ZEROS OF DIAGONAL EQUATIONS OVER FINITE FIELDS

DAQING WAN

(Communicated by Larry J. Goldstein)

ABSTRACT. Let N be the number of solutions $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of the equation

$$(1) \quad c_1 x_1^{d_1} + c_2 x_2^{d_2} + \cdots + c_n x_n^{d_n} = c$$

over the finite field F_q , where $d_i | (q-1)$, $c_i \in F_q^*$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), and $c \in F_q$. If

$$\frac{1}{d_1} + \frac{1}{d_2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{d_n} > b \geq 1$$

for some positive integer b , we prove that $q^b | N$. This result is an improvement of the theorem that $p | N$ obtained by B. Morlaye [7] and also by J. R. Joly [3].

1. Introduction. Let F_q be a finite field with $q = p^f$ elements, where p is the characteristic of the field. Some attention has been given to the divisibility properties of the number N of solutions of an equation over F_q . The basic idea of this research originated from Lebesgue [5], who first noted that

$$N(f(x) = 0) \equiv \sum_{c \in F_q} (1 - f(c)^{q-1}) \pmod{p}$$

where $f(x) \in F_q[x]$. After that, it was Warning [11] who first arrived at the conclusion that $p | N(f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0)$ for $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with $\deg(f) < n$, and generalized this result to a system of polynomials. In 1962, J. Ax [1] found a major improvement of Warning's theorem which, in a sense, is best possible. He proved that if b is the largest integer such that $b < n/d$, then $q^b | N(f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0)$ for any polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with $\deg(f) = d$. In 1971, Ax's theorem was generalized to systems of equations by N. M. Katz [4]. This generalization, in a sense, is also best possible. A more elementary proof of Katz's theorem can be found in [10]. Therefore, the general study of the divisibility properties of the number N by powers of p may have come to an end.

For special kinds of equations, however, further results about divisibility of N by p can still be obtained by using arithmetic properties of multinomial coefficients. One such result is a theorem of Morlaye [7] and Joly [3] (see also [6, pp. 297–298]), which shows that $p | N$, the number of solutions to the diagonal equation (1) over F_q , provided that $1/d_1 + 1/d_2 + \cdots + 1/d_n > 1$.

In this paper, using some ideas of Ax [1], we shall improve the theorem of Morlaye and Joly, and obtain a theorem with the same quality as Ax's theorem. That is,

---

Received by the editors December 16, 1986 and, in revised form, July 15, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 11D79, 11D72; Secondary 11T41.

we have

THEOREM 1. *Let n be the number of solutions of the diagonal equation (1) over F_q . If there is a positive integer b such that*

$$\frac{1}{d_1} + \frac{1}{d_2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{d_n} > b \geq 1,$$

then

$$N \equiv 0 \pmod{q^b}.$$

Note that if $d_1 = d_2 = \cdots = d_n = d$, a divisor of $(q-1)$, then Theorem 1 reduces to a special case of Ax's theorem.

2. An auxiliary lemma. For convenience, first we introduce a lemma which is important in the proof of Theorem 1.

LEMMA 2. *Let $d_i | (q-1)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), $q = p^f$, and $\sum 1/d_i > b$, where b is a nonnegative integer. For any l_i ($1 \leq l_i \leq d_i - 1$), ($i = 1, \dots, n$) with $\sum l_i/d_i \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$, suppose*

$$\frac{q-1}{d_i} l_i = a_{i0} + a_{i1}p + \cdots + a_{i(f-1)}p^{f-1}, \quad 0 \leq a_{ij} < p,$$

and let

$$(2) \quad S = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{f-1} a_{ij}.$$

Then $S \geq f(b+1)(p-1)$.

PROOF. For any integers j and r with $j \equiv r \pmod{f}$ and $0 \leq r \leq f-1$, we define $a_{ij} = a_{ir}$. Since

$$\frac{q-1}{d_i} l_i = \sum_{j=0}^{f-1} a_{ij} p^j,$$

it follows that, letting $\langle x \rangle_d$ denote the smallest nonnegative residue of $x \pmod{d}$, we have

$$\frac{q-1}{d_i} \langle l_i p^k \rangle_{d_i} = \left\langle \frac{q-1}{d_i} l_i p^k \right\rangle_{q-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{f-1} a_{i(j-k)} p^j.$$

Thus

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=0}^{f-1} \frac{q-1}{d_i} \langle l_i p^k \rangle_{d_i} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=0}^{f-1} a_{ik} \right) \frac{q-1}{p-1}.$$

On the other hand,

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\langle l_i p^k \rangle_{d_i}}{d_i} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{l_i p^k}{d_i} \equiv p^k \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{l_i}{d_i} \equiv 0 \pmod{1},$$

and

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\langle l_i p^k \rangle_{d_i}}{d_i} \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{d_i} > b.$$

Therefore, $\sum \langle l_i p^k \rangle_{d_i} / d_i$ is integral and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\langle l_i p^k \rangle_{d_i}}{d_i} \geq b + 1.$$

Now, (3) gives

$$S \geq (p-1) \sum_{k=0}^{f-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\langle l_i p^k \rangle_{d_i}}{d_i} \geq (p-1)f(b+1).$$

Lemma 2 is proved.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. If $c \neq 0$, we have the identity

$$\begin{aligned} N(c_1 x_1^{d_1} + \cdots + c_n x_n^{d_n} = c) \\ = \frac{1}{q-1} [N(c_1 x_1^{d_1} + \cdots + c_n x_n^{d_n} - c x_{n+1}^{q-1} = 0) - N(c_1 x_1^{d_1} + \cdots + c_n x_n^{d_n} = 0)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $1/d_1 + \cdots + 1/d_n + 1/(q-1) > 1/d_1 + \cdots + 1/d_n$, it is sufficient to prove Theorem 1 for $c = 0$. In the following, we let N denote the number of solutions of the equation

$$c_1 x_1^{d_1} + c_2 x_2^{d_2} + \cdots + c_n x_n^{d_n} = 0$$

over F_q , where $c_i \in F_q^*$.

It is well known that N can be evaluated by means of Gauss sums. Take a multiplicative character χ of F_q of order $(q-1)$ and put $\chi_i = \chi^{(q-1)/d_i}$. Then χ_i is a multiplicative character of F_q of order d_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$). From [6, pp. 293–294], we see that

$$(6) \quad N = q^{n-1} + \frac{q-1}{q} \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in T} \chi_1(c_1)^{-j_1} \cdots \chi_n(c_n)^{-j_n} G(\chi_1^{j_1}) \cdots G(\chi_n^{j_n}),$$

where T is the set of all n -tuples $(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $1 \leq j_i \leq d_i - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\sum j_i/d_i \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$, and the Gauss sums are defined by

$$G(\chi^j) = \sum_{c \in F_q} \chi^j(c) e^{\text{tr}_{F_q/F_p}(c)(2\pi i/p)}.$$

(6) can be written as

$$(7) \quad N = q^{n-1} + \frac{q-1}{q} \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in T} \chi(c_1)^{-((q-1)/d_1)j_1} \cdots \chi(c_n)^{-((q-1)/d_n)j_n} G(\chi^{((q-1)/d_1)j_1}) \cdots G(\chi^{((q-1)/d_n)j_n}).$$

If $0 \leq a \leq q-1$, write $a = \sum_{i=0}^{f-1} a_i p^i$ with $0 \leq a_i < p$ and define $\sigma(a) = \sum_{i=0}^{f-1} a_i$. Suppose $\eta_p = 1 - e^{2\pi i/p}$; then Stickelberger's congruence [2, p. 212] gives

$$G(\chi^{((q-1)/d_i)j_i}) \equiv 0 \pmod{\eta_p^{\Delta_1}},$$

where $\Delta_1 = \sigma(((q-1)/d_i)j_i)$.

Since $\eta_p^{p-1} = p\varepsilon$, where ε is a unit of $\mathbb{Q}(e^{2\pi i/p})$, from (7) we deduce that

$$(8) \quad N - q^{n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{\eta_p^{\Delta}},$$

where

$$\Delta = \min_{(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in T} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma \left(\frac{q-1}{d_i} j_i \right) - f(p-1) \right].$$

According to Lemma 2,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma \left(\frac{q-1}{d_i} j_i \right) = S \geq (b+1)f(p-1).$$

This and (8) together give

$$N - q^{n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{\eta_p^{bf(p-1)}}.$$

That is,

$$N - q^{n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{q^b}.$$

Clearly, $b \leq n-1$, and so $N \equiv 0 \pmod{q^b}$. The proof is complete.

Observing our proof of Lemma 2 and Theorem 1, it is not hard to prove the following better result for equation (1) with $c = 0$. That is,

THEOREM 3. *Let $b^*(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ be the least positive integer represented by $\sum_{i=0}^n l_i/d_i$ ($1 \leq l_i \leq d_i - 1$) if there is such an integer; otherwise, let $b^*(d_1, \dots, d_n) = n-1$. Then for equation (1) with $c = 0$, we have $N \equiv 0 \pmod{q^{b^*-1}}$.*

The fact that $b^* - 1 \geq b$ can be easily proved. Thus, Theorem 3 is in general stronger than Theorem 1.

The above discussion suggests that it would be of interest to determine $b^*(d_1, \dots, d_n)$. In an earlier paper, we gave a necessary and sufficient condition for $b^*(d_1, \dots, d_n) = n-1$ (the maximum value of b^*); see [9].

The author is grateful to Professor Koblitz, who suggested some comments and corrections.

REFERENCES

1. J. Ax, *Zeros of polynomials over finite fields*, Amer. J. Math. **86** (1964), 255-261.
2. K. Ireland and M. Rosen, *A classical introduction to modern number theory*, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 84, Springer-Verlag, 1982.
3. J. R. Joly, *Équations et variétés algébriques sur un corps fini*, Enseign. Math. (2) **19** (1973), 1-117.
4. N. M. Katz, *On a theorem of Ax*, Amer. J. Math. **93** (1971), 485-499.
5. V. A. Lebesgue, *Recherches sur les nombres*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **1** (1832), 11-111; **2** (1832), 253-292; **3** (1832), 113-144.
6. R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite fields*, Addison-Wesley, 1983.
7. B. Morlaye, *Équations diagonales non homogènes sur un corps fini*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A **272** (1971), 1545-1548.
8. W. M. Schmidt, *Equations over finite fields*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 536, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
9. Sun Qi and Daqing Wan, *On the equation $\sum_{i=0}^n 1/d_i \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$ and its application*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **100** (1987), 220-224.
10. Daqing Wan, *An elementary proof to a theorem of Katz*, Amer. J. Math. (to appear).
11. E. Warning, *Bemerkung zur Vorstehenden Arbeit von Herr Chevalley*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, **11** (1936), 76-83.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GN-50, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98195