SIMULTANEOUS SYSTEMS OF REPRESENTATIVES FOR FAMILIES OF FINITE SETS

MELVYN B. NATHANSON

(Communicated by Andrew Odlyzko)

ABSTRACT. Let $h \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$. Then there exists a real number $\lambda = \lambda(h, k) \in (0, 1)$ such that, if $\mathcal{S} = \{S_i\}_{i=1}^s$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{T_j\}_{j=1}^t$ are families of nonempty, pairwise disjoint sets with $|S_i| \leq h$ and $|T_j| \leq k$ and $S_i \not\subseteq T_j$ for all i and j , then $N(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) \leq h^s \lambda^t$, where $N(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})$ is the number of sets X such that X is a minimal system of representatives for $\mathcal{S}$ and X is simultaneously a system of representatives for $\mathcal{T}$. A conjecture about the best possible value of the constant $\lambda(h, k)$ is proved in the case $h > k$. The necessity of the disjointness conditions for the families $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ is also demonstrated.

Let $\mathcal{S} = \{S_i\}_{i=1}^s$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{T_j\}_{j=1}^t$ be two families of nonempty sets. The set X is a *system of representatives* for $\mathcal{S}$ if $X \cap S_i \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in I$. If X is a system of representatives for $\mathcal{S}$ but no proper subset of X is a system of representatives for $\mathcal{S}$, then X is a *minimal system of representatives* for $\mathcal{S}$.

Let $M(\mathcal{S})$ denote the number of minimal systems of representatives for $\mathcal{S}$. If the sets S_i are pairwise disjoint, and if $|S_i| \leq h$, where $|S|$ denotes the cardinality of the set S , then $M(\mathcal{S}) = \prod_{i=1}^s |S_i| \leq h^s$.

Let $N(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})$ denote the number of sets X such that X is a minimal system of representatives for $\mathcal{S}$ and X is simultaneously a system of representatives for $\mathcal{T}$. At the Third International Conference on Combinatorial Mathematics in New York in June, 1985, the author stated the following two conjectures about the number $N(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})$ in the case of two finite families of pairwise disjoint finite sets.

CONJECTURE 1. Let $h \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$. There exists a real number $\lambda = \lambda(h, k) \in (0, 1)$ with the following property:

(i) Let $\mathcal{S} = \{S_i\}_{i=1}^s$ be a family of s nonempty, pairwise disjoint sets S_i with $|S_i| \leq h$ for all i .

(ii) Let $\mathcal{T} = \{T_j\}_{j=1}^t$ be a family of t nonempty, pairwise disjoint sets T_j with $|T_j| \leq k$ for all j .

(iii) Suppose $S_i \not\subseteq T_j$ for all i and j . Then

$$(1) \quad N(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) \leq h^s \lambda^t.$$

CONJECTURE 2. Let $h \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$. Let $k = q(h-1) + r$, where $0 \leq r \leq h-2$. Define $\lambda^*(h, k) \in (0, 1)$ by

$$\lambda^*(h, k) = 1 - (h-r)/h^{q+1}.$$

Received by the editors October 23, 1986 and, in revised form, June 15, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 05A05, 11B13, 11B75.

Key words and phrases. Systems of representatives, additive bases.

©1988 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/88 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Then $\lambda^*(h, k)$ is the smallest value of λ that satisfies inequality (1) of Conjecture 1.

Note that Conjectures 1 and 2 imply that

$$N(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) \leq h^{s-2t}(h^2 - h + 1)^t$$

if $h = k$, and that

$$N(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) \leq h^{s-t}k^t$$

if $h > k$.

Erdős and Nathanson [1] proved Conjectures 1 and 2 in the special case $h = k = 2$, and they applied this result in additive number theory to obtain a sufficient condition for an asymptotic basis of order 2 to contain a minimal asymptotic basis of order 2. Conjectures 1 and 2 should have important applications to the study of additive bases of orders greater than 2.

THEOREM 1. *Let $h \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$. Let $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. If $N(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) \leq h^s \lambda^t$ for all families $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ that satisfy conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) of Conjecture 1, then $\lambda \geq \lambda^*(h, k)$.*

PROOF. Let $d_1, \dots, d_s$ be positive integers such that $d_i \leq h - 1$ for all i and $d_1 + \dots + d_s \leq k$. Let $S_1, \dots, S_s$ be pairwise disjoint sets with $|S_i| = h$ for all i . Let T be a set such that $|T| = k$ and $|T \cap S_i| = d_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{S_i\}_{i=1}^s$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{T\}$. Then

$$N(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) = h^s - \prod_{i=1}^s (h - d_i) = h^s \left(1 - \prod_{i=1}^s \left(1 - \frac{d_i}{h} \right) \right)$$

and so

$$(2) \quad \lambda \geq 1 - \prod_{i=1}^s \left(1 - \frac{d_i}{h} \right).$$

Let $k = q(h - 1) + r$, where $q = \lfloor k/(h - 1) \rfloor$ and $0 \leq r \leq h - 2$. Let $s = q + 1$. Let $d_i = h - 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, s - 1$ and $d_s = r$. Then the right side of inequality (2) is $\lambda^*(h, k)$. This proves the theorem.

DEFINITION. Let $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ be families of sets. Then $\mathcal{T}$ is independent with respect to $\mathcal{S}$ if to each set $S \in \mathcal{S}$ there is at most one set $T \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $S \cap T \neq \emptyset$.

LEMMA. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ satisfy conditions (i) and (ii) of Conjecture 1. If $\mathcal{T}'$ is a maximal subfamily of $\mathcal{T}$ that is independent with respect to $\mathcal{S}$, and if $t' = |\mathcal{T}'|$, then $t' \geq t/((h - 1)k + 1)$.*

PROOF. Let $\mathcal{T}' = \{T_j\}_{j=1}^{t'}$ be a maximal subfamily of $\mathcal{T}$. For each $j \in [1, t']$, there is a set $I(j) \subseteq [1, s]$ such that $|I(j)| \leq k$ and $S_i \cap T_j \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $i \in I(j)$.

There are $t - t'$ sets belonging to $\mathcal{T} \setminus \mathcal{T}'$. Each of these sets must intersect some set S_i , where $i \in I(j)$ for some $j = 1, \dots, t'$. Since each of these sets S_i also

intersects some set T_j belonging to the family $\mathcal{T}'$, and since the sets in $\mathcal{T}$ are pairwise disjoint, it follows that

$$t - t' \leq \sum_{j=1}^{t'} \sum_{i \in I(j)} (|S_i| - 1) \leq (h - 1) \sum_{j=1}^{t'} |I(j)| \leq (h - 1)kt'.$$

This proves the Lemma.

The following result implies Conjecture 1.

THEOREM 2. *Let $h \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$. There exists a real number $\lambda = \lambda(h, k) \in (0, 1)$ with the following property:*

(i) *Let $\mathcal{S} = \{S_i\}_{i=1}^s$ be a family of s nonempty, pairwise disjoint sets S_i with $|S_i| \leq h$ for all i .*

(ii) *Let $\mathcal{T} = \{T_j\}_{j=1}^t$ be a family of t nonempty, pairwise disjoint sets T_j with $|T_j| \leq k$ for all j .*

(iii) *Suppose $S_i \not\subseteq T_j$ for all i and j . Then*

$$(3) \quad N(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) \leq M(\mathcal{S})\lambda^t.$$

PROOF. Define $\mu = 1 - 1/h^k$ and $\lambda \equiv \mu^{1/((h-1)k+1)}$. Then $\mu < \lambda$. It suffices to prove that if $\mathcal{T}$ is independent with respect to $\mathcal{S}$, then $N(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) \leq M(\mathcal{S})\mu^t$. The reason is as follows: Let $\mathcal{T}'$ be a maximal subfamily of $\mathcal{T}$ that is independent with respect to $\mathcal{S}$, and let $t' = |\mathcal{T}'|$. Then the Lemma implies that

$$N(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) \leq N(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}') \leq M(\mathcal{S})\mu^{t'} \leq M(\mathcal{S})\lambda^t.$$

Now assume that $\mathcal{T}$ is independent with respect to $\mathcal{S}$. Note that the result is trivial if $\mathcal{T} = \emptyset$, that is, if $t = 0$. Suppose that $t = 1$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{T\}$. Let $d_i = |S_i \cap T|$. Since $S_i \not\subseteq T$, it follows that $d_i \leq |S_i| - 1$. Reorder the sets S_i so that $d_i > 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, s'$ and $d_i = 0$ for $i = s' + 1, \dots, s$. Then $s' \leq |T| \leq k$ and

$$\begin{aligned} N(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) &= \prod_{i=1}^s |S_i| - \prod_{i=s'+1}^s |S_i| \prod_{i=1}^{s'} (|S_i| - d_i) \\ &= M(\mathcal{S}) \left(1 - \prod_{i=1}^{s'} \left(1 - \frac{d_i}{|S_i|} \right) \right) \\ &\leq M(\mathcal{S}) \left(1 - \prod_{i=1}^{s'} \left(\frac{1}{|S_i|} \right) \right) \\ &\leq M(\mathcal{S})(1 - h^{-k}) = M(\mathcal{S})\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Now let $\mathcal{T}$ be any family that is independent with respect to $\mathcal{S}$. Since each set $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$ intersects at most one set $T_j \in \mathcal{T}$, we can partition the family $\mathcal{S}$ into t families $\mathcal{S}_j$ such that if $S \in \mathcal{S}$ and if $S \cap T_j \neq \emptyset$, then $S \in \mathcal{S}_j$. Let $\mathcal{T}_j = \{T_j\}$. Then

$$N(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) = N(\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{T}_1) \cdots N(\mathcal{S}_t, \mathcal{T}_t) \leq M(\mathcal{S}_1) \cdots M(\mathcal{S}_t)\mu^t = M(\mathcal{S})\mu^t.$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

The following result proves Conjecture 2 in the case $h > k$.

THEOREM 3. *Let $h > k \geq 1$. Let the families $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ satisfy conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) of Theorem 2. Then $N(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) \leq h^{s-t} k^t$.*

PROOF. Let X' be a minimal system of representatives for $\mathcal{T}$, and let $L(X')$ denote the number of minimal systems of representatives for $\mathcal{S}$ that contain X' . If the elements of X' do not belong to distinct sets S_i in the family $\mathcal{S}$, then $L(X') = 0$. If the t elements of X' do belong to t distinct sets in $\mathcal{S}$, then there are at most h^{s-t} ways to choose a set X'' of representatives from the remaining $s - t$ sets in $\mathcal{S}$ so that $X = X' \cup X''$ will be a minimal system of representatives for $\mathcal{S}$. Moreover, there are at most k^t minimal systems X' of representatives for $\mathcal{T}$. Since every set X counted in $N(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})$ contains some set X' , it follows that

$$N(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) \leq \sum_{x'} L(X') \leq h^{s-t} k^t.$$

This proves the theorem.

The following two results show the necessity in Theorem 2 that the families $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ consist of pairwise disjoint sets.

THEOREM 4. *Let $h \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$. Let $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then there is a family $\mathcal{S}$ of nonempty, distinct sets S with $|S| = h$, and a set T with $|T| = k$ and $S \not\subseteq T$ such that, if $\mathcal{T} = \{T\}$, then $N(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) > M(\mathcal{S})\lambda$.*

PROOF. Choose $n > (h - 1)/(1 - \lambda)$. Let S^* be a set with $|S^*| = n$, and let $\mathcal{S}$ be the family of all h -element subsets of S^* . Then

$$|\mathcal{S}| = s = \binom{n}{h} \quad \text{and} \quad M(\mathcal{S}) = \binom{n}{h-1}.$$

Let T be a set such that $|T| = k$ and $|T \cap S^*| = 1$. Then

$$N(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) = \binom{n-1}{h-1} = M(\mathcal{S}) \left(1 - \frac{h-1}{n}\right) > M(\mathcal{S})\lambda.$$

THEOREM 5. *Let $h \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$. For any $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist families $\mathcal{S} = \{S_i\}_{i=1}^s$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{T_j\}_{j=1}^t$ with the following properties:*

- (i) *The s sets S_i are pairwise disjoint and $|S_i| = h$ for all i .*
- (ii) *The t sets T_j are distinct and $|T_j| = k$ for all j .*
- (iii) *$S_i \not\subseteq T_j$ for all i and j .*
- (iv) *$t < \varepsilon s$.*
- (v) *$N(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}) > h^s \lambda^t$.*

PROOF. Let $n = \min(h, k)$. Then $n \geq 2$ and

$$r \binom{r(h-1)}{n-1} - r > cr^n$$

for some $c > 0$ and all $r \geq r_0$. Choose r sufficiently large so that $r \geq r_0$ and $h^r \lambda^{cr^n} < 1$. Let $\mathcal{S}' = \{S_i\}_{i=1}^r$ be a family of r pairwise disjoint sets S_i with $|S_i| = h$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Choose $x_i \in S_i$. Then $X' = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ is a minimal system of representatives for $\mathcal{S}'$.

Let $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^r S_i$. Let V be a set such that $V \cap S = \emptyset$ and $|V| = k - n$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ consist of all sets $T = \{x_i\} \cup T' \cup V$, where $x_i \in X'$ and $T' \subseteq S \setminus X'$ satisfies

$|T'| = n - 1$ and $T' \neq S_i \setminus \{x_i\}$. Then $S_i \not\subseteq T$ for all $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$ and $T \in \mathcal{T}$. Since $|S \setminus X'| = r(h - 1)$, the family $\mathcal{T}$ consists of

$$t \geq r \binom{r(h-1)}{n-1} - r > cr^n$$

distinct sets of cardinality k .

Clearly, X' is a minimal system of representatives for $\mathcal{S}'$ and a system of representatives for $\mathcal{T}$, but

$$h^r \lambda^t < h^r \lambda^{cr^n} < 1 \leq N(\mathcal{S}', \mathcal{T}).$$

Choose $s > r$ so large that $t < \varepsilon s$. Let $S_{r+1}, \dots, S_s$ be pairwise disjoint sets of cardinality h that are also disjoint from the sets in $\mathcal{S}'$ and $\mathcal{T}$. Let

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}' \cup \{S_i\}_{i=r+1}^s = \{S_i\}_{i=1}^s.$$

There are h^{s-r} minimal systems of representatives for $\mathcal{S}$ that contain X' , and so

$$h^s \lambda^t = h^{s-r} h^r \lambda^t < h^{s-r} \leq N(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}).$$

The families $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ satisfy conditions (i)–(v) of the theorem.

REMARKS. Xing-De Jia has recently given complete proofs of Conjectures 1 and 2.

I wish to thank the referee for numerous comments that greatly improved this paper.

REFERENCES

1. P. Erdős and M. B. Nathanson, *Systems of distinct representatives and minimal bases in additive number theory* (M. B. Nathanson, ed.), Number Theory (Carbondale 1979), Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 751, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, pp. 89–107.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LEHMAN COLLEGE (CUNY), BRONX, NEW YORK 10468