow \Omega E$ be the map induced by c' . Since

$$\hat{f}_A^\# : [A, \Omega E] \rightarrow [DM(f_A), \Omega E]$$

is a surjection, there is a map $d': A \rightarrow \Omega E$ such that $d' \hat{f}_A \approx c''$. This implies that there is a map $c''': \sum A \rightarrow E$ such that $c'''(\sum \hat{f}_A) \approx c'$, and therefore $c \approx c'''(\sum \hat{f}_A) d$. However $(\sum \hat{f}_A) d = bw$, where $w: B \rightarrow A \times [-1, 1]$ is induced by $\hat{f}_A \times \text{id}: DM(f_A) \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow A \times [-1, 1]$ (notice that B is homeomorphic to $DM(\hat{f}_A)$) and $b: A \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow \sum A$ is the quotient map. Since $b \approx \text{const}$, we have $(\sum \hat{f}_A) d \approx \text{const}$ and, consequently $c \approx \text{const}$ (see the diagram). This concludes the proof of the Claim for $F = \hat{f}$. Therefore

$$\hat{f}_A^\# : [A, E] \rightarrow [DM(f_A), E]$$

is a surjection.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 B & \xrightarrow{c} & E \\
 \searrow & & \uparrow \approx c''' \\
 & \Sigma DM(f_A) & \\
 w \downarrow & \searrow \Sigma \hat{f}_A & \\
 A \times [-1, 1] & \xrightarrow{b} & \Sigma A
 \end{array}$$

Case 2. $F = f$.

Observe that B is homeomorphic to $DM(f_A)$. Therefore c extends over the mapping cylinder of \hat{f}_A . Since $c|A \times \{-1\} = \text{const}$, any such extension is nullhomotopic. Therefore $c \approx \text{const}$.

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

We thank John Walsh for a remark which helped us to find the right formulation of the Theorem.

REFERENCES

[D] J. Dydak, *An addendum to the Vietoris-Begle theorem*, *Topology Appl.* **23** (1986), 75-86.
 [D-S₁] J. Dydak and J. Segal, *Strong shape theory*, *Dissertationes Math.* **192** (1981), 1-42.
 [D-S₂] —, *Shape theory: An introduction*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 688, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1978 pp. 1-150.
 [G] B. I. Gray, *Homotopy theory*, Academic Press, New York 1975.
 [H] S. T. Hu, *theory of retracts*, Wayne State Univ. Press, Detroit, 1965.
 [K₁] G. Kozłowski, *Mapping theorems for homotopy*, Dissertation, University of Michigan, 1968 (University Microfilms, Ann Arbor, 1968).
 [K₂] —, *Maps of ANR's determined on null sequences of AR's*, *Studies in Topology (Proc. Conf., Charlotte, N.C., March 1974)*. Academic Press, New York 1975, pp. 277-284.
 [K₃] —, *Images of ANR's*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* (to appear).
 [K₄] —, *Postnikov systems in shape theory*, Preliminary report, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* **24** (1977).
 [S] E. Spanier *Algebraic topology*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
 [Sh] R. B. Sher, *Realizing cell-like maps in Euclidean spaces*, *General Topology Appl.* **2** (1972), 75-89.
 [W] J. J. Walsh, *Dimension, cohomological dimension, and cell-like mappings*, *Shape Theory and Geometric Topology, Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 870, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1981, pp. 105-118.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE, KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE 37996

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AUBURN UNIVERSITY, AUBURN, ALABAMA 36849